RESEARCH ARTICLE

Received: 17 April 2020 Revised: 17 April 2020 Accepted: 31 July 2020

DOI: 10.1096/fba.2020-00022

FASEBBioAdvances

WILEY

Development of a novel secondary phenotypic screen to identify hits within the mycobacterial protein synthesis pipeline

Christopher Burke¹ | Monika Jankute¹ | Patrick Moynihan¹ | Ruben Gonzalez del Rio² | Xiaojun Li³ | Jorge Esquivias² | Joël Lelièvre² | Jonathan A. G. Cox⁴ | James Sacchettini³ | Gurdyal S. Besra¹

¹Institute of Microbiology and Infection, School of Biosciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

²Diseases of the Developing World, GlaxoSmithKline, Tres Cantos, Madrid, Spain

³Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, United States

⁴School of Life & Health Sciences, Aston University, Birmingham, UK

Correspondence

Gurdyal S. Besra, School of Biosciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK. Email: g.besra@bham.ac.uk

Abstract

Background: Whole-cell phenotypic screening is the driving force behind modern anti-tubercular drug discovery efforts. Focus has shifted from screening for bactericidal scaffolds to screens incorporating target deconvolution. Target-based screening aims to direct drug discovery toward known effective targets and avoid investing resources into unproductive lines of enquiry. The protein synthesis pipeline, including RNA polymerase and the ribosome, is a clinically proven target in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Screening for new hits of this effective target pathway is an invaluable tool in the drug discovery arsenal.

Methods: Using *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv augmented with anhydrotetracycline-inducible expression of mCherry, a phenotypic screen was developed for the identification of protein synthesis inhibitors in a medium throughput screening format.

Results: The assay was validated using known inhibitors of protein synthesis to show a dose-dependent reduction in mCherry fluorescence. This was expanded to a proprietary screen of hypothetical protein synthesis hits and modified to include quantitative viability measurement of cells using resazurin.

Conclusion: Following the success of the proprietary screen, a larger scale screen of the GlaxoSmithKline anti-tubercular library containing 2799 compounds was conducted. Combined single shot and dose-response screening yielded 18 hits, 0.64% of all screened compounds.

KEYWORDS

mCherry, mycobacteria, ribosome, RNA polymerase, transcription, translation

Christopher Burke and Monika Jankute contributed equally to this manuscript.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

©2020 The Authors. FASEB BioAdvances published by The Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology

1 | INTRODUCTION

Mycobacterium tuberculosis, the causative agent of tuberculosis (TB), remains one of the most successful bacterial pathogens. Despite extensive international co-operation TB remains extremely difficult to treat and has a high mortality rate, with an estimated 10.4 million new cases and over 1.7 million deaths in 2016 alone.¹ The long duration and unpleasant side effects of TB treatment regimens results in poor patient compliance that together with global overuse of antibiotics have contributed to the rise of multidrug resistant (MDR) and extensively drug resistant (XDR) strains of *M. tuberculosis*. In 2016, there were approximately 600 000 rifampicin and MDR TB new cases, leading to 240 000 deaths worldwide.² In order to address the need for new antimicrobials and help combat the rising issue of antibiotic resistance, drugs with novel modes of action against clinically proven targets need to be identified.

The protein synthesis pipeline, containing RNA polymerase (RNAP), approximately 22 tRNA synthases,³ and the ribosome represents one of the most crucial aspects of biological life. Both RNAP and the ribosome have been successfully inhibited in *M. tuberculosis* by rifampicin (RIF)^{4–8} and streptomycin, $^{9-14}$ respectively, drugs that see extensive use in the clinic for treating TB.¹⁵ New scaffolds that target these complexes at different sites are an attractive proposition for further drug development and clinical use. Recently, Lin et al demonstrated compounds that inhibit RNAP at an alternate site to RIF, can be co-administered, and have a cumulative bactericidal effect resulting in suppression of antibiotic resistance generation in M. tuberculosis.¹⁶ Several ribosomal inhibitors may also have synergistic action when used together. Linezolid, a ribosomal inhibitor with unfavorable side effects, has increased bioavailability when co-administered with clarithromycin, allowing a reduction in dose delivered to patients with MDR-TB.¹⁷ In addition, spectinomycin displays a reduced minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) in combination with other mycobacterial ribosomal



2 Weeks

2 Weeks

inhibitors from the macrolide, tetracycline, and lincosamide classes.^{18,19} While, there are no commercially approved tRNA synthase inhibitors for the treatment of TB, several inhibitors have been outlined in the literature.^{20–23} Specifically, the 3-aminomethyl compounds containing boron that successfully inhibit mycobacterial leucyl-tRNA synthetase,^{21,22} as well as, various inhibitors of aspartyl-tRNA synthetase.^{20,23}

