

1 Earthworm as an alternative protein source in poultry and fish farming: current 2 applications and future perspectives

3

4 Marco Parolini¹, Andrea Ganzaroli¹, Jacopo Bacenetti^{1*}

5

6 ¹ Department of Agricultural and Environmental Science. Università degli Studi di

7 Milano, via Celoria 2, 20133, Milan, Italy

8

9 * Corresponding author: jacopo.bacenetti@unimi.it

10 **Abstract**

11 Among the different agricultural activities, the livestock is one of the most impacting on
12 the environment. The feeding of animals is often the main responsible of the adverse
13 environmental impact related to animal productions. Above all for intensive production, the
14 consumption of protein feed is a key aspect for the achievement of sustainable production
15 processes. The actual consumption of soybean meal and fish meal is not sustainable due to
16 the related environmental impact and to the increasing prices. Among the different
17 alternative protein sources, in the last 20 years, the attention of research centres and private
18 companies focused on insects, algae and other invertebrates but, up to now, little
19 consideration was paid to the use of fresh earthworm or earthworm meal as a protein feed
20 for monogastric animals.

21 The use of earthworms as an alternative protein source for fish and poultry feeding is an
22 opportunity for providing environmental services via cleaner technologies. Thanks to
23 earthworms, organic wastes and by-products generated by livestock activities can be
24 valorised and become a resource for animal feeding in a circular perspective

25 In this context, this manuscript was designed to summarize the productivity, suitability and
26 effectiveness issues connected with the utilisation of earthworms as alternative protein feed
27 in poultry production as well as in aquaculture. The studies investigating the earthworm meal

28 use are quite old above all those carried out in Europe; however, some general indications
29 can be drawn: both for broiler and fish, the parameters usually evaluated are body weight
30 gain, growth rate, feed intake and feed conversion rate, the acceptability level of
31 earthworm meal in broiler diet is lower than 15% while in trout diet ranges between 25-30%.
32 The inclusion of earthworm meal in diets with an inclusion level lower than the acceptability
33 threshold allows good productive performances without affecting the quality of the final
34 food products.

35

36 **Keywords:** Worms, animal feeding, circular economy, fish meal, soybean meal

37 **1. Introduction**

38

39 Food production is continuously increasing to sustain the incessant human demand.
40 Animal-based food has high land-use and carbon footprint and the growing demand for
41 meat and seafood induces remarkable pressure on terrestrial and marine ecosystems (FAO,
42 2017). Moreover, agro-food production systems and livestock activities produce a huge
43 amount of organic wastes and by-products, whose management represents a serious
44 concern both from economic and environmental points of view. Finally, considering that
45 food consumption is centred mainly in urban areas, while agricultural production in rural
46 ones, the current structure of the food production chain induces the accumulation of
47 organic matter around cities, entailing logistic and management issues (Gerber et al., 2007;
48 FAO, 2018).

49 The International Feed Industry Federation predicts that livestock production will be
50 doubled by 2050 (IFIF, 2016). Meat production mainly comes from species such as cattle, pig,
51 and poultry. The latter represents one of the most consumed animal foods and it is predicted
52 to rise up over 90 % by 2050 (Alexandratos Bruinsma, 2012). In Europe, 14.6 Mtons of poultry
53 carcass weight were consumed in 2017 (AVEC, 2018), and the per capita consume has been
54 increased from 21 kg/year in 2007 to 24 kg/year in 2017. In Italy, 1.3 Mtons of poultry carcass
55 weight was produced in 2017, making this Country as self-sufficient with regard to poultry
56 meat.

57 Poultry has been recognized as one of the less environmentally impacting meats, mostly
58 due to the absence of enteric fermentation and to the low Feed Conversion Rate (FCR)
59 (Gerber at al., 2007). In fact, the constant improvement of the genetic potential of poultry
60 and the careful knowledge of their nutritional requirements has allowed to achieve very high
61 food efficiency (1.5 - 1.8 g feed/g weight gain). The productive performances (i.e., feed
62 intake, FCR, live weight gain, mortality) are the main drivers of the broiler environmental
63 impact (Bahadori et al., 2017).

64 In addition to livestock production, from 1960 to 2016, the global demand for edible fish
65 products has increased from 9.9 kg to 20.0 kg per capita per year and reached 171 Mtons in

66 2016. This value outweighed population growth and even exceeded the value of food
67 consumption deriving from meat from all terrestrial animals' production (FAO, 2018). The
68 supply is no longer sustained by fisheries, which remained stable for more than 10 years at
69 around 90 Mtons, but by aquaculture, which increased by an average of 8.8% per year
70 (1980-2010) (Globefish, 2016). Considering that the 60% of fish stocks are overexploited and
71 90% is fully exploited, aquaculture can be an effective solution to reduce overfishing and to
72 tackle the increasing demand of fish. Interestingly, in 2017 freshwater fish species accounted
73 for 66 % of the production of all farmed aquatic animals worldwide (Zhou, 2019). Among
74 these, the farming of trout, namely the rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), the brown trout
75 (*Salmo trutta*) and the brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*), represents 2% of all the species
76 reared in aquaculture (+8.2% from 2010 to 2016) while, in Europe, it yields 15% in volume of
77 the farmed species and about 14% in value of aquaculture. In Italy, 27% of the revenue from
78 aquaculture activities derives from trout farming and about 36,000 tons are farmed
79 especially in Northern regions, making Italy the main European trout producer (FAO, 2018).
80 Trout production (mainly the species *Oncorhynchus mykiss*) is characterized by high
81 nutritional quality of the final product and very low values of FCR (with values closed to 1.2
82 that should even reach approximately 0.9 in the near future).

83 The increasing demand for animal products (meat and fish) means more pressure on feed
84 resources, particularly in the face of rising fuel-feed-food competition. Feeding of animals,
85 with regard to the protein components of feed, is a key aspect for the economic and
86 environmental sustainability of livestock activities (Khan, 2016). For long term-sustainability of
87 livestock production, the quest for alternative protein feed resources is essential, as the costs
88 of conventional feed, such as soymeal and fishmeal, continue to be high. Proteins are
89 provided by both animal and vegetable sources, depending on the Country. Fishmeal and
90 soymeal provide popular protein sources for livestock of monogastric animals due to their
91 amino acid profiles. The production of soybean is connected with deforestation, soil erosion,
92 eutrophication, extensive use of pesticides, loss of biodiversity and a huge carbon footprint.

