

# Article

# Exploring the factors influencing the use of electrically assisted bikes (e-bikes) by stroke survivors: A mixed methods multiple case study

Boland, Paul, Connell, Louise, Thetford, Clare and Janssen, Jessica

Available at http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/34616/

Boland, Paul ORCID: 0000-0003-2267-4295, Connell, Louise ORCID: 0000-0002-0629-2919, Thetford, Clare and Janssen, Jessica ORCID: 0000-0002-5961-2736 (2020) Exploring the factors influencing the use of electrically assisted bikes (e-bikes) by stroke survivors: A mixed methods multiple case study. Disability and Rehabilitation . ISSN 0963-8288

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2020.1817986

For more information about UCLan's research in this area go to <a href="http://www.uclan.ac.uk/researchoroups/">http://www.uclan.ac.uk/researchoroups/</a> and search for <name of research Group>.

For information about Research generally at UCLan please go to http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>policies</u> page.



1 Exploring the factors influencing the use of electrically assisted bikes (e-bikes) by

## 2 stroke survivors: A mixed methods multiple case study

3

# 4 Abstract

5 Purpose: E-bikes have the potential to overcome some of the barriers that stroke
6 survivors face with regards to physical activity. This study aims to explore the factors
7 that affect e-bike usage by stroke survivors.

8 **Methods:** A mixed methods multiple case studies design, using semi-structured 9 interviews and GPS data. Subject to GP approval, participants loaned an e-bike or e-trike 10 for up to three months. Interviews were undertaken pre and post intervention. The COM-11 B behaviour change model acted as a framework for analysis. GPS data relating to 12 journey duration and distance travelled was collected fortnightly.

13 **Results:** Six participants were recruited; only three loaned an e-bike/e-trike (with 14 adaptations as required). Storage, being unable to get GP approval, and safety were 15 withdrawal reasons. Level of impairment was a factor influencing the type of e-bike used, 16 level of support required and the motivation of the participants.

17 Conclusion: Stroke survivors can use e-bikes although barriers exist. Electrical
18 assistance was a positive factor in enabling some of the participants to cycle outdoors.
19 Due to the small sample size and the number of participants who were able to loan an e20 bike, further research is required to determine whether e-bikes are a feasible and effective
21 intervention to increase physical activity for stroke survivors.

22

23 24 Keywords: Stroke; E-bikes; electrically assisted bikes; factors, physical activity, barriers, active transportation, active travel

## 25 Introduction

26

27 The effects of stroke can have a major impact on mobility, affecting many activities of daily living (1), with over half of stroke survivors reporting restrictions to 28 29 physical and outdoor activities one year after stroke (2). Systematic reviews have 30 identified a mix of physical, environmental, social and motivational barriers to physical 31 activity after stroke (3, 4). Barriers include: physical concerns around balance, fear of 32 falling, and the effects of fatigue (4); environmental factors include a lack of 33 transportation and other resources, such as the cost of participation (4); lack of social 34 support from friends and family (3). Physical inactivity can reduce physical fitness, which 35 can contribute to a sedentary lifestyle, thereby increasing the risk of a recurrent stroke 36 and cardiovascular diseases (5). There is currently limited evidence regarding the 37 effectiveness of interventions aimed at increasing physical activity in stroke survivors (6) 38 which has led to calls for new, innovative approaches to the development of interventions (7, 8). 39

40

Cycling has the potential to be an outdoor form of physical activity for stroke survivors (9). Cycling is a popular method of aerobic exercise for stroke survivors with studies indicating that it can improve walking ability (10) aerobic fitness (11) and muscle strength in sub-acute and post-acute stroke survivors (12). Cycling is also a repetitive low weight-bearing exercise that incorporates the use of the affected side of the body (13, 14) and is seen as a solution for individuals who may have weak lower limbs and struggle with rehabilitation exercises aimed at developing walking ability e.g. treadmill exercise 48 (10-12, 15). However, research into cycling within stroke rehabilitation has been
49 confined to indoor cycling using ergometer devices (10-12, 15), and outdoor cycling for
50 stroke survivors has only recently begun to be explored (9).

51

52 Recent exploratory research has shown that stroke survivors value outdoor 53 cycling as it improves their mood, increases independence and to feel part of a community 54 (9). However, road safety, balance, adaptations, social support and not having the energy 55 or strength to pedal are also challenges for stroke survivors (9). Electrically assisted 56 bikes could provide a possible solution to some of these issues. Fitted with a battery and 57 a motor, electrically assisted bikes (commonly referred to as e-bikes), provide electrical 58 assistance when the user is pedalling, allowing the user to cover greater distances with 59 minimal effort (16). There is growing evidence that e-bikes are an alternative form of 60 physical activity for individuals with physical limitations or for those that live sedentary 61 lifestyles (17-19). E-bikes can also have a positive impact on mental health and cognitive 62 function (20) and are an enjoyable form of physical activity that provides autonomy and 63 an opportunity to socialise (21, 22). E-bikes can be fitted with adaptations to help 64 overcome the effects of a disability and are available as a tricycle version (e-trike) to 65 overcome issues around balance (23). Recent studies have explored e-bike usage in 66 relation to diabetes (22), and coronary artery disease (24) and are a popular mode of cycling for people with disabilities (25). Although some studies have included stroke 67 68 survivors as participants (9, 22, 26), e-bike usage within the context of stroke has yet to 69 be fully explored.

