Bounding the Time Delay between High-energy Neutrinos and Gravitational-wave Transients from Gamma-ray Bursts

Bruny Baret^a, Imre Bartos^{b,*}, Boutayeb Bouhou^a, Alessandra Corsi^c, Irene Di Palma^d, Corinne Donzaud^{a,e}, Véronique Van Elewyck^a, Chad Finley^f, Gareth Jones^g, Antoine Kouchner^a, Szabolcs Márka^b, Zsuzsa Márka^b, Luciano Moscoso^a, Eric Chassande-Mottin^a, Maria Alessandra Papa^d, Thierry Pradier^h, Peter Raffaiⁱ, Jameson Rollins^b, Patrick Sutton^g

 ^aAstroParticule et Cosmologie (APC), CNRS: UMR7164-IN2P3-Observatoire de Paris-Université Denis Diderot-Paris VII-CEA: DSM/IRFU, France
^bDepartment of Physics, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA
^cLIGO Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA
^dAlbert-Einstein-Institut, Max-Planck-Institut für Gravitationsphysik, D-30167 Hannover, Germany
^eUniversité Paris-sud, Orsay, F-91405, France
^fOskar Klein Centre & Dept. of Physics, Stockholm University, SE-10691 Stockholm, Sweden
^gCardiff University, Cardiff CF24 3AA, UK
^hUniversity of Strasbourg & Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Strasbourg, France
ⁱEötvös University, ELTE 1053 Budapest, Hungary

Abstract

We derive a conservative coincidence time window for joint searches of gravitational-wave (GW) transients and high-energy neutrinos (HENs, with energies $\gtrsim 100$ GeV), emitted by gamma-ray bursts (GRBs). The last are among the most interesting astrophysical sources for coincident detections with current and near-future detectors. We take into account a broad range of emission mechanisms. We take the upper limit of GRB durations as the 95% quantile of the T_{90} 's of GRBs observed by BATSE, obtaining a GRB duration upper limit of ~ 150s. Using published results on high-energy (> 100MeV) photon light curves for 8 GRBs detected by Fermi LAT, we verify that most highenergy photons are expected to be observed within the first ~ 150s of the

Preprint submitted to Astroparticle Physics

 $^{^{*}\}ensuremath{\mathrm{Corresponding}}$ author. Email: ibartos@phys.columbia.edu

GRB. Taking into account the breakout-time of the relativistic jet produced by the central engine, we allow GW and HEN emission to begin up to 100s before the onset of observable gamma photon production. Using published precursor time differences, we calculate a time upper bound for precursor activity, obtaining that 95% of precursors occur within ~ 250s prior to the onset of the GRB. Taking the above different processes into account, we arrive at a time window of $t_{HEN} - t_{GW} \in [-500s, +500s]$. Considering the above processes, an upper bound can also be determined for the expected time window of GW and/or HEN signals coincident with a detected GRB, $t_{GW} - t_{GRB} \approx t_{HEN} - t_{GRB} \in [-350s, +150s]$.

Keywords:

Gravitational wave, high-energy neutrino, gamma-ray burst, multimessenger, time window

1. Introduction

The joint detection of gravitational waves (GWs) and high-energy neutrinos (HENs) from astrophysical sources in a multimessenger approach promises significant scientific benefit over single messenger searches. Such advantages include increased sensitivity, as well as additional information on the underlying mechanisms responsible for creating such multimessenger sources [1, 2, 3, 4, 5].

GW and HEN detectors have made significant progress in recent years. The first generation of GW observatories, such as LIGO [6], Virgo [7] and GEO [8] have already made astrophysically interesting observations (e.g. [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15]). The second generation of these detectors (e.g. [16]) is expected to be roughly an order of magnitude more sensitive around \sim 150Hz. HEN observatories currently under operation are IceCube [17], a cubic kilometer detector at the geographic South Pole, and ANTARES [18] in the Mejditerranean sea. IceCube has reached its design volume with 86 strings, while ANTARES is operating at its design volume with 12 strings. ANTARES is planned to be upgraded into a cubic kilometer detector called KM3NET in the following years [19]. The Lake Baikal neutrino detector is also planned to be upgraded to a cubic kilometer volume aiming to detect HENs [20].

One of the most promising class of sources for joint GW+HEN searches is represented by gamma-ray bursts (GRBs). GRBs are thought to be produced by internal shocks in relativistic expanding fireballs from energetic cosmic explosions [21]. Fireballs [22], i.e. the relativistic outflows or jets of plasma, are created when the central engine releases a large amount of energy over a short time and small volume [23]. These jets are often thought to be launched along the rotational axis of the progenitor, powered by the gravitational energy released during temporary mass accretion onto the central black hole [24].

There are two main cosmic progenitor candidates that are expected to produce the relativistic outflows and shocks of magnetized plasma described above. Long-duration GRBs ($\geq 2s$) are thought to be produced by stellar core-collapse [25], while short GRBs ($\leq 2s$) are predominantly associated with compact stellar mergers [26].

Joint GW+HEN searches are of special importance for sources dark in other messengers, e.g. that have little or no electromagnetic emission. Such potential sources include choked GRBs [27]. If a stellar core-collapse emits a relativistic jet from its core that emits gamma-rays, these gamma-rays will only be observable from the outside once the relativistic jet broke out of the stellar envelope. Relativistic jets that stall before reaching the surface of the star can still emit observable HENs [27, 28], while the envelope is transparent to GWs. The result is GW+HEN emission with little or no gamma-ray counterpart.