93 In recent years, the drastic increase of the market price for soybean and fishmeal has
94 become a critical aspect of the economic sustainability of the poultry meat industry and of
95 aquaculture. This has given rise to the demand for a new and more sustainable protein
96 source (Veldkamp and Bosch, 2015).

97 Alternative protein sources of comparable value are therefore urgently needed in order
98 to make poultry and fish from aquaculture production sustainable in the next future.
99 Therefore, to meet the future requirements for proteins, new protein sources must be
100 explored. For instance, the current increase in the content of terrestrial vegetable proteins in
101 fish feed has serious implications for aquaculture from a technical, economic and
102 environmental point of view (Burr et al., 2012). Although the digestibility of most vegetable
103 proteins is generally similar to or higher than that of fishmeal, the amino acid profiles are
104 lower than fishmeal. Consequently, amino acid supplementation is needed to maintain
105 growth performance of fish fed diets containing high levels of plant-protein concentrates
106 (Gaylord and Barrows, 2009; Lim et al., 2008). In addition, another issue affecting the feed
107 used in livestock and aquaculture concerns the presence of diverse environmental
108 contaminants, which can cause deleterious consequences to animal health, reduce rearing
109 performances and represent a potential risk for humans due to the bioaccumulation of
110 lipophilic contaminants in tissues of farmed organisms. For these reasons, modern feed mills
111 use energy-intensive processes to remove polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) from wild fish and
112 so-called FAN (Anti-nutritional factors) from terrestrial plants, in order to achieve an
113 acceptable feed digestibility in farmed fish. It should also be noted that some fishmeal and
114 fish oils are made from wild fish containing high levels of heavy metals, dioxins and PCBs,
115 which are considered unsuitable for processing. It is technically possible to decontaminate
116 fish oil, but this, of course, increases its price (Le Gouvello et al., 2017).

117 Among the alternative protein sources, in the last 20 years, the attention of scientific
118 research and private companies has focused on insects (Smetana et al., 2016, Barbi et al.,
119 2020), algae (Shields and Lupatsch, 2012; Ibekwe et al., 2017; Ansari et al., 2020) and other
120 invertebrates (Cayot et al., 2009; Brown et al., 2011; van der Poel et al., 2013). In particular,

121 despite the concerns due to the potential toxicity of insect meal due to bioaccumulation of
122 toxic contaminants, the deficiencies in some amino acids, the content of chitin and
123 saturated fatty acids, as well as palability and digestibility, insects are considered as an
124 interesting protein source. . However, to date little consideration was addressed to the use of
125 fresh earthworm or earthworm meal as a protein feed for monogastric animals.

126 The study about the use of earthworms as an alternative protein sources are limited to the
127 '80 years. Earthworms can break down organic wastes (e.g., cattle and pig manure) rapidly
128 under controlled conditions to provide valuable horticultural composts and high-grade
129 protein suitable for animal feed (Edwards, 1985) and they are a valuable potential source of
130 animal feed able, on one side, to develop an efficient management of agricultural waste
131 and by-products and, on the other side, to reintroduce in the food production process
132 organic matter whose traditional management involves serious environmental concerns
133 (Hardy, 1996).

134 The use of earthworms as an alternative protein source for fish and poultry feeding is an
135 opportunity for providing environmental services via cleaner technologies. Thanks to
136 earthworms, organic wastes and by-products generated by livestock activities can be
137 valorised and become a resource for animal feeding in a circular perspective, reducing the
138 use of high-impacting traditional protein and, at the same time, the impact related to the
139 management of these matrices. Therefore, where intensive agricultural and livestock
140 activities take place and, consequently, a huge amount of organic waste is available,
141 exploring new and alternative feed resources able to fully exploit and reutilize this biomass is
142 urgently needed.

143 In this context, this manuscript aimed at summarizing productivity, suitability and
144 effectiveness issues connected with the use of earthworms as an alternative protein feed in
145 poultry and fish production. The main novelty of the present review is to provide a holistic
146 assessment of earthworm use in a circular economy perspective, pointing out its role in the
147 transformation of organic waste to high value protein feed, as well as current trends and
148 environmental challenges. The paper is structured as follow. On the one hand, we strived to

149 report the current situation concerning the earthworm growing conditions and performances
150 as well its chemical characteristics (see 2. *Earthworms rearing*). On the other hand, we
151 reported the results of the literature review carried out about previous studies about the use
152 of earthworms as protein feed (see 3. *Previous experience about earthworm meal use in*
153 *poultry and fish farming*). The literature review was carried out in the scientific databases
154 Scopus® and Web of Science® combining the following keywords: "earthworm", "protein
155 source", "animal feeding", "aquaculture" and "poultry". Finally, we summarized the main
156 gaps and future perspectives of earthworm use in poultry and aquaculture.

157

158 **2. Earthworms rearing**

159

160 **2.1 Earthworm growing and characteristics**

161 Overall, rearing of earthworm species require a temperature ranging between 15 and 25
162 °C, soil moisture content ranging between 60 and 85%, pH of 6.8 to 7.2 and can survive in a
163 relatively low O₂ and high CO₂ condition or in dissolved O₂ within water (Sherman, 2003). The
164 conversion of animal wastes into earthworm tissue is very efficient: a ton of suitable animal
165 wastes produces up to 100 kg of worms, equivalent on a dry-mass basis to a conversion
166 efficiency in the order of 10% (Edwards, 1985). Similar results were found by Hennuy and co-
167 authors (1986), showing that 450 kg of vermicompost and 40 kg of earthworms (6.5 kg of
168 worm meal with 70% protein content for animal feed) were produced from 1 ton of cattle
169 manure.

170 Moreover, on cattle and goat manure, in a 5-weeks-long trial, the Tiger worm *Eisenia*
171 *foetida* increased its biomass by 57% and 25%, respectively (Loh et al., 2005).

172 Besides animal manure (mainly cattle manure), different organic substrates can be used
173 for earthworm rearing. For example, Barcelo (1988) reared earthworms on a mixture of fresh
174 manure from cattle, swine, goats and chickens, leaves, sawdust, rice hull and rice bran, while
175 Conti and co-authors (2018) used fruits and vegetables wastes as feedstock.

