

## ON FEKETE-SZEGÖ PROBLEMS FOR A SUBCLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

(Berkenaan Permasalahan Fekete-Szegö bagi Subkelas Fungsi Analisis)

ENTISAR EL-YAGUBI & MASLINA DARUS

### ABSTRACT

The aim of this paper is to determine the Fekete-Szegö inequalities for a normalised analytic function  $f(z)$  defined on the open unit disc for which  $z(\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b} f(z))' / (\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b} f(z))$ ,  $\delta, m, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\lambda_2 \geq \lambda_1 \geq 0$  lies in a region starlike with respect to 1 and it is symmetric with respect to the real axis by using the operator  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b} f(z)$  given recently by the authors. As a special case of this result, Fekete-Szegö inequality for a class of functions defined by fractional derivatives is also obtained.

*Keywords:* analytic function; starlike function; subordination; Fekete-Szegö inequality; derivative operator

### ABSTRAK

Matlamat makalah ini adalah untuk menentukan ketaksamaan Fekete-Szegö bagi fungsi analisis ternormal yang ditakrif pada cakera unit terbuka dengan  $z(\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b} f(z))' / (\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b} f(z))$ ,  $\lambda_2 \geq \lambda_1 \geq 0$ ,  $\delta, m, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$  terletak pada rantau bak bintang terhadap 1 dan simetri terhadap paksi nyata dengan menggunakan pengoperasi  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b} f(z)$  yang diberi penulis baru-baru ini. Sebagai kes khas bagi hasil ini, ketaksamaan Fekete-Szegö bagi kelas fungsi yang ditakrif oleh terbitan pecahan juga diperoleh.

*Kata kunci:* fungsi analisis; fungsi bak bintang; subordinasi; ketaksamaan Fekete-Szegö; pengoperasi terbitan

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (z \in U), \tag{1}$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc  $U = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| < 1\}$ . Also, let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the subclass of  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of all functions, which are univalent in  $U$ . Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ , where  $\phi(z)$  is an analytic function with positive real part on  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $\phi(0) = 1, \phi'(0) > 0$ , and let  $\mathcal{S}^*(\phi)$  be the class of functions in  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  such that

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \phi(z), \quad (z \in U), \tag{2}$$

and  $\mathcal{C}(\phi)$  be the class of functions in  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  for which

$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \prec \phi(z), \quad (z \in U). \tag{3}$$

where  $\prec$  denotes to the subordination between two analytic functions.

Let  $a_n$  be a complex number and  $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$ . A classical theorem of Fekete and Szegö (1933) states that for  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  and given by (1),

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq 1 + 2 \exp\left(\frac{-2\mu}{1-\mu}\right).$$

The inequality is sharp.

For a brief history of the Fekete-Szegö problem for the class of starlike functions  $\mathcal{S}^*$ , convex functions  $\mathcal{C}$  and close-to-convex functions  $\mathcal{K}$ , see the papers by Mohammed and Darus (2010), Srivastava *et al.* (2001), Darus (2002), Al-Abbadi and Darus (2011), Ravichandran *et al.* (2004) and Al-Shaqsi and Darus (2008). In particular, for  $f \in \mathcal{K}$  and given by (1), Keogh and Merkes (1969) showed that

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} 3 - 4\mu & \text{if } 0 \leq \mu \leq \frac{1}{3}, \\ \frac{1}{3} + \frac{4}{9\mu} & \text{if } \frac{1}{3} \leq \mu \leq \frac{2}{3}, \\ 1 & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq \mu \leq 1, \\ 4\mu - 3 & \text{if } \mu \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

and for each  $\mu$  there is a function in  $\mathcal{K}$  for which equality holds.

