Burkholderia pseudomallei: AN UPDATE ON DISEASE, VIRULENCE AND HOST INTERACTION

LEE, S.H.¹ and NATHAN, S.^{2*}

Department of Integrative Biology, University of California at Berkeley, California, 94720-3102, USA School of Biosciences and Biotechnology, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 43600 UKM Bangi Selangor, Malaysia *E-mail: sheila@ukm.my

ABSTRACT

Burkholderia pseudomallei is the etiological agent of melioidosis, a life-threatening disease of humans and animals that occurs primarily in Southeast Asia and northern Australia. The distribution of *B. pseudomallei* and occurrence of melioidosis globally is very much evident. This soil and water-dwelling saprophyte is resilient to various environments. This organism has gained further notoriety following the Centre for Disease Control's classification of *B. pseudomallei* as a Tier 1 biological agent. Despite several decades of clinical research, the mortality rate for melioidosis remains high. Genomics-based studies have demonstrated the plasticity of the *B. pseudomallei* genome and the coding sequences consists of a myriad of functions that enable the bacteria to adapt to these hostile environments as well as various hosts. Diagnosis is mainly based on bacterial culture or serological assays whilst treatment is limited to third generation cephalosporins. To date, no vaccine is currently available as an immunoprophylaxis for melioidosis. By utilising the available genome sequence, a number of virulence factors have recently been identified and have provided more insight into the pathogenesis of *B. pseudomallei*. These factors include surface associated proteins as well as secreted effector proteins and their corresponding secretion systems. In addition, a number of recent studies on host-pathogen interaction have also demonstrated how the pathogen is able to subvert the host immune system and survive within the cell. This review presents an overview of the current understanding on *B. pseudomallei* pathogenesis and the disease melioidosis.

Key words: Burkholderia pseudomallei, melioidosis, pathogenesis

INTRODUCTION

Burkholderia pseudomallei (previously known as Pseudomonas pseudomallei) was first described as Bacillus pseudomallei by Alfred Whitmore, a British pathologist and C. S. Krishnaswami, an assistant surgeon, following its isolation from a young boy dying of pneumonia in Yangon, Myanmar in 1911 (Whitmore and Krishnaswami, 1912). Whitmore noted the clinical resemblance of this disease to glanders, an abscess-forming infection caused by Burkholderia mallei (Whitmore, 1913). The name of this bacterium continued to evolve over the years until 1992, when this bacterium and a number of closely related species were incorporated into a new genus, Burkholderia, based on the 16s ribosomal ribonucleic acid sequences, deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA)-DNA homology values, cellular lipid and phenotypic fatty acid composition and characteristics (Yabuuchi et al., 1992).

BACTERIOLOGY AND GENOMICS

General bacteriology

B. pseudomallei is a Gram negative, motile, nonspore-forming bacillus, 0.4-0.6 µm in width and 2-5 µm in length (Yabuuchi and Arakawa, 1993). It is an environmental saprophytic organism which can be isolated from water and soil in rice paddy fields in endemic areas (White, 2003). B. pseudomallei is a resilient organism that is capable of surviving hostile environmental conditions, including a wide temperature range (37 - 42°C); some strains appear to survive for long periods (greater than 90 days) at 5°C under laboratory conditions (Yabuuchi et al., 1993), acidic environments (pH 4.0 for more than 70 days) (Tong et al., 1996), prolonged nutrient deficiency (Wuthiekanun et al., 1995) and dehydration (soil water content of < 10% for up to 70 days) (Chen et al., 2003) but not exposure to UV light (Tong et al., 1996).

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed.

When isolated from blood, sputum, pus and other body fluids, B. pseudomallei appears like safety-pins (bipolar) under the microscope (White, 2003). In the laboratory, it grows aerobically on most agar media and produces clearly visible colonies within 24 hours at 37°C. Nevertheless, morphology often colony demonstrates considerable variability between rough and smooth both within and between samples (Chantratita et al., 2007a). Ashdown's selective medium which contains crystal-violet, glycerol and gentamicin is commonly used to culture the organism. The colonies develop a characteristic appearance (wrinkled and dry daisy-head) and they take up crystal-violet dye from the medium (Ashdown, 1979) (Fig. 1). More improved B. pseudomallei selective media such as Burkholderia pseudomallei selective agar (BPSA) (Howard and Inglis, 2003) or Francis medium (Francis et al., 2006) have since been developed to improve the recovery of B. pseudomallei. The organism is oxidase positive, uses glucose by an oxidative pathway and can be distinguished from the closely related but less pathogenic Burkholderia thailandensis (Ara⁺) by the ability to assimilate arabinose (Smith et al., 1997).

Drug susceptibility

B. pseudomallei is resistant to a diverse group of antimicrobials including third generation cephalosporins whilst quinolones and aminoglycosides have no reliable effect (Puthucheary and Sam, 2012). Therefore, therapeutic options are limited and the continuous presence of the organism in patients is not fully understood. Some rare, naturally occurring mutants are susceptible to both aminoglycosides and macrolides because they lack a multidrug efflux pump to export these antibiotics (Moore *et al.*, 1999).