176 Earthworms dry matter (16-20% of fresh matter) contains from 55 to 70% of proteins
177 (Mohanta et al., 2016), with a higher content of essential amino acids, such as lysine and
178 methionine, compared to meat or fishmeal. The other constituents of earthworms are 6-11%
179 fat, 5-21% carbohydrate, 2-3% minerals and a range of vitamins, including niacin and vitamin
180 B12. Sogbesan and Ugwumba (2008) reported that earthworms contain (on dry mass basis)
181 63.0% crude protein, 5.9% crude fat, 8.9% ash, 0.43% Na, 0.53% Ca, 0.62% K, 0.94% P and 1476
182 kJ/100g of metabolizable energy. Furthermore, they determined the essential amino acid
183 composition of earthworm meal and found that it contained arginine 2.83 g/kg, histidine 1.47
184 g/kg, isoleucine 2.04 g/kg, leucine 4.11 g/kg, lysine 6.35 g/kg, phenylalanine 6.26 g/kg,
185 tryptophan 4.43 g/kg and valine 4.43 g/kg on a protein basis. Similar results were reported by
186 Finke (2002), who showed that earthworm meal included 10.5% of crude protein, with
187 arginine 0.61%, methionine 0.19%, lysine 0.66%, threonine 0.47%, tryptophan 0.09%, crude fat
188 1.2% and ash 0.6%.

189 **Table 1** reports the main composition of earthworm tissue, soybean meal, fishmeal and
190 insect tissue while **Table 2** summarizes the main strengths and concerns related to substituting
191 conventional and insect protein source with earthworm meal (EWM).

192 Compared to insects, which are the main alternative protein source studied for animal
193 feeding (Byambas et al., 2019; Thévenot et al., 2018), earthworms do not require heating
194 during their rearing and can be efficiently grown on substrates that are waste or by-products
195 owing a very low or null economic value. Furthermore, besides the production of earthworm
196 tissue, the earthworm rearing involves the production of vermicompost, a valuable
197 amendment that can be used as organic fertiliser and soil improver. Compared to insect,
198 EWM has not deficiencies in amino acids profile, shows a better fatty acids profile and a
199 negligible content of chitin, which in insect meal reduces the digestibility and palatability
200 (Musyoka et al., 2019; Bohnes et al., 2019). On the other hand, concerning the regulatory
201 aspects, it should be highlighted that, to date, the use of earthworm as an animal feed for
202 monogastric animal and cattle is not admitted if earthworms are reared on wastes (e.g.,
203 animal manure, organic fraction of municipal solid waste), despite some preliminary findings

204 showed that the safety of this procedure (Conti et al., 2019). On the contrary, insect meal
205 can be used in aquaculture (Commission Regulation (EU) 2017/893).

206

207 **Table 1** around here

208

209 **Table 2** around here

210 **2.2 Sustainability of earthworm rearing**

211 Vermicomposting is recognised as a sustainable way to manage organic waste (Wu et al.,
212 2014; Lim et al., 2016). Nevertheless, considering the three pillars making the sustainability
213 concept (Pope et al., 2004), studies on economic and environmental analysis of
214 vermicomposting process are scarce, while the social issues have not been analysed yet.

215 Regarding the economic sustainability, usually, only the selling of vermicompost is
216 considered, although the price of earthworm meal is high (e.g., 15 €/kg of dry matter
217 according Tedesco et al., (2019)). Lalander and coauthors (2015) estimated a profit of 100-
218 280 USD per year and a ROI (Return of Investment) equal to 170-200% for a vermicomposting
219 system for urban small-holder farmer with a productive capacity of 0.6-1.2 t/year of
220 vermicompost, considering a life time of the plant of 5 years. Edwards et al. (2010) estimated
221 an annual profit of about 2 million of USD for a medium size (36500 t/year) continuous-flow
222 reactor vermicomposting system. To the best of our knowledge, no economic analysis was
223 performed on the cost evaluation of earthworm rearing system specifically dedicated to
224 earthworm selling. However, some general concerns can be highlighted. Concerning the
225 costs, the production cost should encompass capital, manpower, processing energy, repair
226 and maintenance costs (Blumenstein et al., 2012). Concerning revenues, the main product of
227 vermicomposting is the vermicompost and the economic potential of a vermicomposting
228 system depends on the initial costs, as well as vermicompost and earthworm revenues at a
229 particular location, making the vermicomposting system not completely feasible under
230 certain scenarios. Whatever the earthworms were used as an animal feeding (i.e., meal), the
231 economic valorisation of meal would be affected by the cost of the other protein feed, such

232 as fishmeal and soybean. Additional economic benefits could arise to the earthworm rearing
233 through the improvement of the management of organic substrates, whose conventional
234 management represents a non-negligible cost. For example, vermicomposting carried out
235 on animal manure might reduce the nutrient content of the substrate (in particular of
236 nitrogen; Busato et al., 2012), reducing the cost related to the manure treatments in order to
237 fulfil the European rules (i.g., the Nitrate directive). Moreover, in urban areas, the earthworm
238 rearing on organic fraction of municipal solid waste might benefit of disposal credits.

239 Regarding the economic benefits related to EWM use in animal feeding Djissou et al.
240 (2016) assessed the economic consequences related to the substitution of fish meal by a
241 mixture of earthworm and maggot meals. Experimental diets were tested during 42 days on
242 catfish fingerlings and found that with alternative diets the growth performances and feed
243 utilization of fingerlings are improved, and the diet cost reduced up to 50%.

244 From an environmental point of view limited attention was currently paid to the
245 consequences related to the use of EWM as a substitute of soybean and, mainly, fishmeal.
246 LCA fishmeal studies (Freon et al., 2017) have found that the environmental profile of this
247 feed is low, at least in terms of conventional LCA impact categories, respect to other protein
248 sources (Papatryphon et al., 2004; Davis et al., 2010). For example, compared to soybean
249 meal and other vegetable protein sources coming from crops, fishmeal presents lower
250 impact for acidification and eutrophication (Samuel-Fitwi et al., 2013, Silva et al., 2018),
251 although traditional LCA does not account for biotic depletion of fisheries (Bohnes et al.,
252 2019). This calls for comprehensive studies to assess the environmental trade-offs between
253 crop-based and marine-based ingredients with coverage of a large spectrum of
254 environmental impacts to avoid burden-shifting. The Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) approach
255 was applied by Tedesco et al. (2019) and Conti et al. (2019) to quantify the environmental
256 impact related to earthworm meal production considering vegetable and fruits waste as a
257 rearing substrate. The emissions of methane and N-compounds during the vermicomposting
258 was identified as the main environmental hotspots while the impact related to fresh
259 earthworm processing to meal has a lower impact except than for lyophilization process.

260 **3. Previous experience about earthworm meal use in poultry and fish farming**

261 The protein content in poultry diet is an important parameter to guarantee high
262 productive performance and to satisfy nutritional animals' requirements. The most important
263 protein source used in broiler diet is soybean meal, characterized by high protein
264 concentration, great digestibility and equilibrate amino acid profile.

