

UCC Library and UCC researchers have made this item openly available. Please let us know how this has helped you. Thanks!

Title	Phenotype and risk factors of venom-induced anaphylaxis: A case- control study of the European Anaphylaxis Registry	
Author(s)	 Francuzik, Wojciech; Ruëff, Franziska; Bauer, Andrea; Bilò, Maria Beatrice; Cardona, Victoria; Christoff, George; Dölle-Bierke, Sabine; Ensina, Luis; Fernández Rivas, Montserrat; Hawranek, Thomas; Hourihane, Jonathan O'B.; Jakob, Thilo; Papadopoulos, Nicos G.; Pföhler, Claudia; Poziomkowska-Gesicka, Iwona; Van der Brempt, Xavier; Scherer Hofmeier, Kathrin; Treudler, Regina; Wagner, Nicola; Wedi, Bettina; Worm, Margitta 	
Publication date	2020-06-22	
Original citation	Francuzik, W., Ruëff, F., Bauer, A., Bilò, M. B., Cardona, V., Christoff, G., Dölle-Bierke, S., Ensina, L., Rivas, M. F., Hawranek, T., Hourihane, J. O. B., Papadopoulos, G., Pföhler, C., Poziomkowska-Gęsicka, I., Van der Brempt, X., Scherer Hofmeier, K., Treudler, R., Wagner, N., Wedi, B. and Worm, M. (2020) 'Phenotype and risk factors of venom-induced anaphylaxis: A case-control study of the European Anaphylaxis Registry', Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2020.06.008	
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)	
Link to publisher's version	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2020.06.008 Access to the full text of the published version may require a subscription.	
Rights	© 2020, American Academy of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. This manuscript version is made available under the CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license. https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/	
Embargo information	Access to this article is restricted until 12 months after publication by request of the publisher.	
Embargo lift date	2021-06-22	
Item downloaded from Downloaded on 202	http://hdl.handle.net/10468/10500 21-11-27T11:43:51Z	
╝┖ノ┖ょ		

University College Cork, Ireland Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh

Journal Pre-proof

Phenotype and risk factors of venom-induced anaphylaxis: a case-control study of the European Anaphylaxis Registry

Wojciech Francuzik, MD, Franziska Ruëff, MD, Andrea Bauer, MD, Maria Beatrice Bilò, MD, Victoria Cardona, MD, PhD, George Christoff, MD, PhD, Sabine Dölle-Bierke, PhD, Luis Ensina, MD, PhD, Montserat Fernandes-Rivas, MD, PhD, Thomas Hawranek, MD, Johnathan O'B Hourihane, MD, PhD, Thilo Jakob, MD, Nicos G. Papadopoulus, MD PhD, Claudia Pföhler, MD, Iwona Poziomkowska-Gęsicka, MD, PhD, Xavier Van der Brempt, MD, Kathrin Scherer Hofmeier, MD, Regina Treudler, MD, Nicola Wagner, MD, Bettina Wedi, MD, Margitta Worm, MD



PII: S0091-6749(20)30838-1

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2020.06.008

Reference: YMAI 14633

To appear in: Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology

Received Date: 29 January 2020

Revised Date: 27 May 2020

Accepted Date: 2 June 2020

Please cite this article as: Francuzik W, Ruëff F, Bauer A, Bilò MB, Cardona V, Christoff G, Dölle-Bierke S, Ensina L, Fernandes-Rivas M, Hawranek T, O'B Hourihane J, Jakob T, Papadopoulus NG, Pföhler C, Poziomkowska-Gęsicka I, Van der Brempt X, Hofmeier KS, Treudler R, Wagner N, Wedi B, Worm M, Phenotype and risk factors of venom-induced anaphylaxis: a case-control study of the European Anaphylaxis Registry, *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* (2020), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2020.06.008.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 American Academy of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology

Phenotype and risk factors of venom-induced anaphylaxis: a case-control study of the European Anaphylaxis Registry

3 Wojciech Francuzik, MD¹, Franziska Ruëff, MD², Andrea Bauer, MD³, Maria Beatrice Bilò,

4 MD ^{4,5}, Victoria Cardona, MD, PhD ^{6,7}, George Christoff, MD, PhD ^{8,9}, Sabine Dölle-Bierke,

5 PhD¹, Luis Ensina, MD, PhD¹⁰, Montserat Fernandes-Rivas, MD, PhD^{7,11}, Thomas

Hawranek, MD ¹², Johnathan O'B Hourihane, MD, PhD ¹³, Thilo Jakob, MD ^{14,15}, Nicos G.
Papadopoulus, MD PhD ^{16,17}, Claudia Pföhler MD ¹⁸, Iwona Poziomkowska-Gęsicka, MD,

Papadopoulus, MD PhD ^{16,17}, Claudia Pföhler MD ¹⁸, Iwona Poziomkowska-Gęsicka, MD,
 PhD ¹⁹, Xavier Van der Brempt, MD ²⁰, Kathrin Scherer Hofmeier, MD ²¹, Regina Treudler,

9 MD ^226, Nicola Wagner, MD 23 , Bettina Wedi, MD 24 , Margitta Worm, MD 1

- ¹ Division of Allergy and Immunology, Department of Dermatology, Venerology, and
- 11 Allergology, Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität

12 Berlin, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, and Berlin Institute of Health, Germany

- ² Department of Dermatology and Allergy, Ludwig-Maximilian University, Munich,
- 14 Germany

³ University Allergy Center, University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus, Technical University

16 Dresden, Dresden, Germany

17 ⁴ Allergy Unit, Department of Internal Medicine, University Hospital Ospedali Riuniti di

- 18 Ancona, Ancona, Italy
- ⁵ Department of Clinical and Molecular Sciences, Polytechnic University of Marche, Ancona,
 Italy
- ⁶ Allergy Section, Department of Internal Medicine, Hospital Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona,
 Spain
- 23 ⁷ ARADyAL Research Network
- 24 ⁸ Faculty of Public Health, Medical University-Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria
- ⁹ Allergy Out-patient Department, Acibadem CityClinic, Tokuda Medical Centre, Sofia,
 Bulgaria
- ¹⁰ Division of Allergy, Clinical Immunology and Rheumatology, Department of Pediatrics,
 Federal University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil
- ¹¹ Department of Allergy, Hospital Clinico San Carlos, Universidad Complutense, IdISSC,
 Madrid, Spain
- 31 ¹² Department of Dermatology, University Hospital Salzburg, Paracelsus Medical University,
- 32 Salzburg, Austria
- ¹³ DM University College Cork and Cork University Hospital, Cork, Ireland