70

To understand whether e-bikes can be used as a method of physical and outdoor
activity for stroke survivors, it is important to first understand the factors that affect their

73 use (27). In studies that have investigated factors affecting physical activity in the stroke 74 population there is an increased emphasis on using behaviour change theory as a 75 framework for analysis (5, 28-30). This analysis can then form the basis of intervention 76 design (31). The COM-B model is a behaviour change model which has been used within 77 a variety of health contexts, including stroke rehabilitation (28) and most recently within 78 the development of an intervention to reduce sedentary behaviours in stroke survivors 79 (32). Devised by Michie et al (31), the COM-B model is part of a broader framework (the 80 Behaviour Change Wheel) and it proposes that for a behaviour to occur the individual 81 must have both the psychological and physical capability (C), the physical and social 82 opportunity (O), and finally they must be motivated (M; automatic and reflective). 83 Despite criticism that some components of the BCW are not well defined (28), the COM-84 B model and the BCW have generally been regarded as a useful framework within 85 intervention development (28, 32, 33).

86

The aims of this study were: 1) to qualitatively explore the factors that influence the use of e-bikes for stroke survivors, and 2) to quantitatively measure the utilisation of the e-bike by stroke survivors. To the best of the authors' knowledge this will also be the first study to explore both stroke survivors' perceptions of e-bikes and their actual experiences of using e-bikes.

92

93 Methods

94 Study Design

95 The study used a mixed methods multiple case studies design (34) consisting of 96 semi-structured interviews and global positioning system (GPS) data collected from e-

97 bikes.

#### 98 Participants

99 A volunteer sample were recruited from local stroke support groups and through 100 contacts within the University of Central Lancashire's dedicated Stroke Research team. 101 Participants were eligible to take part in the study if they had previously had a stroke, 102 were able to walk (with or without assistance) and able to meet the visual function 103 requirements relating to mobility scooters/powered wheelchairs, which states individuals 104 should be able to read a car's registration number from a distance of 12.3 metres (40 feet) 105 (35). Participants needed sufficient command of spoken English language to allow them 106 to participate in an interview, be over 18 years of age and, due to the limitations of the e-107 bike, they needed to weigh less than 127 kg. Participants were required to obtain written 108 permission from their GP to loan the e-bike/e-trike, confirming that they did not have any 109 visual, physical or cognitive impairments that would prevent them from its safe use. If 110 they were unable to obtain this approval they were excluded from the practical element 111 of the study.

#### 112 Ethical Approval and Consent

Ethical approval was received from the University of Central Lancashire (UCLan)
STEMH Research Ethics Committee, and all participants provided written informed
consent.

#### 116 Data Collection

117 Data were collected over three phases: pre-, during- and post-intervention,

118 which included the loan of an e-bike or e-trike for up to three months.

120 Semi-structured interviews were carried out pre- and post-intervention. An 121 interview schedule was developed pre-intervention using the COM-B model for guidance 122 (31). For interviews conducted post-intervention a different interview schedule was 123 shaped using both the COM-B model (31) and from responses from fortnightly 124 conversations that took place with the participants during the intervention. These 125 conversations identified if the participants required any additional support, what they 126 were using the bike for, e.g. leisure activities, shopping etc, and to explore if any new 127 factors had emerged. These conversations were recorded on a structured interview sheet, 128 and later used to inform the structure and content of the post-intervention interviews for 129 each participant. All interviews took place in the homes of the participants and were 130 conducted by the same researcher (PB). Interviews were audio recorded and transcribed 131 by PB. Any participants that withdrew from the study prior to the intervention but took 132 part in the pre-intervention interviews gave consent to use their data in the analysis.

133 GPS Data

134 GPS data were collected to assess e-bike use, support the interview data provided during-135 and post-intervention, and to overcome recall and social desirability bias. Each e-bike/e-136 trike was fitted with a LK209C GPS tracker made by LK-GPS which recorded movement 137 in two-minute intervals. Data were accessed by one researcher (PB) and downloaded to 138 an Excel spreadsheet every two weeks and the number and duration of journeys made 139 during the intervention were calculated. A journey was deemed as a round-trip (from 140 home-to-home), and only the time spent moving was recorded. Any breaks in the data 141 during a journey, possibly as a result of resting, were not included in the overall journey 142 time. ArcGIS Online (36) was then used to calculate approximate distance covered per

journey. The longitudinal and latitudinal coordinates for each journey were plotted on a map. From there the distance between each location was measured and the approximate distance was calculated, and visualized paths of each journey were captured. During the intervention, two participants (Jim and Rob) experienced technical difficulties with the GPS trackers and the first two weeks of their loan period were not recorded. There also were instances where the trackers failed to record portions of the journey being made meaning these journeys were not included in the final analysis.

150

151 Intervention

152

153 Following the pre-intervention interview and upon receiving GP approval, 154 participants were provided with either an e-bike or e-trike (Figure 1). Over the course of 155 two visits, participants were fitted and trained on the safe use of the e-bike/e-trike. Fitting 156 was carried out by staff from a company that specialised in e-bikes, with two members of 157 the research team present to provide support, should it be required. During the fitting 158 stage, participants were assessed for whether they should use an e-bike or e-trike, and for 159 any alterations that may be required to the brakes and pedals (Figure 2). The selected e-160 bike/e-trike was then built to the participant's specification and a second visit was 161 arranged where the participant was trained on its use. Training was carried out by the 162 same individuals from the e-bike company, with at least one member of the research team 163 present. Participants were provided with a helmet and a bike lock, and each bike was 164 fitted with a GPS tracker. Additional visits were arranged on an ad hoc basis.

#### 166 Data Analysis

Audio recordings from the semi-structured interviews were anonymised, transcribed and imported into N*Vivo* 11 for thematic analysis (37). Coding for the first pre-intervention interview was carried out by two members of the research team (PB and JJ) to ensure consistency. All remaining interviews were coded by one researcher (PB). The COM-B model was used as a framework for the analysis (38).

The GPS data were analysed in Excel and ArcGIS by PB. For each participant, number of journeys, time of journey and approximate distance were analysed descriptively, and Arc-GIS provided a visualised path for each journey.