**Definition 1.1** (El-Yagubi & Darus 2013) Let  $f$  be in the class  $\mathcal{A}$ . For  $\delta, m, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\lambda_2 \geq \lambda_1 \geq 0$ , we define the differential operator as follows:

$$\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b} f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(n-1) + b}{1 + \lambda_2(n-1) + b} \right]^m \mathcal{C}(\delta, n) a_n z^n, \tag{4}$$

where  $\mathcal{C}(\delta, n) = \binom{\delta+n-1}{\delta} = (\delta+1)_{n-1} / (n-1)!$  and  $(\delta)_n$  denotes the Pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(\delta)_n = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 0, \\ \delta(\delta+1)(\delta+2)\dots(\delta+n-1), & \delta \in \mathbb{C}, n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

Using the operator  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b} f(z)$ , we define the class  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b}(\phi)$  as follows:

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $\phi \in P$  be a univalent starlike function with respect to 1, which maps the unit disc  $U$  onto a region in the right half plane and symmetric with respect to the real axis,  $\phi(0) = 1$  and  $\phi'(0) > 0$ . A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is in the class  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b}(\phi)$  if

$$\frac{z(\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b} f(z))'}{\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b} f(z)} \prec \phi(z), \quad (5)$$

where  $\delta, m, b \in \mathbb{N}_0, \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_1 \geq 0$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b} f(z)$  denotes the differential operator (4).

The motivation of this paper is to generalise the Fekete-Szegö inequalities proved by Srivastava and Mishra (2000) for functions in the class  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b}(\phi)$ . We also give some applications of our results for certain functions defined by fractional derivatives.

To prove our main results, the following lemma is required.

**Lemma 1.1** (Ma & Minda 1994). *If  $p_1(z) = 1 + c_1(z) + c_2 z^2 + \dots$  is an analytic function with positive real part in  $U$ , then*

$$|c_2 - \nu c_1^2| \leq \begin{cases} -4\nu + 2 & \text{if } \nu \leq 0, \\ 2 & \text{if } 0 \leq \nu \leq 1, \\ 4\nu + 2 & \text{if } \nu \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

When  $\nu < 0$  or  $\nu > 1$ , the equality holds if and only if  $p_1(z)$  is  $\frac{1+z}{1-z}$  or one of its rotations. If  $0 < \nu < 1$ , then equality holds if and only if  $p_1(z)$  is  $\frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2}$  or one of its rotations. If  $\nu = 0$ , the equality holds if and only if

$$p_1(z) = \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma \right) \frac{1+z}{1-z} + \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma \right) \frac{1-z}{1+z}, \quad (0 \leq \gamma \leq 1),$$

or one of its rotations. If  $\nu = 1$ , the equality holds if and only if  $p_1(z)$  is the reciprocal of one of the functions such that the equality holds in the case of  $\nu = 0$ . Also the above upper bound is sharp, it can be improved as follows when  $0 < \nu < 1$ :

$$|c_2 - \nu c_1^2| + \nu |c_1^2| \leq 2, \quad (0 < \nu \leq \frac{1}{2})$$

and

$$|c_2 - \nu c_1^2| + (1-\nu) |c_1^2| \leq 2, \quad (\frac{1}{2} < \nu \leq 1).$$

## 2. Main Results

Our first result is contained in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $\phi(z)$  be an analytic function with positive real part on  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\phi(z) = 1 + \mathcal{B}_1 z + \mathcal{B}_2 z^2 + \dots$ . If  $f(z)$  is given by (1) and belongs to  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b} \phi(z)$ , then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{(1+2\lambda_2+b)^m \mathcal{B}_2}{(\delta+2)(\delta+1)(1+2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)+b)^m} - \frac{(1+2\lambda_2+b)^m \mu \mathcal{B}_1^2}{(\delta+1)^2(1+\lambda_1+\lambda_2+b)^{2m}} \\ + \frac{(1+2\lambda_2+b)^m \mathcal{B}_1^2}{(\delta+2)(\delta+1)(1+2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)+b)^m} & \text{if } \mu \leq \sigma_1, \\ \frac{(1+2\lambda_2+b)^m \mathcal{B}_1}{(\delta+2)(\delta+1)(1+2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)+b)^m} & \text{if } \sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2, \\ - \frac{(1+2\lambda_2+b)^m \mathcal{B}_2}{(\delta+2)(\delta+1)(1+2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)+b)^m} + \frac{(1+2\lambda_2+b)^m \mu \mathcal{B}_1^2}{(\delta+1)^2(1+\lambda_1+\lambda_2+b)^{2m}} \\ - \frac{(1+2\lambda_2+b)^m \mathcal{B}_1^2}{(\delta+2)(\delta+1)(1+2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)+b)^m} & \text{if } \mu \geq \sigma_2, \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