- ¹⁴ Department of Dermatology and Allergology, University Medical Center Giessen and
- 35 Marburg, Justus-Liebig University Gießen, Gießen, Germany
- 36 ¹⁵ Allergy Research Group, Medical Center, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany
- ¹⁶ Allergy Department, 2nd Pediatric Clinic, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens,
 Athens, Greece
- ¹⁷ Division of Infection, Immunity & Respiratory Medicine, University of Manchester,
- 40 Manchester, UK
- ¹⁸ Department of Dermatology, Saarland University Medical Center, Homburg/Saar,
 Germany
- ¹⁹ Clinical Allergology Department, Pomeranian Medical University in Szczecin, Szczecin,
 Poland
- 45 ²⁰ Allergy Vigilance Network, Nancy, France
- ²¹ Division of Allergy, Department of Dermatology, University Hospital Basel, University of
 Basel, Basel, Switzerland
- 48 ²² Department of Dermatology, Venereology and Allergology, Leipzig Interdisciplinary
- 49 Allergy Center (LICA)-Comprehensive Allergy Center, University Hospital, Leipzig,
- 50 Germany
- 51 ²³ Department of Dermatology, University Hospital Erlangen, Friedrich-Alexander-
- 52 Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg (FAU), Erlangen, Germany
- ²⁴ Department of Dermatology and Allergy, Comprehensive Allergy Center, Hannover
 Medical School, Hannover, Germany
- 55 **Corresponding author:** Prof. Dr. med. M. Worm, margitta.worm@charite.de, Klinik für
- 56 Dermatologie, Venerologie und Allergologie, Charitéplatz 1, 10117, Berlin, Germany.
- 57 Phone: +49 30 450 529 005; Fax: +49 30 450 529 902

58 **Conflict of interest:**

- A. Bauer reports personal fees from ALK, Allergopharma, Allergy Therapeutics, Diater,
- 60 LETI, Thermofisher, and Stallergens outside the submitted work N. Wagner reports
- 61 personal fees from ALK outside the submitted work. R. Treudler reports grants and
- 62 personal fees from Sanofi-Genzyme, ALK-Abello, Takeda, Novartis, grants from Hautnetz
- 63 Leipzig and Fraunhofer-IZI Leipzig, outside the submitted work. V. Cardona reports
- 64 personal fees from ALK, Allergopharma, Allergy Therapeutics, Diater, LET, Thermofisher
- and Stallergens outside the submitted work. M. B. Bilò reports personal fees from ALK
 outside the submitted work. K. Scherer reports personal fees from Allergopharma, Sanofi-
- 67 Aventis, and Shire outside submitted work. Franziska Ruëff reports personal fees outside
- 68 the submitted work from ALK-Abelló, Allergopharma, Bencard, Boehringer Ingelheim,
- 69 Bristol Myers Squibb, Circassia, Dermira, DST, LEO Pharma, Lilly, Dr. Gerhard Mann chem.-
- 70 pharm. Fabrik GmbH, Mylan, Novartis, Pfizer, Thermo Fisher Scientific and UCB Claudia

- 71 Pföhler performed clinical studies for Allergy Therapeutics and received speaker honoraria
- and travel support from Bencard, Novartis and ALK. The rest of the authors declare that
- 73 they have no relevant conflicts of interest.
- 74 **Document statistics:** 3136 words, 5 figures, 0 tables, 34 references

75 **List of abbreviations:**

- 76 VIA venom-induced anaphylaxis
- 77 BST baseline Serum Tryptase
- 78 EAI epinephrine autoinjector
- 79 MCAS mast cell activation syndrome
- 80 ER emergency room

82 Abstract

- 83 **Background:** Venom-induced anaphylaxis is a common, potentially life-threatening
- 84 hypersensitivity reaction associated with specific: 1) symptom profile, 2) cofactors, and 3)
- 85 management. Identifying the differences in phenotypes of anaphylaxis is crucial for future
- 86 management guidelines and the development of a personalized medicine approach.
- 87 **Objective:** This study aimed to evaluate the phenotype and risk factors of venom-induced88 anaphylaxis.
- 89 **Methods:** Using data from the European Anaphylaxis Registry (12874 cases) we identified
- 90 3612 patients with venom-induced anaphylaxis and analyzed these in comparison to sex-
- 91 and age- matched anaphylaxis cases triggered by other elicitors (non-VIA n = 3605).
- 92 **Results:** Venom-induced anaphylaxis more frequently involved more than three organ
- 93 systems and was associated with cardiovascular symptoms. The absence of skin symptoms
- 94 during anaphylaxis correlated with baseline serum tryptase and was associated with an
- 95 increased risk of a severe reaction. Intramuscular or intravenous epinephrine was
- administered significantly less often in venom-induced anaphylaxis, in particular in
- patients without prior history of anaphylaxis. Baseline serum tryptase within the upper
- normal range (8-11.5 ng-ml) was more frequently associated with severe anaphylaxis.
- 99 **Conclusion:** Using a large cohort of VIA cases, we have validated that patients with
- 100 intermediate baseline serum tryptase levels (8 11 ng/ml) and without skin involvement
- 101 have higher risk of severe VIA. Patients receiving beta-blockers or ACE-I had a higher risk
- 102 of developing severe cardiovascular symptoms (including cardiac arrest) in VIA and non-
- 103 VIA cases. Patients undergoing VIA received epinephrine less frequently than non-VIA
- 104 cases.

105 Clinical Implications

- 106 Allergologists should educate patients about risk of future reactions, consider prescribing 2
- 107 epinephrine autoinjectors, and performing SIT in patients with baseline serum tryptase of
- 108 above eight ng/ml and a history of insect venom anaphylaxis without skin involvement.

109 Capsule Summary

- 110 Venom-induced anaphylaxis significantly more often presented with cardiovascular
- symptoms. Severe cases more often showed lack of skin involvement and were associated
- 112 with higher levels of baseline serum tryptase (in range from 8 11 ng/ml).
- 113 Keywords: anaphylaxis, epinephrine (adrenaline), beta-blockers, insect venom allergy,
- 114 Hymenoptera

81

115

116 Introduction

- 117 Hypersensitivity to insect venom presents as a systemic reaction (anaphylaxis) in up to
- 118 0.3–7.5% of the adult population¹. Venom-induced anaphylaxis (VIA) can be fatal, and
- 119 patients sometimes require lifelong specific immunotherapy². There is a need for more
- 120 precise identification of biomarkers, and better definition of phenotypes of anaphylaxis³.
- 121 Also, in order to facilitate a precision-medicine approach⁴ for the diagnosis of anaphylaxis,
- a better understanding of its clinical phenotypes is required.
- 123 Anaphylaxis is a clinical diagnosis with a variety of triggering factors and clinical
- 124 presentations. Symptom profiles and specific cofactors for venom-induced anaphylaxis
- 125 (VIA) had previously been analyzed in an uncontrolled manner, albeit in relatively small
- 126 $cohorts^{5-7}$.
- 127 Controlled clinical trials in anaphylaxis are difficult to conduct due to the acuteness of this
- 128 life-threatening condition and its infrequent and random occurrence. Therefore registries,
- 129 gathering clinical data from patients with a well-documented (recent) history of
- 130 anaphylaxis are crucial in investigating this entity.
- 131 This study aimed to identify clinical patterns of VIA regarding symptoms, cofactors, and
- 132 management by a case-control comparison with other types of anaphylaxis (non-VIA)
- 133 based on the data from the European Anaphylaxis Registry.