265 Fish also needs high quality and quantity of protein in the diet, supplied largely from
266 fishmeal but also from vegetable sources, mainly soybean meal.

267 The use of these ingredients is no longer sustainable and thus becomes necessary to
268 search new protein sources with an optimal amino acid profile.

269 Earthworm use has already been analysed for poultry, being earthworms already part of
270 their natural diet.

271 The studies focused on the use of earthworms for poultry feeding have started about 30
272 years ago and, especially the recent ones, were carried out in developing countries.

273 In contrast, there is a dearth of information on the use of EWM in trout diets. However,
274 some experiences were carried out with other fish species. **Table 3** and **4** summarize the
275 results of previous studies about EWM use in poultry and fish production, respectively.

276

277 **3.1 Poultry farming**

278 Barcelo (1988), in Philippines, evaluated six iso-protein (21% of crude protein) and iso-
279 energetic diets including 0%, 6% and 14% of EWM. The results showed that increasing the
280 level of EWM in the broiler diet from 6% to 14% and concurrently decreasing levels of fishmeal
281 from 14% to 6% the FCR improves.

282 Loh et al. (2009), in Malaysia, evaluated the effect of EWM as a replacement of soymeal
283 and fishmeal in the broiler diet. Five different diets (including 0%, 5%, 10%, 15% and 20% of
284 EWM) were tested as partial replacement of soybean and fishmeal for 6 weeks. The final
285 body weight, growth rate and feed efficiency measured in broiled fed with a diet including
286 10% and 15% of EWM were better than that of the control group, while no effect on feed
287 intake was noted.

288 Prayogi (2011) conducted a study in Indonesia on quails. Four diets were tested (0% of
289 EWM and 15% of fish meal - control, 5% of EWM and 10% of fishmeal, 10% of EWM and 5% of
290 fishmeal and 15% of EWM and 0% of fishmeal). An increase of EWM amount in the diet
291 decreased feed consumption, although supplementation with 10% earthworm meal returned
292 good growth performance in terms of FCR and body weight gain. Thus, the inclusion of
293 EWM can be considered as a valuable solution to replace a large portion of the fishmeal in
294 the diet with no adverse effects. However, in the study feed intake was reduced at a 15%
295 inclusion level.

296 Son and Jo (2013) supplemented with EWM the diet of 7-days old broilers for 6 weeks to
297 assess its effect on growth performance and nutrient digestibility. Three diets containing 0%,
298 0.2% and 0.4% of EWM were tested. High feed intake, weight gain and nutrient digestibility
299 was observed when the broiler were fed diets containing 0.4% EWM.

300 Son (2009) reported that supplementation of EWM ranging between 0.2 and 0.6% in laying
301 hens diet improves laying performance and egg quality, especially the ratio of egg yolk n-
302 6/n-3 fatty acids. Furthermore, the supplementation of 0.2 to 0.6% EWM was effective in
303 improve digestibility of crude protein of diet resulted improved broiler performance.

304 Bahadori et al (2017) evaluated, over a 7-weeks period, the effect of varied amount of
305 EWM and vermi-humus (VH) on the growth performance of broilers. Besides the control, 4
306 experimental diets (containing 10 g VH/kg of dry matter supplemented with 0, 10, 20, or 30 g
307 EWM/kg of dry matter) were evaluated. A greater feed intake was observed in broilers fed
308 the control diet than those fed the diets containing EMW. This parameter decreased linearly
309 as the amount of EWM supplementation increased. Weight gain was increased as
310 supplementation of EWM was increased. However, FCR was slightly lower and it decreased
311 linearly as dietary EWM supplementation increased. Similar results (i.e., 2% and 3% of EWM in
312 the diet improved the FCR of broiler) were achieved in a previous study (Bahadori et al.,
313 2015).

314 Zang et al. (2018) tested diets with 1%, 3% and 5% of EWM on broiler pullets for 1 month
315 and found that FCR were improved by 12.6% and 22. 5%, when 3% and 5% EWM,
316 respectively.

317

318 **Table 3** around here

319

320 **3.2 Fish farming**

321 In the last 35 years, some experiences were carried out with different fish species. Yaqub
322 (1991) successfully produced earthworms from waste to feed catfish (*Heterobranchus*
323 *isopterus*). Ghosh (2004) used fresh earthworms (*Eisenia foetida*) as feed for catfish (*Clarias*
324 *batrachus*) in India and observed a higher weight gain respect to the control fed with a
325 traditional diet without EWM. Vodounnou et al (2016) tested, for a 6-weeks period, EWM
326 (from *Eisenia foetida*) as substitute of fishmeal on *Parachanna obscura*: higher growth rate
327 and FCR were obtained with the fish diet containing 50% of EWM.

328 Mohanta et al. (2016) evaluated weight gain, growth rate and FCR in rohu (*Labeo rohita*)
329 using earthworm (whole, custard and pellet from *Eisenia foetida*) and highlighted how the
330 pellet achieves the best performances for all the evaluated parameters.

331 Concerning the use of EWM in trout rearing, some tests were performed 20-30 years ago.
332 Most of them, carried out using high levels of EWM (e.g., 100% of the diet in Tacon et al.
333 (1983), from 25 to 75% in Pereira and Gomes (1995) or substituting from 50 to 100% of fishmeal
334 in Stafford and Tacon (1984)), concluded that a high inclusion of EWM in trout diets adversely
335 affects growth rate and FCR. On the contrary, a lower amount of EWM in trout diets (max
336 30% of the diet weight) had no adverse effect on the growth performance and FRC of fish
337 (Stafford and Tacon, 1984 and 1985). EWM from *Eisenia foetida*, when used to replace 25
338 and 50% of the fish meal component in the trout diets, gave higher growth rates compared
339 to the control diet with fishmeal (Velasquez et al., 1991).

340

341 **Table 4** around here

342

343 **4. Discussion and future perspectives**

344 The use of earthworm and EWM as an alternative protein feed for the rearing of
345 monogastric animals has been studied occasionally in the last 30-years in different
346 geographic areas. However, in the last years, more attention has been paid to the use of
347 earthworm as an alternative protein sources in poultry and aquaculture. Despite the
348 increasing price of traditional protein sources, the literature review highlights that several
349 aspects should be furtherly investigated.

