

A Generalisation of Dyson's Integration Theorem for Determinants

G. AKEMANN and L. SHIFRIN

Department of Mathematical Sciences & BURSt Research Centre
School of Information Systems, Computing and Mathematics
Brunel University West London
Uxbridge UB8 3PH, United Kingdom

June 1, 2007

Abstract

Dyson's integration theorem is widely used in the computation of eigenvalue correlation functions in Random Matrix Theory. Here we focus on the variant of the theorem for determinants, relevant for the unitary ensembles with Dyson index $\beta = 2$. We derive a formula reducing the $(n - k)$ -fold integral of an $n \times n$ determinant of a kernel of two sets of arbitrary functions to a determinant of size $k \times k$. Our generalisation allows for sets of functions that are *not* orthogonal or bi-orthogonal with respect to the integration measure. In the special case of orthogonal functions Dyson's theorem is recovered.

arXiv:0705.2555v2 [math-ph] 25 May 2007

1 Motivation

Random Matrix Theory (RMT) has many applications in all areas of Physics and beyond (see e.g. the introduction of [1]). For the class of invariant RMT Dyson's integration theorem is at the heart of the method of orthogonal polynomials when computing all eigenvalue correlation functions exactly, for finite $n \times n$ matrices. The resulting expressions are then amenable to the large- n limit, in which universal RMT predictions follow. In the following we restrict ourselves to the integration theorem for determinants. Before presenting our generalisation thereof we briefly recall how it reveals all eigenvalue correlations in the unitary ensembles.

We start by stating Dyson's integration theorem, as cited in [1] (Theorem 5.1.4). Given $K(x, y)$ is a real valued function satisfying the following self-contraction property:

$$\begin{aligned} \int dy K(x, y)K(y, z) &= K(x, z) , \\ \int dy K(y, y) &= c . \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

Then it holds¹

$$\int dx_1 \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} [K(x_i, x_j)] = (c - n + 1) \det_{2 \leq i, j \leq n} [K(x_i, x_j)] , \tag{1.2}$$

thus reducing the size of the determinant by one through the integration. The theorem also holds for orthogonal polynomials in the complex plane or for bi-orthogonal polynomials. A similar statement is true for quaternion valued kernels with the determinant replaced by a quaternion determinant (or Pfaffian). We refer to [1] for details as we will only consider the ordinary determinant case here. Iterating the integration theorem the following holds for an $(n - k)$ -fold integral:

$$\int \prod_{l=1}^{n-k} dx_l \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} [K(x_i, x_j)] = (c - n + 1) \dots (c - n + k) \det_{n-k+1 \leq i, j \leq n} [K(x_i, x_j)] . \tag{1.3}$$

It is this form that we will generalise as it is most useful when computing correlation functions in RMT. We emphasise that on the right hand side (rhs) the determinant has reduced to size $k \times k$ over the *same* kernel.

The application of eq. (1.3) to the unitary ensembles goes as follows. Suppose we have a set of orthogonal polynomials $p_k(x) = x^k + O(x^{k-1})$ of order k in monic normalisation satisfying

$$\int dx w(x)p_k(x)p_j(x) = h_k \delta_{kj} . \tag{1.4}$$

Here $w(x)$ is a positive weight function such that all moments exist. From the polynomials we can construct orthonormal wave functions

$$\varphi_k(x) \equiv h_k^{-1/2} w(x)^{1/2} p_k(x) \tag{1.5}$$

and the following kernel

$$K_n(x, y) \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \varphi_j(x)\varphi_j(y). \tag{1.6}$$

¹The symmetry property $K(x, y) = K(y, x)$ stated in [1] is not necessary, as can be seen from the proof there.