134 Methods

- 135 We searched the European Anaphylaxis Registry⁸ (status until March 2019) for
- 136 anaphylaxis cases elicited by insect venom. The flowchart in Fig. 1A represents the detailed
 127 case selection process
- 137 case-selection process.
- 138 The diagnosis of anaphylaxis was based on the definition by NIAID/FAAN⁹ and the severity
- according to the Ring and Messmer Scale¹⁰. Reactions of grade II were considered mild and
- 140 grades III and IV (presenting with significant hypoxia, hypotension, confusion, and loss of
- 141 consciousness, or incontinence or cardiac arrest) were considered severe. Mastocytosis
- 142 patients were defined as having a documented diagnosis of mastocytosis in medical history
- 143 prior to the reaction. The Registry is designed for reporting cases of moderate to severe
- 144 anaphylaxis (Ring and Messmer grades II-IV).
- 145 Due to a large number of documented reactions in the European Anaphylaxis Registry, we
- 146 were able to match the VIA with non-VIA cases according to sex and age. When we
- 147 analyzed a density plot of VIA cases according to age, we determined a bimodal distribution
- 148 forming two subsets of patients with a cutoff age of 22 (Fig. 1B). Subsequently, we
- 149 compared the management in both groups and matched the control group according to the
- 150 severity of a reaction.

- 151 Cases were matched according to sex, age, and reaction severity in order to reduce the
- 152 comparison bias by propensity score matching. Propensity score is a statistical approach to
- 153 quantify the similarity between two unrelated cases. Propensity scores were calculated
- 154 using the "MatchIt" package for R¹¹. MatchIt uses logistic regression to reduce the bias due
- to multiple confounding variables (i.e. sex and age) by weighing them and choosing cases
- with minimal differences in both groups. The results of the propensity score matching are
- 157 illustrated in Fig. 1B-D and eFig. 2.
- 158 The final database included 3612 cases of venom-induced anaphylaxis reported from
- allergy centers in 11 countries and sex- and age-matched control group. We compared the
- 160 frequency of various symptoms, cofactors known to increase the risk of severe
- 161 anaphylaxis,¹², and management in both groups.
- Based on the severity and symptom profile and the previous reports⁶, we defined subelevated baseline serum tryptase (BST) values as 8 11.5 ng/ml (Fig. 3C-D).
- 164 We used the R Statistical Package¹³ for statistical analysis. A simple comparison of
- 165 categorical variables was performed using either the Chi² test or Fisher's exact test (where
- 166 the number of observations in a bin was less than 10). Continuous variables were analyzed
- 167 using the Mann-Whitney U test. In case of comparisons with two or more independent
- 168 variables, we used Factorial ANOVA or Generalized Linear Models. We defined statistical
- 169 significance as $\alpha = 0.05$. Data, along with the analysis script, can be accessed online at
- 170 https://github.com/wolass/venomanaphylaxiscompendium.
- 171 We developed a Random Forest classifier (using the "randomForest" package for R¹⁴) in
- 172 order to find therapeutic approaches that varied the most between VIA / non-VIA group
- and presented the results as Gini importance¹⁵. Moreover, association analysis of
- 174 therapeutic interventions and symptoms was performed. The resulting phi values were
- scaled and presented in a heatmap with automatic clustering using Ward's Agglomerative
- 176 Hierarchical Clustering with Euclidean distances¹⁶.

177 Results

178 VIA is more frequently associated with cardiovascular symptoms

- 179 VIA displayed a specific symptom pattern. Patients, who underwent VIA, more often
- 180 experienced cardiovascular symptoms (dizziness, hypotension, unconsciousness, reduced
- alertness) than patients with anaphylaxis due to other elicitors and less often presented
- 182 with respiratory distress, rhinitis or diarrhea (Fig. 2A).
- 183 Although the pattern of organ involvement during anaphylaxis in both groups showed
- 184 similarities in gastrointestinal, skin, and respiratory systems, VIA more frequently involved
- 185 more than three organ systems (2356 (65.4%) vs. 2023 (56.1%), p < 0.001), and
- 186 predominantly involved cardiovascular system (2984 (82.8%) vs. 2244 (62.2%) p < 0.001
- 187 Fig. 2B).

- 188 Younger patients (under 22) presented even more prominent differences in hypotension
- 189 symptoms and significantly less frequently reported gastrointestinal symptoms (e.g.,
- 190 vomiting) when the reaction was triggered by insect venom (Fig. 2C-E).

191 Absence of skin symptoms during anaphylaxis is associated with more

192 severe episodes of VIA

- 193 We found that 74 (54.4%) of patients with concomitant mastocytosis had anaphylaxis
- 194 without skin symptoms (i.e., urticaria and flushing), which was significantly more frequent
- 195 compared to patients without diagnosed mastocytosis (2031; 30.7%, p < 0.001). This
- 196 finding was most prominently seen in VIA (Fig. 3A).
- 197 Similarly, in non-mastocytosis patients undergoing VIA, skin symptoms (i.e., urticaria or
- 198 flushing) were less often present than if anaphylaxis was triggered by other elicitors (2356;
- 68% vs. 2495; 70.4% respectively, p = 0.031). Moreover, in this specific subgroup of
- 200 patients (i.e., non-mastocytosis patients lacking skin symptoms) VIA was significantly more
- 201 frequently severe (587; 52.9% in VIA vs. 498; 47.4%, p < 0.001, Fig. 3B).
- 202 By applying factorial logistic regression modeling (Table S1), we confirmed a significant
- interaction effect between the presence of skin symptoms and insect venom on the severity
- of anaphylaxis (p < 0.001). In other words, non-mastocytosis patients presenting without
- 205 urticaria or flushing tended to have more severe anaphylaxis when triggered by insects.
- 206 (Fig. 3B, and Tab. S1).

207 Absence of skin symptoms correlates with BST levels and increases

208 the risk of severe anaphylaxis specifically in VIA

- 209 BST levels were significantly higher in patients with a prior diagnosis of mastocytosis (eFig.
- 210 7). We investigated the association of skin symptoms with the tryptase levels in non-
- 211 mastocytosis patients. For this model, we excluded the cases with known mastocytosis and
- with BST above 11.5 ng/ml, potentially indicating non-diagnosed mast cell activation
- disorders. Similarly, 1) tryptase levels were higher in VIA patients, 2) correlated with the
- severity of anaphylaxis, and 3) this effect was significant in VIA (p = 0.006) but not in the
- 215 non-VIA group (Fig. 3C-D).

216 BST over 8 ng/ml and concomitant cardiovascular conditions increase

217 the risk of severe VIA

- 218 The cofactor most prominently associated with an increased risk of severe anaphylaxis was
- 219 mastocytosis (Fig. 4). Concomitant mastocytosis increased the risk for 1) cardiac arrest and
- 220 2) loss of consciousness in patients undergoing VIA significantly more than in patients
- 221 undergoing anaphylaxis due to other elicitors (Fig. 4C and eFig. 3A).
- 222 In line with the findings above, BST levels also correlated with the severity of anaphylaxis
- 223 (on the Ring and Messmer scale) and, most importantly, sub-elevated BST was more

prominently associated with increasing the risk of severe anaphylaxis in VIA than in non-VIA (Fig. 2D and Fig. 4B).

