

REVIEW

Open Access



Nutritional management of lactose intolerance: the importance of diet and food labelling

Maria Sole Facioni^{1†}, Benedetta Raspini^{2†}, Francesca Pivari^{3*} , Elena Dogliotti⁴ and Hellas Cena^{2,5}

Abstract

Worldwide, 70% of the adult population has limited expression of lactase enzyme with a wide variation among different regions and countries. Lactase deficiency may lead to lactose intolerance (LI). Depending both on the amount of lactose ingested and on the lactase activity, people who suffer from lactose malabsorption might experience numerous gastrointestinal and extra-intestinal symptoms and manifestations. Treatment of LI mainly consists of reducing or eliminating lactose from the diet until the symptoms disappear as well as supplementing lactase, and inducing colon microbiome adaptation by probiotics. Cow's milk is one of the major source of calcium and several other vitamins and minerals. Thus, a complete exclusion of dairy products may favor the development of bone diseases such as osteopenia and osteoporosis. Therefore, the dietetic approach has a crucial role in the management of LI patients. Additionally, the use of lactose and milk-derived products in non-dairy products (e.g., baked goods, breakfast cereals, drinks, and processed meat) has become widespread in the modern industry (the so-called "hidden lactose"). In this regard, a strict adherence to the lactose-free diet becomes challenging for LI patients, forced to continuous check of all products and food labels. In fact, lactose-free product labeling is still controversial. Considering that nowadays a specific cut-off value establishing "lactose-free" labeling policy is lacking and that there is no universal law regulating the production and commercialization of "delactosed" products, identification of specific safe and suitable products with a well-recognized lactose-free logo might help consumers. This narrative review aims to identify the dietary management for lactose intolerant people, avoiding symptoms and nutrients deficiencies, helped by the use of specific labelling to guide them to choose the safer product on the market.

Keywords: Lactose intolerance, Nutritional approach, Lactose-free labelling, Nutritional deficiency, Food hidden lactose, Lactose food content, Lactose intolerance management

Background

Lactose intolerance (LI) occurs when the small intestine does not produce enough of the lactase enzyme to digest lactose, the sugar found in milk [1].

There is significant ambiguity regarding the terms used to define lactose metabolism that are often confused [2]. Lactose malabsorption (LM) occurs when non-digested lactose passes through the gut without being absorbed. The undigested lactose in the lumen becomes subject to bacterial fermentation, increasing the osmotic load and resulting in intolerance symptoms after lactose ingestion [3, 4]. When LM is coupled with the above symptoms, it is usually referred to as LI [2, 3]. Depending on both on the amount of lactose ingested and on the activity of the lactase, people who suffer from LM might experience numerous gastrointestinal symptoms, (e.g.,

*Correspondence: francesca.pivari@unimi.it

[†]Maria Sole Facioni and Benedetta Raspini are first coauthors contributed equally

³ Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Laboratory, Department of Health Sciences, University of Milan, Milan, Italy

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



abdominal pain, bloating, borborygmi, nausea, diarrhea and/or constipation) and extraintestinal symptoms and manifestations (e.g., headache, severe fatigue, cognitive dysfunction, muscle and/or joint pain, skin lesions, mouth ulcers, heart palpitations, eczema, urticarial and increased micturition). On the contrary, others might have no symptoms after ingestion of a standard serving of dairy products: 125 g for milk and yogurt, 100 g for fresh cheeses and 50 g for hard and aged cheeses [2, 3, 5–8].

Approximately, 70% of the adult population worldwide has a limited expression of lactase enzyme, with a wide variation between different regions and countries [4, 9, 10]. This condition occurs for one of two reasons: genetically determined lactase non-persistence [11] or the presence of another gastrointestinal disorders. In both cases, this could lead to LM and LI [12].

Nowadays, the gold standard methodology for determining LI is the H₂/CH₄ Lactose Breath Test (LBT) coupled with the genetic test evaluating lactase-gene polymorphism [13]. However, diagnosis remains challenging, and the proper interpretation of different tests is necessary to identify the most appropriate therapeutic strategy [13–17].

The treatment for LI consists mainly of reducing or eliminating lactose from the diet until the symptoms disappear. Therefore, the dietetic approach has a crucial role in the management of LI patients.

The right approach includes a lactose-free, or low-lactose diet, oral lactase enzyme replacement, and colon microbiome adaptation, using specific probiotic strains with β-galactosidase enzymatic activity [7].

Lactose is commonly found in dairy products, such as milk, yogurt, cream, butter, ice cream, and cheese. However, lactose can also be found in some bread and baked foods, ready-to-eat breakfast cereals, instant soups, confectionery, biscuits, salad dressings, sausages, gravy, drink mixes, and margarine: the so-called “hidden lactose”. Additionally, lactose can also be hidden in prescription and over-the-counter medications [7].

Considering that a lactose-free diet is a key treatment for patients diagnosed with LI, it is essential for affected individuals to stay away from selected dairy products and non-dairy foods that contain milk and/or lactose ingredients. Therefore, it is important to pay careful attention to the ingredients lists of products being consumed. Words that indicate the presence of lactose include curds, whey, milk, milk by-products, dry milk solids, and milk powder.

Fortunately, individuals with LI, do not need to completely eliminate dairy products from their diet. In today's market, there are excellent solutions for LI people. These include naturally lactose-free, and the development of

products that rely on the hydrolysis of lactose, into glucose and galactose, using the enzyme lactase.

The aim of this narrative review is to identify the best dietetic strategy for lactose intolerant individuals, to avoid symptoms and nutrient deficiency (e.g. calcium), helped by the use of specific labelling to guide them to choose the safer product on the market.