175 **Results** 

176 Case Descriptions

177 Six male participants were recruited, but only three loaned an e-bike/e-trike during 178 the study. All participants were given pseudonyms and a summary of each case study can 179 be found in Table 1. Nine interviews were carried out in total, six pre-intervention, and 180 three post-intervention. Analysis of the interviews identified a number of factors 181 influencing the use of the e-bike by the stroke survivors.

182

183 Cross-case Analysis

184 The following are the results of a cross-case analysis from the GPS data, and the185 thematic analysis using the COM-B model as a framework.

#### 186 GPS tracking and journeys

187 The GPS data, post-intervention interviews, and telephone conversations during 188 the intervention, revealed that the participants used the e-bike/e-trike to make a variety of 189 short and long journeys (Table 2). Brian loaned an e-trike for 11 weeks, making seven 190 journeys. Brian cycled predominantly when his son came to visit, when they would both 191 cycle around the estate where he lived for an average time of 16 minutes, and an average 192 distance of approximately 2.45km. Figure 3 provides a visualised path of the type of 193 journey Brian was making.

Jim loaned an e-bike for eight weeks, the GPS tracker recorded 13 complete journeys. He used the bike for short journeys to make errands to local shops, but also longer journeys of up to 168 minutes covering approximately 45.9km. Figure 4 is an example of the type of journey Jim was able to make on the e-bike. Jim reported that he cycled as a leisure activity, shopping and for physical fitness. During the postintervention interview Jim reported that he used his car to transport the e-bike to some locations and therefore his averages should be treated with caution.

Rob loaned an e-trike for eight weeks. The GPS trackers recorded three complete journeys in that time. Rob cycled primarily as a means of physical fitness. Journeys were short, with the longest distance being less than 2km. See Figure 5 for a visualised path of the sort of journey Rob was making. According to the post-intervention interview and from telephone conversations, Rob preferred to cycle on a disused car park away from busy roads. It should also be noted that Rob's wife cycled on the e-trike to and from this location, and therefore this data should be treated with caution.

208

209 Interview Analysis

The following is a summary of the factors identified by all the participants from the interviews carried out pre- and post-intervention, set within the framework of the COM-B model. Quotes are provided, and whether the participant was able to loan an ebike/e-trike is highlighted in parenthesis.

#### 214 *1.0 Physical Capability*

Factors relating to Physical Capability referred to the effects of stroke and how physical impairment impacted the participants ability to use the e-bike/e-trike as well as how it could help overcome fatigue.

218 1.1 Level of impairment

219 Impairment to arms and legs was a factor in the type of e-bike the participants could use, 220 with the most severely impaired participants (Rob and Brian) opting to use the e-trike due 221 to issues around balance. Level of impairment was also a significant factor in the 222 participants ability to cycle. Ismail, who had successfully been fitted for an e-trike had 223 to withdraw from the study at the training stage because he was tensing up on his effected 224 side whilst cycling. This meant he was constantly dragging the e-trike into the curb and 225 because of this he not did feel safe cycling. Similarly, Rob's impairment meant he had 226 to cycle one-handed:

"I feel totally 100% safe using the bike. It's just me, myself. It's me, really
having one hand to steer and one to pull to the right all the time." – Rob (e-trike)

229

During the loan period, Rob also experienced pain in his calf, as a result of the increased tone in Rob's foot muscles on his affected side which also prevented him from cycling for a period during the intervention.

233

234 1.2 Effect on fatigue

235	Before the intervention participants perceived that the e-bike could help
236	overcome post-stroke fatigue, a residual effect of stroke:
237	"I don't have the same energy levels as normal. The thing about a stroke is
238	you soon get tired The assistance from the electric will be good." - Brian (e-
239	trike)
240	2.0 Psychological Capability
241	Psychological Capability refers to whether participants had the necessary knowledge
242	or awareness to carry out the behaviour (31). For the participants this was primarily
243	in relation to participants having misconceptions about how the e-bike works.
244	2.1 Misconceptions about the e-bike
245	In the post-intervention interviews, both Brian and Jim (experienced cyclists
246	before their strokes) described how they thought that the e-bike would operate in a similar
247	way to a mobility scooter and that it would not require constant pedalling to operate.
248	"I thought it would have been motorized but I realized now with having it a while
249	that you've got to put a certain amount of effort in to in to have it moving." – Brian
250	(e-trike)
251	

253 Physical Opportunity related to factors concerning the e-bike itself, the 254 adaptations required, in addition to environmental factors that effected the participants 255 use of the e-bike.

256 3.	The	e-bike	/e-trike

257	Battery life and the additional weight of the e-bike were mentioned as an area for
258	concern by one of the participants who reported that the battery ran out whilst out on a
259	long journey:

260 "[The battery running out] didn't cause me any problems other than the bike is
261 quite heavy to cycle compared with an ordinary bike without any electrical assist...
262 It cuts out pretty acutely." – Jim (e-bike)

263

264 3.2 The electrical assistance

The electrical assistance provided by the e-bike/e-trike was mostly seen as a benefit, giving the participants the confidence to cycle further for longer without feeling tired, and manage different gradients, safe in the knowledge that they could get home again.

269 "I think what the electric cycle does, it gives you the confidence to go further and
270 stay out for longer." – Jim (e-bike)

271

However, it should also be noted, due to the increased speed of the e-bike/e-trike, participants were only comfortable using a certain level of assistance, and because one of the participants (Rob) was cycling one-handed, he preferred to not use the electrical assistance at all as he deemed it too fast for him.

276 "I just feel that the assistance could be a bit too fast, especially when I hit a hill
277 [or] slope. I just get nervous then." – Rob (e-trike)

278

279 3.3 Adaptations

Adaptations to the e-trike enabled participants with impairments to their arms and legs to cycle although there were advantages and disadvantages to the adaptations used.