Previous single or multimessenger searches for GWs, HENs and/or electromagnetically detected GRBs have defined various different time windows. Aso et al. [2] designed a GW+HEN multimessenger search algorithm and investigated possible time windows from 0.1s to up to 1 day. In a search for HEN counterparts of detected GRBs, Abbasi et al. (IceCube Collaboration) [29] consider three different time windows around each burst. The first time window covers the observed prompt gamma-ray emission of the GRB, expecting prompt neutrino emission to overlap with prompt gammaray emission. The second considered time window covers neutrino-producing processes before gamma-rays can escape from the fireball. This time window is taken to be 100s immediately preceding the prompt emission time window. Abbasi et al. (IceCube Collaboration) [29] also consider a generic time window that is chosen to be much wider than the previous two to include possible unknown mechanisms. This third time window is chosen to be [-1h, 3h] around the start of the prompt emission, a compromise between including unknown mechanisms and keeping the HEN background low.

In a HEN search for GRB 080319B, one of the brightest GRBs ever ob-

served, the IceCube Collaboration [30] uses two time windows for HEN detection. A shorter time window of 66s was used that overlapped with the GRB's prompt gamma-ray emission. Another, \sim 300s long extended time window was also analyzed. Data from the detector was only available in this 300s around the GRB which motivated the choice of this time window.

Very recently a search for neutrinos from 117 GRBs was conducted using data from the IceCube detector's 40 string configuration [31]. Two different searches were performed; one model independent search, using no model for the energy distribution of HENs from GRBs. This search used a time window of ± 24 hours around the burst. The second, model dependent search used the predicted energy distribution from GRBs by Guetta *et al.* [32]. This search considered the observed start (T_{start}) and stop (T_{stop}) times of gamma-ray emission from each GRB. A probability distribution was assigned for expected neutrino times with uniform probability between T_{start} and T_{stop} , and with Gaussian tails around this uniform window. The width of the tails was chosen to be $T_{stop} - T_{start}$, and was constrained to at minimum 2 seconds and at maximum 30 [31].

In GW burst searches triggered by long and/or short GRBs, performed with the LIGO-Virgo GW network, [33, 34, 13, 35], a time window of [-120s, +60s]was used around the GRB trigger. This time window is longer than the duration of most analyzed GRBs. It was also chosen to include models predicting gamma-ray emission up to 100s after initial GW emission (e.g. [36]), as well as measurement uncertainties in GRB trigger time. For a search for GW inspiral counterparts of detected short GRBs, Abadie *et al.* (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo collaboration) [37] used a time window of [-5s, +1s)around the trigger time of GRBs, aiming to capture "the physical model with some tolerance for its uncertainties."

The present article, for the first time, discusses the temporal structure¹ of GW and HEN emission from GRBs relative to each other, with the main goal of defining a common on-source time window. As many aspects of GRB emission processes are still debated, we base our analysis largely on model-motivated comparisons with observations.

The article is organized as follows. We first (Section 2) present a summary of the obtained GW+HEN coincidence time window building on the results and discussion of Section 3. In Section 3 we review the potential emission

¹I.e. the time intervals with possible emission and their relative time of occurrence.

processes in detail, using model-motivated comparisons with observations and simulations to constrain the duration of each emission epoch. We refer the reader to Section 2 for an overview and summary of the results, while the details can be found in Section 3.

2. Summary - Time Window of GW+HEN Emission

In this section we determine an upper bound for the time difference of HEN and GW signals from GRBs. We will refer to this time interval as GW+HEN coincidence time window. We aim to obtain an upper bound in which HENs and GW transients are most likely to arrive. The section summarizes the expected durations of different emission processes that are discussed in details in the rest of the article.

The analysis mainly relies on model-motivated comparisons with observations and simulations. We consider different types of information we have on GRBs from their detected electromagnetic radiation, and infer to the plausible time frame of GW or HEN emission based on this information. We use observations by BATSE [38], Swift [39] as well as Fermi LAT [40]. We estimate the duration of different emission epochs, and combine them to obtain an overall time window. While a joint search for GW and HEN signals mainly aims to detect astrophysical sources with unobserved electromagnetic (EM) counterpart, we constrain their emission based on the emission of detected sources, assuming that these two types have similar emission.

A summary of the considered emission processes and their time frames are shown in Figure 1. Note, that for the case of GW emission, a time frame possibly having GW signals means that there can be one or more short transients at any time of the time frame. There can also be a longer GW emission during this time (see Section 3.3 for further details).

- We start with the onset of gamma-ray emission from the main GRB. The duration of the main GRB is, in 95% of cases, shorter or equal than 150s (see Section 3.1). During this time frame, as the central engine needs to be active, both HEN and GW emission is possible.
- Recent Fermi/LAT observations (e.g. [41, 42]) have shown that at least some GRBs also emit high-energy (GeV) gamma-rays, with temporal structure different from that of gamma-rays of typical energies (see Section 3.2). Our estimated time scale of the emission shows that most (≥ 90%) of the high-energy photons are expected to be detected within 150s after the onset of the GRB.



Figure 1: Summary of upper bound of GRB emission process durations taken into account in the total GW+HEN coincidence time window. (a) active central engine before the relativistic jet has broken out of the stellar envelope; (b) active central engine with the relativistic jet broken out of the envelope; (c) delay between the onset of the precursor and the main burst; (d) duration corresponding to 90% of GeV photon emission; (e) time span of central engine activity. Overall, the considered processes allow for a maximum of 500s between the observation of a HEN and a GW transient, setting the coincidence time window to [-500s, 500s]. The time window for GW or HEN signals from the onset of the GRB is $t_{GW} - t_{GRB} \approx t_{HEN} - t_{GRB} \in [-350s, +150s]$. Note that we show a period between the end of the precursor emission and the start of the main GRB with no GW or HEN emission. While we cannot exclude the possibility of GW or HEN emission in this period, such emission would have no effect on our estimated time window. The top of the figure shows a schematic drawing of a plausible emission scenario.