It satisfies Dyson's theorem above with $c = n$. In the symmetry class of unitary invariant RMT, the partition function is given in terms of the joint probability distribution (jpdf) of eigenvalues as

$$\mathcal{Z}_n = \int \prod_{i=1}^n dx_i w(x_i) \Delta_n(x)^2 . \quad (1.7)$$

The Vandermonde determinant in the integrand,

$$\Delta_n(x) = \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} [x_i^{j-1}] = \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} [p_{j-1}(x_i)] , \quad (1.8)$$

can be replaced by a determinant over an arbitrary set of monic polynomials. If we choose the orthogonal ones we can rewrite the jpdf and thus the partition function after few manipulations as

$$\mathcal{Z}_n = \int \prod_{i=1}^n dx_i h_{i-1} \det_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} [K_n(x_j, x_k)] . \quad (1.9)$$

It immediately follows from Dyson's theorem that $\mathcal{Z}_n = n! \prod_{i=1}^n h_{i-1}$. Moreover, following eq. (1.9) all k -point eigenvalue correlation functions given by $n - k$ integrations over the jpdf can be immediately read off:

$$R_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) \equiv \frac{1}{(n-k)!} \int \prod_{i=k+1}^n dx_i \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} [K_n(x_i, x_j)] = \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} [K_n(x_i, x_j)] . \quad (1.10)$$

In the large- n limit the size of the determinant on the rhs remains fixed, and the kernel can be easily evaluated using the Christoffel-Darboux identity for orthogonal polynomials on \mathbb{R} .

Since in this example the choice of orthogonal polynomials was entirely at our disposal, why should we choose polynomials that are not orthogonal with respect to the weight function, or the integration range? The reason is that we are not always able to choose the polynomials to be orthogonal. One example where such a situation occurs is in the Schwinger model [2]. A second example, being in a different symmetry class, appears when considering the Ginibre ensemble with real non-symmetric matrices [3]. Integrating out all real eigenvalues one arrives at the Pfaffian of the so-called D -kernel of the Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble [1], integrated over a non-Gaussian weight function in the complex plane. Consequently the self-contracting property eq. (1.1) is not satisfied then.

For this reason we propose a generalisation of Dyson's theorem for determinants without imposing any orthogonality condition, and we restrict ourselves to real integrals for simplicity. The generalisation to integrals over \mathbb{C} is straightforward. A counterpart for integrating Pfaffians of a non self-contracting bilinear has been proved in [3] in the special case when all variables are integrated out.

2 Results

Let each $\{\phi_j(p)\}$ and $\{\psi_j(q)\}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$ be a set of linearly independent², integrable functions, such that all integrals $\int dx \phi_i(x)\psi_j(x)$ exist. For these two sets we define the following bilinear function:

$$Q_n(x, y) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^n \phi_j(x)\psi_j(y) . \quad (2.1)$$

Then the following holds:

²We note that the functions $\phi_j(x)$ may or may not be linear combinations of the functions $\psi_j(x)$.

THEOREM 1:

$$\frac{1}{C} \int \prod_{l=1}^{n-k} dx_l \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} [Q_n(p_i, q_j)] = (n-k)! \det_{n-k+1 \leq i, j \leq n} [\mathcal{K}_n(p_i, q_j)], \quad k = 0, \dots, n, \quad (2.2)$$

where we have set $p_i = q_i = x_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n-k$ for all integration variables.

The kernel $\mathcal{K}_n(p, q)$ on the rhs is given by

$$\mathcal{K}_n(p, q) \equiv \frac{1}{C} \sum_{a=1}^n \det \begin{bmatrix} \int dx \phi_1(x) \psi_1(x) \cdots & \phi_1(p) \psi_a(q) & \cdots & \int dx \phi_1(x) \psi_n(x) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \int dx \phi_n(x) \psi_1(x) \cdots & \phi_n(p) \psi_a(q) & \cdots & \int dx \phi_n(x) \psi_n(x) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.3)$$

where the sum runs over the a -th column replacing the integrated functions by unintegrated ones. The normalisation C on the left hand side (lhs) is given by

$$C \equiv \det \begin{bmatrix} \int dx \phi_1(x) \psi_1(x) \cdots & \cdots & \int dx \phi_1(x) \psi_n(x) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \int dx \phi_n(x) \psi_1(x) \cdots & \cdots & \int dx \phi_n(x) \psi_n(x) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.4)$$

Thus we have reduced an $(n-k)$ -fold integral over an $n \times n$ determinant to a $k \times k$ determinant of a single kernel, consisting of a sum of $n \times n$ determinants containing only single integrals.