where

$$\sigma_1 := \frac{(\delta+1)^2(1+\lambda_1+\lambda_2+b)^{2m}(\mathcal{B}_2 - \mathcal{B}_1) + \mathcal{B}_1^2}{(\delta+2)(\delta+1)(1+2\lambda_2+b)^m(1+2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)+b)^m \mathcal{B}_1^2} \quad (7)$$

and

$$\sigma_2 := \frac{(\delta+1)^2(1+\lambda_1+\lambda_2+b)^{2m}(\mathcal{B}_2 + \mathcal{B}_1) + \mathcal{B}_1^2}{(\delta+2)(\delta+1)(1+2\lambda_2+b)^m(1+2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)+b)^m \mathcal{B}_1^2}. \quad (8)$$

**Proof.** For  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b} \phi(z)$ , let

$$p(z) = \frac{z(\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b} f(z))'}{\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b} f(z)} = 1 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + \dots \quad (9)$$

Substituting (4) in (9) and comparing the coefficients of  $z^2$  and  $z^3$  on both sides in equation (9), we have

$$\left[ \frac{1+\lambda_1+\lambda_2+b}{1+\lambda_2+b} \right]^m (\delta+1)a_2 = b_1$$

and

$$\left[ \frac{1+2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)+b}{1+2\lambda_2+b} \right]^m (\delta+2)(\delta+1)a_3 = \left[ \frac{1+\lambda_1+\lambda_2+b}{1+\lambda_2+b} \right]^{2m} (\delta+1)^2 a_2^2 + b_2. \quad (10)$$

Now, we want to find out the values for  $b_1$  and  $b_2$ . Since  $\phi(z)$  is univalent and  $p \prec \phi$ , the function

$$p_1(z) = \frac{1 + \phi^{-1}(p(z))}{1 - \phi^{-1}(p(z))} = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots \quad (11)$$

is analytic and has a positive real part in  $U$ . Thus, we have

$$p(z) = \phi\left(\frac{p_1(z) - 1}{p_1(z) + 1}\right).$$

From the equations (9) and (11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + \dots &= \phi\left(\frac{c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots}{2 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots}\right) \\ &= \phi\left[\frac{1}{2}c_1 z + \frac{1}{2}(c_2 - \frac{1}{2}c_1^2)z^2 + \dots\right] \\ &= 1 + \mathcal{B}_1 \frac{1}{2}c_1 z + \mathcal{B}_1 \frac{1}{2}(c_2 - \frac{1}{2}c_1^2)z^2 + \mathcal{B}_2 \frac{1}{4}c_1^2 z^2 + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

and this implies

$$b_1 = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{B}_1 c_1 \text{ and } b_2 = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{B}_1(c_2 - \frac{1}{2}c_1^2) + \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{B}_2 c_1^2.$$

By substituting the values of  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  in equation (10), we have

$$a_2 = \frac{\mathcal{B}_1 c_1 (1 + \lambda_2 + b)^m}{2(1 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + b)^m (\delta + 1)}$$