Drug discovery and target identification is a laborious process that is bottlenecked at several key points. The current reliance on generation of spontaneous drug-resistant mutants (DRMs) and whole genome sequencing (WGS) of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and deletions is effective,^{20,24-26} but has a high failure rate. In addition, not all compounds are suitable for the generation of DRMs as the target may be highly conserved and not prone to mutation(s). Additionally, it is not uncommon for SNPs to appear "off target" from the gene of interest.²⁷ By incorporating target-based methods into screening, compounds can be sifted for a specific mode of action prior to DRM generation and WGS. More specific biochemical assays can then be conducted in parallel with DRM generation and WGS. Figure 1 compares a more traditional DRM-driven target validation against the target-based screening.

Here, a method was developed to identify potential protein synthesis hits from compound libraries using whole-cell phenotypic screening as a tool. This screen in addition to other, recently developed,^{28,29} whole-cell phenotypic screening methods is focused on reducing the time required for mode of action studies into known effective targets.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Bacterial strains and growth conditions

M. tuberculosis H37Rv strain²⁷ was electroporated with a kanamycin-resistant mycobacterial plasmid pTIC6a-*mCherry*³⁰

> FIGURE 1 Standard drug target validation pathway (A) and target-based screening pathway (B). The standard method of target validation uses DRM generation and WGS to identify targets, which can be time-consuming and result in identification of non-favorable drug targets. Target-based screening cuts the time investment to target identification and shifts DRM generation and WGS to a position where they can be conducted concurrently with other target validation steps

and transformants selected on Middlebrook 7H10 media containing 10% (v/v) OADC and 25 µg/mL of kanamycin at 37°C with 5% CO₂. Single colonies were inoculated into 10 mL of Middlebrook 7H9 containing 10% OADC (v/v), 0.2% (v/v) glycerol, 0.05% (v/v) Tween-80 or Tyloxapol and 25 µg/mL of kanamycin, and statically cultured at 37°C with 5% CO₂ for \sim 7 days. The expression of *mCherry* gene was induced with the addition of 100 ng/mL of anhydrotetracycline. Growth rate was monitored by measuring optical density (OD) at 600 nm and cells were passaged to maintain a mid-log culture at OD_{600} of 0.4-0.6. To prevent mutagenesis, no more than four passages were conducted before cultures were reinitiated from a parent glycerol stock. For all assays conducted no greater than 2% DMSO was ever used. MICs for known protein synthesis inhibitors (apramycin, streptomycin, chloramphenicol, and hygromycin) and controls (isoniazid and ethambutol) were determined in flat-clear bottom 96-well plates (Greiner, 655090). Isoniazid, ethambutol, chloramphenicol, and hygromycin were dissolved in DMSO and apramycin and streptomycin in 0.3% (v/v) Tween-20, in both cases 2% of the total assay volume. M. tuberculosis H37Rv was grown as above without kanamycin to OD 0.4 and diluted to 5×10^5 cells/mL. Diluted cell culture (90 µL), test compound (10 µL) was inoculated into each well up to make a final 100 µL solution. Plates were incubated for 7 days at 37°C with 5% CO₂. After incubation, 30 μ L of 0.02% resazurin and 12 µL of 20% Tween-80 were added to each well and incubated for a further 24 hours and then visually observed to determine the compound MICs. HepG2 cytotoxicity was performed using HepG2 cells cultured using Eagle's minimum essential medium supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum, 1% non-essential amino acids, and 1% penicillin/ streptomycin as described previously.²⁶

2.2 | Construction of pTIC6amCherry vector

A codon-optimized variant of *mCherry* was purchased from Genscript and the full-length mCherry gene was amplified using the following primer pair (restriction sites underlined): *mCherry*Fwd (5'-GAG G<u>AA GCT T</u>AT GGT GAG CA-3') and *mCherry*Rev (5'-TGT ACA AGT GA<u>G AAT TC</u>A TA-3'). The PCR product was digested with *Hin*dIII and *Eco*RI and subsequently ligated into pTIC6a digested with identical enzymes. DNA sequencing and construct verification was carried out at the Eurofins DNA Ltd.