350 Future research activities should be addressed to:

351 1) the identification of the best substrate(s) where earthworms can efficiently grow. In
352 fact, to date there is a lack of information regarding the growing performances of the
353 different earthworm species in single and mixed substrate (e.g., waste and by-products from
354 agriculture and organic fraction of municipal solid waste);

355 2) the identification of the best species of earthworms to be reared and used to be used
356 in feed for poultry and fish. To date, although different earthworm species were evaluated as
357 alternative protein source, *E. foetida* was by far the most used but it could not be suitable on
358 specific substrates;

359 3) the characteristics of earthworm and earthworm meal (e.g., amount of crude protein and
360 fats, amino acid profile, levels of potential toxic contaminants) reared on different
361 substrates, both independently and in mixture, as well as about their digestibility and
362 palatability for animals;

363 3) the potential adverse effects due to the inclusion of EWM in feed towards the health status
364 of reared poultry and fish is needed;

365 4) the productive performance expected for broiler and fish when soybean meal or
366 fishmeal are replaced by EWM. To date, it is unclear if the partial substitution of traditional
367 protein sources with EWM allow to reach better results;

368 5) the economic, environmental and social benefits related to the use of EWM rather than
369 the use of soybean and fishmeal. Concerning economic issues, a limited information is

370 available about the cost of EWM but some preliminary studies reported a price considerably
371 higher compared to traditional protein sources. Regarding the environmental sustainability as
372 protein source there is a need for comprehensive studies to assess the environmental trade-
373 offs between crop-based, marine-based ingredients and earthworm with coverage of a
374 large spectrum of environmental impacts to avoid burden-shifting;

375 6) the consumer perception and the willingness-to-pay about poultry meat and fish
376 produced using EWM as a local and less environmental impacting protein source.

377 Lastly, the regulatory aspect is one of the main issues currently limiting the use of EWM.
378 To date, there is a dearth of information on the effect due to the use of EW and EWM
379 through the food supply chain, from rearing substrate (e.g., OFMWS) to fish and meat. This
380 calls for researches focused on quality, safety and security evaluation of animal fed with
381 earthworm. Furthermore, when earthworm rearing takes place on manure and other organic
382 waste the bioaccumulation of organic and inorganic contaminants, as well as the
383 contamination due to pathogens, must be evaluated in order to provide useful information
384 for the development of an updated regulatory framework.

385

386 **5. Conclusions**

387 This review highlighted the ample scientific and technical scope to propose earthworms
388 as a suitable solution to valorise organic agricultural waste, to produce alternative protein
389 sources for animal feeding.

390 The literature review carried out pointed out the state of the art regarding the earthworm
391 use as alternative protein feed for monogastric animal summarizing the main results
392 achieved in the last 30 years regarding the best growing conditions of earthworm, its
393 biochemical characterisation and regarding the productive performances of poultry and fish
394 produced using earthworms and EWM as substitutes of soybean and fish meal. The
395 knowledge about these issues is still fragmented in term of geographic area and timing
396 where the trials were performed, species of earthworms and fish and poultry taken into
397 account and evaluated parameters.

398 For fish and poultry farming, the studies investigating the EWM use are quite old above
399 (80's and 90's) all those carried out in Europe. However, some general indications can be
400 drawn: 1) both for broiler and fish, the parameters usually evaluated are body weight gain,
401 growth rate, feed intake and feed conversion rate (FCR), 2) the acceptability level of EWM in
402 broiler diet is lower than 15% while in fish diet ranges between 25-30%. The inclusion of EWM in
403 broiler and trout diets with an inclusion level lower than the acceptability threshold allows
404 good productive performances without affecting the quality of the final food products.

405

406

407 **References**

408 Alexandratos, N., Bruinsma, J. (2012). World Agriculture towards 2030/2050: the 2012
409 Revision. ESA Working paper No. 12-03. Rome, FAO.

410 Ansari, F. A., Nasr, M., Guldhe, A., Gupta, S. K., Rawat, I., & Bux, F. (2020). Techno-
411 economic feasibility of algal aquaculture via fish and biodiesel production pathways: A
412 commercial-scale application. *Science of The Total Environment*, 704, 135259.

413 AVEC, (2018). Annual Report. Association of Poultry Processors and Poultry Trade in EU
414 Countries (Accessed March 2019).

415 Bahadori, Z., Esmailzadeh, L., Karimi-Torshizi, M. A., Seidavi, A., Olivares, J., Rojas, S., ... &
416 López, S. (2017). The effect of earthworm (*Eisenia foetida*) meal with vermi-humus on growth
417 performance, hematology, immunity, intestinal microbiota, carcass characteristics, and
418 meat quality of broiler chickens. *Livestock Science*, 202, 74-81.

419 Bahadori, Z., Esmaylzadeh, L., & Torshizi, M. A. K. (2015). The effect of earthworm (*Eisenia*
420 *fetida*) and vermihumus meal in diet on broilers chicken efficiency and carcass components.
421 In *Biological Forum* (Vol. 7, No. 1, p. 998). Research Trend.

422 Barbi, S., Macavei, L. I., Fuso, A., Luparelli, A. V., Caligiani, A., Ferrari, A. M., ... & Montorsi,
423 M. (2020). Valorization of seasonal agri-food leftovers through insects. *Science of The Total*
424 *Environment*, 709, 136209.

425 Barcelo, P. (1988) Production and utilisation of earthworms as feeds for broilers in the
426 Philippines. *Tropicultura* 6: 21-24.

427 Blumenstein, B., Böhle, L., Wachendorf, M., Möller, D., 2012. Economic assessment of the
428 integrated generation of solid fuel and biogas from biomass (IFBB) in comparison to different
429 energy recovery, animal-based and non-refining management systems. *Bioresour. Technol.*
430 119, 312-323.

431 Bohnes, F. A., Hauschild, M. Z., Schlundt, J., & Laurent, A. (2019). Life cycle assessments of
432 aquaculture systems: a critical review of reported findings with recommendations for policy
433 and system development. *Reviews in Aquaculture*, 11(4), 1061-1079.

434 Brown, N., Eddy, S., & Plaud, S. (2011). Utilization of waste from a marine recirculating fish
435 culture system as a feed source for the polychaete worm, *Nereis virens*. *Aquaculture*, 322,
436 177-183.

437 Burr, G. S., Wolters, W. R., Barrows, F. T., & Hardy, R. W. (2012). Replacing fishmeal with
438 blends of alternative proteins on growth performance of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus*
439 *mykiss*), and early or late stage juvenile Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Aquaculture*, 334, 110-
440 116.

441 Busato, J.G., Lima, L.S., Aguiar, N.O., Canellas, L.P., Olivares, F.L., 2012. Changes in labile
442 phosphorus forms during maturation of vermicompost enriched with phosphorus-solubilizing
443 and diazotrophic bacteria. *Bioresour. Technol.* 110, 390-395.