226 Concomitant cardiovascular diseases were more prevalent in VIA than in non-VIA cases

227 (892 (24.8%) vs. 657 (18.2%)) and were associated with higher risk of severe anaphylaxis

when elicited by insects but were not relevant in non-VIA cases (Fig. 4). Interestingly, BST

values were increased in patients with concomitant cardiovascular diseases, irrespectively

of the reaction severity (eFig. 4).

231 Other cofactors of severe reactions

Severe reactions of VIA were more prevalent in patients above 22 years of age, and in VIA
cases vs. non-VIA cases (eFig. 5). There were no differences in severity of reactions elicited
by yellow-jackets and other insect species (p = 0.4128).

235 The effect of using ACE-I (as well as beta-blockers) on the risk of severe anaphylaxis

236 correlated with coexisting cardiovascular diseases. ACE-I use was, however, more often

associated with cardiac arrests in all anaphylaxis cases (30 (5.8%) vs. 118 (1.9%), p <

- 238 0.001) regardless of the elicitor (Fig. 4C). Beta-blocker use was associated with a higher
- 239 severity of anaphylaxis and with the onset of cardiovascular symptoms (cardiac arrest,
- 240 chest pain), but was comparable between both VIA and non-VIA, p = 0.144). Surprisingly,
- 241 arrhythmia was more frequently reported in patients with VIA and concomitant beta-
- 242 blockers (Fig. 4C).
- 243 IVA was more often severe if the reaction occurred in the first 10 minutes after exposure to
- venom (46.58% were severe cases) then when the reaction occurred after 10 minutes post
- 245 exposure (39.75% were severe cases, p = 0.001).

246 **One-third of VIA patients experience repeated reactions**

247 940 (28.5%) of patients with insect allergy had experienced venom anaphylaxis in the past.

- 248 If the reaction was elicited by other elicitors (i.e., non-VIA) previous reactions were
- 249 more frequently seen (1929; 35.7%, p < 0.001). We observed 227 patients with at least two
- 250 fully-documented reactions. Out of these 59 (26%) had insect elicited anaphylaxis and in 6
- of them (10.2%), the following reaction was more severe than before. In 43 (72.9%) cases,
- the reaction was similar in severity.

253 VIA patients receive epinephrine less often than non-VIA

- 254 We evaluated epinephrine use (administered by any route from patients themselves and
- 255 medical professionals) in both ambulatory and emergency room settings.
- 256 Patients who underwent VIA significantly less often received epinephrine treatment than
- in other anaphylaxis cases (597; 26.9% vs. 738; 34.6%, p < 0.001). After adjusting both
- 258 groups for similar severity the difference in epinephrine use was still significant
- 259 irrespective of the administration route (p < 0.001, Fig 5B).
- 260 A positive history of anaphylaxis influenced the therapy of a current episode as well.
- 261 Epinephrine as a first-line treatment was given less often in VIA cases when compared to

- other cases if patients did not report a previous history of anaphylaxis (p < 0.001), but
 in patients reporting previous reactions, there was no difference in epinephrine therapy (p
- 264 = 0.438, Fig. 5B). Similarly, there were no differences in the epinephrine use between VIA
- and non-VIA when only severe reactions were taken into consideration (p = 0.242).
- However, when we restricted the analysis to moderate anaphylaxis cases non-VIA
- 267 patients received epinephrine more frequently than VIA (p < 0.001). The presence of skin
- symptoms during these mild reactions also was associated with a lower fraction ofepinephrine treated patients (eFig. 6).
- 270 Patients with VIA received corticosteroids and antihistamines significantly more frequently
- than patients with anaphylaxis to other elicitors. On the other hand, epinephrine, beta-2
- 272 mimetics, and oxygen were given more often to patients suffering from non-VIA (Fig. 5A).
- 273 Next, we asked whether specific symptom clusters and treatment profiles could be
- 274 identified within our cohort (association measured using phi coefficient). We found that
- 275 patients displaying cardiovascular symptoms (cardiac arrest, hypotension, loss of
- 276 consciousness) and urticaria were treated differently than patients with respiratory or
- 277 gastrointestinal symptoms (Fig. 5C). The treatment of the former symptoms consisted of
- 278 epinephrine autoinjector (EAI) use, i.v. epinephrine in multiple doses, 100% oxygen
- inhalation, an initial dose of antihistamines, and inhaled β -2 agonists. Corticosteroids, i.v.
- volume replacement, and i.v. β -2 agonists formed another therapy mode.

281 Discussion

282 In this study, we identified distinct symptom-profile and treatment patterns of venom-

- 283 induced anaphylaxis. The data unraveled phenotypes of VIA, which may support the
- 284 development of tools incorporating clinical data for predicting the severity of future
- 285 episodes of anaphylaxis.
- 286 VIA was more often associated with cardiovascular symptoms than non-VIA. Previous
- studies suggest an essential link between the cardiovascular system and insect sting
- hypersensitivity^{7,12,17}. VIA has been associated with Kounis syndrome (coronary arterial
 spasm induced by the release of mast cell mediators^{18,19}) and cardiac arrhythmias usually
- spasm induced by the release of mast cell mediators^{18,19})
 occurring in patients with preexisting heart disease²⁰.
- 291 The rate of concomitant cardiovascular diseases was higher in VIA than non-VIA. They are
- an essential cofactor increasing the risk of a severe reaction if Hymenoptera elicited the
- anaphylaxis. This association was not significant in anaphylaxis elicited by other elicitors.
- 294 Notably, cardiac arrest occurred more frequently in patients with elevated BST (> 8 ng/ml),
- especially in VIA. Nevertheless, the pathomechanism promoting cardiovascular symptoms
- 296 in VIA requires further investigation.
- As cardiovascular symptoms like hypotension, collapse, or cardiac arrest lead to a higher
- 298 grade on the Ring and Messmer scale than skin or gastrointestinal symptoms, VIA (being
- associated with cardiovascular symptoms) is likely to be associated with more severe
- 300 anaphylaxis.