Nutritional management in LI patients

Individuals with LI are usually instructed to follow a lactose-free diet to reduce symptom manifestations [7]. However, the avoidance of all dairy products in patients with LI is no longer recommended today, as the majority of LI patients can tolerate up to 5 g of lactose per single dose—approximately the equivalent of 100 mL of milk. The tolerance threshold increases if the lactose is consumed together with other nutrients. In this context, it would be useful to have an authoritative guide on which products to choose in order to not exceed the individual tolerability threshold of lactose [7].

This is important, as the exclusion of all dairy products could lead to the development of micronutrient deficiencies. In fact, cow's milk and dairy products are a major source of calcium, phosphorus, choline, riboflavin, vitamin B12 and vitamin A [18]. In the United States dairy products contribute on average 72% of calcium, 26% of riboflavin, 16% of vitamin A, 20% of vitamin B12, 18% of potassium, 16% of zinc, 15% of magnesium and 19% of high-quality protein [19, 20].

Moreover, two to three daily servings of dairy products are also part of the Mediterranean Diet and the Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) [19, 21].

Results on nutrient intake among LI subjects show that, compared to tolerant people, they consume lower amounts of calcium, with average intake ranging from 388 to 739 mg a day, below the Recommended Dietary Allowance (RDA) of 1000 mg a day [22–25]. Interestingly, from observational studies it has emerged that the avoidance of dairy products was associated with poor bone health [26, 27], higher blood pressure [28] and an increased risk of diabetes mellitus [29].

In addition, the consumption of yogurt and/or fermented milk plays a fundamental role in the health of the gut microbiota, due to their content in probiotics. A recent systematic review analyzed the potential effect of 8 probiotic strains (*Bifidobacterium longum*, *Bifidobacterium animalis*, *Lactobacillus bulgaricus*, *Lactobacillus reuteri*, *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *Lactobacillus rhamnosus*, *Saccharomyces boulardii*, and *Streptococcus thermophilus*) to better explain the rising evidence that probiotic bacteria in fermented and unfermented milk products can be used to improve the clinical

symptoms of LI. In conclusion, of the 8 strains studied, *B. animalis* was among the most well-researched and effective strain [30].

The major risk associated with the complete elimination of dairy products from the diet, is that of developing a calcium deficiency and compromising bone health. Therefore, it is fundamental to ensure adequate calcium intake at each stage of life to build and maintain a healthy skeleton, especially in those with LI, who consume less dietary calcium than non-LI individuals [31]. The best sources of dietary calcium include milk, cheese and dairy products, such as broccoli, collards, kale, turnip greens, and fortified soy products. Other foods with less calcium bioavailability are fortified soymilk, sesame seeds, almonds, and red and white beans. Despite this, calcium bioavailability from plant foods can be affected by oxalates and phytates, which are inhibitors of calcium absorption. Another important calcium source is water, in particular, hard water that has high calcium and magnesium levels derived from groundwater [32].

According to the National Medical Association, calcium requirements are the same for males and females during the first 50 years of life (1–3 years: 700 mg Ca/day; 4–8 years and 19–50 years: 1000 mg Ca/day), with the highest recommended intake during the adolescence, when maximal bone growth occurs (9–18 years: 1300 mg Ca/day). These values begin to differ with the onset of menopause: calcium recommended intake for women is increased to 1200 mg Ca/day. This value then evens out as both sexes reach 70 years old, with the recommended daily allowance set at 1200 mg Ca/day, to prevent the development of osteoporosis [33].

To ensure optimal bone mineralization, the American Academy of Pediatrics supports the use of dairy products in children and adolescents [34, 35]. The bone mineral status (BMS) seems to be genetically determined up to 80%, with environmental factors, such as weight, physical exercise, and dietary intake of calcium and vitamin D, affecting it up to 20% [36]. It has been demonstrated that a daily milk consumption of 245 mL (a cup) is associated with increased body height (0.39 cm, 95% CI 0.29 to 0.48) [37]. Baldan and colleagues [38] evaluated the effect of a lactose-free diet on the phalangeal BMS in 102 LI adolescents compared to that of 102 peers on a normal diet. In particular, the time spent on a lactose-free diet (4.8 ± 3.1 years) was inversely correlated to the BMS. The results showed that lactose-free diets did not affect the phalangeal BMS of LI adolescents when they consumed lactose-free cow's milk; but there was still a significantly lower calcium intake than in the control population.

Moreover, a study by Matlik and colleagues [39] on self-imposed dairy restriction in young girls (10–13 years) showed an approximate 210 mg calcium intake

deficit compared with girls that usually consumed dairy products.

In addition to calcium, vitamin D, vitamin A, potassium, zinc, and magnesium in dairy products are also important nutrients in bone formation [8]. In fact, a review performed by Heaney and colleagues [27], consisting of both randomized and observational studies, highlighted the importance of the above mentioned nutrients for bone health. The majority of the analyzed studies concluded that dairy foods are excellent sources for the nutritional requirement for a proper bone status and that it is challenging to reach the recommended calcium intake without the use of dairy products.

Lactose-free alternatives

In most cases, reducing the consumption of, or avoiding, lactose containing foods and drinks, and replacing them with lactose-free alternatives, is sufficient to control the symptoms of intolerance. There are several alternative foods and drinks options available, both artificial and natural, to replace milk and dairy products, including lactose-free dairy products and plant-based milk food [40] (Table 1).

To meet the dietary calcium and high-quality protein requirements of LI individuals, the global dairy industry has developed lactose-free products using the addition of exogenous lactase, β -galactosidase, which pre-digests the lactose in milk [41]. Lactose-free dairy products allow lactose intolerant subjects to enjoy the taste of dairy without the experience of intestinal symptoms occurring after lactose ingestion. Furthermore, lactose hydrolysis has been reviewed as a sugar reduction option, as the hydrolysis of the lactose in milk enhances the sweetness of the product—the same sweetness intake as adding 2% sugar [42]. Lactose-free dairy is also not expected to have any unusual nutritional effects on the human body when compared to regular dairy products [41]. In particular, no difference was observed in the glycemic response of diabetes patients who consumed lactose or its digestion products, glucose and galactose [43].