2.3 | Validation of the mCherry reporter screen

Known protein synthesis inhibitors (apramycin, streptomycin, chloramphenicol, and hygromycin) and controls (isoniazid

FASEBBioAdvances

and ethambutol) were dispensed into 384-well black wall flat-clear bottom plates (Greiner, 78109) in a dose-response fashion using HP Digital Dispenser. Isoniazid, ethambutol, chloramphenicol, and hygromycin were dissolved in DMSO and apramycin and streptomycin in 0.3% (v/v) Tween-20, in both cases 2% of the total assay volume. Mid-log phase M. tuberculosis H37Rv::pTIC6a-mCherry cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 4000 rpm for 15 minutes and then, washed twice with fresh Middlebrook 7H9 media to remove traces of kanamycin and adjusted to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.4 in Middlebrook 7H9 media without kanamycin. The culture was then split into two: one was left un-induced (-anhydrotetracycline) and the second was induced with 100 ng/mL anhydrotetracycline (+anhydrotetracycline). Plate wells received cells at an $OD_{600} \ 0.4$ and in a final volume of 50 μL and were incubated to 37°C with 5% CO₂ and left for 48 hours. Readings of mCherry fluorescence were taken using a PHERAstar FS from BMG LabTech plate reader at excitation 580 nm/emission 620 nm. After reading mCherry fluorescence, 15 µL of 0.02% resazurin and 6 µL of 20% Tween-80 were added to each well and the plates were incubated for a further 24 hours. Plates were visually inspected for cellular viability based upon resazurin color change. Experiments were performed in triplicate.

2.4 | Preliminary mCherry reporter screen using *M. tuberculosis* ribosome hits

The 38 compounds identified as M. tuberculosis ribosome inhibitors in a separate study (unpublished data, Professor James Sacchettini from Texas A&M University) were solubilized in 100% DMSO and automatically dispensed into 384-well black wall flat-clear bottom plates (Greiner, 78109) in a dose-response fashion using an Echo Acoustic dispenser from Labcyte, to afford a final 0.25 µL solution. M. tuberculosis H37Rv::pTIC6a-mCherry cells were treated as described above and both +anhydrotetracycline and -anhydrotetracycline culture stocks of OD_{600} of 0.4 were dispensed into appropriate wells to a final volume of 50 µL. Plates were incubated and fluorescence of mCherry was measured as described above. Resazurin was added to plates as above and fluorescence measurements were taken using plate reader PHERAstar FS from BMG LabTech at excitation 530/emission 590 nm.

2.5 Combined single shot screen and doseresponse screens of GSK TB box

M. tuberculosis H37Rv::*mCherry*-pTIC6a cells were prepared as described above. The cell suspension was then supplemented with 100 ng/mL of anhydrotetracycline. The screening and dose-response experiments were conducted in 384-well black wall flat-clear bottom plates (Greiner, 78109). The single shot screen assessed two different concentrations of drug, 10 µmol/L and 1 µmol/L, at a final volume of 50 µL in duplicate. The subsequent dose-response confirmation screen had a range of concentrations spanning 50 µmol/L to 0.846 nmol/L at a final volume of 50 µL using four replicate plates. The compounds in both screens were dispensed into plates using an Echo Acoustic Dispenser by GSK. Positive control wells included 0.5% DMSO only, whereas negative control wells had 6.24 µg/mL of hygromycin, four times the MIC. Plates contained 50 µL of cells at an OD₆₀₀ of 0.4 containing anhydrotetracycline added to each well. Plates were read on a PHERAstar FS plate reader at excitation 580 nm/ emission 620 nm for mCherry fluorescence after 60 hours of incubation at 37°C with 5% CO₂. Cell viability was assessed using resazurin as per above using a PHERAstar FS plate reader at excitation 530 nm/emission 590 nm.

2.6 Z' statistics and percentage survival calculation

Each plate had Z' calculation conducted upon it using the equation -

$$Z' = 1 - \frac{3\left(\sigma_{\rm p} + \sigma_{\rm n}\right)}{\left(\mu_{\rm p} - \mu_{\rm n}\right)}$$

where $\sigma_{\rm p}$ and $\sigma_{\rm n}$ equal the standard deviation of the positive and negative controls, respectively, and $\mu_{\rm p}$ and $\mu_{\rm n}$ equal the mean of the positive and negative controls. Plates with a Z prime of less than 0.5 were considered to have poorly separated controls and were excluded from the experiments. Results were then converted to percentage mCherry expression as per the equation -

%mCherryExpression =
$$\left(\frac{x-\hat{n}}{\hat{p}-\hat{n}}\right) \times 100$$

where x equals the experimental value, \hat{p} equals the mean of the positive control, s and \hat{n} equals the mean of the negative controls.