282	The adaptations to the brakes allowed both brakes to be used simultaneously by the
283	participant's least effected side and were seen as a benefit. However, the adaptations to
284	the pedals required assistance from a member of the family to get on and off the bike
285	which was a challenge for Rob.
286	"I couldn't see that foot because [my wife] was saying "you're on my hands!" but
287	I just couldn't see it to get into the strap." – Rob (e-trike)
288	
289	Brian, who uses an adapted cycle as part of his leisure and fitness activities at a
290	local running track, which requires him to be strapped in, was able to adapt himself whilst
291	using the e-trike without using adapted pedals which he felt was important to him as he
292	did not like being strapped into a bike:
293	"It's probably a good thing I didn't use an adapter because I've adapted myself to
294	do it, so it's been good When I arrived at the [running track], [the instructor]
295	straps my foot on, but I don't like that, I don't like being strapped on. At least with
296	this bike on my own I can manage, I can get on and get off, no problem. But
297	you're strapped, you know, you need somebody to undo the strap although I do
298	undo it myselfSubconsciously you think about it, you think if anything would
299	happen." – Brian (e-trike)
300	
301	3.4 Environmental factors

302 Pre-intervention, all the participants had expectations of using the e-bike to access 303 amenities such parks, shops, and places of physical activity. However, the two 304 participants who were most severely impaired preferred to cycle more locally, either 305 around the estate where he lived progressing slowly, accompanied by a family member 306 (Brian) or cycling around a disused car park away from busy roads (Rob). Additionally, 307 when Rob visited his local park, he felt that the uneven paths were unsafe to cycle on.
308 Storage of the e-bike was also a determining environmental factor for Ken. Ken felt that
309 his outdoor shed was not a secure place to store an e-trike and due to the size of the e310 trike and his small living space it would not have been feasible for Ken to able to store
311 one in his home and therefore withdrew from the study.

#### 312 *3.0 Social Opportunity*

313 Social support from family members, and the prospect of using the e-bike to 314 socialise were important factors relating to Social Opportunity. Despite, mostly 315 positive reactions from family members, not everyone was encouraging, and one 316 participant felt there was a stigma attached to using an e-bike.

#### 317 4.1 Social Support

Social support from family members played an integral role in the participants in
enabling participants to use an e-bike/e-trike. Pre-intervention, family members provided
encouragement to cycle and during the intervention, one participant (Rob) was reliant on
his wife to help him mount and dismount the e-trike and cycle to a safe location.
"Well yeah, my son was encouraging me to get a bike." – Brian (e-trike)
However, not all family members provided encouragement and Ken's family

326 "I've told my sons and my granddaughter, my grandsons. One of them didn't think
327 it won't be a good idea [laughs] to be honest... He said, 'I just don't think you'll
328 be able to manage it." – Ken (no loan)

members did not feel he was physically capable.

329

325

330	During the pre-intervention stage, the opportunity to socialise was seen as an
331	important factor for wanting to use the e-bike by many of the participants.
332	"And also, I shall probably use it in social situations such as visiting the coffee
333	shop and all the rest of it." – Tim (no loan)

Although it should be noted here that Tim had to withdraw from the study because
his GP would not give the written approval, he needed to loan the e-bike. The reason for
this was not given to the participant.

337 4.2 Stigma

None of the participants who loaned an e-bike/e-trike cycled as part of a group.
When asked about this, one of the participants felt there was a social stigma attached to
using e-bikes by other cyclists:

341 "Think compared with those people who are avid cyclists on road bikes they're
342 seen as something outside of their circle...I think they probably don't see it as
343 serious cycling...I think there's a lot of ignorance, in fact you do have to pedal it's
344 not like a mobility scooter that you can just twist and go, you know?" - Jim (e345 bike)

346

#### 347 5.0 Reflective Motivation

Reflective motivation related to motivational factors for wanting to use the e-bike, these focused on belief in capability, a belief that the e-bike was a good form of exercise, it allowed them to return to a previous activity, was a goal to achieve and a way of gaining increasing independence.

# 352 5.1 Belief in capability

353	Belief in capability often refers to the participants' feelings regarding their own
354	abilities and the control they have over their physical activity, which can be influenced
355	by people around them, usually family members (29). Within this study there were
356	examples of family members doubting the participants' capabilities, but also there was
357	an example of a participant (Brian) having great belief in his own ability, while family
358	members were concerned about him cycling on his own. As a compromise, Brian cycled
359	primarily when his son came to visit, which eased the fears that his family had and also
360	provided Brian with a companion to cycle with.
361	"My son comes with me. He's a keen cyclist. So, he's really been a godsend
362	because I would have gone on my own, but you know people don't seem to think
363	I'm safe [laughs]" – Brian (e-trike)
364	
365	5.2 The e-bike is a form of physical activity
366	All the participants identified that the e-bike was a form of physical activity which
367	could improve their fitness and mobility.
368	"It's a brilliant idea because you're getting the exercise as well. Which is what
369	you want it for isn't it really?" – Brian (e-trike)
370	5.3 Increase independence
	-
371	The participants recognised that using the e-bike was an outdoor activity that
371 372	The participants recognised that using the e-bike was an outdoor activity that would allow them to "get out and about" and gain some independence and possibly
<ul><li>371</li><li>372</li><li>373</li></ul>	The participants recognised that using the e-bike was an outdoor activity that would allow them to "get out and about" and gain some independence and possibly relieve the burden placed on friends and family to provide a form of transport.
<ul><li>371</li><li>372</li><li>373</li><li>374</li></ul>	The participants recognised that using the e-bike was an outdoor activity that would allow them to "get out and about" and gain some independence and possibly relieve the burden placed on friends and family to provide a form of transport. "The freedom. The freedom to go wherever I want to go and do what I want." –