- GW and HEN emission can commence up to 100s before the onset of gamma-ray emission (see Section 3.4) due to the time it takes for the relativistic jet to break out of the star (e.g, [27]). The central engine is active during this time, for the jet needs to be actively driven in order to advance.
- Precursor emission can begin up to $t_{95}^{precursor} \simeq 250$ s prior to the onset of the main GRB (see Section 3.5). Since a precursor can be active practically any time within this time frame, and since the activity of the central engine is a prerequisite of precursor emission, both HEN and GW emission is possible during this period.
- The activity of the central engine responsible for a precursor can begin up to 100s before the onset of gamma/X-ray emission by the precursor (see Section 3.5). During this time, as the central engine is active, both HEN and GW emission is possible.
- We note that our choice for the GW/HEN coincidence window is not affected by what happens in between the precursor(s) and the main GRB. Since HEN and/or GWs may be present both at the precursor and during the main GRB, the GW/HEN coincidence window needs to extend anyway from the precursor up to the end of the main event. Thus, such a choice also covers the case in which GWs and/or HEN are also emitted between the precursor and the main GRB.

Considering the processes described above, we obtain a time frame of 500s during which both HEN and GW emissions are possible. This means that the difference between the arrival time t_{HEN} of a HEN and the arrival time t_{GW} of a GW transient from the same GRB is within the upper bound (i.e. time window) of

$$t_{HEN} - t_{GW} \in [-500s, +500s] \tag{1}$$

Note that multiple HEN (or GW) signals from a given source should arrive within a 500s time window.

We emphasize that the above time window is an estimated upper bound for GRBs. While the emission mechanisms considered are connected to the progenitor associated with long GRBs, short GRBs likely have shorter emission span and are therefore also bound by this time window. Also, we exclude potential GW/HEN emission from GRB afterglows from the time window (the emission of ultra-high energy ($\approx 10^8 - 10^9$ GeV) neutrinos may be more extended; see Section 3.4). Other effects, such as some predicted by different Quantum Gravity models (e.g. [43]), can introduce additional time delay between different messengers. Searching for such effects may require larger time windows [43].

The processes considered above can also be used to set an upper bound on time window of GW or HEN signals coincident with an observed GRB. If the onset of a GRB is detected at t_{GRB} , both GW and HEN signals are expected to arrive within the time window

$$t_{GW} - t_{GRB} = t_{HEN} - t_{GRB} \in [-350s, +150s]$$
(2)

3. Emission Processes in Gamma-ray Bursts

The widely accepted model of long GRBs, the so-called collapsar model, associates long GRBs with the collapse of the core of a massive star, that drives an outgoing relativistic outflow (see, e.g, [27]). According to the fireball model of GRBs [22], gamma-rays, as well as HENs are produced by shocks in this relativistic outflow.

The plasma outflow from the central engine reaches relativistic velocities only after leaving the Helium core of the star [27]. Consequently, the time it takes for the outflow to break out of the star is approximately equal to the duration of crossing the Helium core $(t_{He} \approx 10 - 100s)$ [27] (see also [44]). The outflow can advance only as long as it is driven by the central engine, therefore the duration of the outflow has to be longer than t_{He} in order for the outflow to break out of the star. Due to this requirement, there can be stellar core-collapses where the outflow is unable to break through the stellar envelope [45]. In these so-called *choked GRBs*, whose number may exceed the number of successful GRBs [45], GWs, low-energy neutrinos and potentially HENs can escape the star, while the star remains dark in gamma-rays.

Besides long GRBs, another distinct subclass of gamma-ray bursts are short-duration, hard-spectrum GRBs, with duration $\leq 2s$ (e.g, [26]). At least some of these short GRBs are associated with neutrons star - neuron star or neutron star - black hole binary coalescenses (e.g, [26]). Such binary coalescence constitutes the central engine that create relativistic outflow as discussed above for long GRBs, responsible for the emission of gamma-rays and high energy neutrinos.

This section discusses the different emission processes with special emphasis on their relative timing, defining their contribution to the GW+HEN coincidence time window.



Figure 2: (left) Distribution of T_{90} 's for GRBs measured by BATSE (straight line, 1234 GRBs, [38]) and Swift (dotted line, 534 GRBs, [39]). The vertical line shows t_{95}^{GRB} , i.e. the 95% quantile of the T_{90} 's of BATSE GRBs. (right) Distribution of time difference between the onset of a precursor and the onset of the main GRB. The vertical line shows $t_{95}^{precursor}$, i.e. the 95% quantile of the time differences (the delays were obtained by Burlon *et al.* [46] using data from BATSE [38]).

3.1. Gamma-ray Emission

The observed temporal structure of gamma-ray emission from GRBs can be used as the foundation in the description of the temporal structure of GW and HEN emission. For the purposes of GW+HEN analysis, we define a practical upper limit for GRB duration as the 95% quantile of the T_{90} 's of GRBs detected by the Burst Alert and Transient Source Experiment (BATSE). We obtain this limit using data from the 4th BATSE GRB catalog (1234 GRBs, [38]), that includes 1234 GRBs with duration information (see Figure 2). As the measure of GRB durations, we use their T_{90} , the time interval in which the integrated photon counts from a GRB in the BATSE detector increased from 5% to 95%. See Figure 2 for the distribution of T_{90} 's of BATSE GRBs in comparison with t_{95}^{GRB} . The obtained duration upper limit is

$$t_{95}^{GRB} \simeq 150 \, \mathrm{s}$$
 (3)

For comparison we note here that the 90% and 99% quantiles are $t_{90}^{GRB} \simeq 100$ s and $t_{99}^{GRB} \simeq 300$ s. Considering a similar analysis for GRBs detected by the Swift satellite (534 GRBs, [39]), we find that 87% of Swift GRBs have $T_{90} \lesssim 150$ s. For Swift, the 90%, 95% and 99% quantiles are 180s, 300s and 500s, respectively. See Figure 2 for the distribution of Swift T₉₀'s.