Consequently, the broad availability, wide range, and the safety of lactose-free products should encourage consumers to make lactose-free a preferred choice for dairy [18].

Milk

Lactose-free cow's milk is available in many countries in different forms. Currently, there are two principal methods to produce this specific milk for intolerant individuals (batch and aseptic methods), and both of them use soluble lactase enzymes [44].

The first method is the batch process, consisting of a pre-hydrolysis process in which neutral lactase is added

Table 1 Lactose content of common dairy foods

Food	Lactose content (g) per 100 g	Ref.
Milk and derivatives		
Whole milk	4.9	http://www.bda-ieo.it [78]
Skimmed milk	5.3	http://www.bda-ieo.it [78]
Lactose-free milk	0.01–0.1	Churakova et al. [41]
Goat milk	4.7	http://www.bda-ieo.it [78]
Donkey milk	6.1	Malacarne et al. [79]
Cooking cream	3.9	http://www.bda-ieo.it [78]
Sour cream	3.4	https://fdc.nal.usda.gov [80]
Powdered milk	4.2	http://www.bda-ieo.it [78]
Butter	1.1	http://www.bda-ieo.it [78]
Plain yogurt	2.6	http://www.bda-ieo.it [78]
Fruit yogurt	3.2	http://www.bda-ieo.it [78]
Greek yogurt	0.5	http://www.bda-ieo.it [78]
Cultured fermented milk	3.75	https://fdc.nal.usda.gov [80]
Cultured buttermilk	4.5	https://fdc.nal.usda.gov [80]
Fresh cheeses		
Mozzarella cheese	0.7	http://www.bda-ieo.it [78]
Buffalo Mozzarella	0.4	http://www.bda-ieo.it [78]
Ricotta cheese	3.5	http://www.bda-ieo.it [78]
Feta cheese	1.4	http://www.bda-ieo.it [78]
Cottage cheese	3.2	http://www.bda-ieo.it [78]
Lactose-free fresh cheeses	0.01–0.1	Dekker et al. [18]
Hard cheeses		
Cheddar	0.5	http://www.bda-ieo.it [78]
Emmentaler PDO	< 0.1	http://www.emmentaler.ch [81]
Gruyere PDO	< 0.1	https://gruyere.com/ [82]
Fontina PDO	0.8	http://www.bda-ieo.it [78]
Aged cheeses		
Parmigiano Reggiano PDO	< 0.01	Pecorari et al. [49] Coppa et al. [50]
Grana Padano PDO	< 0.01	Monti et al. [51]
Pecorino Romano	< 0.01	Idda et al. [83]
Blue cheeses		
Gorgonzola PDO	< 0.1	https://www.gorgonzola.com/ [52]

These are estimates only; actual lactose content may vary by specific product, brand, or recipe

to the raw milk, and usually incubated for nearly 24 h under moderate stirring to prevent creaming. Furthermore, this process is performed at 4–8 °C to inhibit microbial growth as the milk is not yet sterile. After the incubation, milk is pasteurized, homogenized and packaged [18]. No residual enzyme activity persists in the final product because the enzyme is inactivated throughout the sterilization/pasteurization stage [18]. Enzymes for this process possess a high activity at low temperature and neutral pH and low temperature, so their dosage is relatively high [18].

To reduce the typical doubling of sweetness after lactose hydrolysis, and restored a conventional palatability,

ultra and nano-filtration, or chromatography techniques (combined with the hydrolysis of the remaining lactose) are used [18, 45, 46]. The result is excellent quality milk that tastes almost identical to regular milk.

The second method is an aseptic post-hydrolysis process, in which the milk is sterilized using the ultra-high temperature (UHT) procedure. Following this, a sterile lactase preparation is added to the milk just before packaging [18]. The lactose conversion occurs directly in the milk package, and, as UHT milk is often kept in quarantine for almost 3 days, there is sufficient time to achieve the hydrolysis before the product is dispatched to the retailer. Since both temperature and time of incubation

are higher in this method, the amount of the enzyme can be much lower when compared to the batch process [18].

Cheese

There are many lactose-free kinds of cheese available on the market. These cheeses are produced by incubating the cheese milk with lactase before renneting. This technique is useful mainly for young and fresh cheeses that contain a significant amount of lactose.

Throughout the cheese making process, the milk is thickened, and the curds (the solid parts) are isolated from the whey (the liquid part where most of the lactose is). Whey is drained off before the cheese is made, so quite a bit of lactose is removed. The curds used to produce hard cheeses have less moisture (whey) than the curds used to make softer cheeses; therefore, soft cheeses possess more lactose than hard ones [18, 47].

More-extra moisture is lost as cheese ages. Moreover, during the aging process, in hard and matured cheeses, lactic acid bacteria consume all the lactose present in the cheese, so no lactase incubation is needed. The longer a cheese has been matured, the less lactose remains in the final product; therefore, the lactose concentration in hard-matured (long-ripened) cheeses is usually very low and can be easily tolerated by most individuals suffering from primary LI.

Parmigiano Reggiano PDO is an example of a hard-matured variety of cheese; it is naturally produced with up to 30% water and 70% nutritious substances, especially protein, calcium and phosphorus [48].

Following the decisions of the Italian Ministry of Health, this is the statement that can be used on the labels of Parmigiano Reggiano packaging: “*Parmigiano Reggiano is naturally lactose free. The absence of lactose is a natural consequence of the traditional Parmigiano Reggiano manufacturing process and it contains less than 0.01 g/100 g galactose*” [48]. Indeed, there are natural microbiological conditions for which lactose is absent in Parmigiano Reggiano from the early stages of cheese aging. Scientific investigations validate these statements. In a research study carried out by the Consortium, Pecorari et al. demonstrated that 48 h after production, 0.004 g/100 g of lactose are found in a wheel of Parmigiano Reggiano [49]. Furthermore, Coppa et al., demonstrated that Parmigiano Reggiano is free from lactose and, when analyzing it at different stages of maturation over 1 to 36 months, showed that its lactose content was more than one hundred times lower than the level found by Pecorari and others [50] (Table 1).