2.7 Broken beacon RNA polymerase assay

The broken beacon RNA polymerase assay³¹ uses a 5' TAMRA labeled DNA oligo that hybridizes to a shorter 3' BHQ2 labeled DNA oligo. When hybridized the BHQ2 effectively quenches the TAMRA fluorophore. When the hybridized probes encounter complimentary RNA produced TABLE 1 DNA sequence of broken beacon probes and location of attached modifications. TAMRA is excited at a wavelength of 557 nm and emits at a wavelength of 583 nm. BHQ2 maximally quenches at 579 nm.

DNA Sequence 5' – 3'	Modifications
ttcacatttcatcgacggacaacg	$5^{\prime}-TAMRA$
cgatgaaatgtgaa	3' – BHQ2

TABLE 2 Primers for mmsA template DNA.

Direction	DNA Sequence 5' – 3'
Forward	catgcatgcatatgctagccatgatggagcgcag
Reverse	catgcatgaagcttcagctcggccaactcgtcga

by RNA polymerase, the shorter BHQ2 probe dehybridizes and the longer RNA product and TAMRA probe hybridize. This removes the BHO2 from the proximity of the TAMRA and the resulting increase in fluorescence can be detected. BHQ2 and TAMRA oligos were hybridized in a 2:1 ratio, 8 µmol/L:4 µmol/L in a 500 µL aliquot. BHO2 oligo (40 µL of 100 µmol/L), TAMRA oligo (20 µL of 100 µmol/L), $100 \,\mu\text{L} 5 \times \text{SSPE}$ buffer, $25 \,\mu\text{L} 20 \times \text{Denhardt's reagent, and}$ 315 µL of dH2O were added into a 1.5-mL microcentrifuge tube. The mixture was heated at 95°C which reduced by 10 °C every 5 minutes. Reactions of 20 μ L were set up with E. coli RNA Polymerase, Holoenzyme (NEB, M0551S). RNA polymerase buffer (5×, 4 μ L), 1 μ L E. coli RNA polymerase, 125 µmol/L ATP, 125 µmol/L CTP, 125 µmol/L GTP, 125 µmol/L UTP, 5 µL of hybridized TAMRA/BHQ2 oligos, 40 nM of mmsA DNA template were made up to 19 µL with RNase free dH₂O. Hits in 20% DMSO (2 mmol/L) were added for 100 µmol/L compound in 1% DMSO. The reactions were read on a BMG plate reader every 30 seconds for 1000 cycles. The sequence of the TAMRA and BHQ2 probes are shown in Table 1. The mmsA template DNA was 440 base pairs long and included 179 bases pairs of DNA upstream of the mmsA start site to include the promotor region. The primers for the PCR of the mmsA template are shown in Table 2.

3 RESULTS

Development of a phenotypic screening 3.1 assay to identify protein synthesis hits

Target identification is a crucial step in TB drug discovery, which is commonly conducted using WGS of spontaneous DRMs. The caveat of this process is that discovered targets may not be suitable or may not be the true target at



FIGURE 2 MIC determination of known protein synthesis inhibitors and control inhibitors. Visual confirmation of drugs MICs using resazurin. Pink colored wells indicate cell survival. Blue wells indicate cell death. Red values in the table show the MIC for each compound

all. To avoid these pitfalls, whole-cell phenotypic screening to search for molecules inhibiting specific targets from a compound library has become common place. A phenotypic screen utilizing mCherry fluorescence to identify hits of the protein synthesis pipeline was developed as there are several key enzymes that have already been clinically validated as excellent targets for drug treatment within this pathway. The method uses anhydrotetracycline-inducible expression

MIC (µg/mL)	×0.5	×1	×1.25	×1.5	×1.75	×2	×2.25	×2.5	×3	×3.5	×4
Isoniazid	0.02	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.12	0.14	0.16
Ethambutol	0.63	1.25	1.56	1.88	2.19	2.5	2.81	3.13	3.75	4.38	5.00
Apramycin	0.31	0.63	0.78	0.94	1.09	1.25	1.41	1.56	1.88	2.19	2.50
Chloramphenicol	1.50	3.00	3.75	4.50	5.25	6.00	6.75	7.50	9.00	10.50	12.00
Streptomycin	0.13	0.25	0.31	0.38	0.44	0.50	0.56	0.63	0.75	0.88	1.00
Hygromycin	0.78	1.56	1.95	2.34	2.73	3.12	3.51	3.90	4.68	5.46	6.24