444 Byambas, P., Hornick, J. L., Marlier, D., & Francis, F. (2019). Vermiculture in animal farming:
445 A review on the biological and nonbiological risks related to earthworms in animal feed.
446 *Cogent Environmental Science*, 5(1), 1591328.

447 Cayot, N., Cayot, P., Bou-Maroun, E., Laboure, H., Abad-Romero, B., Pernin, K., Medina, A.
448 L. (2009). Physico-chemical characterisation of a non-conventional food protein source from
449 earthworms and sensory impact in arepas. *International journal of food science &*
450 *technology*, 44(11), 2303-2313.

451 Conti, C., Bacenetti, J., & Tedesco, D. (2019). Earthworms for feed production from
452 vegetable waste: environmental impact assessment. *Environmental Engineering &*
453 *Management Journal (EEMJ)*, 18(10).

454 Conti, C., Castrica, M., Balzaretti, C. M., Tedesco, D. E. (2019). Edible earthworms in a food
455 safety perspective: Preliminary data. *Italian journal of food safety*, 8(2).

456 Conti, C., Costa, A., Balzaretti, C., Russo, V., & Tedesco, D. (2018). Survey on Food
457 Preferences of University Students: from Tradition to New Food Customs?. *Agriculture*, 8(10),
458 155.

459 Djissou, A. S., Adjahouinou, D. C., Koshio, S., & Fiogbe, E. D. (2016). Complete replacement
460 of fish meal by other animal protein sources on growth performance of *Clarias gariepinus*
461 fingerlings. *International Aquatic Research*, 8(4), 333-341.

462 EC (European Commission) (2019). Reflection Paper Towards a Sustainable Europe by
463 2030, [http://ec.europa.eu/transparency/regdoc/rep/1/2019/EN/COM-2019-22-F1-EN-MAIN-](http://ec.europa.eu/transparency/regdoc/rep/1/2019/EN/COM-2019-22-F1-EN-MAIN-PART-1.PDF)
464 [PART-1.PDF](http://ec.europa.eu/transparency/regdoc/rep/1/2019/EN/COM-2019-22-F1-EN-MAIN-PART-1.PDF)

465 Edwards, C. A. (1985). Production of feed protein from animal waste by earthworms.
466 *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. B, Biological Sciences*, 310(1144),
467 299-307.

468 Edwards, C.A., Arancon, N.Q., Sherman, R., 2010. *Vermiculture Technology: Earthworms,*
469 *Organic Wastes, and Environmental Management.* CRC Press LLC, Boca Raton, USA.

470 Elahi, U., Ma, Y. B., Wu, S. G., Wang, J., Zhang, H. J., & Qi, G. H. (2019). Growth
471 performance, carcass characteristics, meat quality and serum profile of broiler chicks fed on
472 housefly maggot meal as a replacement of soybean meal. *Journal of Animal Physiology and*
473 *Animal Nutrition*.

474 FAO, 2017. Improving feed conversion ratio and its impact on reducing greenhouse gas
475 emissions in aquaculture. Rome, 2017. ISBN 978-92-5-109886-8.

476 FAO. 2018. *The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2018 - Meeting the sustainable*
477 *development goals.* Rome.

478 Finke, M. (2002). Complete nutrient composition of commercially raised invertebrates used
479 as food for insectivores. *Zoo Biology* 21: 269-285.

480 Gerber, P., Opio, C., & Steinfeld, H. (2007). Poultry production and the environment—a
481 review. Animal production and health division, Food and Agriculture Organization of the
482 United Nations, Viale delle Terme di Caracalla,

483 Ghosh C. 2004. Integrated vermi- pisciculture- an alternative option for recycling of solid
484 municipal waste in rural India. *Bioresource Technology*;93,71-5

485 Globefish (2016) Globefish Commodity Statistics Update. Fishmeal and Fish Oil. FAO, Rome

486 Hardy, R. W. (1996). Alternate protein sources for salmon and trout diets. *Animal Feed*
487 *Science and Technology*, 59(1-3), 71-80.

488 Henny G, Gaspar C. (1986). Treatment of wastes by worms. *Bulletin des Recherches*
489 *Agronomiques de Gembloux*;21(3):359-67.

490 Ibekwe, A. M., Murinda, S. E., Murry, M. A., Schwartz, G., & Lundquist, T. (2017). Microbial
491 community structures in high rate algae ponds for bioconversion of agricultural wastes from
492 livestock industry for feed production. *Science of The Total Environment*, 580, 1185-1196.

493 Khan, S., Naz, S., Sultan, A., Alhidary, I. A., Abdelrahman, M. M., Khan, R. U., ... & Ahmad, S.
494 (2016). Worm meal: a potential source of alternative protein in poultry feed. *World's Poultry*
495 *Science Journal*, 72(1), 93-102.

496 Lalander, C.H., Komakech, A.J., Vinnerås, B., 2015. Vermicomposting as manure
497 management strategy for urban small-holder animal farms e Kampala case study. *Waste*
498 *Management* 39, 96-103.

499 Le Gouvello, R., Hochart, L. E., Laffoley, D., Simard, F., Andrade, C., Angel, D., ... & Harris, A.
500 (2017). Aquaculture and marine protected areas: Potential opportunities and synergies.
501 *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, 27, 138-150.

502 Lim, S. L., Lee, L. H., & Wu, T. Y. (2016). Sustainability of using composting and
503 vermicomposting technologies for organic solid waste biotransformation: recent overview,
504 greenhouse gases emissions and economic analysis. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 111, 262-
505 278.

506 Loh, T. C., Fong, L. Y., Foo, H. L., Thanh, N. T., & Sheikh-Omar, A. R. (2009). Utilisation of
507 earthworm meal in partial replacement of soybean and fish meals in diets of broilers. *Journal*
508 *of Applied Animal Research*, 36(1), 29-32.

509 Mohanta, K. N., Subramanian, S., & Korikanthimath, V. S. (2016). Potential of earthworm
510 (*Eisenia foetida*) as dietary protein source for rohu (*Labeo rohita*) advanced fry. *Cogent*
511 *Food & Agriculture*, 2(1), 1138594.

512 Musyoka, S. N., Liti, D. M., Ogello, E., & Waidbacher, H. (2019). Utilization of the earthworm,
513 *Eisenia fetida* (Savigny, 1826) as an alternative protein source in fish feeds processing: A
514 review. *Aquaculture Research*, 50(9), 2301-2315.