Similarly to Parmigiano Reggiano, Grana Padano PDO cheese is naturally lactose free thanks to the characteristics of its production and aging process and has less than 0.01 g/100 g residual galactose content [51] (Table 1).

The Italian Gorgonzola cheese is another naturally lactose free dairy product. The lactose content in Gorgonzola is below the ministerial limit to define a cheese as “naturally lactose-free” (<0.1 g/100 g). This result is supported by a research conducted by the Consortium for the Protection of Gorgonzola cheese in collaboration with CREA Research Center of Lodi [52] (Table 1).

Yogurt and other fermented products

Yogurt is a fermented food containing live bacteria, produced from fermented milk. It is nutritionally rich in calcium, riboflavin, vitamin B6, B12, protein and probiotics (live microorganisms which improve the health status of the host by exerting beneficial effects in the gastrointestinal tract [47, 53]).

Most lactose-intolerant people can eat yogurt without exhibiting typical symptoms; moreover, yogurt consumption is suggested as a suitable dietary strategy to reach the recommended daily intake of calcium for LI individuals [54, 55]. In particular, some yogurt culture microorganisms such as *L. delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* and *S. thermophilus* are able to produce β -galactosidase as part of their lactose utilization pathway and can likely promote lactose digestion in vivo [47, 56].

Despite this, the lactose content is only partially reduced by the original fermentation of yogurt, and most of the lactose survives in the finished product (Table 1). When yogurt is eaten, the live organisms, which contain intracellular β -galactosidase, presumably survive the gastric acidic environment and reach the small intestine, where they are permeabilized by bile acids and release β -galactosidase into the lumen [54, 57]. Thus, any lactose is hydrolyzed by bacterial β -galactosidase, and the glucose and galactose are absorbed across the intestinal epithelium. Nevertheless, many systematic reviews have described that those probiotic bacteria may vary in their ability to improve lactose digestion and reduce maldigestion symptoms [30, 54, 58, 59]. The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) also examined human clinical studies evaluating the effectiveness of yogurt in improving lactose digestion [60]. According to the expert panel, there is “strong evidence for the biological plausibility of the effect,” and sufficient proof that a cause-effect association existed between yogurt consumption and improved lactose digestion was sufficiently proved to support a health claim for those yogurts containing at least 10^8 colony-forming units (CFU) per gram [60].

In contrast, cultured buttermilk and sour cream contain similar levels of lactose (Table 1), but, together with other fermented dairy products, are produced using cultures of mesophilic species of *Lactococcus* and *Leuconostoc*. These bacteria do not express β -galactosidase and metabolize lactose via a β -galactosidase-independent

pathway [54]; thus, lactose is not hydrolyzed, and both of these dairy foods are not well tolerated by lactose intolerant individuals [54].

Furthermore, lactose in yogurt is seen to be better digested due to the decreased transit time of a viscous meal (such as yogurt) compared to a liquid one (such as milk); any extra lactase in the small intestine has more time to digest lactose reducing intolerance symptoms [18, 47, 61–63]. Other factors that appear to improve lactose digestion are eating other foods as part of the same meal and even the choice of particular species of bacteria used in the yogurt-making process [18, 62].

Compared to unflavored yogurts, however, flavored yogurts appear to show slightly decreased lactase activity [18, 61] (Table 1).

Regardless of which of these effects play a significant role in helping LI individuals tolerate fermented milk products, the most reliable solution seems to be the complete enzymatic digestion of lactose in yogurt by incubating the milk with lactase before pasteurization (yogurt from hydrolyzed milk) or adding the lactase together with the culture concurrently with fermentation (co-hydrolysis). In the first option, the amount of added sugar can be decreased since hydrolyzed products taste sweeter because of the higher sweetness of the single monosaccharides; this can result in a product with lower energy [18, 42, 64, 65].

Non-dairy substitutes

Dairy-free products are principally obtained from plants, such as rice, soy, oats, coconuts, nuts, almonds, cashews, hemp, etc. Nowadays, the consumption of these alternatives has been on the rise, and the food sector has reacted by making these products more available on supermarket shelves [8, 66, 67].

Dairy alternatives usually have a low saturated fat content combined with a lower amount of high-quality protein, minerals and vitamins (calcium, zinc, phosphorus and vitamin B12) compared to cow's milk. Until recently, fortified soy beverage was the second runner up to dairy milk [8].

When consumed as the main replacement for dairy, vegetable alternatives could have significant health implications, specifically for young children (1–8 years). A Canadian study reported that the consumption of non-dairy substitute drinks was associated with lower childhood height [68]. Only cow's milk and fortified soy beverages are considered nutritious enough for this age group [69]. Protein, calcium, and vitamin D, other essential nutrients for children's growth, could also be compromised if relying on solely vegetable-based replacement beverages.

Moreover, the EPIC-Oxford cohort study, with more than 34,000 British people, evidenced that vegans, those individuals that exclude animal products from their diet, have a 30% higher risk of bone fractures when compared to omnivores and vegetarians (consuming dairy products and eggs). This was that was linked to lower calcium intake in vegans compared to omnivores and vegetarians [37]. Further research and long-term studies are required to better understand how cow's milk (and dairy products) can be safely replaced by plant-based beverages in an individual's diet to meet the recommended calcium intake [18]. Furthermore, much plant-based food has several added ingredients, including salt, sugar, honey, agave, cane juice, or other sweeteners, adding empty calories to the diet [8].