3.2. High-energy Gamma-ray Emission

While typical gamma-ray energies from GRBs are in the range of 0.1 - 1 MeV, some GRBs also emit gamma-rays with energies above 100 MeV (e.g. [41, 42]). Such high-energy component was first observed by the Energetic Gamma-Ray Experiment Telescope (EGRET, e.g, [47, 48, 49]), and has recently been identified for more than 20 GRBs [50] by the Large Area Telescope (LAT) on the Fermi satellite [40]. GeV gamma-ray emission from these GRBs, in most cases, shows radically different characteristics [41, 42, 51] compared to MeV gamma-ray emission.

The different temporal and spectral behavior of GeV photons imply that they are likely produced by different processes during a GRB than MeV photons. There are different proposed mechanisms responsible for the creation of GeV photons. It is also possible that different mechanisms are responsible for the GeV photon output for different GRBs. Studying the high energy emission of GRB 090510, Ghirlanda *et al.* [52] suggested that this may be explained entirely due to external shock emission. On the other hand, several other authors [53, 54, 55] have shown that internal shocks may also play a role, especially during the first few seconds of the high-energy tail. Toma et al. [56] argue that GeV photon emission can be due to Compton upscattered photospheric emission, during which photons are upscattered by electrons in internal shocks. Photohadronic interactions in proton-dominated bursts have also been considered, that may be responsible for the production of the highenergy component [57, 58]. In this case, GRBs with GeV photon emission can be excellent sources of HENs. In the more standard Waxman-Bahcall model, however, GeV emission, associated with higher Lorentz factor, results in decreased HEN emission (e.g. [30]). See e.g. [41] for a summary of possible emission mechanisms.

Due to the small number of detected LAT GRBs, as well as our limited understanding of the emission mechanisms, investigating the temporal behavior of high-energy gamma-ray emission is difficult. It seems, however, that in many cases the light curves follow a power-law decay in time: $F_{LAT} \propto t^{-\alpha}$ with $\alpha \simeq 1.5$ [51, 59, 60], while the rising parts for some cases are consistent with $F_{LAT} \propto t^2$. We note that the characteristic rising and decay were observed both for short and long bursts [51].

For the purposes of GW+HEN analysis, we are interested in the proportion of high-energy photons emitted in a given time window, T, following the onset of the GRB. For this estimate, following [52], we model the temporal distribution of high-energy photons with a smoothly broken power law

$$F_{LAT}(t) = \frac{A(t/t_b)^{\alpha}}{1 + (t/t_b)^{\alpha+\beta}} + B$$
(4)

with $\alpha = 2$ and $\beta = 1.5$ as discussed above. The peak emission is at time $t_{peak} = t_b(\alpha/\beta)^{1/(\alpha+\beta)}$. A is an amplitude and B is the background level, both irrelevant for the calculation of the temporal behavior (for simplicity, we will assume B = 0).

Due to the low number of available data, we restrict our analysis to estimating the ratio of GeV photons arriving in the 150s time window, i.e. the GRB emission time window described above in Section 3.1. Following [51], we use 8 out of the 12 GRBs for which the detected GeV emission has high enough signal-to-noise ratio for the reconstruction of the temporal structure. We assume that the emission of these GRBs follow Equation 4, with t_{peak} equal to the maximum shown in Figure 4. in [51]. Taking all 8 GRBs into account with equal weights, we verify that ~ 90% of the GeV photons arrive within a 150s time window after the onset of the GRB (see Figure 1). For comparison, 50% of the photons arrive within ~ 7s, while 95% of the photons arrive within 650s. We conclude that the 150s time window is a reasonable upper bound for the high-energy gamma-ray emission as well. We note that the obtained time window does not depend significantly on the initial rising part. The important factors are the peak time and the slope afterward.

We note that, while the majority of GeV photons seems to arrive within the first 150s after the onset of the GRB, the importance of detected GeV photons from the perspective of neutrino emission can be that it might indicate a process, such as external shocks, that can produce HENs. If in such process the emission of GeV photons is not proportional to the emission of HENs (i.e. if the durations of the arrival of ~ 90% of GeV photons and HENs are different), the duration of HEN emission might be longer than 150s.

3.3. Gravitational-wave Emission

While gamma-ray and HEN emission from GRBs are practically independent from the specific mechanisms driving the (varying) relativistic outflow², GW emission is closely connected to the central engine.

 $^{^{2}}$ The distance from the central engine at which observable gamma-rays and HENs are produced is much larger than the central engine (e.g. [21]).

Various mechanisms can result in the emission of GW transients. Here we first consider stellar core collapses, the likely progenitors of at least some long GRBs. For these the collapse of the rotating iron core, as well as the following rebound in the inner core instantly produce a GW burst lasting for tens of milliseconds (see e.g. [25]). Other mechanisms following core bounce can also emit GWs shortly, within a few seconds after the collapse. These processes include rotational non-axisymmetric instabilities, post-bounce convection, standing-accretion-shock instability (SASI), or non-radial proto-neutron star pulsation [25].