- 301 Importantly, the absence of skin symptoms was associated with more severe VIA, which
- 302 was still present after excluding patients with a known diagnosis of mastocytosis (although
- in non-mastocytosis cases the difference between groups was small and the clinical
- relevance of this needs cautious evaluation). Previous studies also observed this
- 305 phenomenon^{21,22}. Subsequently, the correlation of BST levels with the severity of
- anaphylaxis lead us to identify an interaction between the absence of skin symptoms and
- 307 VIA using generalized linear regression.
- 308 Our findings indicate that patients with BST above 8 ng/ml are prone to severe anaphylaxis 309 to insect venom. Patients with normal BST in the range of 8-11.4 ng/ml may have indolent
- 310 systemic mastocytosis or concomitant undiagnosed mast cell activation syndrome
- 311 (MCAS)²³. Zanotti et al. identified mast cell disorders in 17 out of 22 patients with VIA
- 312 lacking skin symptoms and concluded that patients with BST above 7.95 ng/ml and VIA
- 313 should undergo extensive diagnostic procedures²⁴. We recently identified that elderly
- 314 patient undergoing anaphylaxis without concomitant skin symptoms tended to have more
- severe reactions²⁵. Our finding are in concordance with a recent retrospective study from
- 316 Fehr et al.²² who identified lack of skin symptoms as a risk factor for severe VIA.
- Based on these and previous findings^{6,24,26} we propose to perform a peripheral blood KIT
- 318 D816V mutation test in cases of BST of above 8 ng/ml and with a history of anaphylaxis
- 319 presenting without urticaria or flushing. Previous studies showed 92% sensitivity of this
- 320 test in patients with hymenoptera anaphylaxis, presenting without skin symptoms and
- 321 with tryptase under 20 ng/ml²⁷.
- Age is an important risk factor for severe anaphylaxis²⁸. Adult patients experienced VIA
 more frequently. Young patients mainly suffer from food-induced anaphylaxis⁸. Emergency
- 324 room (ER) admission data indicate that the frequency of insect stings hypersensitivity
- 325 reactions in children is comparable to food hypersensitivity reactions (12-15% of cases of
- 326 hypersensitivity reactions admitted to the ER), but pediatric anaphylaxis is triggered
- 327 significantly more often by food elicitors (56% of food hypersensitivity cases vs. 5.3% of
- 328 sting cases seen in the ER)²⁹. Senior patients, on the other hand, suffer from drug-related
- hypersensitivity more often than insect sting hypersensitivity²⁵. Similarly, we observed
- less VIA in patients with concomitant atopic diseases (eFig. 3), as these patients more often
- 331 present with food anaphylaxis³⁰.
- 332 The role of cardiovascular medication cannot be isolated from the effect of concomitant
- 333 cardiovascular conditions; therefore, we cannot state whether ACE-I and beta-blockers
- increase the severity of anaphylaxis. However, we did observe that there were no
- 335 significant differences between VIA and non-VIA cases regarding the symptoms and
- 336 severity of an episode with concomitant use of ACE-I or beta-blockers.
- Cases of VIA had been treated with epinephrine less often than the age- sex- and severity-
- 338 matched cases of non-VIA. Moreover, the administration of epinephrine did not depend on
- the trigger if the patient experienced anaphylaxis previously, but was significantly less
- often used if the patients experienced their first episode of VIA (in comparison to non-VIA).
- The difference between groups was prominent for milder cases of anaphylaxis. The reason
- 342 for this observation is unclear. One explanation could be that emergency team more often

- 343 attributed the VIA symptoms to anxiety, whereas in non-VIA, they were more often
- 344 suspecting anaphylaxis. A second possibility could be that many physicians fail to diagnose
- 345 anaphylaxis when no skin symptoms are present. To our knowledge, this is the only data on
- 346 the comparative epinephrine usage in a case-controlled group of VIA vs. non-VIA.
- 347 Nevertheless, international guidelines of anaphylaxis state that epinephrine (i.m.) is the
- 348 first-line agent in all diagnosed cases of anaphylaxis³¹. Clinicians should not underestimate
- 349 the less severe VIA cases and treat them with epinephrine accordingly.
- 350 Although there are no absolute contraindications for using epinephrine in anaphylaxis, one
- 351 potential scenario where clinicians tend to be reluctant to using epinephrine is a
- 352 hypersensitivity reaction presenting with high blood pressure and tachycardia, which may
- 353 be present at the initial phase of VIA. Nevertheless, the three exceptionally well
- documented cases of anaphylaxis upon sting challenge showed that the initial transient
- increase in blood pressure should not be interpreted as a contraindication to epinephrine
- and it could be safely given even if the heart rate was above 120 beats per minute³².
- 357 IVA patients had a documented history of anaphylaxis in 28% of the cases, and systemic
- 358 immunotherapy has not been initiated in these patients, what is against latest management
- 359 guidelines, although this fraction may be slowly decreasing it is of utmost importance to
- 360 recommend SIT to all patients who experienced VIA.
- 361 Based on our findings, insects are the most probable elicitor of anaphylaxis in Europe
- 362 during summer-season, with VIA cases extending from early spring to the end of autumn
- 363 (eFig. 1). Detailed information on the seasonality of insect-elicited hypersensitivity
- reactions is scarce³³. The activity of *Vespula germanica* depends on the climate, and in
- invaded regions (e.i. Australia), it can even extend throughout the year³⁴. The changing
- 366 climate in Europe may influence the activity of Hymenoptera in this region in the upcoming
- 367 years. However, in the period from 2007 2019, the perennial ratio of VIA to non-VIA cases
- 368 has remained unchanged (data not shown).

369 Limitations

- 370 Due to the design of the European Anaphylaxis Registry, our analysis was restricted only to
- 371 cases of anaphylaxis. Milder hypersensitivity reactions, as well as healthy controls, are not
- included in the database. Although The European Anaphylaxis Registry is ideal for
- 373 investigating anaphylaxis phenotypes it might give an incomplete perception of the
- 374 populational distribution of hypersensitivity reactions and restricts us to only comparing
- 375 various forms of anaphylaxis.
- 376 Nevertheless, because the European Anaphylaxis Registry has until now gathered over
- 377 12,000 cases of anaphylaxis it was possible to perform a case-controlled analysis on a
- 378 relatively large number of cases and investigate many aspects of VIA. It is worth
- 379 underlining the important function of international registries, especially in diseases where
- 380 targeted studies are not possible.

381 Conclusion

- Based on our results, VIA is a distinctive phenotype of anaphylaxis, with a specific symptom
- 383 profile and risk factors. Using a large cohort of VIA cases compared to sex and age matched
- 384 non-VIA cases, we have validated that patients with intermediate baseline serum tryptase
- levels (8 11 ng/ml) and without skin involvement have higher risk of severe VIA.
- 386 Similarly, patients receiving beta-blockers or ACE-I had higher risk of developing severe
- 387 cardiovascular symptoms (including cardiac arrest) in VIA and non-VIA cases. Patients
- 388 undergoing VIA received epinephrine less frequently than non-VIA cases.
- 389 VIA cases should undergo therapy according to the international management guidelines,
- 390 and epinephrine should be given more often in VIA. All cases should undergo appropriate
- allergological testing and indication for SIT should be evaluated along with patient
- education regarding the risk of future anaphylaxis. Patients with BST above 8 ng/ml should
- undergo extensive diagnostic tests to exclude indolent systemic mastocytosis or MCAS and
- 394 should be provided with two EAIs for acute self-management.