In conclusion, plant-based diets can be safe for bone health if well planned: increasing the portions of calcium-rich plant foods and using calcium supplements (being careful not to exceed the upper limits).

The importance of lactose-free labelling

In the last few years, a considerable interest in lactose-free diets as the primary treatment for those suffering from LI has led to a significant growth in the manufacture and sale of lactose-free products and more widespread interest in the health benefits to consumers. However, at the same time, it has become increasingly common within the food industry for lactose and other milk-derived ingredients to be used as additives in non-dairy products. In fact, lactose powder is a common additive in many processed foods, due to its technological properties, mainly enhancing the texture and flavor of many prepared meals. As a result, strict adherence to the lactose-free diet might be difficult for LI patients. Therefore, it is essential that LI individuals constantly check and monitor the labels of all food and drink products they consume [6, 70, 71]. In particular, consumers need to be better educated in the terms used to describe nutritional and food labelling information to completely avoid lactose and allow gut to heal and resolve potential nutritional deficiencies and other associated symptoms.

The first tool for delivering nutrition and health information to consumers is the food label [72]. As reported by the European Food Information Council (EUFIC) [73], the general determinants of the choice of food products include biological (as hunger and palatability), economical (as price and knowledge), physical (e.g., accessibility), social (e.g., family), and psychological (e.g., mood) factors, as well as eating disorders, attitudes, and beliefs.

To protect food allergic/intolerant consumers, European legislation requires the provision of allergen information on food labels. Under European Regulation (EU) No. 1169/2011 [74], the presence of allergens in a food

product must be declared in the ingredients list. According to European Regulation (EU) No. 1169/2011 [74], milk and its derivatives—including lactose—should be reported on the label or in the ingredients list.

At the same time, lactose-free product labelling is still controversial. Nowadays, both in European and non-European countries, there is not a universal law regulating the production and commercialization of “delactosed” products, defined as “lactose-free” or “low-lactose”, except for infant and follow-on formula in which lactose should be 10 mg/100 kcal [74]. Moreover, also a specific cut-off value establishing the “lactose-free” labelling policy is lacking, as well as the absence of official methods for the determination of lactose in dairy products. The result is the proliferation of many dairy products claiming the absence or reduction of lactose (<0.01%, <0.1%, and <0.5%) differently.

As described by Goodman et al. [75], Front-of-package (FOP) nutritional labels strive to give simple nutritional information in a more accessible position and form compared to the Nutrition Facts table (NFT), which is typically displayed on the back of the packaging [75]. It is even more important when the consumer has special nutritional needs, as in the case of specific allergies and intolerances.

Investigations are confirming that consumers have difficulty understanding and applying the information provided in the NFT, including identifying whether nutrient amounts are “high” or “low” compared to daily guidelines [75].

Goodman et al. have identified several features that increase the effectiveness of FOP systems, including the use of recognizable symbols that are easy to understand combined with simple text descriptors [75].

Food labelling for individuals with Celiac Disease is a good example where a clearly designed symbol has helped to improve the consumer’s awareness of a safer choice. The widespread distribution of foods declared as gluten-free is now possible thanks both to a defined law, that clarified gluten cut-offs levels for gluten-free products, and a visible and easy to recognize symbol supported by an international association for celiac consumer protection.

In Italy, the lactose-intolerant patients’ association, AILI (Associazione Italiana Latto-Intolleranti) has reported that not all consumers are aware of the specific ingredients and foods that could contain lactose, for example buttermilk, anhydrous butter, whey, milk powder, and other variants [76]. For this reason, we believe that there is an urgent need for a specific and universal logo supporting all people suffering from this intolerance. This will help LI individuals to quickly and safely identify and purchase certified lactose-free items

when doing their food shopping. AILI has assisted in the creation of the first internationally registered symbol that identifies and certifies lactose-free and milk-free products, named *Lfree*[®].

Lfree[®] is a symbol of assurance for lactose intolerant consumers as it is recognized as a European certification mark capable of distinguishing goods and services in respect of specific characteristics, as described in Reg. EU 2015/2424. *Lfree*[®] has been developed using a scientific and technical policy document that identifies specific standards and values required for a product to be certified as lactose-free or milk-free. These standards have been developed specifically for consumers with LI, to clearly and intuitively communicate intuitive and straightforward information using FOP labelling. To date, *Lfree*[®] is the only food brand indicating a clear and immediate message of safety and suitability for a milk and lactose-free diet. In comparison to other food labels for special dietary needs, *Lfree*[®] could be an equivalent symbol for lactose-free products as the crossed grain symbol is for gluten-free products, or the other common certifications that are used for selected subgroups of consumers such as Kosher, Halal or Vegan people [77].

Conclusions

Improving food labelling is a strategy that could guide consumers to choose safer and healthier products. However, there is a strong need to improve the LI dietary approach and post-diagnosis management. Nutritional education for healthy choices and better understanding of food labels are key factors to improve awareness and avoid lactose-containing products, ensuring adequate nutritional requirements. Considering the frequent use of lactose in many non-dairy foods, the so-called “hidden lactose” (e.g., baked goods, breakfast cereals, drinks, salad dressings, processed meat and powdered meal replacements), it would be helpful to mark safe and suitable products with a well-recognized lactose-free logo. Moreover, improving the development of specific products for LI patients could be an excellent strategy, keeping in mind the current demand, costs, needs of different age groups, and lifestyle changes that a consumer with a food allergy or intolerance will face. In conclusion, food labelling, as well as nutritional and sensory properties of lactose-free products should be maximized to meet consumer’s needs.

Abbreviations

LI: Lactose intolerance; LM: Lactose malabsorption; Ca: Calcium; BMS: Bone mineral status; UHT: Ultra-high temperature; EFSA: European Food Safety Authority; CFU: Colony-forming units; FOP: Front-of-package; NFT: Nutrition facts tables; AILI: Associazione Italiana Latto-Intolleranti.