376	"[The e-bike] will actually help me because to ask my friend to come and collect
377	me here it's I wouldn't say he doesn't mind but it's a bit inconvenient for him.
378	So, if I can make my own way, the better yeah." – Tim (no loan)
379	
380	5.4 Return to a previous activity
381	Pre-intervention, participants saw using the e-bike as an opportunity to return to
382	a previous activity that they had enjoyed prior to their stroke.
383	"It wouldn't bother me at all, it would be like being normal. Bikes and cars, I'm
384	just normal." – Brian (e-trike)
385	
386	5.5 A goal to achieve
387	For the participants, the use of an e-bike was identified as a possible continuation
388	of their rehabilitation and as a goal to achieve:
389 390	"And it's a goal, you know, all these things are goals, the bike's been a good one
391	though from day one getting back to that." - Brian (e-trike)
392 393	
394	6.0 Automatic Motivation
395	Factors identified as being linked to Automatic Motivation were regarding
396	emotional reactions to using the e-bike with participants experiencing contrasting feelings
397	at various stages of the study.
398	6.1 Cycling as an enjoyable activity
399	Prior to the intervention, most of the participants perceived that they would find
400	using the e-bike an enjoyable activity, which was an outcome expressed by those that

401 were able to use the e-bike/e-trike. One participant (Jim) was also encouraged to purchase402 an e-bike as a result his experience.

403 "I've just gone out to enjoy riding and I've done that. You know, I've just enjoyed
404 it. We've come back, and we've put it back in the garage and I'm not tired and
405 I'm not out of breath and I don't want to lie down." – Brian (e-trike)

406

407 "I think now having used one I think yeah, you know, I like this. And I think I'd
408 use it enough to justify the expense or spend on an e-bike. It's not exactly a
409 fortune, you know but it is something I would enjoy doing.' – Jim (e-bike)

410

411 6.2 Fear

Fear of bumping into things and feeling unsafe were experienced by some of the participants. As mentioned above, Ismail withdrew from the study because he did not feel safe using the e-bike due to how his impairment effected his ability to cycle. In addition to feeling nervous using the electrical assistance, Rob also remarked about how he did not feel confident cycling on the street due to a fear of bumping into things:

417

418 "I tend to stay off the street because my confidence isn't brilliant on the street. It's
419 down to my own confidence, yeah being out on the street cos I don't want to bump
420 into cars..." – Rob (e-trike)

# 421 Summary

The participants identified several interconnected factors that influenced their use
of an e-bike/e-trike as illustrated by Figure 6. Level of impairment, social support,
motivation, environmental factors and the e-bike itself were all independent or connected

factors for the participants. For example, level of impairment affected the choice of ebike, the level of support required, confidence and where participants could travel.

427

#### 428 Discussion

429 This study explored the factors that influenced the use of e-bikes by stroke 430 survivors. The aims were: 1) to qualitatively explore the factors that influence the use of 431 e-bikes for stroke survivors, and 2) to quantitatively measure the utilisation of the e-bike 432 by stroke survivors. At the time of writing it was the first study to investigate both the 433 perceptions and actual experiences of loaning an e-bike or e-trike by stroke survivors. Of 434 the six participants that took part in the pre-intervention stage, only three went on to loan 435 an e-bike/e-trike. Despite the small sample, the participants identified a variety of factors 436 that both influenced e-bike usage and enabled us to explore the barriers to participation 437 experienced by those who withdrew.

438

439 The three participants who loaned an e-bike/e-trike were able to cycle outdoors, 440 although only two participants cycled using the electrical assistance. For these 441 individuals, they reported they felt it gave them the confidence to cycle further for longer 442 which is a significant benefit of using e-bikes (21, 39, 40). Although, it should be noted 443 that the longest journeys were made by the least impaired participant, who required no 444 adaptations and support. Despite being able to use the e-trike to cycle outdoors, the fact 445 that one participant preferred to cycle without the use of the electrical assistance does 446 raise concerns about whether e-bikes/e-trikes are suitable for everyone.

447

448 Level of impairment was a significant factor affecting the choice of e-bike, with 449 the most severely impaired participants having to use the e-trike, which concurs with

450 previous studies where balance is an issue (9, 23). However, the increased size and weight 451 of the e-trike proved a significant barrier for one of the participants, who withdrew from 452 the study because he was unable to store the e-trike in his home. The added weight of the 453 e-bike in general is a commonly cited barrier for e-bike users, along with battery life (21, 454 39, 40), which was also a concern for one of the participants.

455

456 Adaptations aided the two participants with the most severe impairments to use 457 the e-trike. However, the use of pedal adaptations proved to be problematic, requiring 458 assistance by a family member to mount and dismount the e-trike, while another 459 participant spoke of wariness about being strapped in. These findings match those of 460 Greenhalgh et al (9) who also reported that adaptations designed to overcome disability 461 were a cause of anxiety or risk of falls for stroke survivors using adapted cycles. 462 Currently, research into adaptations to e-bikes is limited to one study involving young 463 people with cerebral palsy (41). Stroke survivors experience a diverse range of 464 impairments, requiring an individually tailored approach. This could be an avenue for 465 e-bike manufacturers to explore in future research, not only be in terms of how to adapt 466 an e-bike for the stroke population, but also with regards to the specifics of the e-bike e.g. 467 a lighter frame and longer battery life.

468

Social support played an important role in enabling the most severely impaired participants to cycle and was a motivating factor. Family members encouraged use of the e-bike, assisted in mounting and dismounting the e-trike, and acted as a companion to cycle with. In addition, during the pre-intervention stage participants also saw the e-bike as an opportunity to socialise and relieve the pressure on relatives to provide a mode of transport. This finding adds to the evidence that social support is important in influencing

475 physical activity in stroke survivors (3, 4) but also that e-bikes can facilitate social 476 interactions and a sense of belonging for those with mobility restrictions (9, 23).