of the fluorescent protein mCherry as a read-out for wholecell protein expression. To validate this approach, several known inhibitors of the ribosome were used to assess drugmediated protein expression inhibition and therefore fluorescence reduction. The MICs of these drugs was determined and is shown in Figure 2 and Table 3. Crucial to this approach is the ability to monitor cell survival to ensure that the fluorescence is not reduced simply from cell death. The data shown in Figure 3 show that the known protein synthesis inhibitors-chloramphenicol, streptomycin, apramycin, and hygromycin-display a dose-dependent reduction in protein synthesis expression, which can be measured using the mCherry fluorescent protein. In addition, the cellwall synthesis inhibitors-isoniazid and ethambutol-showed no decrease in mCherry fluorescence. Fluorescence was converted to percentage mCherry expression. The positive control used was 2% DMSO with anhydrotetracycline to induce mCherry expression and the negative control was without anhydrotetracycline to account for background leaky protein expression. The short incubation period resulted in a detectable reduction in protein synthesis without causing cellular death. To ensure the reduction in protein synthesis was not due to reduced cell viability, resazurin was used to visually assess cell survival after measuring mCherry fluorescence.

3.2 | Proprietary screen with *M. tuberculosis* ribosome inhibitors

Initial screening focused on a select group of 38 hits that targeted the ribosome (Table 4) provided by Professor James Sacchettini, established using a cell-free method (unpublished data). Of the 38 compounds, 23 showed a dose-dependent reduction in mCherry expression consistent with protein synthesis inhibition. Importantly, resazurin fluorescence showed that the decrease in mCherry expression across the plates was due to protein synthesis inhibition as indicated by decrease in mCherry expression and not due to a reduction in cellular survival. The data in Figure 4 show 10 of the compounds that displayed a dose response. These also include RIF and linezolid, which



BURKE ET AL.

FIGURE 3 The effect of known inhibitors on the percentage expression of mCherry. Isoniazid and ethambutol negative controls show no mCherry expression decrease. Known protein synthesis inhibitors hygromycin, chloramphenicol, streptomycin, and apramycin show a dose-dependent decrease in mCherry expression

were used as controls. The compounds that failed to show a dose-dependent reduction in mCherry expression were potentially unable to pass through the mycobacterial outer membrane.

3.3 | Single shot high throughput screen results

The single shot screen, shown in Figure 5, was used as a tool for separating potential hits from a larger chemical library. The compounds screened were the TB box-set library produced by GlaxoSmithKline (GSK) of anti-tuber-cular drugs.^{31,32} Single well experiments were used at two compound concentrations, 10 μ mol/L and 1 μ mol/L, and potential hits were designated by a percentage mCherry expression of less than 50% at either 10 μ mol/L or at both 10 μ mol/L and 1 μ mol/L. Fluorescence values were converted to percentage mCherry expression, as *per* the validation, by subtracting the hygromycin negative control and normalizing against the DMSO-positive control. It should be noted that in Figure 5A,B at higher concentrations, it may well be possible that some hits may produce



TABLE 4Molecular structures ofproprietary screen hits.



a detergent-like effect and stimulate growth. After treatment with resazurin, the cells in the individual experiments were checked for viability to assure a decrease in mCherry fluorescence was due to protein synthesis inhibition and not due to cell death. Of the 2799 compounds screened, 91 compounds, 3.25%, were designated as hits.

3.4 | Dose-response results

Compounds identified by the single shot screen were subjected to a more rigorous dose-response testing to filter out any false positives. Using secondary dose-response screening allows the selection criteria in the single shot screen to be more relaxed.



FIGURE 4 Dose response of hit compounds found in the preliminary screen. Percentage mCherry expression displayed in yellow. Percentage cell survival displayed in blue

This can help account for genuine hits that may normally be missed due to having a standardized compound concentration, that is, where the compound concentration is too low to show the desired phenotype. The range of concentrations spanned 50 µmol/L to 0.846 nmol/L, this started higher and ended lower than the concentrations used in the single shot screen. The plates were first assessed by Z', any plate with a Z' lower than 0.5 was excluded from the results. This resulted in one group of plates having results in triplicate instead of quadruplicate. The average Z' across the whole experiment, including the excluded plate, was 0.626. The fluorescence values were normalized as described above and of the ninety one initial hits progressed, 18 displayed a desired dose-dependent response, 10 of which are shown in Figure 6 and Table 5, in addition to RIF and linezolid included in the screen as controls. This represented 0.64% of all compounds screened.