Acknowledgements

We thank Fondazione Umberto Veronesi for supporting Dr. Benedetta Raspini and Dr. Francesca Pivari with Post-Doctoral Fellowship 2020.

Authors' contributions

MSF and BR equally contributed to the conception and design of the study and drafted the manuscript; FP contributed to the design of the study and draft of the manuscript; ED contributed to the conception and design of the study and review the manuscript; HC revised and approve the final version of the manuscript. All authors approved this version of the manuscript and declare that the content has not been published elsewhere. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This review has been funded by ELLEFREE S.r.l.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

Dr. Maria Sole Facioni is partner of ELLEFREE S.r.l. and president of AILI (Associazione Italiana Latto-Intolleranti).

Author details

¹ AILI - Associazione Italiana Latto-Intolleranti Onlus, Lucca, Italy. ² Dietetics and Clinical Nutrition Laboratory, Department of Public Health, Experimental and Forensic Medicine, University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy. ³ Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Laboratory, Department of Health Sciences, University of Milan, Milan, Italy. ⁴ Fondazione Umberto Veronesi, Milan, Italy. ⁵ Clinical Nutrition and Dietetics Service, Unit of Internal Medicine and Endocrinology, ICS Maugeri IRCCS, Pavia, Italy.

Received: 9 May 2020 Accepted: 18 June 2020

Published online: 26 June 2020

References

1. Lactose intolerance—symptoms and causes—Mayo Clinic. <https://www.mayoclinic.org/diseases-conditions/lactose-intolerance/symptoms-cause/s/syc-20374232>. Accessed 27 Apr 2020.
2. Misselwitz B, Butter M, Verbeke K, Fox MR. Update on lactose malabsorption and intolerance: pathogenesis, diagnosis and clinical management. *Gut*. 2019;68:2080–91.
3. Storhaug CL, Fosse SK, Fadnes LT. Country, regional, and global estimates for lactose malabsorption in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2017;2:738–46.
4. Forsgård RA. Lactose digestion in humans: intestinal lactase appears to be constitutive whereas the colonic microbiome is adaptable. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2019;110:273–9.
5. Livelli di Assunzione di Riferimento di Nutrienti ed energia per la popolazione italiana IV Revisione. <http://www.sicseditore.it>. Accessed 11 Jun 2020.
6. Suri S, Kumar V, Prasad R, Tanwar B, Goyal A, Kaur S, et al. Considerations for development of lactose-free food. *J Nutr Intermed Metab*. 2019;15:27–34.
7. Fassio F, Facioni MS, Guagnini F. Lactose maldigestion, malabsorption, and intolerance: a comprehensive review with a focus on current management and future perspectives. *Nutrients*. 2018;10:1–12.
8. Szilagyí A, Ishayek N. Lactose intolerance, dairy avoidance, and treatment options. *Nutrients*. 2018;10:1994.
9. EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA). Scientific opinion on lactose thresholds in lactose intolerance and galactosaemia. *EFSA J*. 2010;8:1777.

10. Bayless TM, Brown E, Paige DM. Lactase non-persistence and lactose intolerance. *Curr Gastroenterol Rep*. 2017;19(5):23.
11. Swallow DM. Genetics of lactase persistence and lactose intolerance. *Annu Rev Genet*. 2003;37:197–219.
12. Misselwitz B, Pohl D, Frühauf H, Fried M, Vavricka SR, Fox M. Lactose malabsorption and intolerance: pathogenesis, diagnosis and treatment. *United Eur Gastroenterol J*. 2013;1:151–9.
13. Coluccia E, Iardino P, Pappalardo D, Brigida AL, Formicola V, De Felice B, et al. Congruency of genetic predisposition to lactase persistence and lactose breath test. *Nutrients*. 2019;11:1383.
14. Ghoshal UC. How to interpret hydrogen breath tests. *J Neurogastroenterol Motil*. 2011;17:312–7.
15. Rezaie A, Buresi M, Lembo A, Lin H, McCallum R, Rao S, et al. Hydrogen and methane-based breath testing in gastrointestinal disorders: the North American consensus. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2017;112:775–84.
16. Peron G, Dall'Acqua S, Sorrenti V, Carrara M, Fortinguerra S, Zorzi G, et al. Retrospective analysis of a lactose breath test in a gastrointestinal symptomatic population of Northeast Italy: use of (H₂ + 2CH₄) versus H₂ threshold. *Clin Exp Gastroenterol*. 2018;11:243–8.
17. Enko D, Rezanka E, Stolba R, Halwachs-Baumann G. Lactose malabsorption testing in daily clinical practice: a critical retrospective analysis and comparison of the hydrogen/methane breath test and genetic test (C/T-13910 polymorphism) results. *Gastroenterol Res Pract*. 2014;2014:464382.
18. Dekker PJT, Koenders D, Bruins MJ. Lactose-free dairy products: market developments, production, nutrition and health benefits. *Nutrients*. 2019;11:1–14.
19. Miller GD, Jarvis JK, McBean LD. *Handbook of dairy foods and nutrition*. Boca Raton: CRC Press; 2006.
20. Weaver CM. How sound is the science behind the dietary recommendations for dairy? *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2014;99(5):1217S–22S.
21. D'Alessandro A, Lampignano L, De Pergola G. Mediterranean diet pyramid: a proposal for Italian people. A systematic review of prospective studies to derive serving sizes. *Nutrients*. 2019;11(6):1296.
22. Barr SI. Perceived lactose intolerance in adult Canadians: a national survey. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab*. 2013;38(8):830–5.
23. Nicklas TA, Qu H, Hughes SO, He M, Wagner SE, Foushee HR, et al. Self-perceived lactose intolerance results in lower intakes of calcium and dairy foods and is associated with hypertension and diabetes in adults. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2011;94(1):191–8.
24. Segal E, Ish-Shalom S, Raz B, Rozen GS, Lavy A, Tamir A, et al. Bone density in axial and appendicular skeleton in patients with lactose intolerance: influence of calcium intake and vitamin D status. *J Am Coll Nutr*. 2003;22(3):201–7.
25. Buchowski MS, Semanya J, Johnson AO, Buchowski MS, Semanya J. Dietary calcium intake in lactose maldigesting intolerant and tolerant African-American women. *J Am Coll Nutr*. 2002;21(1):47–54.
26. Huncharek M, Muscat J, Kupelnick B. Impact of dairy products and dietary calcium on bone-mineral content in children: results of a meta-analysis. *Bone*. 2008;43(2):312–21.
27. Heaney RP. Calcium, dairy products and osteoporosis. *J Am Coll Nutr*. 2000;19(sup2):835–99S.
28. Miller GD, DiRienzo DD, Reusser ME, McCarron DA. Benefits of dairy product consumption on blood pressure in humans: a summary of the biomedical literature. *J Am Coll Nutr*. 2000;19(sup2):147S–64S.
29. Pasin G, Comerford KB. Dairy foods and dairy proteins in the management of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review of the clinical evidence. *Adv Nutr*. 2015;6(3):245–59.
30. Oak SJ, Jha R. The effects of probiotics in lactose intolerance: a systematic review. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr*. 2019;59:1675–83. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2018.1425977>.
31. Hodges JK, Cao S, Cladis DP, Weaver CM. Lactose intolerance and bone health: the challenge of ensuring adequate calcium intake. *Nutrients*. 2019;11:718.
32. Dietary reference values for nutrients summary report. EFSA Support Publ; 2017.
33. Ross AC, Manson JAE, Abrams SA, Aloia JF, Brannon PM, Clinton SK, et al. The 2011 report on dietary reference intakes for calcium and vitamin D from the Institute of Medicine: what clinicians need to know. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2011;96(1):53–8.
34. Heyman MB. Lactose intolerance in infants, children, and adolescents. *Pediatrics*. 2006;118(3):1279–86.