The collapse results in the formation of a protoneutron star, that can further collapse to form a stellar-mass black hole (e.g. [61] and references therein). The rotating, collapsing core might also fragment into two or more compact objects, whose coalescence can emit strong GWs [62]. After the formation of a black hole, weaker GW emission is possible from accreting matter around this central black hole that can fragment due to gravitational instability. The resulting black hole - fragment binaries can emit GWs detectable by Earth-based GW interferometers [63, 64, 65]. For high enough accretion disk masses, the self-gravity of the disk can result in gravitational instabilities such as spiral arm or bar formation, emitting GWs (e.g. [66], [67] and references therein). Non-uniform, non-axisymmetric accretion can also deform the central black hole that will emit gravitational waves during its ring-down [67]. Such GW emission processes can last for the duration of the accretion, i.e. possibly the duration of the GRB.

Compact binary coalescence, the likely progenitor of short GRBs, is anticipated to be strong GW emitters in the sensitive frequency band of Earthbased GW detectors (e.g [37, 68, 67]). Double neutron stars, black holeneutron star binaries and black hole-white dwarf binaries are considered as possible sources (e.g. [67]). Most of the GW output of such sources is emitted in the form of a very short, ~ 1ms long transient (e.g. [69, 67]). Furthermore, many short GRBs occur at low redshifts [70], increasing their significance as potential GW (and HEN) sources.

Based on the models discussed above, GRBs are expected to be strong emitters of GWs. While core-collapse and binary merger are expected to emit the strongest GW transient, emission is possible during the entire duration when the central engine is active (see Figure 1). GW emission can consist of either one or more short burst (e.g. due to core collapse or the infalls of fragments of the accretion disk [63, 64, 65]), or longer duration GWs (e.g. due to the emission of rotational energy [66]). If the central engine consists of an accretion disk, the activity of the central engine coincides with the emission of GWs.

3.4. High-energy Neutrino Production

In a GRB, HENs and gamma-rays are expected to be produced due to the variability of the central engine's activity, that results in fluctuations of the relativistic outflow, creating internal shocks in the ejecta (e.g. [71, 72, 32, 73]). These internal shocks accelerate electrons and protons in the outflow through the process of Fermi acceleration. Shock-accelerated electrons radiate their energy through synchrotron or inverse-Compton radiation (e.g. [72, 74]), emitting gamma-rays. Shock-accelerated protons interact with gamma-rays ($p\gamma$) as well as with other, non-relativistic protons (pp), producing charged pions and kaons [75]. Pions and kaons from these processes decay into HENs through [76]

$$\pi^{\pm}, K^{\pm} \to \mu^{\pm} + \nu_{\mu}(\overline{\nu}_{\mu}) \tag{5}$$

The resulting muons from these interactions are expected to immediately undergo radiative cooling, making this secondary HEN production insignificant [76, 77, 27]. For the proton energy range $E_p \approx 10^4 - 10^{5.2}$ GeV the $p\gamma$ process dominates HEN production, while outside of this energy range the pp process is dominant [75].

Since internal shocks in the relativistic outflow result in both gamma-ray and HEN emission, HENs are expected to be produced during the emission of gamma-rays (see Figure 1).

For the case of long GRBs created by core-collapse supernovae, internal shocks in the relativistic outflow can occur even before the outflow emerged from the stellar envelope, therefore HENs are also expected to be produced before observable gamma-ray emission [27, 78]. While gamma rays emitted in this early phase cannot escape from the star due to its large optical depth, neutrinos have much longer mean free paths and may therefore pass through the stellar envelope. This pre-GRB neutrino emission is expected to precede the start of gamma-ray emission by up to 100s [27, 78] (see Figure 1).

GRB afterglows are not considered here as a part of the coincidence time window. Afterglows are produced by the relativistic jet driven into the surrounding medium (e.g. [74]). The observed radiation, similarly to the case for prompt gamma-ray emission, is produced by synchrotron emission of shockaccelerated electrons. The energy distribution of protons is expected to be similar to that of electrons [74], therefore the softer emission spectrum of afterglows indicate that protons might also be of lower energy. These protons would need higher energy gamma-rays to produce neutrinos³, that are scarcely present in the afterglow spectrum.

We note that, while HEN production in GRB afterglows is likely not significant, a few ultra high-energy neutrinos (UHENs) of energies ~ $10^8 - 10^9$ GeV might be emitted during the afterglow phase [79, 74, 80], if GRBs can accelerate some protons to energies $\epsilon_p \sim 10^{11}$ GeV. In our time window estimation, we do not take into account such emission from the afterglow.

3.5. GRB Precursors

Gamma-ray bursts are sometimes preceded by fainter, softer electromagnetic emissions, so-called precursors (e.g. [81]). The underlying mechanism(s) creating these precursors is yet unknown. They have been detected for about 8 - 20% of GRBs [81, 82, 46, 83], with some GRBs apparently having multiple precursors. It is expected, however, that a higher percentage of GRBs are preceded by precursors, as many of these might be missed due to, e.g., beaming, low signal-to-noise ratio, the proximity of the precursor and the main event, or the definition of what is considered a precursor [81].

Analyzing precursors detected by BATSE, Lazzati [81] finds that the net count of a precursor, a value connected to the energy of the events, is up to about 1% of the main burst, most precursors having non-thermal spectra. Burlon *et al.*, using a more detailed analysis of data from the SWIFT telescope [82] and BATSE [46], finds that precursors, on average, emit ~ 30% (for SWIFT) and ~ 10 - 20% (for BATSE) of the energy of the main burst. Burlon *et al.* find that precursors and main events have very similar spectral properties, concluding that precursors and main GRBs are likely to be produced by the same underlying mechanism.

There are several different GRB precursor models in the literature (e.g. [46]). The "two-step engine" model [84] argues that precursors are produced by initial weak jets, that are created by and coincide with the actual core collapse. The "progenitor precursor" model (e.g., [85]) connects precursors with

$$\epsilon_{\gamma}\epsilon_{p} = 0.2 \text{GeV}^{2}\Gamma^{2} \tag{6}$$

in the observer frame, where Γ is the bulk Lorentz factor of the outflow [74].