3.5 | Broken beacon RNA polymerase assay results

To validate this screening methodology, 10 of the 18 doseresponse hit compounds were examined for activity in an RNA polymerase assay using commercial *E. coli* RNA polymerase. The hits were screened along with positive and negative control inhibitors rifampicin (RNA polymerase) and chloramphenicol (protein synthesis inhibitor), respectively. The results of two of the hits are shown in Figure 7. The positive control and blank sample show good separation, with rifampicin knocking activity down to near blank levels and chloramphenicol showing negligible effect on overall activity. Hits 15 and 16 both show a significant reduction in fluorescence and therefore a reduction in the amount of RNA produced by RNA polymerase. **FIGURE 5** Percentage expression results and cell survival for all 2799 compounds screened. The red line denotes the cutoff threshold for positive results displaying lower than 50% mCherry expression. Panel A shows results for the 1 μ mol/L screen, panel B shows the 10 μ mol/L screen. The left panels of each figure show the percentage mCherry expression and the right panels show the percentage survival as determined by resazurin



4 | DISCUSSION

Phenotypic screening of large compound libraries is the current gold standard for the discovery of novel anti-tubercular agents with promising pharmacokinetic properties.^{32–34} Large screens, such as these excel at finding novel inhibitors but fall short when it comes to target identification. This results in a reliance on WGS to identify the drug targets from spontaneous DRMs, which can be time consuming to generate. This process can often be complicated by promiscuous scaffolds that have little in the way of target specificity,³⁵ or targets that have human homologues. Incorporating some aspect of target identification into preliminary screening can help alleviate these issues and accelerate the drug discovery process. In this work, we have developed a mCherry reporter screen to identify protein synthesis hits, more specifically inhibitors of RNA polymerase, tRNA synthase, and the ribosome.

M. tuberculosis protein synthesis is a well-known druggable pathway with some of the most potent anti-tubercular drugs targeting related enzymes. The rifampin class of antibiotics, most notably rifampicin, target RNA polymerase to halt the DNA to mRNA transcription.^{4–8} Ribosomal inhibitors, such as streptomycin, prevent the translation of mRNA into protein.^{9–14} Additionally, mupirocin is a tRNA synthase inhibitor used topically for *Staphylococcus aureus*. While *M. tuberculosis* is intrinsically resistant to mupirocin,³⁶ there are documented inhibitors of mycobacterial tRNA synthase.^{20–23} Inhibition of tRNA synthase stops the regeneration of tRNA and prevents the addition of amino acids to nascent protein. The result of these forms of inhibition is a prevention of protein synthesis, resulting in cellular death.

The validation of the mCherry reporter assay has shown that cells expressing mCherry fluorescent protein subjected to known protein synthesis inhibitors display a dose-dependent reduction of fluorescence as shown in Figure 3. The resazurin viability assay confirmed visually that the resulting reduction in fluorescence was not due to cellular death. This indicated that the fluorescence reduction stemmed only from a reduction in mCherry protein production.

The relationship between protein synthesis inhibitors and mCherry fluorescence has been optimized for a small-scale proprietary screen and focused on a set of ribosomal inhibitors, previously untested against whole-cell *M. tuberculosis*, in a dose-response fashion. Two thirds of the hits showed a dose response consistent with patterns displayed by the known protein synthesis inhibitors during validation. In addition, quantitative measurement of resazurin fluorescence showed that the reduction was not due to cell death, suggesting that many of these inhibitors are effective at inhibiting the *M. tuberculosis* ribosome, as previously suggested by cell-free assays. The advantage of using phenotypic methods, in particular with mycobacteria is that any hits found must be able to pass through the mycobacterial cell wall, meaning unsuccessful compounds are filtered out at an early stage of the drug discovery pipeline.

Based upon the success of the proprietary screen, the full GSK "TB box" was screened. This library of compounds is known to be effective against *M. tuberculosis*. Initially the full library was screened with a single shot approach. Hits were selected based upon a reduction of mCherry fluorescence to 50% or lower as shown in Figure 5 with viability confirmed using the quantitative resazurin assay. The 91 hits found were then progressed to dose-response screening. Of the 91 hits, 18 displayed fluorescence decreases consistent with the known inhibitors used during validation. These 18 hits inhibit *M. tuberculosis* protein synthesis *via* an unknown mechanism of action and could potentially inhibit RNA polymerase, the ribosome or other involved enzymes, such as tRNA synthases.

Using an RNA polymerase assay we have shown that this screening method can correctly identify hits of the protein



FIGURE 6 Dose response of hit compounds found in the large-scale screen. Percentage mCherry expression displayed in yellow. Percentage cell survival displayed in blue. Compound 2 was an additional blind linezolid control

synthesis pipeline from compound libraries. Hits 15 and 16 both inhibit RNA polymerase *in vitro*. While they lack in potency when compared to the control compound rifampicin, these scaffolds could be valuable with further structural modification and optimization.