35. Greer FR, Krebs NF, Baker RD, Bhatia JJS, Heyman MB, Lifshitz F, et al. Optimizing bone health and calcium intakes of infants, children, and adolescents. *Pediatrics*. 2006;117(2):578–85.
36. Guéguen R, Jouanny P, Guillemin F, Kuntz C, Pourel J, Siest G. Segregation analysis and variance components analysis of bone mineral density in healthy families. *J Bone Miner Res*. 1995;10(12):2017–22.
37. De Beer H. Dairy products and physical stature: a systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled trials. *Econ Hum Biol*. 2012;10(3):299–309.
38. Baldan A, Tagliati S, Saccomandi D, Brusaferrero A, Busoli L, Scala A, et al. Assessment of lactose-free diet on the phalangeal bone mineral status in Italian adolescents affected by adult-type hypolactasia. *Nutrients*. 2018;10:558.
39. Matlik L, Savaiano D, McCabe G, VanLoan M, Blue CL, Boushey CJ. Perceived milk intolerance is related to bone mineral content in 10- to 13-year-old female adolescents. *Pediatrics*. 2007;120(3):e669–77.
40. Lactose intolerance—treatment—NHS. <https://www.nhs.uk/conditions/lactose-intolerance/treatment/>. Accessed 27 Apr 2020.
41. Churakova E, Peri K, Vis JS, Smith DW, Beam JM, Vijverberg MP, et al. Accurate analysis of residual lactose in low-lactose milk: comparing a variety of analytical techniques. *Int Dairy J*. 2019;96:126–31.
42. McCain HR, Kaliappan S, Drake MA. Invited review: sugar reduction in dairy products. *J Dairy Sci*. 2018;101(10):8619–40.
43. Ercan N, Nuttall FQ, Gannon MC, Redmon JB, Sheridan KJ. Effects of glucose, galactose, and lactose ingestion on the plasma glucose and insulin response in persons with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Metabolism*. 1993;42(12):1560–7.
44. Troise AD, Bandini E, De Donno R, Meijer G, Trezzi M, Fogliano V. The quality of low lactose milk is affected by the side proteolytic activity of the lactase used in the production process. *Food Res Int*. 2016;89:514–25.
45. Jelen P, Tossavainen O. Low lactose and lactose-free milk and dairy products—prospects, technologies and applications. *Aust J Dairy Technol*. 2003;89:514–25.
46. Harju M, Kallioinen H, Tossavainen O. Lactose hydrolysis and other conversions in dairy products: technological aspects. *Int Dairy J*. 2012;22(2):104–9.
47. Silanikove N, Leitner G, Merin U. The interrelationships between lactose intolerance and the modern dairy industry: global perspectives in evolutionary and historical backgrounds. *Nutrients*. 2015;7:7312–31.
48. Guide: nutritional characteristics—Parmigiano Reggiano. <https://www.parmigianoreggiano.com/product-guide-nutritional-characteristics/#2>. Accessed 27 Apr 2020, 06 Apr 2020.
49. Pecorari M, Gambini G, Reverberi P, Caroli A, Panari G. Andamento della glicolisi nelle prime fasi di maturazione del Parmigiano - Reggiano. *Sci e Tec Latt*. 2003;54(3):149–62.
50. Coppa GV. Caratterizzazione biochimica dei carboidrati contenuti nel formaggio Parmigiano Reggiano a diversi tempi di stagionatura. In: Collana Editoriale Quaderni del Parmigiano Reggiano, editor. Parmigiano Reggiano nella Nutr età Evol. 2007.
51. Monti L, Negri S, Meucci A, Stroppa A, Galli A, Contarini G. Lactose, galactose and glucose determination in naturally "lactose free" hard cheese: HPAEC-PAD method validation. *Food Chem*. 2017;220:18–24.
52. Now Is Official! Gorgonzola is naturally free of lactose—Gorgonzola cheese. <https://en.gorgonzola.com/news/gorgonzola-is-naturally-free-of-lactose/>. Accessed 27 Apr 2020.
53. Kolars JC, Levitt MD, Aouji M, Savaiano DA. Yogurt—an autodigesting source of lactose. *N Engl J Med*. 1984;310(1):1–3.
54. Kok CR, Hutkins R. Yogurt and other fermented foods as sources of health-promoting bacteria. *Nutr Rev*. 2018;76(Supplement_1):4–15.
55. Hertzler S, Savaiano DA, Dilk A, Jackson KA, Bhriain SN, Suarez FL. Nutrient considerations in lactose intolerance. *Nutr Prev Treat Dis*. 2017;40:875–92.
56. Martini MC, Lerebours EC, Lin WJ, Harlander SK, Berrada NM, Antoine JM, et al. Strains and species of lactic acid bacteria in fermented milks (yogurts): effect on in vivo lactose digestion. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1991;54(6):1041–6.
57. Chandan RC. Manufacturing yogurt and fermented milks. New York: Wiley; 2007.