477

478 This study also highlighted the common impression that there is a stigma attached 479 to using e-bikes (18, 21, 23, 26, 39). It was perceived by one of the participants that using 480 the e-bike may not be seen as real cycling by other cycle enthusiasts. In this case it did 481 not discourage them from cycling but has been identified as an area for concern in 482 research focusing on older cyclists (23). Similarly, there was also a misconception about 483 how the e-bike works and the need to constantly pedal, making it distinct from mobility 484 aids. Other research has also reported misconceptions around how e-bikes operate which 485 has been attributed to a lack of knowledge (21, 23, 26, 38), which could also explain 486 social stigma. It should also be noted that a reason for one of the participants withdrawing 487 from the study was due to being unable to gain GP approval. Reason for this was not 488 provided, although it may have been due these misconceptions or a lack of knowledge. 489 Given that the endorsement from healthcare providers is an important factor in increasing 490 physical activity participation in stroke patients (42) future research may investigate the 491 perceptions of healthcare professionals, whether they understand that e-bikes can provide 492 both cognitive and physical benefits (20) and could possibly act as a tool to aid 493 rehabilitation.

494

495 Several motivating factors encouraged the use of the e-bike/e-trike. Achieving a 496 goal, returning to a previous activity that was enjoyed prior to stroke and increased 497 independence were all positive factors, which have been attributed to greater engagement 498 in physical activity within the stroke population (3, 9, 43). However, there were also 499 concerns around fear of bumping into things and a belief among family members that the 500 participants were not safe cycling despite having confidence in their own ability. These 501 factors have been associated with reduced self-efficacy and an inability to take control of 502 one's behaviour, affecting levels of physical activity post-stroke (44).

503

### 504 Strengths and Limitation

505

To the best of the authors' knowledge this was the first study to explore the factors affecting the use of e-bikes by stroke survivors, utilising a method that allowed for the collection of data both on their perceptions and actual experiences. The unique properties of the study and its participants meant we encountered issues around public liability insurance and ethics which necessitated the requirement for GP approval. This in turn had an unexpected impact on participation.

512

513 The small sample of volunteers was self-selected, consisting of stroke survivors 514 who were motivated to use an e-bike, and therefore these findings are not generalisable 515 to the general stroke population. However, due to the exploratory nature of the study, a 516 large sample was unnecessary. Despite the small sample size, the inclusion of six 517 participants from the outset meant that unlike many studies, we were able to explore real 518 barriers.

519

520 During the intervention, which took place between May 2018 – Aug 2018, the 521 UK experienced unusually high temperatures which affected how often the participants 522 wanted to cycle. There were also other periods when they were not cycling, such as during 523 holidays. Other limitations concerned the GPS trackers. Technical issues meant that data 524 for the first two weeks of the intervention was not collected for two of the three participants, also data for some trips was not recorded and therefore not included in the analysis. Several e-bike studies have also experienced problems using GPS trackers (45-47) and a possible alternative could be via the use of video observation and biographical interviews as methods of data collection as used by Jones and colleagues for the *cycleBoom* project which also included a participant who had previously had a stroke (26). Finally, this study did not explore all the different types of e-bikes and adaptations that are available, and some participants may have benefitted from these.

#### 532 Conclusion

533

In conclusion, although a limited sample, this study shows that stroke survivors can use e-bikes and e-trikes, however it highlighted a number of barriers they may encounter with regards to cycling outdoors. The assistance provided by the e-bike was a positive factor in enabling the participants to cycle. However, level of impairment, social support and motivation were all significant factors and e-bikes may not be accessible or suitable for everyone.

540

#### 541 Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the participants who participated in this study and Ian Gibbs at ICycle Electric (1 North Street, Clitheroe, BB7 1PG) who provided training and technical
support throughout this study. This research is part funded by the National Institute for
Health Research Applied Research Collaboration North West Coast (NIHR ARC NWC).
The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the National
Institute for Health Research or the Department of Health and Social Care.

# 549 **Declaration of Interest**

550 No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

551

552 Word Count: 5948

553 References

Kim K, Kim YM, Kim EK. Correlation between the Activities of Daily Living
 of Stroke Patients in a Community Setting and Their Quality of Life. J Phys Ther Sci.
 2014;26(3):417-9.

de Graaf JA, van Mierlo ML, Post MWM, Achterberg WP, Kappelle LJ, VisserMeily JMA. Long-term restrictions in participation in stroke survivors under and over
70 years of age. Disability and Rehabilitation. 2018;40(6):637-45.

Morris J, Oliver T, Kroll T, Macgillivray S. The importance of psychological
and social factors in influencing the uptake and maintenance of physical activity after
stroke: a structured review of the empirical literature. Stroke Res Treat.
2012;2012:195249-.

564 4. Nicholson S, Sniehotta FF, van Wijck F, Greig CA, Johnston M, McMurdo
565 MET, et al. A Systematic Review of Perceived Barriers and Motivators to Physical
566 Activity after Stroke. 2013;8(5):357-64.

567 5. Outermans J, Pool J, van de Port I, Bakers J, Wittink H. What's keeping people
after stroke from walking outdoors to become physically active? A qualitative study,
using an integrated biomedical and behavioral theory of functioning and disability.
570 BMC Neurol. 2016;16(1):137-.

571 6. Aguiar LT, Martins JC, Nadeau S, Britto RR, Teixeira-Salmela LF, Faria
572 CDCM. Efficacy of interventions to improve physical activity levels in individuals with
573 stroke: a systematic review protocol. 2017;7(1):e012479.

574 7. Morris JH. Body, Person and Environment: Why Promoting Physical Activity
575 (PA) with Stroke Survivors Requires Holistic Thinking. Brain Impairment.
576 2016;17(1):3-15.

8. Pollock A, Baer G, Campbell P, Choo PL, Forster A, Morris J, et al. Physical
rehabilitation approaches for the recovery of function and mobility following stroke.
Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014;2014(4):CD001920-CD.