³In photomeson interactions producing HENs, the photon's energy ϵ_{γ} and the proton's energy ϵ_{p} are related, at the threshold of the Δ -resonance, by

isotropic emission marking the jet breakout. The "fireball precursor" model [86] considers a simple model of an isotropic, post-acceleration GRB with constant rest mass and kinetic energy. Depending on the considered model, either or both the precursor and main GRB can have GW and/or HEN emission. We note that, while the models above are concerned with precursors from stellar core collapses, precursor emission has also been identified from short GRBs [83].

We estimate an upper bound for the time difference between the onset of a precursor and the onset of the main burst using the results of Burlon *et al.* [46]. Burlon *et al.* analyzed 2121 BATSE GRBs, out of which 264 (12.5%) was found to be preceded by one or more precursors (in total 369 precursors). From this data, we determine an upper bound $t_{95}^{precursor}$ to the time difference between the start of the precursor and the main burst, which is the 95% quantile of precursor time differences measured between the onset of the precursor and of the main GRB, as calculated by Burlon *et al.* Figure 2 shows the distribution of the time differences and the 95% quantile, for which we obtain (also see Figure 1)

$$t_{95}^{precursor} \simeq 250 \ \mathrm{s} \tag{7}$$

For comparison, we note here that $t_{90}^{precursor} \simeq 150$ s and $t_{99}^{precursor} = t_{max}^{precursor} \simeq 350$ s. We use $t_{95}^{precursor}$ as the upper limit of time difference between the main burst and a precursor.

For the main GRB above, we used an upper limit of ~ 100 s for the time difference between the activation of the central engine and the onset of observable gamma-ray emission. We will use the same delay upper limit for precursors, i.e. the delay of the onset of gamma/X-ray emission from the precursor is estimated to be less than 100s after the start of the central engine (see Figure 1).

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to John Cannizzo, Peter Mészáros, Christian Ott, Tsvi Piran, Richard O'Shaughnessy and Eli Waxman for the helpful discussions and valuable comments.

References

- E. Chassande-Mottin, et al., Multimessenger astronomy with the Einstein Telescope, General Relativity and Gravitation (2010) 1–28. 10.1007/s10714-010-1019-z.
- [2] Y. Aso, et al., Search method for coincident events from LIGO and IceCube detectors, Classical and Quantum Gravity 25 (2008) 114039.
- [3] T. Pradier, Coincidences between gravitational wave interferometers and high energy neutrino telescopes, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 602 (2009) 268 – 274. Proceedings of the 3rd International Workshop on a Very Large Volume Neutrino Telescope for the Mediterranean Sea.
- [4] V. van Elewyck, et al., Joint Searches Between Gravitational-Wave Interferometers and High-Energy Neutrino Telescopes:. Science Reach and Analysis Strategies, International Journal of Modern Physics D 18 (2009) 1655–1659.
- [5] E. Chassande-Mottin, for the Ligo Scientific Collaboration and the Virgo Collaboration, Joint searches for gravitational waves and high-energy neutrinos, Journal of Physics: Conference Series 243 (2010) 012002.
- [6] B. Abbott, et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration, LIGO: the Laser Interferometer Gravitational-Wave Observatory, Reports on Progress in Physics 72 (2009) 076901.
- [7] F. Acernese, et al. (Virgo collaboration), The Virgo status, Classical and Quantum Gravity 23 (2006) S635.
- [8] B. Willke, et al., The GEO 600 gravitational wave detector, Classical and Quantum Gravity 19 (2002) 1377.
- [9] B. Abbott, et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration), Setting upper limits on the strength of periodic gravitational waves from PSR J1939 + 2134 using the first science data from the GEO 600 and LIGO detectors, Physical Review D 69 (2004) 082004.

- [10] B. Abbott, et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration), Search for gravitational wave radiation associated with the pulsating tail of the SGR 1806-20 hyperflare of 27 December 2004 using LIGO, Physical Review D 76 (2007) 062003.
- [11] B. Abbott, et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration), Search for Gravitational-Wave Bursts from Soft Gamma Repeaters, Physical Review Letters 101 (2008) 211102.
- [12] B. Abbott, et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration), G. Santostasi, Beating the Spin-Down Limit on Gravitational Wave Emission from the Crab Pulsar, The Astrophysical Journal Letters 683 (2008) L45.
- [13] B. Abbott, et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration), Implications for the Origin of GRB 070201 from LIGO Observations, The Astrophysical Journal 681 (2008) 1419.
- [14] B. P. Abbott, et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration), Stacked Search for Gravitational Waves from the 2006 SGR 1900+14 Storm, The Astrophysical Journal Letters 701 (2009) L68.
- [15] B. P. Abbott, et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), An upper limit on the stochastic gravitational-wave background of cosmological origin, Nature 460 (2009) 990–994.
- [16] G. M. Harry, for the LIGO Scientific Collaboration, Advanced LIGO: the next generation of gravitational wave detectors, Classical and Quantum Gravity 27 (2010) 084006.
- [17] IceCube, http://icecube.wisc.edu.
- [18] ANTARES, http://antares.in2p3.fr/.
- [19] M. de Jong, The KM3NeT neutrino telescope, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment In Press, Corrected Proof (2010) –.
- [20] V. Aynutdinov, et al. (Lake Baikal Neutrino Experiment), The BAIKAL neutrino experiment–Physics results and perspectives, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 602 (2009) 14 – 20.

Proceedings of the 3rd International Workshop on a Very Large Volume Neutrino Telescope for the Mediterranean Sea.