58. Levri KM, Ketvertis K, Deramo M, Merenstein JH, D'Amico F. Do probiotics reduce adult lactose intolerance? A systematic review. *J Fam Pract*. 2005;54(7):613–21.
59. Shaukat A, Levitt MD, Taylor BC, MacDonald R, Shamliyan TA, Kane RL, et al. Systematic review: effective management strategies for lactose intolerance. *Ann Intern Med*. 2010;152(12):797–803.
60. EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA). Scientific Opinion on the substantiation of health claims related to live yoghurt cultures and improved lactose digestion (ID 1143, 2976) pursuant to Article 13(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006. *EFSA J*. 2010;8:1763.
61. Savaiano DA. Lactose digestion from yogurt: mechanism and relevance. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2014;99(5):1251S–5S.
62. Kies AK. Authorised EU health claims related to the management of lactose intolerance: Reduced lactose content, dietary lactase supplements and live yoghurt cultures. *Foods, Nutr Food Ingredients with Auth EU Heal Claims*. 2014.
63. Ranadheera RDCS, Baines SK, Adams MC. Importance of food in probiotic efficacy. *Food Res Int*. 2010;43(1):1–7.
64. Kárnyáczki Z, Csanádi J. Texture profile properties, sensory evaluation, and susceptibility to syneresis of yoghurt prepared from lactose-free milk. *Acta Aliment*. 2017;46(4):403–10.
65. Adhikari K, Dooley LM, Chambers E IV, Bhumiratana N. Sensory characteristics of commercial lactose-free milks manufactured in the United States. *LWT Food Sci Technol*. 2010;43(1):113–8.
66. Sethi S, Tyagi SK, Anurag RK. Plant-based milk alternatives an emerging segment of functional beverages: a review. *J Food Sci Technol*. 2016.
67. Singhal S, Baker RD, Baker SS. A comparison of the nutritional value of cow's milk and nondairy beverages. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr*. 2017;64(5):799–805.
68. Morency ME, Birken CS, Lebovic G, Chen Y, L'Abbé M, Lee GJ, et al. Association between noncow milk beverage consumption and childhood height. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2017;106(2):597–602.
69. Dietitians of Canada. Plant-based beverages—are they really healthier for young children? Practice-based Evidence in Nutrition [PEN] - trending topics. 2015. <http://www.pennutrition.com/>.
70. Roberto CA, Khandpur N. Improving the design of nutrition labels to promote healthier food choices and reasonable portion sizes. *Int J Obes*. 2014;38(1):S25–33.
71. Viola GCV, Bianchi F, Croce E, Ceretti E. Are food labels effective as a means of health prevention? *J Public Health Res*. 2016;5:139–42.
72. Kleef EV, Dagevos H. The growing role of front-of-pack nutrition profile labeling: a consumer perspective on key issues and controversies. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr*. 2015;55:291–303.
73. The Factors That Influence Our Food Choices: (EUFIC). <https://www.eufic.org/en/healthy-living/article/the-determinants-of-food-choice>. Accessed 27 Apr 2020.
74. EUR-Lex - 32011R1169 - EN - EUR-Lex. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reg/2011/1169/oj>. Accessed 27 Apr 2020.
75. Goodman S, Vanderlee L, Acton R, Mahamad S, Hammond D. The impact of front-of-package label design on consumer understanding of nutrient amounts. *Nutrients*. 2018;10:1624.
76. AILI—Associazione Italiana Latto-Intolleranti. <https://www.associazioneaili.it/>. Accessed 27 Apr 2020.
77. Review of WIC Food Packages. *Rev. WIC Food Packag*. National Academies Press; 2017.
78. Benvenuti!—BDA. <http://www.bda-ieo.it/>. Accessed 27 Apr 2020.
79. Malacarne M, Criscione A, Franceschi P, Bordonaro S, Formaggioni P, Marletta D, et al. New insights into chemical and mineral composition of donkey milk throughout nine months of lactation. *Animals*. 2019;9(12):1161.
80. FoodData Central. <https://fdc.nal.usda.gov/>. Accessed 27 Apr 2020.
81. Welcome to Emmentaler Switzerland AOP—Emmentaler AOP. <https://www.emmentaler.ch/>. Accessed 27 Apr 2020.
82. Le Gruyère AOP. <https://gruyere.com/it/>. Accessed 27 Apr 2020.
83. Idda I, Spano N, Addis M, Galistu G, Ibba I, Nurchi VM, et al. Optimization of a newly established gas-chromatographic method for determining lactose and galactose traces: application to Pecorino Romano cheese. *J Food Compos Anal*. 2018;74:89–94.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.