and

$$a_3 = \frac{(\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{B}_1^2 c_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{B}_1(c_2 - \frac{1}{2}c_1^2) + \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{B}_2 c_1^2)(1 + 2\lambda_2 + b)^m}{(1 + 2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) + b)^m (\delta + 2)(\delta + 1)}. \quad (13)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 - \mu a_2^2 &= \frac{\mathcal{B}_1 (1 + 2\lambda_2 + b)^m}{2(1 + 2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) + b)^m (\delta + 2)(\delta + 1)} (c_2 - c_1^2 [\frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{\mathcal{B}_2}{\mathcal{B}_1} + \\ &\frac{(\delta + 2)(\delta + 1)(1 + 2\lambda_2 + b)^m (1 + 2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) + b)^m \mu - (1 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + b)^{2m} (\delta + 1)^2}{(1 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + b)^{2m} (\delta + 1)^2} \mathcal{B}_1]), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{\mathcal{B}_1(1+2\lambda_2+b)^m}{2(1+2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)+b)^m(\delta+2)(\delta+1)} [c_2 - \nu c_1^2],$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \nu = & \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{\mathcal{B}_2}{\mathcal{B}_1} \right) \\ & + \frac{(\delta+2)(\delta+1)(1+2\lambda_2+b)^m(1+2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)+b)^m\mu - (1+\lambda_1+\lambda_2+b)^{2m}(\delta+1)^2}{(1+\lambda_1+\lambda_2+b)^{2m}(\delta+1)^2} \mathcal{B}_1. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\mu \leq \sigma_1$ , then by Lemma 1.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq & \frac{(1+2\lambda_2+b)^m \mathcal{B}_2}{(\delta+2)(\delta+1)(1+2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)+b)^m} - \frac{(1+2\lambda_2+b)^m \mu \mathcal{B}_1^2}{(\delta+1)^2(1+\lambda_1+\lambda_2+b)^{2m}} \\ & + \frac{(1+2\lambda_2+b)^m \mathcal{B}_1^2}{(\delta+2)(\delta+1)(1+2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)+b)^m}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\mu \geq \sigma_2$ , then we get

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq & -\frac{(1+2\lambda_2+b)^m \mathcal{B}_2}{(\delta+2)(\delta+1)(1+2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)+b)^m} + \frac{(1+2\lambda_2+b)^m \mu \mathcal{B}_1^2}{(\delta+1)^2(1+\lambda_1+\lambda_2+b)^{2m}} \\ & - \frac{(1+2\lambda_2+b)^m \mathcal{B}_1^2}{(\delta+2)(\delta+1)(1+2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)+b)^m}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly if  $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2$ , we get

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{(1+2\lambda_2+b)^m \mathcal{B}_1}{(\delta+2)(\delta+1)(1+2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)+b)^m}.$$

### 3. Application of Fractional Derivatives

For fixed  $g \in \mathcal{A}$ , let  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b, g}(\phi)$  be the class of functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  for which  $(f * g) \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b}(\phi)$ . Note that, for any two analytic functions  $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  and  $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ , their convolution is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = f(z) * g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n.$$

**Definition 3.1** (Owa & Srivastava 1987). Let  $f$  be analytic in a simply connected region of the  $z$ -plane containing the origin. The functional derivative of  $f$  of order  $\gamma$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_z^\gamma f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z \frac{f(\zeta)}{(z-\zeta)^\gamma} d\zeta, \quad (0 \leq \gamma < 1),$$

where the multiplicity of  $(z-\zeta)^\gamma$  is removed by requiring that  $\log(z-\zeta)$  is real for  $z-\zeta > 0$ .

Using Definition 3.1 and the well known extension involving fractional derivatives and fractional integrals, Owa and Srivastava (1987) introduced the operator  $\Omega^\gamma : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ , which is defined by

$$\Omega^\gamma f(z) = \Gamma(2-\gamma) z^\gamma \mathcal{D}_z^\gamma f(z), \quad (\gamma \neq 2, 3, 4, \dots).$$

The class  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b, \gamma}(\phi)$  consists of functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  for which  $\Omega^\gamma f \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b}(\phi)$ . Note that  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b, \gamma}(\phi)$  is the special case of the class  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b, g}(\phi)$  when

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2-\gamma)}{\Gamma(n+1-\gamma)} z^n.$$

Let

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_n z^n, \quad (g_n > 0).$$

Since  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b} f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b, g}(\phi)$  if and only if  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b} f(z) * g(z) \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b}(\phi)$ , we obtain the coefficient estimate for functions in the class  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b, g}(\phi)$ , from the corresponding estimate for functions in the class  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b}(\phi)$ . Applying Theorem 2.1 for the function

$$\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b} f(z) * g(z) = z + \left[ \frac{1 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + b}{1 + \lambda_2 + b} \right]^m (\delta + 1) a_2 g_2 z^2 + \dots,$$