- [21] E. Waxman, J. Bahcall, High Energy Neutrinos from Cosmological Gamma-Ray Burst Fireballs, Physical Review Letters 78 (1997) 2292.
- [22] P. Mészáros, M. J. Rees, Relativistic fireballs and their impact on external matter - Models for cosmological gamma-ray bursts, Astrophysical Journal 405 (1993) 278–284.
- [23] M. V. Medvedev, A. Loeb, Generation of Magnetic Fields in the Relativistic Shock of Gamma-Ray Burst Sources, Astrophysical Journal 526 (1999) 697–706.
- [24] P. Mészáros, E. Waxman, TeV Neutrinos from Successful and Choked Gamma-Ray Bursts, Physical Review Letters 87 (2001) 171102-+.
- [25] C. D. Ott, The gravitational-wave signature of core-collapse supernovae, Classical and Quantum Gravity 26 (2009) 063001 (48pp).
- [26] E. Nakar, Short-hard gamma-ray bursts, Physics Reports 442 (2007) 166–236.
- [27] S. Horiuchi, S. Ando, High-energy neutrinos from reverse shocks in choked and successful relativistic jets, Physical Review D 77 (2008) 063007.
- [28] I. Bartos, C. Ott, S. Márka, High-Energy Neutrinophere of Stellar Envelopes in Core-Collapse Supernovae, in preparation (2010).
- [29] R. Abbasi, et al. (IceCube Collaboration), Search for Muon Neutrinos from Gamma-ray Bursts with the IceCube Neutrino Telescope, Astrophysical Journal 710 (2010) 346–359.
- [30] The IceCube Collaboration, Search for High-Energy Muon Neutrinos from the "Naked-Eye" GRB 080319B with the IceCube Neutrino Telescope, The Astrophysical Journal 701 (2009) 1721.
- [31] R. Abbasi, et al. (IceCube Collaboration), Limits on Neutrino Emission from Gamma-Ray Bursts with the 40 String IceCube Detector, ArXiv e-prints (2011).

- [32] D. Guetta, et al., Neutrinos from individual gamma-ray bursts in the BATSE catalog, Astroparticle Physics 20 (2004) 429 455.
- [33] B. Abbott, et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration), Search for gravitational waves associated with the gamma ray burst GRB030329 using the LIGO detectors, Physical Review D 72 (2005) 042002.
- [34] B. Abbott, et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration), Search for gravitational waves associated with 39 gamma-ray bursts using data from the second, third, and fourth LIGO runs, Physical Review D 77 (2008) 062004.
- [35] The LIGO Scientific Collaboration and the Virgo Collaboration, Search For Gravitational-wave Bursts Associated with Gamma-ray Bursts using Data from LIGO Science Run 5 and Virgo Science Run 1, The Astrophysical Journal 715 (2010) 1438.
- [36] P. Mészáros, Gamma-ray bursts, Reports on Progress in Physics 69 (2006) 2259.
- [37] J. Abadie, et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), Search for Gravitational-wave Inspiral Signals Associated with Short Gamma-ray Bursts During LIGO's Fifth and Virgo's First Science Run, The Astrophysical Journal 715 (2010) 1453.
- [38] W. S. Paciesas, et al., The Fourth BATSE Gamma-Ray Burst Catalog (Revised), The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series 122 (1999) 465–495.
- [39] http://heasarc.nasa.gov/docs/swift/archive/grb_table/.
- [40] W. B. Atwood, et al., The Large Area Telescope on the Fermi Gamma-Ray Space Telescope Mission, The Astrophysical Journal 697 (2009) 1071.
- [41] P. Mészáros, et al., Models of the Prompt and High Energy Emission of GRB, GRB 2010 Kyoto Conference Proceedings (2010).
- [42] N. Omodei, for the Fermi LAT and Fermi GBM collaborations, Fermi-LAT Observations of Gamma-Ray Bursts, ArXiv e-prints (2009).

- [43] U. Jacob, T. Piran, Neutrinos from gamma-ray bursts as a tool to explore quantum-gravity-induced Lorentz violation, Nature Physics 3 (2007) 87–90.
- [44] C. L. Fryer, P. Mészáros, Neutrino-driven Explosions in Gamma-Ray Bursts and Hypernovae, The Astrophysical Journal Letters 588 (2003) L25.
- [45] P. Mészáros, E. Waxman, TeV Neutrinos from Successful and Choked Gamma-Ray Bursts, Physical Review Letters 87 (2001) 171102.
- [46] D. Burlon, et al., Time resolved spectral behavior of bright BATSE precursors, Astronomy and Astrophysics 505 (2009) 569–575.
- [47] B. L. Dingus, EGRET observations of > 30 MeV emission from the brightest bursts detected by BATSE, Astrophysics and Space Science 231 (1995) 187–190. 10.1007/BF00658613.
- [48] G. J. Fishman, C. A. Meegan, Gamma-Ray Bursts, Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics 33 (1995) 415–458.
- [49] M. Sommer, et al., High-energy gamma rays from the intense 1993 January 31 gamma-ray burst, Astrophysical Journal Letters 422 (1994) L63–L66.
- [50] A. A. Abdo, et al., Fermi Large Area Telescope First Source Catalog, Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series 188 (2010) 405–436.
- [51] G. Ghisellini, et al., GeV emission from gamma-ray bursts: a radiative fireball?, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society 403 (2010) 926–937.
- [52] Ghirlanda, G., Ghisellini, G., Nava, L., The onset of the GeV afterglow of GRB 090510, Astronomy and Astrophysics 510 (2010) L7.
- [53] M. D. Pasquale, et al., Swift and Fermi Observations of the Early Afterglow of the Short Gamma-Ray Burst 090510, The Astrophysical Journal Letters 709 (2010) L146.
- [54] A. Corsi, D. Guetta, L. Piro, High-energy Emission Components in the Short GRB 090510, The Astrophysical Journal 720 (2010) 1008.