The rhs of our Theorem 1 can be interpreted as a generalised kernel having $2k$ variables. If we define

$$\mathcal{K}_n^{(k)}(p_1, \dots, p_k; q_1, \dots, q_k) \equiv \frac{1}{k!} \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} [\mathcal{K}_n(p_i, q_j)], \quad (2.5)$$

these satisfy the following generalised self-contraction property (see eq. (1.1)):

THEOREM 2:

$$\int dq_1 \dots dq_k \mathcal{K}_n^{(k)}(p_1, \dots, p_k; q_1, \dots, q_k) \mathcal{K}_n^{(k)}(q_1, \dots, q_k; r_1, \dots, r_k) = \mathcal{K}_n^{(k)}(p_1, \dots, p_k; r_1, \dots, r_k),$$

$$\int dq_1 \dots dq_k \mathcal{K}_n^{(k)}(q_1, \dots, q_k; q_1, \dots, q_k) = \binom{n}{k}. \quad (2.6)$$

In particular the kernel defined in eq. (2.3), $\mathcal{K}_n^{(k=1)}(p; q) \equiv \mathcal{K}_n(p; q)$, is self-contracting.

Let us make a few remarks. First, the bilinear $Q_n(x, y)$ of the set of functions is in general *different* from the kernel on the rhs: $Q(x, y) \neq \mathcal{K}_n(x, y)$. In particular it is not self contractive in general: $\int dy Q(x, y) Q(y, z) \neq Q(x, z)$. In the case of orthogonal functions, $\int dx \phi_k(x) \psi_j(x) = \delta_{kj}$, we obviously get back $Q_n(x, y) = \mathcal{K}_n(x, y)$. Then Dyson's theorem applies, as in the example in the previous section.

Special cases of Theorem 1 were previously known. For $k = 0$ it goes back to C. Andréief in 1883 as cited in [4], after multiplying with the normalisation C :

$$\int \prod_{l=1}^n dx_l \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} [\phi_j(x_i)] \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} [\psi_j(x_i)] = n! \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \left[\int dx \phi_i(x) \psi_j(x) \right]. \quad (2.7)$$

The identity for $k = 1$ was stated and used in [2] but no explicit proof was given. Furthermore let us point out that for $k = n$ there are no integrations, thus equating the determinant of the bilinear function and of the kernel.

3 Proofs

The proof of Theorem 1 will go in three steps, taking the known result for $k = 0$ for granted. In step i) we prove the Theorem for $k = 1$, relating to the definition (2.3). In step ii) we show that the kernel $\mathcal{K}_n(p, q)$ satisfies the self-contraction property eq. (2.6), Theorem 2 for $k = 1$. In the last step iii) we prove Theorem 1 for $k = n$ without integrations. Because of the self-contraction property of $\mathcal{K}_n(p, q)$ we can then apply Dyson's theorem to the rhs to show all the remaining cases. Theorem 2 for $k \geq 2$ will then be shown in the second part.

step i): To prove $k = 1$, in a first trivial step we can replace the determinant of the bilinear function as follows:

$$\det_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} [Q_n(p_i, q_j)] = \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \left[\sum_{a=1}^n \phi_a(p_i) \psi_a(q_j) \right] = \det_{1 \leq a, i \leq n} [\phi_a(p_i)] \det_{1 \leq a, j \leq n} [\psi_a(q_j)]. \quad (3.8)$$