395 Acknowledgments

396 The European Anaphylaxis Registry was supported by the Network for Online-Registration

- 397 of Anaphylaxis NORA e. V. We thank all patients, parents, and their children for their
- 398 support in providing data on the occurrence of anaphylaxis for this study. We thank the
- 399 study personnel for patients counseling and data entry, and we thank the members of The
- 400 European Anaphylaxis Registry in detail:
- 401 W. Aberer (Graz, Austria), R. Asero (Milan, Italy), S. Aurich (Leipzig, Germany), K. Beyer
- 402 (Berlin, Germany), T. Bieber (Bonn, Germany), R. Brehler (Münster, Germany), W. Brosi
- 403 (Würzburg, Germany), R. Bruns (Greifswald, Germany), A. Brandes (Frankfurt/Oder,
- 404 Germany), T. Buck und J. Büsselberg (Hanover-Misburg, Germany), M. Bücheler (Bonn,
- 405 Germany), S. Büsing (Osnabrück, Germany), N. Cabañes Higuero (Toledo, Spain), E.
- 406 Cichocka-Jarosz (Krakow, Poland), H. Dickel (Bochum, Germany), N. Douladiris, (Athens,
- 407 Greece), C. Ebner (Vienna, Austria), F. Eitelberger (Wels, Austria), P. Eng (Aarau und
- Lucerne, Switzerland), J. Fischer (Tübingen, Germany), A. Fiocchi (Rome, Italy), T. Fuchs
 (Göttingen, Germany), B. Garcia (Pamplona, Spain), M. Gerstlauer (Augsburg, Germany), M.
- 410 Geißler (Ribnitz-Damgarten, Germany), J. Grünhagen, M. Wittenberg (Berlin, Germany), T.
- 410 Gensier (Kibintz-Daingarten, Germany), J. Grunnagen, M. Wittenberg (Berlin, Germany), T. 411 Hawranek und R. Lang (Salzburg, Austria), G. Hansen (Hanover, Germany), E. Hamelmann
- 412 (Bielefeld, Germany), S. Hämmerling (Heidelberg, Germany), A. Henschel (Berlin,
- 413 Germany), D. Hernandez (Valencia, Spain), F. Hermann, S. Zeidler (St. Augustin,
- 414 Deutschland), F. Horak (Vienna, Austria), S. Hompes (Hamburg, Germany), N. Hunzelmann
- 415 und I. Huseynow (Cologne, Germany), U. Jappe (Borstel, Germany), C. Kemen (Hamburg,
- 416 Germany), T. Kinaciyan (Vienna, Austria), L. Klimek, O. Pfaar (Wiesbaden, Germany), J.
- 417 Klinge (Fürth, Germany), A. Kleinheinz (Buxtehude, Germany), U. Klettke, U. Staden (Berlin,
- 418 Germany), M. Knop, E. Oppel (Munich, Germany), F. Knöpfel (Norderney, Germany), M.
- 419 Kowalski (Lodz, Poland), A. Köhli (Zurich, Switzerland), C. Körner-Rettberg (Bochum,
- 420 Germany), B. Kreft (Halle, Germany), N. Krecké (Homburg, Germany), L. Lange (Bonn,
- 421 Germany), S. Lehmann (Aachen, Germany, I. Manolaraki (Athen, Greece), I. Maris (Cork,

- 422 Ireland), V. Mahler, (Erlangen, Germany), E. Manoussakis, (Athens, Greece), H. Merk
- 423 (Aachen, Germany), S. Meller (Düsseldorf, Germany), J. Meister (Aue, Germany), P. Minale
- 424 (Genua, Italy), D. Mitsias, (Athens, Greece), A. Montoro (Madrid, Spain), A. Möser (Jena,
- Germany), T. Mustakov (Sofia, Bulgaria), A. Muraro (Padua, Italy), S. Müller (Freiburg, 425
- 426 Germany), K. Nemat (Dresden, Germany), S. Nestoris (Lippe-Lemgo, Germany), J.
- Niederwimmer und B. Zahel (Linz, Austria), A. Nordwig (Dresden, Germany), F. Nunes (Sao 427
- 428 Paulo, Brazil), H. Ott (Hanover, Germany), S. Pistauer (Sylt/Westerland, Germany), S. Plank-429
- Habibi (Alzenau, Germany), A. Plaza Martin (Barcelona, Spain), M. Polz (Rüsselsheim,
- 430 Germany), F. Prenzel (Leipzig, Germany), U. Rabe (Treuenbritzen, Germany), N. Reider (Innsbruck, Germany), T. Reese (Rheine, Germany), H. Rebmann (Tübingen, Germany), A. 431
- 432 Reissig (Gera, Germany), J-M. Renaudin, (Nancy, France), E. Rietschel (Cologne, Germany),
- F. Riffelmann (Schmallenberg, Germany), B. Rogala (Silesia, Poland), R. Saternus, 433
- 434 (Homburg, Germany), P. Schmid-Grendelmeier (Zurich, Switzerland), S. Schweitzer-Krantz
- (Düsseldorf, Germany), B. Schilling (Passau, Germany), K. Schäkel (Heidelberg, Germany), J. 435
- 436 Seidenberg (Oldenburg, Deutschland), K. Solarewicz-Madajek (Wroclaw, Poland), T.
- Spindler (Davos, Switzerland), G. Stichtenoth (Lübeck, Germany), C. Stadlin (Zurich, 437
- 438 Switzerland), H. Straube (Darmstadt, Germany), S. Stieglitz (Wuppertal, Germany), Z.
- 439 Szepfalusi (Vienna, Austria), S. Thies (Schwedt, Germany), S. Tscheiller, (Nancy, France), P.
- 440 Utz (Wangen im Allgäu, Germany), E. Varga (Graz, Austria), A. Vega Castro (Guadalajara,
- 441 Spain), C. Virchow (Rostock, Germany), S. Volkmuth (Velbert, Germany), C. Vogelberg
- (Dresden, Germany), J. Witte (Hamburg, Germany), P. Xepapadaki, (Athens, Greece), I. 442
- 443 Yildiz (Neumünster, Germany), N. Zimmermann (Potsdam, Germany).

,our

444

References 445

- 446 1. Bilò BM, Bonifazi F. Epidemiology of insect-venom anaphylaxis. Current Opinion in
- Allergy and Clinical Immunology. 2008;8:330–7. Available from: 447
- https://doi.org/10.1097/aci.0b013e32830638c5 448

449 2. Sturm GJ, Varga E-M, Roberts G, Mosbech H, Bilò MB, Akdis CA, et al. EAACI guidelines on 450 allergen immunotherapy: Hymenoptera venom allergy. Allergy. 2017;73:744–64. Available 451 from: https://doi.org/10.1111/all.13262

3. Jimenez-Rodriguez T, Garcia-Neuer M, Alenazy LA, Castells M. Anaphylaxis in the 21st 452

453 century: Phenotypes, endotypes, and biomarkers. Journal of Asthma and Allergy.