Presented herein, the developed screening method utilizes inducible mCherry fluorescent protein expression to rapidly screen compound libraries for inhibitors of the protein synthesis pipeline. The validation and subsequent screening of two sets of compounds have shown that the method is sensitive for selecting protein synthesis inhibitors from compound libraries. These hit compounds were subsequently examined with an RNA polymerase assay, which identified two inhibitors of RNA polymerase. While this screen excels at finding such hits, the method offers no means of distinguishing the different mechanisms of action that result in protein synthesis inhibition and therefore must be coupled with target identification assays. To capitalize on the success of this screening method, efforts are ongoing in our laboratory to develop a more robust fluorescent assay to test inhibitors against the ribosome to be coupled with the broken beacon RNA polymerase assay. We expect that this screen, combined with the RNA polymerase and ribosomal assays, can then be used to rapidly search for and confirm the target complex of protein synthesis inhibitors. In this regard, the newly developed screen can focus drug development efforts on narrow range of known effective targets.

TABLE 5Molecular structures of TBbox screen hits.

Compound	Molecular Structure	HepG2 Tox50 (µmol/L)
1		4
2 (Linezolid)		4
3		4
4	NH HO HO	4
5		4
6		4.1
7		4
8		4
15		4.4
16		4

FASEBBioAdvances

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

GSB acknowledges support in the form of a Personal Research Chair from Mr. James Bardrick, a Royal Society Wolfson Research Merit Award, The Medical Research Council (MR/S000542/1, MR/R001154/1, and 1790030). The research behind these results received funding from the European Union' 7th framework programme (FP7-PEOPLE-2013-ITN) under grant agreement no 606963.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no competing financial interests.



FIGURE 7 Broken beacon RNA polymerase assay. The plotted lines denote the average fluorescence values from three test wells from 1000 read cycles of 30 seconds. Error bars are shown in lighter colors. The blank shows the base fluorescence from probe dehybridization

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceived and designed the experiments: CB, MJ, JC, GSB. Provided reagents: PM, RGR, JE, JL, XL, JS. Performed the experiments: CB, MJ. Analyzed the data: CB, MJ, GSB. Wrote the paper: CB, MJ, GSB.

REFERENCES

- World Health Organisation. Global Tuberculosis Report 2017. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/259366/97892 41565516-eng.pdf?sequence=1, (2017) doi: WHO/HTM/ TB/2017.23.
- World Health Organization. MDR TB/RR TB Factsheet TB 2017. in World Health Organization 1–2 (2017).
- Cole ST, Brosch R, Parkhill J, et al. Deciphering the biology of Mycobacterium tuberculosis from the complete genome sequence. Nature. 1998;393:537–544.
- Hartmann G, Honikel KO, Knüsel F, Nüesch J. The specific inhibition of the DNA-directed RNA synthesis by rifamycin. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 1967;145:843–844.
- Umezawa H, Mizuno S, Yamazaki H, Nitta K. Inhibition of DNA-dependent RNA synthesis by rifamycins. *J Antibiot*. 1968;21:234–236.
- Telenti A, Imboden P, Marchesi F, et al. Detection of rifampicin-resistance mutations in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Lancet*. 1993;341:647–651.
- McClure WR, Cech CL. On the mechanism of rifampicin inhibition of RNA synthesis. *J Biol Chem.* 1978;253:8949–8956.
- Campbell EA, Korzheva N, Mustaev A, et al. Structural mechanism for rifampicin inhibition of bacterial RNA polymerase. *Cell*. 2001;104:901–912.
- Cox EC, White JR, Flaks JG. Streptomycin action and the ribosome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1964;51:703–709.
- Davies J, Gilbert W, Gorini L. Streptomycin, suppression, and the code. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1964;51:883–890.
- Abad JP, Amils R. Location of the streptomycin ribosomal binding site explains its pleiotropic effects on protein biosynthesis. *J Mol Biol.* 1994;235:1251–1260.