Inserting this into the lhs we can expand both determinants with respect to the last, unintegrated column:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{C} \int \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} dx_l \det_{1 \leq a, i \leq n} [\phi_a(p_i)] \det_{1 \leq a, j \leq n} [\psi_a(q_j)] &= \frac{1}{C} \int \prod_{m=1}^{n-1} dx_m \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \phi_j(p_n) C_j^\phi \right) \left(\sum_{l=1}^n \psi_l(q_n) C_l^\psi \right) \\ &= \frac{(n-1)!}{C} \sum_{l, j=0}^n \phi_j(p_n) \psi_l(q_n) C_{lj} \\ &= (n-1)! \mathcal{K}_n(p_n, q_n), \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where $p_i = q_i = x_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. We have introduced the minors

$$C_j^\phi \equiv (-)^{n-1+j} \det_{i \neq j} [\phi_i(x_k)] \quad \text{and} \quad C_l^\psi \equiv (-)^{n-1+l} \det_{i \neq l} [\psi_i(x_k)]. \quad (3.10)$$

These contain $n-1$ functions each, and all variables x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} are integrated. Thus for each product $C_j^\phi C_l^\psi$ we can apply the formula for $k = 0$ by C. Andréief eq. (2.7), with the resulting minor

$$C_{lj} \equiv (-)^{l+j} \det_{i \neq j; k \neq l} \left[\int dx \phi_i(x) \psi_k(x) \right]. \quad (3.11)$$

In the last step the sum in eq. (3.9) can be precisely written as the sum over determinants in eq. (2.3), each expanded with respect to the a -th column.

step ii): To derive the self-contraction property for $\mathcal{K}_n(p, q)$ we simply insert the definition eq.(2.3), applying the short hand notation $\langle i, k \rangle \equiv \int dx \phi_i(x) \psi_k(x)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int dq \mathcal{K}_n(p, q) \mathcal{K}_n(q, r) &= \frac{1}{C^2} \int dq \left(\sum_{a=1}^n \det \begin{bmatrix} \langle 1, 1 \rangle \cdots & \phi_1(p) \psi_a(q) & \cdots \langle 1, n \rangle \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \langle n, 1 \rangle \cdots & \phi_n(p) \psi_a(q) & \cdots \langle n, n \rangle \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{b=1}^n \det \begin{bmatrix} \langle 1, 1 \rangle \cdots & \phi_1(q) \psi_b(r) & \cdots \langle 1, n \rangle \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \langle n, 1 \rangle \cdots & \phi_n(q) \psi_b(r) & \cdots \langle n, n \rangle \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{C^2} \left(\sum_{a=1}^n \det \begin{bmatrix} \langle 1, 1 \rangle \cdots & \phi_1(p) \psi_{b=a}(r) & \cdots \langle 1, n \rangle \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \langle n, 1 \rangle \cdots & \phi_n(p) \psi_{b=a}(r) & \cdots \langle n, n \rangle \end{bmatrix} \right) \times C \\ &= \mathcal{K}_n(p, r). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Here we simply observe that in each product of determinants the common factors $\psi_a(q)$ and $\psi_b(r)$ can be taken out of the columns a and b respectively, and can then be multiplied into the columns of the other determinant. In a second step the integral $\int dq$ can now be taken inside the b -th column of the second determinant containing $\phi_j(q)\psi_a(q)$, resulting into $\langle j, a \rangle$. This leads to a column already present and thus a vanishing determinant, unless we have $a = b$. The resulting normalisation cancels one power of C to reproduce the kernel.

step iii): We prove Theorem 1 for $k = n$ when all integrations are absent. It is easily seen when expanding the kernel inside the determinant on the rhs, using the formula in the last line of eq. (3.9):

$$\begin{aligned} \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} [\mathcal{K}(p_i, q_j)] &= \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \left[\frac{1}{C} \sum_{l, k=0}^n \phi_k(p_i) \psi_l(q_j) C_{lk} \right] \\ &= \det_{1 \leq i, k \leq n} [\phi_k(p_i)] \det_{1 \leq l, j \leq n} [\psi_l(p_j)] \det_{1 \leq l, k \leq n} \left[\frac{1}{C} C_{lk} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{C} \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} [Q_n(p_i, q_j)] . \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

In the first step we used that the determinant of the matrix product is the product of the determinants. Furthermore, the minors are just the matrix elements of the inverse matrix, $C_{lk}/C = (C^{-1})_{lk}$, and we used eq. (3.8). Because of ii) we can now apply Dyson's integration theorem to the determinant of the self-contracting kernel $\mathcal{K}_n(p_i, q_j)$. Using its normalisation, $\int dq \mathcal{K}_n(q, q) = n$ which can be trivially seen, we arrive at Theorem 1 for all $k = 0, \dots, n$.