we get the following result after an obvious change of the parameter  $\mu$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_n z^n$ , ( $g_n > 0$ ) and let the function  $\phi(z)$  be given by  $\phi(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_n z^n$ . If  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b} f(z)$  given by (4) belongs to  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b, g}(\phi)$ , then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{(1 + 2\lambda_2 + b)^m \mathcal{B}_2}{(\delta + 2)(\delta + 1)(1 + 2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) + b)^m g_3} - \frac{(1 + 2\lambda_2 + b)^m \mu \mathcal{B}_1^2}{(\delta + 1)^2 (1 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + b)^{2m} g_2^2} \\ + \frac{(1 + 2\lambda_2 + b)^m \mathcal{B}_1^2}{(\delta + 2)(\delta + 1)(1 + 2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) + b)^m g_3} & \text{if } \mu \leq \sigma_1, \\ \frac{(1 + 2\lambda_2 + b)^m \mathcal{B}_1}{(\delta + 2)(\delta + 1)(1 + 2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) + b)^m g_3} & \text{if } \sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2, \\ - \frac{(1 + 2\lambda_2 + b)^m \mathcal{B}_2}{(\delta + 2)(\delta + 1)(1 + 2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) + b)^m g_3} + \frac{(1 + 2\lambda_2 + b)^m \mu \mathcal{B}_1^2}{(\delta + 1)^2 (1 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + b)^{2m} g_2^2} \\ - \frac{(1 + 2\lambda_2 + b)^m \mathcal{B}_1^2}{(\delta + 2)(\delta + 1)(1 + 2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) + b)^m g_3} & \text{if } \mu \geq \sigma_2, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\sigma_1 := \frac{g_2^2 (\delta + 1)^2 (1 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + b)^{2m} (\mathcal{B}_2 - \mathcal{B}_1) + \mathcal{B}_1^2}{g_3 (\delta + 2)(\delta + 1)(1 + 2\lambda_2 + b)^m (1 + 2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) + b)^m \mathcal{B}_1^2},$$

$$\sigma_2 := \frac{g_2^2 (\delta + 1)^2 (1 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + b)^{2m} (\mathcal{B}_2 + \mathcal{B}_1) + \mathcal{B}_1^2}{g_3 (\delta + 2)(\delta + 1)(1 + 2\lambda_2 + b)^m (1 + 2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) + b)^m \mathcal{B}_1^2}.$$

Since

$$(\Omega^\gamma \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b} f)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2-\gamma)}{\Gamma(n+1-\gamma)} \left[ \frac{1 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(n-1) + b}{1 + \lambda_2(n-1) + b} \right]^m \mathcal{C}(\delta, n) a_n z^n,$$

we have

$$g_2 := \frac{\Gamma(3)\Gamma(2-\gamma)}{\Gamma(3-\gamma)} = \frac{2}{(2-\gamma)}$$

and



$$g_3 := \frac{\Gamma(4)\Gamma(2-\gamma)}{\Gamma(4-\gamma)} = \frac{6}{(2-\gamma)(3-\gamma)}.$$

**Proof.** By using the same technique as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, the required result is obtained.

For  $g_2$  and  $g_3$  given by above equalities, Theorem 3.1 reduces to the following result.

**Corollary 3.1.** Let  $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_n z^n$ , ( $g_n > 0$ ) and let the function  $\phi(z)$  be given by