- 12. Ruusala T, Kurland CG. Streptomycin preferentially perturbs ribosomal proofreading. *Mol Gen Genet*. 1984;198:100–104.
- Smailov SK, Gavrilova LP. Effect of streptomycin on the stoichiometry of GTP hydrolysis in a poly(U)-dependent cell-free translation systerm. *FEBS*. 1985;192:165–169.
- Demirci H, Murphy F, Murphy E, Gregory ST, Dahlberg AE, Jogl G. A structural basis for streptomycin-induced misreading of the genetic code. *Nat Commun.* 2013;4:1–8.
- World Health Organisation. *Treatment of Tuberculosis Guidelines*. World Health Organisation Press; 2010. doi:https://doi. org/10.1164/rccm.201012-1949OC
- Lin W, Mandal S, Degen D, et al. Structural basis of *Mycobacterium* tuberculosis transcription and transcription inhibition. *Mol Cell*. 2017;66:169–179.e8.
- Bolhuis MS, van Altena R, van Soolingen D, et al. Clarithromycin increases linezolid exposure in multidrug-resistant tuberculosis patients. *Eur Respir J.* 2013;42:1614–1621.
- Ramón-García S, Ng C, Anderson H, et al. Synergistic drug combinations for tuberculosis therapy identified by a novel high-throughput screen. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2011;55:3861–3869.
- Bruhn DF, Scherman MS, Liu J, et al. In vitro and in vivo evaluation of synergism between anti-tubercular spectinamides and non-classical tuberculosis antibiotics. *Sci Rep.* 2015;5:1–10.
- Gurcha SS, Usha V, Cox JA, et al. Biochemical and structural characterization of mycobacterial aspartyl-tRNA synthetase AspS, a promising TB drug target. *PLoS One*. 2014;9:1–14.
- Palencia A, Li X, Bu W, et al. Discovery of novel oral protein synthesis inhibitors of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* that target leucyl-tRNA synthetase. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2016;60:6271–6280.
- Li X, Hernandez V, Rock FL, et al. Discovery of a potent and specific *M. tuberculosis* Leucyl-tRNA synthetase inhibitor: (S)-3-(Aminomethyl)-4-chloro-7-(2-hydroxyethoxy)benzo[c][1,2]oxaborol-1(3H)-ol (GSK656). *J Med Chem.* 2017;60:8011–8026.
- 23. Soto R, Perez-Herran E, Rodriguez B, et al. Identification and characterization of aspartyl-tRNA synthetase inhibitors against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* by an integrated whole-cell target-based approach. *Sci Rep.* 2018;8:12664.

FASEBBioAdvances

- 24. Abrahams KA, Cox JAG, Spivey VL, et al. Identification of Novel Imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine Inhibitors Targeting *M. tuberculosis* QcrB. *PLoS One*. 2012;7:1–10.
- 25. Abrahams KA, Chung C-W, Ghidelli-Disse S, et al. Identification of KasA as the cellular target of an anti-tubercular scaffold. *Nat Commun.* 2016;7:1–13.
- Abrahams KA, Cox JAG, Fütterer K, et al. Inhibiting mycobacterial tryptophan synthase by targeting the inter-subunit interface. *Sci Rep.* 2017;7:1–15.
- Cox JAG, Abrahams KA, Alemparte C, et al. THPP target assignment reveals EchA6 as an essential fatty acid shuttle in mycobacteria. *Nature Microbiology*. 2016;1:1–10.
- Burke C, Abrahams KA, Richardson EJ, et al. Development of a whole cell high throughput phenotypic screen to identify inhibitors of mycobacterial amino acid biosynthesis. *FASEB BioAdvances*. 2019;1:1–9.
- Naran K, Moosa A, Barry CE, Boshoff HIM, Mizrahi V, Warner DF. Bioluminescent reporters for rapid mechanism of action assessment in tuberculosis drug discovery. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2016;60:6748–6757.
- Rana AK, Singh A, Gurcha SS, Cox LR, Bhatt A, Besra GS. Ppm1encoded polyprenyl monophosphomannose synthase activity is essential for lipoglycan synthesis and survival in mycobacteria. *PLoS One*. 2012;7:48211.

- Blair RH, Rosenblum ES, Dawson ED, Kuchta RD, Kuck LR, Rowlen KL. Real-time quantification of RNA polymerase activity using a 'broken beacon'. *Anal Biochem*. 2007;362:213–220.
- Ballell L, Bates RH, Young RJ, et al. Fueling open-source drug discovery: 177 small-molecule leads against tuberculosis. *ChemMedChem*. 2013;8:313–321.
- Rebollo-Lopez MJ, Lelièvre J, Alvarez-Gomez D, et al. Release of 50 new, drug-like compounds and their computational target predictions for open source anti-Tubercular drug discovery. *PLoS One*. 2015;10:1–18.
- Stanley SA, Grant SS, Kawate T, et al. Identification of novel inhibitors of *M. tuberculosis* growth using whole cell based high-throughput screening. *ACS Chem Biol.* 2012;7:1377–1384.
- Dahlin JL, Nissink JWM, Strasser JM, et al. PAINS in the assay: chemical mechanisms of assay interference and promiscuous enzymatic inhibition observed during a sulfhydryl-scavenging HTS. J Med Chem. 2015;58:2091–2113.
- Sassanfar M, Kranz JE, Gallant P, Schimmel P, Shiba K. A eubacterial *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* tRNA synthetase is eukaryote-like and resistant to a eubacterial-specific antisynthetase drug. *Biochemistry*. 1996;35:9995–10003.

WILF