- 2018;Volume 11:121-42. Available from: https://doi.org/10.2147/jaa.s159411 454
- 4. Muraro A, Lemanske RF, Castells M, Torres MJ, Khan D, Simon H-U, et al. Precision 455
- medicine in allergic disease-food allergy, drug allergy, and anaphylaxis-PRACTALL 456

document of the european academy of allergy and clinical immunology and the american 457

458 academy of allergy, asthma and immunology. Allergy. 2017;72:1006–21. Available from:

- 459 https://doi.org/10.1111/all.13132
- 460 5. Lantner R, Reisman RE. Clinical and immunologic features and subsequent course of
- 461 patients with severe insect-sting anaphylaxis. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology.
- 462 1989;84:900–6. Available from:
- 463 http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0091674989903874
- 464 6. Ruëff F, Przybilla B, Biló MB, Müller U, Scheipl F, Aberer W, et al. Predictors of severe
- 465 systemic anaphylactic reactions in patients with hymenoptera venom allergy: Importance
- 466 of baseline serum tryptasea study of the european academy of allergology and clinical
- 467 immunology interest group on insect venom hypersensitivity. Journal of Allergy and
- 468 Clinical Immunology. 2009;124:1047-54.
- 469 7. Nittner-Marszalska M, Cichocka-Jarosz E. Insect sting allergy in adults: Key messages for 470 clinicians. Pol Arch Med Wewn. 2015;125:929-37.
- 471 8. Grabenhenrich LB, Dölle S, Moneret-Vautrin A, Köhli A, Lange L, Spindler T, et al.
- 472 Anaphylaxis in children and adolescents: The european anaphylaxis registry. Journal of
- 473 Allergy and Clinical Immunology. 2016;137:1128–1137.e1. Available from:
- 474 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2015.11.015
- 475 9. Sampson HA, Muñoz-Furlong A, Campbell RL, Adkinson NF, Allan Bock S, Branum A, et al.
- 476 Second symposium on the definition and management of anaphylaxis: Summary report -
- Second National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease/Food Allergy and Anaphylaxis 477
- 478 Network Symposium. Annals of Emergency Medicine. 2006;47:373-80.
- 479 10. Ring J, Messmer K. Incidence and severity of anaphylactoid reactions to colloid volume
- 480 substitutes. Lancet (London, England). 1977;1:466-9.

- 481 11. Ho DE, Imai K, King G, Stuart EA. MatchIt: Nonparametric preprocessing for parametric
- 482 causal inference. Journal of Statistical Software. 2011;42:1–28. Available from:

483 http://www.jstatsoft.org/v42/i08/

484 12. Worm M, Francuzik W, Renaudin J-M, Bilo MB, Cardona V, Hofmeier KS, et al. Factors
485 increasing the risk for a severe reaction in anaphylaxis: An analysis of data from the
486 european anaphylaxis registry. Allergy. 2018; 73:1322-30

- 487 13. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria:
 488 R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2017.
- 489 14. Liaw A, Wiener M. Classification and regression by randomForest. R News. 2002;2:18–
 490 22. Available from: https://CRAN.R-project.org/doc/Rnews/
- 491 15. Strobl C, Boulesteix A-L, Zeileis A, Hothorn T. Bias in random forest variable importance
- 492 measures: Illustrations, sources and a solution. BMC Bioinformatics. 2007;8. Available
 493 from: https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-8-25
- 494 16. Galili, Tal, O'Callaghan, Alan, Sidi, Jonathan, et al. Heatmaply: An r package for creating
- interactive cluster heatmaps for online publishing. Bioinformatics. 2018;9:1600-2;
- 496 Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx657
- 497 17. Bonadonna P, Zanotti R, Müller U. Mastocytosis and insect venom allergy. Current
- 498 Opinion in Allergy and Clinical Immunology. 2010;10:347–53. Available from: 400 https://doi.org/10.1007/aci.0b012a22822b280a
- 499 https://doi.org/10.1097/aci.0b013e32833b280c
- 500 18. Gangadharan V, Bhatheja S, Balbissi KA. Kounis syndrome an atopic monster for the
- 501 heart. Cardiovascular Diagnosis and Therapy. 2013;3. Available from:
- 502 http://cdt.amegroups.com/article/view/1609
- 503 19. Sinkiewicz W, Sobański P, Bartuzi Z. Allergic myocardial infarction. Cardiology Journal.
 504 2008;15:220-5.
- 505 20. Sharma A, Sharma T, Bhatnagar M. An unusual case of sustained ventricular tachycardia
- following a wasp bite. Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care. 2016;5:879. Available
- 507 from: https://doi.org/10.4103/2249-4863.201165
- 508 21. Stoevesandt J, Hain J, Kerstan A, Trautmann A. Over- and underestimated parameters in
- severe hymenoptera venominduced anaphylaxis: Cardiovascular medication and absence
 of urticaria/angioedema. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology. 2012;130:698–
- 510 of utilical la angioedema. Journal of Anergy and Clinical Immunology. 2012 511 704.e1. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2012.03.024
- 512 22 Eabr D. Micalotta C. Maahn T. Sahmid Chandalmaian D. Dial-factors for account on
- 512 22. Fehr D, Micaletto S, Moehr T, Schmid-Grendelmeier P. Risk factors for severe systemic 513 sting reactions in wasp (vespula spp.) and honeybee (apis mellifera) venom allergic
- 513 patients. Clinical and Translational Allergy. 2019;9. Available from:
- 515 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13601-019-0292-5
- 516 23. Valent P, Bonadonna P, Hartmann K, Broesby-Olsen S, Brockow K, Butterfield JH, et al.
- 517 Why the 20%+ 2 tryptase formula is a diagnostic gold standard for severe systemic mast

cell activation and mast cell activation syndrome. International Archives of Allergy and
Immunology. 2019;180:44–51. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1159/000501079

24. Zanotti R, Lombardo C, Passalacqua G, Caimmi C, Bonifacio M, Matteis GD, et al. Clonal
mast cell disorders in patients with severe hymenoptera venom allergy and normal serum
tryptase levels. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology. 2015;136:135–9. Available
fram. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.japi.2014.11.025

- 523 from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2014.11.035
- 524 25. Aurich S, Dölle-Bierke S, Francuzik W, Bilo MB, Christoff G, Fernandez-Rivas M, et al. 525 Anaphylaxis in elderly patientsData from the european anaphylaxis registry. Frontiers in
- 526 Immunology. 2019;10. Available from: https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.00750
- 527 26. Jara-Acevedo M, Teodosio C, Sanchez-Muñoz L, Álvarez-Twose I, Mayado A, Caldas C, et
- al. Detection of the KIT d816v mutation in peripheral blood of systemic mastocytosis:
- 529 Diagnostic implications. Modern Pathology. 2015;28:1138–49. Available from:
- 530 https://doi.org/10.1038/modpathol.2015.72
- 531 27. Kristensen T, Vestergaard H, Bindslev-Jensen C, Mortz CG, Kjaer HF, Ollert M, et al.
- 532 Prospective evaluation of the diagnostic value of sensitive KIT d816v mutation analysis of

533 blood in adults with suspected systemic mastocytosis. Allergy. 2017;72:1737–43. Available