515 Ngoc, T. N., Pucher, J., Becker, K., & Focken, U. (2016). Earthworm powder as an
516 alternative protein source in diets for common carp (*Cyprinus carpio* L.). *Aquaculture*
517 *research*, 47(9), 2917-2927.

518 Pereira, J. O., & Gomes, E. F. (1995). Growth of rainbow trout fed a diet supplemented with
519 earthworms, after chemical treatment. *Aquaculture International*, 3, 36-42.

520 Pope, J., Annandale, D., & Morrison-Saunders, A. (2004). Conceptualising sustainability
521 assessment. *Environmental impact assessment review*, 24(6), 595-616.

522 Prayogi, H. (2011). The effect of earthworm meal supplementation in the diet on quail's
523 growth performance in attempt to replace the usage of fish meal. *International Journal of*
524 *Poultry Science* 10: 804-806.

525 Pucher, J., Ngoc, T. N., ThiHanhYen, T., Mayrhofer, R., El-Matbouli, M., & Focken, U. (2014).
526 Earthworm meal as fishmeal replacement in plant based feeds for common carp in semi-
527 intensive aquaculture in rural Northern Vietnam. *Turkish journal of fisheries and aquatic*
528 *sciences*, 14(2), 557-565.

529 Rezaeipour, V., Nejad, O. A., & Miri, H. Y. (2014). Growth performance, blood metabolites
530 and jejunum morphology of broiler chickens fed diets containing earthworm (*Eisenia foetida*)
531 meal as a source of protein. *International Journal of Advanced Biological and Biomedical*
532 *Research*, 2(8), 2483-2494.

533 Sherman, R. (2003) Raising Earthworms Successfully. North Carolina Cooperative Extension
534 Service, Publication Number: EBAE 103-83.

535 Shields, R., & Lupatsch, I. (2012). Algae for aquaculture and animal feeds. TATuP-Zeitschrift
536 für Technikfolgenabschätzung in Theorie und Praxis, 21(1), 23-37.

537 Smetana, S., Palanisamy, M., Mathys, A., & Heinz, V. (2016). Sustainability of insect use for
538 feed and food: Life Cycle Assessment perspective. Journal of Cleaner Production, 137, 741-
539 751.

540 Sogbesan, A. and Ugwumba, A. (2008). Nutritional values of some non-conventional
541 animal protein feedstuffs used as fishmeal supplement in aquaculture practices in Nigeria.
542 Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 8: 159-164.

543 Son, J. (2009). The Study on Treatment of Poultry Waste by Earthworms, and the Effect of
544 Feeding Earthworms Meal on the Performance of Broilers and Laying Hens, and Safety of
545 Meat and Egg. Korean Journal of Organic Agriculture (ISSN 1229-3571)

546 Son, J., JO, I. (2013) Effects of earthworm meal supplementation on the performance of
547 broiler chickens. From <http://agris.fao.org/agrissearch/search.do?recordID=KR2004004925>

548 Stafford, E. A., & Tacon, A. G. J. (1984). Nutritive value of the earthworm, *Dendrodrilus*
549 *subrubicundus*, grown on domestic sewage, in trout diets. Agricultural Wastes, 9, 249–266.

550 Stafford, E. A. & Tacon, A. G. J. (1985). The Nutritive evaluation of dried earthworm meal
551 (*Eisenia foetida*) included at low levels in production diets for rainbow trout (*Salmo*
552 *gairdineri*). Aquaculture and Fisheries Management, 16, 213–222.

553 Tacon, A. G. J., Stafford, E. A., & Edwards, C. A. (1983). A preliminary investigation of the
554 nutritive value of three terrestrial lumbricid worms for rainbow trout.

555 Tedesco, D. E., Conti, C., Lovarelli, D., Biazzì, E., & Bacenetti, J. (2019). Bioconversion of fruit
556 and vegetable waste into earthworms as a new protein source: The environmental impact of
557 earthworm meal production. Science of The Total Environment, 683, 690-698.

558 Thévenot, A., Rivera, J. L., Wilfart, A., Maillard, F., Hassouna, M., Senga-Kiesse, T., ... &
559 Aubin, J. (2018). Mealworm meal for animal feed: Environmental assessment and sensitivity
560 analysis to guide future prospects. Journal of Cleaner Production, 170, 1260-1267.

561 Van der Poel, A. F. B., Van Krimpen, M. M., Veldkamp, T., & Kwakkel, R. P. (2013).
562 Unconventional protein sources for poultry feeding—opportunities and threats. In Proceedings
563 of the 19th European Symposium on Poultry Nutrition, Potsdam, Germany, 26-29 August 2013
564 (pp. 14-24).

565 Velasquez, L., Ibañez, I., Herrera, C., & Oyarzun, M. (1991). A note on the nutritional
566 evaluation of worm meal (*Eisenia fetida*) in diets for rainbow trout. *Animal production*, 53(1),
567 119-122.

568 Veldkamp, T., & Bosch, G. (2015). Insects: a protein-rich feed ingredient in pig and poultry
569 diets. *Animal Frontiers*, 5(2), 45-50.

570 Vodounnou, V. D. J., Kpogue Diane, N. S., Apollinaire, M. G., & Didier, F. E. (2016). Culture
571 of earthworm (*Eisenia fetida*), production, nutritive value and utilization of its meal in diet for
572 *Parachanna obscura* fingerlings reared in captivity. *Int. J. Fish. Aquat. Stud*, 4, 1-5.

573 Wu, T. Y., Lim, S. L., Lim, P. N., & Shak, K. P. Y. (2014). Biotransformation of biodegradable
574 solid wastes into organic fertilizers using composting or/and vermicomposting. In 17th
575 Conference on Process Integration, Modelling and Optimisation for Energy Saving and
576 Pollution Reduction PRES (Vol. 23).

577 Yaqub, H. B. (1997). Earthworm and maggots meals as potential fishmeal replacement.
578 <https://www.oceandocs.org/bitstream/handle/1834/1268/paper1feed.pdf?sequence=1&isAl>
579 [lowed=y](https://www.oceandocs.org/bitstream/handle/1834/1268/paper1feed.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y)

580 Zang, Y. T., Bing, S., Zhang, Y. Z., Sheng, X. W., & Shu, D. Q. (2018). Effects of dietary
581 supplementation with earthworm powder on production performance, blood characteristics,
582 and heavy metal residues of broiler Pullets. *The Journal of Applied Poultry Research*, 27(4),
583 609-615.

584 Zhou, X. (2019). Towards an updated ISSCAAP classification to better suit the needs of
585 aquaculture. *FAO Aquaculture Newsletter*, (60), 61-62.