$\phi(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_n z^n$ . If  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b} f(z)$  given by (3) belongs to  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta}^{m, b, g}(\phi)$ . Then,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{(2-\gamma)(3-\gamma)(1+2\lambda_2+b)^m \mathcal{B}_2}{6(\delta+2)(\delta+1)(1+2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)+b)^m} - \frac{(2-\gamma)^2(1+2\lambda_2+b)^m \mu \mathcal{B}_1^2}{4(\delta+1)^2(1+\lambda_1+\lambda_2+b)^{2m}} \\ + \frac{(2-\gamma)(3-\gamma)(1+2\lambda_2+b)^m \mathcal{B}_1^2}{6(\delta+2)(\delta+1)(1+2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)+b)^m}, & \text{if } \mu \leq \sigma_1, \\ \frac{(2-\gamma)(3-\gamma)(1+2\lambda_2+b)^m \mathcal{B}_1}{6(\delta+2)(\delta+1)(1+2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)+b)^m}, & \text{if } \sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2, \\ - \frac{(2-\gamma)(3-\gamma)(1+2\lambda_2+b)^m \mathcal{B}_2}{6(\delta+2)(\delta+1)(1+2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)+b)^m} + \frac{(2-\gamma)^2(1+2\lambda_2+b)^m \mu \mathcal{B}_1^2}{4(\delta+1)^2(1+\lambda_1+\lambda_2+b)^{2m}} \\ - \frac{(2-\gamma)(3-\gamma)(1+2\lambda_2+b)^m \mathcal{B}_1^2}{6(\delta+2)(\delta+1)(1+2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)+b)^m}, & \text{if } \mu \geq \sigma_2, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\sigma_1 := \frac{2(3-\gamma)(\delta+1)^2(1+\lambda_1+\lambda_2+b)^{2m}(\mathcal{B}_2 - \mathcal{B}_1) + \mathcal{B}_1^2}{3(2-\gamma)(\delta+2)(\delta+1)(1+2\lambda_2+b)^m(1+2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)+b)^m \mathcal{B}_1^2}$$

and

$$\sigma_2 := \frac{2(3-\gamma)(\delta+1)^2(1+\lambda_1+\lambda_2+b)^{2m}(\mathcal{B}_2 + \mathcal{B}_1) + \mathcal{B}_1^2}{3(2-\gamma)(\delta+2)(\delta+1)(1+2\lambda_2+b)^m(1+2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)+b)^m \mathcal{B}_1^2}.$$

### Acknowledgement

The work presented here was partially supported by AP-2013-009.

## References

- Al-Abbadi M. H. & Darus M. 2011. The Fekete-Szegő theorem for a certain class of analytic functions. *Sains Malaysiana* **40**(4): 385-389.
- Al-Shaqsi K. & Darus M. 2008. On Fekete-Szegő problems for certain subclass of analytic functions. *Appl. Math. Sci.* **2**(9): 431-441.
- Darus M. 2002. The Fekete-Szegő problems for close-to-convex functions of the class  $K_{sh}(\alpha, \beta)$ . *Acta Mathematica Academiae Paedagogicae Nyiregyhaziensis* **18**(1): 13-18.
- El-Yagubi E. & Darus M. 2013. Subclasses of analytic functions defined by new generalised derivative operator. *Journal of Quality Measurement and Analysis* **9**(1): 47-56.
- Fekete M. & Szegő G. 1933. Eine bemerkung über ungerade schlichte function. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **8**: 85-89.
- Keogh F. R. & Merkes E. P. 1969. A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **20**: 8-12.
- Ma W. & Minda D. 1994. A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions. In Li Z., Ren F., Yang L. & Zhang S. (Eds.). *Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis*, pp. 157-169, Int. Press.
- Mohammed A. & Darus M. 2010. On Fekete-Szegő problem for certain subclass of analytic functions. *Int. J. Open Problems Compt. Math.* **3**(4): 510-520.
- Owa S. & Srivastava H. M. 1987. Univalent and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions. *Canad. J. Math.* **39**(5): 1057-1077.
- Ravichandran V., Gangadharan A. & Darus M. 2004. On Fekete-Szegő inequality for certain class of Bazilevic functions. *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences* **15**(2): 171-180.
- Srivastava H. M. & Mishra A. K. 2000. Applications of fractional calculus to parabolic starlike and uniformly convex functions. *Computer Math. Appl.* **39**: 57-69.
- Srivastava H. M., Mishra A. K. & Das M. K. 2001. The Fekete-Szegő problem for a subclass of close-to-convex functions. *Complex Variables, Theory and Application* **44**: 145-163.

*School of Mathematical Sciences  
Faculty of Science and Technology  
University Kebangsaan Malaysia  
43600 UKM Bangi  
Selangor DE, MALAYSIA  
E-mail: entisar\_e1980@yahoo.com, maslina@ukm.edu.my\**

---

\*Corresponding author