It remains to prove Theorem 2 for $k \geq 2$. The proof goes as follows. On the rhs of (2.6) we substitute each of the two kernels $\mathcal{K}_n^{(k)}$ as a determinant of single kernels from their definition (2.5). Using the standard representation of a determinant, we get:

$$\text{lhs} = \frac{1}{(k!)^2} \int dq_1 \dots dq_k \sum_{\sigma, \sigma'} (-1)^{\sigma + \sigma'} \prod_{i=1}^k \left[\mathcal{K}_n(p_{\sigma(i)}, q_i) \mathcal{K}_n(q_i, r_{\sigma'(i)}) \right]. \quad (3.14)$$

The fact that $\mathcal{K}_n(x, y)$ is self-contracting allows us to do all the integrals over q_i , to obtain

$$\text{lhs} = \frac{1}{(k!)^2} \sum_{\sigma, \sigma'} (-1)^{\sigma + \sigma'} \prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{K}_n(p_{\sigma(i)}, r_{\sigma'(i)}) = \frac{1}{k!} \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} \mathcal{K}(p_i, r_j) \equiv \mathcal{K}_n^{(k)}(p_1, \dots, p_k; r_1, \dots, r_k). \quad (3.15)$$

The normalisation of the generalised kernel $\mathcal{K}_n^{(k)}$ follows directly from the normalisation of the single kernel $\mathcal{K}_n(p, q)$ which is n , and the repeated application of Dyson's integration theorem to a $k \times k$ determinant integrated k times. This way we get the binomial coefficient:

$$\int dq_1 \dots dq_k \mathcal{K}_n^{(k)}(q_1, \dots, q_k; q_1, \dots, q_k) = \frac{n!}{(n-k)! k!} . \quad (3.16)$$

4 Conclusions

We have shown how to reduce any number of integrations over a determinant of a bilinear function of non-orthogonal functions to a smaller determinant of a self-contracting kernel containing only single integrals. This makes the large- n limit feasible in such a general setting at least in principle, given

the single integrals can be evaluated. Due to the fermionic nature of the Vandermonde determinant other applications than the mentioned Schwinger model should exist. Our result gives hope that an analogous Pfaffian integration theorem with some variables unintegrated also exists.

After writing up this paper we learned from P. Forrester that the first part of our result was derived independently by Rains [5] in the context of symmetrised increasing subsequences. His alternative proof is formulated in terms of the Pfaffian of an antisymmetric matrix kernel. In contrast, our proof illuminates the close relation to Dyson's theorem.

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank T. Guhr and E. Kanzieper for interesting comments, and P. Forrester for pointing out references. Part of this work was written up and presented during the workshop on "Random Matrix Theory: Recent Applications" at the Niels Bohr Academy in May 2007, and we thank the organisers for the stimulating atmosphere. Financial support by EPSRC grant EP/D031613/1 (G.A. and L.S.) and European Community Network ENRAGE MRTN-CT-2004-005616 (G.A.) is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [1] M.L. Mehta, *Random Matrices*, Academic Press, Third Edition, London 2004.
- [2] L. Shifrin and J. J. M. Verbaarschot, *Phys. Rev. D* **73** (2006) 074008 [arXiv:hep-th/0507220].
- [3] G. Akemann and E. Kanzieper, arXiv:math-ph/0703019; E. Kanzieper and G. Akemann, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95** (2005) 230201 [arXiv:math-ph/0507058].
- [4] C. Tracy and H. Widom, *J. Stat. Phys.* **92** (1998) 809 [arXiv:solv-int/9804004]
- [5] E. M. Rains, "Correlation functions for symmetrized increasing subsequences", arXiv:math/0006097v1 [math.CO]