580 9. Greenhalgh O, McMahon NE, Gaskins N, Khan A, Frings M, Janssen J, et al.
581 An exploration of stroke survivors' perspectives on cycling and the use of electric bikes.
582 Physiotherapy Practice and Research. 2019;40(2):117-26.

10. Pang MYC, Charlesworth SA, Lau RWK, Chung RCK. Using Aerobic Exercise
to Improve Health Outcomes and Quality of Life in Stroke: Evidence-Based Exercise
Prescription Recommendations. Cerebrovascular Diseases. 2013;35(1):7-22.

Vanroy C, Feys H, Swinnen A, Vanlandewijck Y, Truijen S, Vissers D, et al.
Effectiveness of Active Cycling in Subacute Stroke Rehabilitation: A Randomized
Controlled Trial. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. 2017;98(8):157685.e5.

590 12. Ferrante S, Pedrocchi A, Ferrigno G, Molteni F. Cycling induced by functional
591 electrical stimulation improves the muscular strength and the motor control of

592 individuals with post-acute stroke. Europa Medicophysica-SIMFER 2007 Award 593 Winner. European journal of physical and rehabilitation medicine. 2008;44:159-67. 594 Hancock NJ, Shepstone L, Rowe P, Myint PK, Pomeroy V. Clinical efficacy and 13. 595 prognostic indicators for lower limb pedalling exercise early after stroke: Study protocol 596 for a pilot randomised controlled trial. Trials. 2011;12(1):68. 597 Sibley K, Tang A, Brooks D, Brown D, McIlroy W. Feasibility of Adapted 14. 598 Aerobic Cycle Ergometry Tasks to Encourage Paretic Limb Use After Stroke: A Case 599 Series. Journal of neurologic physical therapy : JNPT. 2008;32:80-7. 600 15. Barbosa D, Santos CP, Martins M. The Application of Cycling and Cycling 601 Combined with Feedback in the Rehabilitation of Stroke Patients: A Review. Journal of 602 Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases. 2015;24(2):253-73. 603 Fishman E, Cherry C. E-bikes in the Mainstream: Reviewing a Decade of 16. 604 Research. Transport Reviews. 2016;36(1):72-91. Bourne JE, Sauchelli S, Perry R, Page A, Leary S, England C, et al. Health 605 17. 606 benefits of electrically-assisted cycling: a systematic review. International Journal of 607 Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity. 2018;15(1):116. 608 18. Dill J, Rose G. Electric bikes and transportation policy. Transportation Research 609 Record. 2012;2314(2314):1-6. 610 19. Louis J, Brisswalter J, Morio C, Barla C, Temprado J-J. The Electrically 611 Assisted Bicycle: An Alternative Way to Promote Physical Activity. 2012;91(11):931-612 40. 613 20. Leyland L-A, Spencer B, Beale N, Jones T, Van Reekum CM. The effect of 614 cycling on cognitive function and well-being in older adults. PLOS ONE. 615 2019;14(2):e0211779. 616 21. Jones T, Harms L, Heinen E. Motives, perceptions and experiences of electric 617 bicycle owners and implications for health, wellbeing and mobility. Journal of 618 Transport Geography. 2016;53:41-9. 619 Searle A, Ranger E, Zahra J, Tibbitts B, Page A, Cooper A. Engagement in e-22. 620 cycling and the self-management of type 2 diabetes: a qualitative study in primary care. 621 2019;3(2):bjgpopen18X101638. 622 Leger SJ, Dean JL, Edge S, Casello JM. "If I had a regular bicycle, I wouldn't 23. 623 be out riding anymore": Perspectives on the potential of e-bikes to support active living 624 and independent mobility among older adults in Waterloo, Canada. Transportation 625 Research Part A: Policy and Practice. 2019;123:240-54. 626 24. Hansen D, Soors A, Deluyker V, Frederix I, Dendale P. Electrical support 627 during outdoor cycling in patients with coronary artery disease: impact on exercise 628 intensity, volume and perception of effort. Acta cardiologica. 2018;73(4):343-50. 629 25. Wellbeing Wf. Experiences of disabled cyclists – 2017 survey. 2017. Jones T, Chatterjee, K., Spinney, J., Street, E., Van Reekum, C., Spencer, B., 630 26. 631 Jones, H., Leyland, L.A., Mann, C., Williams, S. & Beale, N. cycle BOOM. Design for 632 Lifelong Health and Wellbeing. Summary of Key Findings and Recommendations. 633 Oxford Brookes University, UK; 2016. 634 Morris JH, Oliver T, Kroll T, Joice S, Williams B. From physical and functional 27. 635 to continuity with pre-stroke self and participation in valued activities: A qualitative exploration of stroke survivors', carers' and physiotherapists' perceptions of physical 636 activity after stroke. Disability and Rehabilitation. 2015;37(1):64-77. 637 638 Connell LA, McMahon NE, Redfern J, Watkins CL, Eng JJ. Development of a 28. 639 behaviour change intervention to increase upper limb exercise in stroke rehabilitation.