- 534 from: https://doi.org/10.1111/all.13187
- 535 28. Stoevesandt J, Sturm GJ, Bonadonna P, Elberink JNO, Trautmann A. Risk factors and
- indicators of severe systemic insect sting reactions. Allergy. 2019; Available from:
 https://doi.org/10.1111/all.13945
- 538 29. Braganza SC. Paediatric emergency department anaphylaxis: Different patterns from
- adults. Archives of Disease in Childhood. 2005;91:159–63. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1136/adc.2004.069914
- 540 https://doi.org/10.1136/adc.2004.069914
- 541 30. Tham EH, Leung DY. Mechanisms by which atopic dermatitis predisposes to food
- allergy and the atopic march. Allergy, Asthma & Immunology Research. 2019;11:4.
 Available from: https://doi.org/10.4168/aair.2019.11.1.4
- 544 31. Muraro A, Roberts G, Worm M, Bilò MB, Brockow K, Rivas MF, et al. Anaphylaxis:
- 545 Guidelines from the european academy of allergy and clinical immunology. Allergy.546 2014;69:1026–45.
- 547 32. Smith PL, Kagey-Sobotka A, Bleecker ER, Traystman R, Kaplan AP, Gralnick H, et al.
- 548 Physiologic manifestations of human anaphylaxis. Journal of Clinical Investigation.
- 549 1980;66:1072–80. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1172/jci109936
- 33. Bischof RO. Seasonal incidence of insect stings: Autumn 'yellow jacket delirium'. Journalof Family Practice. 1996;43:271-3.
- 552 34. Spradbery J, Maywald G. The distribution of the european or german wasp, vespula-
- 553 germanica (f) (hymenoptera, vespidae), in australia past, present and future. Australian
- Journal of Zoology. 1992;40:495. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1071%2Fzo9920495

555

556 Figure legends

557

Figure 1: A) Flow-diagram illustrating the rationale for case inclusion and exclusion from the
final analysis. B, C, D: Age, sex, and severity distribution was matched in cases in both groups
to allow for comparable results between VIA and non-VIA cases. Two age-subsets of patients

561 could be recognized based on the density plot of age (B).

562

563 Figure 2: Symptoms of venom-induced anaphylaxis (VIA) compared to other elicitors. A:

564 Proportional presentation of specific reaction symptoms in VIA and non-VIA according to

565 cardiovascular (cardio.), gastroenterologic (gastro.), and respiratory (resp.) organ systems. B:

566 High-level overview of involved organ systems and selected cofactors in the form of a radar

567 plot. C: difference in symptoms of VIA among patients under 22 and over 22 years of age. *

568 *denotes significant differences between groups.*

569

570 Figure 3: Lack of skin symptoms (i.e., urticaria and flushing) during anaphylaxis is associated

571 with more severe VIA. A: lack of skin symptoms and mastocytosis in VIA and non-VIA cases. B:

572 Lack of skin symptoms, according to the severity in both anaphylaxis groups. C: Relation of

573 reaction severity according to the elicitor and the absence of skin symptoms concerning

574 categorized BST values. D: Continous values of BST according to the severity in both non-VIA
 575 and VIA with subgrouping to skin symptoms.

576

577 Figure 4: Cofactors of insect venom anaphylaxis. A: Odds ratios of eliciting severe anaphylaxis.

578 B: Proportion of cases elicited by insects or other elicitors (upper panels) according to

579 tryptase levels and cardiovascular symptoms.

580

581 Figure 5: Therapy in patients with VIA compared to other elicitors, cases matched according

to sex, age, and severity of a reaction. A: Proportional use of therapy measures in both

583 anaphylaxis groups. B: C: Heatmap visualizing the association of symptoms and

584 corresponding treatment - presented as a scaled correlation coefficient (phi). * - p-value <

585 0.05 after false discovery rate correction.

586

Journal Pression



Iournal Pre-proof



Journal Pre-proof



Journal Pre-proof







J



Jonunia





Journal Pre-proof







Supplementary Figures (online-only material)

Insect venom anaphylaxis is a seasonal disease.

VIA in contrast to other elicitors showed a significant seasonal fluctuation and was most frequently reported from May to October. The proportion of VIA to anaphylaxis cases elicited by other elicitors during the summer seasons reached 60% and was below 1% of cases during winter. Nevertheless, 116 cases of VIA (bee – *Apis mellifera* in spring; yellow jacket – *Vespula spp.* in autumn) were triggered in March, April, and November. Yellow-jacket was the most prominent VIA-causing insect followed by bees. The VIA-causing insects differed in European countries with hornets (*Vespa crabro*) being more prominent in southern Europe.

eFig. 1: A: Proportion of anaphylaxis cases elicited by specific insects according to the month in which the reaction occurred. Less common insect species grouped as 'other'. B: The density distribution of VIA cases to cases elicited by other elicitors considering the patient's age. C: Geographical differences in the most common elicitors of VIA. Countries which reported less than 10 VIA cases were not illustrated in this figure. Fire ants and insects that could not be identified formed the 'other' group.

eFig. 2: Results of matching the cohort according to sex and age in order to perform a casecontrolled study. A: The original distribution of VIA and non-VIA cases according to age group and sex. Please note the uneven distribution of VIA and non-VIA cases in age groups. B: The distribution of VIA and non-VIA after age and sex matching with the use of MatchIt package for R. Please notice how the ratio of VIA to non-VIA cases is approaching 50% indicating balanced matching according to sex and age variables.

eFig. 3: Symptoms of anaphylaxis. A: The association between cardiac arrest and concomitant mastocytosis in VIA and non-VIA. B: Hypotension frequency in two age groups of anaphylaxis. C: Crammer's V as the measure of association between groups anaphylaxis (VIA vs. non-VIA). Higher values indicate stronger association with IVA.

eFig. 4: Tryptase levels in patients with concomitant cardiovascular diseases. Low < 4 ng/ml, medium 4-8 ng/ml, high 8-11.5 ng/ml.

eFig. 5: Severity of anaphylaxis in subgroups. The severity of patients with VIA in two age groups (left), according to elicitor type (center) and according to the responsible insect species (right)

eFig. 6: Therapy of anaphylaxis. A: Patients who presented with skin symptoms and VIA less often received epinephrine than if skin symptoms were absent during the reaction. B: Variable importance in the unsupervised classification between VIA and non-VIA using Random Forest classifier.

Journal Pre-proof

eFig. 7: Levels of baseline serum tryptase in patinent with VIA and non-VIA. Significant difference in BST between patients with concomitant mastocytosis and other patients (***). There was no significant difference between anaphylaxis elicited by insects and other elicitors (NS). Tested by two way ANOVA.

Table S1: The results of a factorial logistic regression. Regression coefficients.

	Dependent variable:
	Severity [R & M II vs III-IV]
Non-VIA	-0.234****
	(0.087)
Skin symptoms	-0.627****
	(0.074)
Interaction of elicitor and skin symptoms	0.585***
	(0.105)
Constant	0.123**
	(0.060)
Observations	6,883
Log Likelihood	-4,688.151
Akaike Inf. Crit.	9,384.303
Note:	****p<0.01