586

587 **Table 1** - Composition of the traditional protein sources used for poultry and fish rearing

588 and of earthworm meal (EWM)

PROTEIN SOURCE	DRY MATTER (% of fresh matter)	CRUDE PROTEIN (% of dry matter)	CRUDE FAT (% of dry matter)	LYSINE (% of crude protein)	DIGESTABLE ENERGY (MJ/kg)	SOURCE
Soybean meal	94%	40-42%	18-22%	2.65%	22.6	Pucher et al. (2016)
Fish meal	92%	56-62%	6-7%	4.08% ^a	16.3	Mohanta et al. (2016)
Earthworm meal	90%	58-71%	5-7%	4.04% ^b	12.46 ^b	Bahadori et al.(2015) Khan et al. (2016)

589

590 **Table 2** - Strengths and concerns of different protein sources from alternative (i.e.,

591 earthworm meal - EWM) and conventional protein sources.

	Earthworm meal (EWM)	Conventional protein sources
Cost	Still expensive compared to conventional protein sources but improvements could be achieved to make it more attractive. Can be reared on low-grade bio-waste and can turn bio-waste into high-quality proteins. Beside earthworm biomass also the produced vermicompost can be sold. Companies rearing earthworms could benefit from subsidies because of the reduction of nitrogen in manure.	The cost is increasing year by year due to increasing demand of protein for animal rearing.
Composition	Well-balanced nutrient content (above all amino acid and fatty acid profile); they have the same or an even better amino acid profile compared to soybean meal and fishmeal. Earthworms while ingesting organic waste and soil, consume heavy metals through their intestine and skin, wherefore concentrating heavy metals in their body	Imbalances between essential and nonessential amino acids in soybean meal often require the addition of exogenous amino acid sources in monogastric diets. Low palatability and antinutritional factors in soybean meal when used in fish diets. Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) from wild fish and so called antinutrients from terrestrial plants, in order to achieve an acceptable feed digestibility in farmed fish.
Sustainability	In a circular perspective, it can be an effective solution to re-value agricultural organic wastes and by-products while reducing the environmental impact related to traditional management of these matrixes. It can be produced locally	Poor environmentally sustainable vegetable or animal-derived sources. Protein crop production (e.g. protein from legumes seeds, soybeans, etc.) currently occupies only 3% of the EU's arable land. Large amounts of vegetable protein sources are imported in EU, largely originating from South America

592

Table 3 - Preliminary data about EWM use in poultry livestock.

Reference	Country/ Species	Amount of inclusion of EWM in the diet	Investigated parameters	Main results
Barcelo (1988)	Philippines/ Broiler	0%, 6% and 14%	FCR	Increasing EWM from 6% to 14% (while FM decreases from 14% to 6%) FCR improves
Loh et al (2009)	Malaysia/ Broiler	0%, 5%, 10%, 15% and 20%	body weight, growth rate and feed efficiency, feed intake	6-weeks trials. With 10% and 15% of EWM body weight, growth rate and feed efficiency are improved. No effect on feed intake.
Son (2009)	South Korea/ laying hens	0.4% and 0.6%	egg quality, digestibility	Higher ratio of egg yolk n-6/n-3 fatty acids, improved digestibility
Prayogi (2011)	Indonesia/ Quails	0%, 5%, 10%, 15%	Feed intake, FCR, body weight	10% of EWM improves FCR and body weight. Feed intake is reduced at a 15% inclusion level
Son and Jo (2013)	South Korea/ Broiler	0%, 0.2% and 0.4%	Feed intake, weight gain and nutrient digest.	During a 7-weeks tests, 0.4% of EWM improves feed intake, weight gain and nutrient digestibility.
Rezaeipour et al. (2014)	Iran/ Broiler	7.7% and 15.4%	FCR, breast muscle weight	FCR and breast muscle weight were improved
Bahadori et al., 2015	Iran/ Broiler	3.1%, 4.2% and 5.3%	FCR	2% and 3% of EWM improved the FCR. Besides EWM also 1% of vermicompost
Bahadori et al (2017)	Iran/ Broiler	1% vermi- humus + 1%, 2% and 3%	Feed intake, Weight gain, FCR. Pathogenic microbiota	In a 7-weeks test, when EWM increases feed intake and pathogenic intestinal microbiota decreases, FCR is slightly lower and weight gain increases.
Zang et al. (2018)	China Broiler	1%, 3% and 5%	FCR, heavy metals level	FCR improves by 13% and 22%, whit 3% and 5% of EWM.No difference for heavy metals

Note: FCR = Feed conversion rate, EWM = earthworm meal, FM = Fish meal

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607 **Table 4** – Results about EWM use in fish rearing (Scientific name of the species is reported
 608 when available)

Reference	Country/ Species	Amount of inclusion of EWM in the diet	Investigated parameters	Main results
Tacon et al. (1983)	UK/ Trout	100%	Growth rate and FCR	Growth rate and FCR are negatively affected by EWM
Stafford and Tacon (1984)	UK/ Trout	from 50 to 100% of FM	Growth rate and FCR	Growth rate and FCR are negatively affected by EWM
Stafford and Tacon, 1985)	UK/ Trout	30%	Growth rate and FCR	No adverse effect on the growth performance and FRC
Yaqub (1991)	India/ Catfish	100%	FCR, Weight gain	EW and maggot meal. FCR improves, no differences in weight gain.
Velasquez et al., 1991	UK/ Trout	25 and 50% of the FM	Growth rate	Higher growth rates compared to the control diet with fishmeal
Pereira and Gomes(1995)	Portugal/ Trout	from 25 to 75%	Growth rate and FCR	Growth rate and FCR negative affected by 75% of EWM
Ghosh (2004)	India, Catfish	100% Fresh EW	Weight gain	Higher weight gain
Mohanta et al. (2016)	India/ rohu (<i>Labeo rohita</i>)	40%, 60%, 100%	Weight gain, growth rate and FCR	40% as pellet, 60% as custard, 100% as fresh earthworms. Best results for all the evaluated parameter for the pellet
Vodounnou et al (2016)	Benin/ <i>Parachanna obscura</i>	50%	growth rate and FCR	higher growth rate and FCR were obtained
Ngoc et al (2016)	Vietnam, Carp	30%, 70%, 100% of FM	Growth rate, FCR and feed digestibility	Compared to the control, protein digestibility was higher, growth rate was higher only with 70% of EWM, lipid conversion was lower with 100% EWM
Note: FCR = Feed conversion rate, EWM = earthworm meal, EW = earthworms, FM = Fish meal				

609

610

611