- [55] H. He, et al., On the High Energy Emission of the Short GRB 090510, ArXiv e-prints (2010).
- [56] K. Toma, X. Wu, P. Mészáros, A Photosphere-Internal Shock Model of Gamma-Ray Bursts: Implications for the Fermi/LAT Results, ArXiv e-prints (2010).
- [57] J. K. Becker, et al., Neutrino Emission from High-energy Component Gamma-ray Bursts, The Astrophysical Journal 721 (2010) 1891.
- [58] K. Asano, S. Inoue, P. Mészáros, Prompt High-Energy Emission from Proton-Dominated Gamma-Ray Bursts, The Astrophysical Journal 699 (2009) 953.
- [59] The Fermi LAT and Fermi GBM Collaborations, Fermi Observations of High-Energy Gamma-Ray Emission from GRB 080916C, Science 323 (2009) 1688–1693.
- [60] A. A. Abdo, et al., Fermi Observations of GRB 090902B: A Distinct Spectral Component in the Prompt and Delayed Emission, The Astrophysical Journal Letters 706 (2009) L138.
- [61] E. O'Connor, C. D. Ott, Black Hole Formation in Failing Core-Collapse Supernovae, ArXiv e-prints (2010).
- [62] C. L. Fryer, D. E. Holz, S. A. Hughes, Gravitational Wave Emission from Core Collapse of Massive Stars, The Astrophysical Journal 565 (2002) 430.
- [63] M. B. Davies, et al., Gamma-Ray Bursts, Supernova Kicks, and Gravitational Radiation, The Astrophysical Journal Letters 579 (2002) L63.
- [64] A. King, et al., Gamma-Ray Bursts: Restarting the Engine, The Astrophysical Journal Letters 630 (2005) L113.
- [65] A. L. Piro, E. Pfahl, Fragmentation of Collapsar Disks and the Production of Gravitational Waves, The Astrophysical Journal 658 (2007) 1173.
- [66] M. H. P. M. van Putten, A. Levinson, Detecting Energy Emissions from a Rotating Black Hole, Science 295 (2002) 1874–1877.

- [67] S. Kobayashi, P. Mészáros, Gravitational Radiation from Gamma-Ray Burst Progenitors, The Astrophysical Journal 589 (2003) 861.
- [68] D. Guetta, L. Stella, Short γ -ray bursts and gravitational waves from dynamically formed merging binaries, Astronomy and Astrophysics 498 (2009) 329–333.
- [69] M. A. Scheel, et al., High-accuracy waveforms for binary black hole inspiral, merger, and ringdown, Physical Review D 79 (2009) 024003.
- [70] C. Hopman, et al., The Redshift Distribution of Short Gamma-Ray Bursts from Dynamically Formed Neutron Star Binaries, The Astrophysical Journal Letters 643 (2006) L91.
- [71] B. J. Morsony, D. Lazzati, M. C. Begelman, The Origin and Propagation of Variability in the Outflows of Long-duration Gamma-ray Bursts, The Astrophysical Journal 723 (2010) 267.
- [72] W. Zhang, A. MacFadyen, The Dynamics and Afterglow Radiation of Gamma-Ray Bursts. I. Constant Density Medium, The Astrophysical Journal 698 (2009) 1261.
- [73] D. Guetta, M. Spada, E. Waxman, On the Neutrino Flux from Gamma-Ray Bursts, The Astrophysical Journal 559 (2001) 101.
- [74] E. Waxman, Astrophysical sources of high energy neutrinos, Nuclear Physics B Proceedings Supplements 118 (2003) 353–362.
- [75] S. Razzaque, P. Mészáros, E. Waxman, High Energy Neutrinos from a Slow Jet Model of Core Collapse Supernovae, Modern Physics Letters A 20 (2005) 2351–2367.
- [76] S. Ando, J. F. Beacom, Revealing the Supernova–Gamma-Ray Burst Connection with TeV Neutrinos, Physical Review Letters 95 (2005) 061103.
- [77] S. Razzaque, P. Mészáros, E. Waxman, TeV Neutrinos from Core Collapse Supernovae and Hypernovae, Physical Review Letters 93 (2004) 181101.

- [78] S. Razzaque, P. Mészáros, E. Waxman, Neutrino tomography of gamma ray bursts and massive stellar collapses, Physical Review D 68 (2003) 083001.
- [79] E. Waxman, J. N. Bahcall, Neutrino Afterglow from Gamma-Ray Bursts: $\sim 10^{18}$ eV, Astrophys. Journal 541 (2000) 707–711.
- [80] M. Vietri, Ultra high energy neutrinos from gamma ray bursts., Nuclear Physics B Proceedings Supplements 69 (1999) 694–698.
- [81] D. Lazzati, Precursor activity in bright, long BATSE gamma-ray bursts, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 357 (2005) 722–731.
- [82] D. Burlon, et al., Precursors in Swift Gamma Ray Bursts with Redshift, The Astrophysical Journal Letters 685 (2008) L19–L22.
- [83] E. Troja, S. Rosswog, N. Gehrels, Precursors of short gamma-ray bursts, ArXiv e-prints (2010).
- [84] X.-Y. Wang, P. Mészáros, GRB Precursors in the Fallback Collapsar Scenario, The Astrophysical Journal 670 (2007) 1247–1253.
- [85] D. Lazzati, M. C. Begelman, Universal GRB Jets from Jet-Cocoon Interaction in Massive Stars, The Astrophysical Journal 629 (2005) 903–907.
- [86] L. Li, Gamma-ray burst precursors as the remnant of the thermal radiation initially trapped in the fireball, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society 380 (2007) 621–636.