640 Implementation Science. 2015;10(1):34.

641 Nicholson SL, Donaghy M, Johnston M, Sniehotta FF, van Wijck F, Johnston D, 29. 642 et al. A qualitative theory guided analysis of stroke survivors' perceived barriers and 643 facilitators to physical activity. Disability and Rehabilitation. 2014;36(22):1857-68. 644 Walker MF, Hoffmann TC, Brady MC, Dean CM, Eng JJ, Farrin AJ, et al. 30. 645 Improving the development, monitoring and reporting of stroke rehabilitation research: 646 Consensus-based core recommendations from the Stroke Recovery and Rehabilitation 647 Roundtable. 2017;12(5):472-9. 648 Michie S, Atkins, L., & West, R. The Behaviour Change Wheel: A Guide to 31. 649 Designing Interventions. Great Britain: Silverback Publishing; 2014. 650 Hall J, Morton S, Hall J, Clarke DJ, Fitzsimons CF, English C, et al. A co-32. 651 production approach guided by the Behaviour Change Wheel to develop an intervention 652 for reducing sedentary behaviour after stroke. 2020. 653 Al-Rawahi S, Newton J, Asimakopoulou K, Masood M, Bulushi N, Yaqoobi K. 33. 654 The Psychological Models of Health-related Behavior in Understanding Sugars Intake 655 in Adults: A Review. Oman medical journal. 2019;35. 656 34. Baxter P, Jack S. Qualitative Case Study Methodology: Study Design and 657 Implementation for Novice Researchers. Qualitative Report. 2010;13. Gov.uk. Mobility scooters and powered wheelchairs: the rules N.D [Available 658 35. 659 from: www.gov.uk/mobility-scooters-and-powered-wheelchairs-rules/eyesight-660 requirements 661 36. ArcGIS. 2020 [Available from: https://www.arcgis.com/index.html. 662 37. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research 663 in Psychology. 2006;3(2):77-101. Fyhri A, Heinen E, Fearnley N, Sundfør HB. A push to cycling—exploring the 664 38. 665 e-bike's role in overcoming barriers to bicycle use with a survey and an intervention 666 study. International Journal of Sustainable Transportation. 2017;11(9):681-95. 667 Popovich N, Gordon E, Shao Z, Xing Y, Wang Y, Handy S. Experiences of 39. 668 electric bicycle users in the Sacramento, California area. Travel Behaviour and Society. 669 2014;1(2):37-44. Van Cauwenberg J, De Bourdeaudhuij I, Clarys P, de Geus B, Deforche BJT. E-670 40. 671 bikes among older adults: benefits, disadvantages, usage and crash characteristics. 672 2019;46(6):2151-72. 673 41. Blumenstein T, Zeitlmann H, Alves-Pinto A, Turova V, Lampe R. Optimization 674 of electric bicycle for youths with disabilities. Springerplus. 2014;3:646-. 675 42. Prior PL, Suskin N. Exercise for stroke prevention. Stroke and Vascular 676 Neurology. 2018;3(2):59. 677 Resnick B, Michael K, Shaughnessy M, Kopunek S, Nahm ES, Macko RF. 43. 678 Motivators for treadmill exercise after stroke. Top Stroke Rehabil. 2008;15(5):494-502. 679 Brouwer-Goossensen D, Genugten Lv, Lingsma H, Dippel D, Koudstaal P, 44. 680 Hertog Hd. Determinants of intention to change health-related behavior and actual 681 change in patients with TIA or minor ischemic stroke. Patient Education and 682 Counseling. 2016;99(4):644-50. 683 Cooper AR, Tibbitts B, England C, Procter D, Searle A, Sebire SJ, et al. 45. 684 Potential of electric bicycles to improve the health of people with Type 2 diabetes: a feasibility study. Diabetic Medicine. 2018;35(9):1279-82. 685 Hoj TH, Bramwell JJ, Lister C, Grant E, Crookston BT, Hall C, et al. Increasing 686 46. 687 Active Transportation Through E-Bike Use: Pilot Study Comparing the Health Benefits, 688 Attitudes, and Beliefs Surrounding E-Bikes and Conventional Bikes. JMIR Public 689 Health and Surveillance. 2018;4(4):e10461.

Malnes L LS, Bere E, Tjelta LI, Kristoffersen M, Mildestvedt T, et al. How
access to an E-bike affects bicycle use and cardiopulmonary fitness in

692 inactive Norwegian adults: A pilot study. [Master's Thesis] In Press 2016. 2016.

693 694

695

696 Figure Captions

- 697 Figure 1: The e-trike used by the participants
- 698 Figure 2: The adaptations available to the stroke survivors. From left to right -
- 699 repositioned breaks that could be operated simultaneously by the least effected side, a
- 700 self-levelling pedal with ankle support, and a pedal with a strap attached
- Figure 3: A visualised path of the type of journey Brian was making using the e-trike.
- Figure 4: A visualised path of the type of journey Jim was making using the e-bike.
- Figure 5: A visualised path of the type of journey Rob was able to make using the e-trike.
- Figure 6: Interconnecting factors identified by the stroke survivors

705	Table	1:	Demograp	hic ir	nformat	ion	by	case
-----	-------	----	----------	--------	---------	-----	----	------

Participants	Age (yrs)	First Strok e	Time since stroke occurred (months)	Current methods of physical activity	Living alone or with a partner	Able to loan an e-bike (Y/N)	E-bike or e-trike	Adaptations	Reason for withdrawal
Brian	72	Yes	30	Walking, going to the gym and cycling using an adapted cycle	Partner	Y	e-trike	Brakes	-
Ken	64	No	72	Fishing	Alone	N	-	-	Lack of storage space
Jim	63	Yes	1	Walking his dog	Partner	Y	e-bike	None	-
Rob	56	Yes	40	Walking and attending exercise classes twice a week	Partner	Y	e-trike	Brakes and pedals	-
Ismail	65	Yes	36	Walking	Alone	N	-	-	Did not feel safe using the e-trike
Tim	55	Yes	5	Walking	Alone	N	-	-	Could not get GP approval

Participants	Brian	Jim	Rob
Number of weeks loan	11	8	8
Number of completed journeys recorded	7	13	3
Mean average Journey Length (min)	16	48	27
Min Journey Length (min)	10	6	22
Max Journey Length (min)	22	168	32
Average distance (km)	2.45	13.97	1.68
Min journey distance (km)	1.68	1.43	1.36
Max journey distance (km)	3.33	45.9	1.89

Table 2: Journey details for the participants who loaned an e-bike/e-trike.