The B. pseudomallei genome

The first genome sequence of *B. pseudomallei* was released in 2004. The genome is relatively large, 7.24 Mb, divided unequally between two chromosomes (4.07 Mb and 3.17 Mb), with a G + Ccontent of 68% (Holden et al., 2004). At 7.2 Mb, the genome represents one of the most complex bacterial genomes sequenced to date. The large chromosome encodes many of the core functions associated with central metabolism and cell growth, whereas the small chromosome carries more accessory functions associated with adaptation and survival in different niches. The B. pseudomallei genome is estimated to carry approximately 5855 coding sequences involved in a myriad of functions, allowing microbial survival in extreme environments and virulence in diverse host species including humans, gorillas, pigs and fish. A large proportion of B. pseudomallei genes are still unannotated or poorly characterized, raising the need for systematic approaches to link discrete sets of B. pseudomallei genes to their specific biological and cellular functions. A striking feature of the genome is the presence of genomic islands (GIs) that together make up 6.1% of the genome. Genomic islands contain regions of mobile genetic elements, such as insertion sequence (IS) elements, bacteriophages and plasmids directly acquired by horizontal transfer from other microorganisms and are likely to represent the major evolutionary drivers of B. pseudomallei virulence. This also led Holden et al. (2004) to propose that variable horizontal gene acquisition by B. pseudomallei is an important



Fig. 1. *Burkholderia pseudomallei* in culture. A. Typical colony morphology of *B. pseudomallei* on Ashdown's selective medium after 72 hours incubation at 37°C. B. Variable colony morphology that can be seen from a single sample on Ashdown's selective medium after 72 hours incubation at 37°C.

feature of recent genetic evolution and that this has resulted in a genetically diverse pathogenic species.

A separate study using whole-genome B. pseudomallei microarrays to examine patterns of gene presence and absence across 94 South East Asian strains isolated from a variety of clinical, environmental or animal sources demonstrated that 86% of the B. pseudomallei K96243 genome was common to all strains representing the B. pseudomallei "core genome", comprising genes largely involved in essential functions (e.g. amino acid metabolism, protein translation). In contrast, 14% of the B. pseudomallei K96243 genome, namely the accessory genome, was variably present across the isolates. The accessory genome comprises of multiple genomic islands, paralogous genes and insertions/deletions, including three distinct lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-related gene clusters. Noticeably, genomic islands were generally absent in strains derived from the environment suggesting that the B. pseudomallei accessory genome plays an important role in microbial adaptation and virulence in clinical isolates (Sim et al., 2008).

EPIDEMIOLOGY

The geographical distribution of *B. pseudomallei* and melioidosis was comprehensively reviewed in 2005 (Cheng and Currie, 2005) and updated in 2008 (Currie *et al.*, 2008). It is well recognized that Southeast Asia and northern Australia are the main

endemic foci for melioidosis. In areas of Southeast Asia where this bacterium is endemic, infection by B. pseudomallei has been estimated to be responsible for 20% to 30% of mortality due to septicaemia and 40% of sepsis-related mortality. Nevertheless, recent case reports of melioidosis have expanded the endemic zone to southern China (Ma et al., 2009), Taiwan (Chen et al., 2010), most of the Indian subcontinent (Chugh, 2008) and Papua New Guinea (Warner et al., 2008). In addition to endemic melioidosis, there are several documented situations where melioidosis has become established throughout the world. Sporadic cases have occurred in Brazil (Rolim et al., 2005), elsewhere in the Americas (Inglis et al., 2006) and in island communities such as New Caledonia in the Pacific Ocean (Le Hello et al., 2005) and Mauritius in the Indian Ocean (Issack et al., 2005) (Fig. 2). Of note, the incidence of melioidosis has increased incrementally over the past 20 years particularly in the hot spots of Northern Territory of Australia (Currie et al., 2010) and Northeast Thailand (Limmathurotsakul et al., 2010b).

CLINICAL FEATURES

Modes of acquisition

There are several established modes of transmission within the patient population. Inhalation was initially thought to be the primary mode of acquisition, based on studies on American



Fig. 2. Global map showing the categories of distribution of melioidosis and *B. pseudomallei*. Adapted from Currie *et al.* (2008) with permission.

soldiers in Vietnam, where it was noted that helicopter crews seemed to have a high incidence of the disease (Howe et al., 1971). Nevertheless, there is no evidence to support direct human-tohuman transmission via the respiratory route. It is now recognized that human and animal melioidosis is thought to occur by inoculation from contaminated soil through skin lesions, based on the observations that people at high risk included rice paddy farmers who spend most of the working day wading in mud and surface water during planting and harvesting seasons (Cheng and Currie, 2005). The number of registered cases of infection also increases with rainfall (Sam and Puthucheary, 2007). Rare cases of nosocomial infections have arisen in intensive care units (Raja et al., 2005) while vertical transmission at childbirth and sexual transmission have also been reported (Abbink et al., 2001). However, zoonotic episodes are rare but highly probable as B. pseudomallei has an extremely broad host range (Sprague and Neubauer, 2004).

Clinical syndromes

Limmathurotsakul and Peacock (2011) have provided an excellent overview of the clinical presentation of melioidosis. Generally B. pseudomallei can cause disease in apparently healthy individuals although most infections are asymptomatic. Once infected, the infection can remain quiescent for long periods and become active after months, years or decades. The longest latent period documented to date is 62 years after exposure in a Vietnam War veteran (Ngauy et al., 2005). The incubation period from defined inoculating events to onset of melioidosis was previously ascertained as 1-21 days (Currie et al., 2000). The disease is characterised by abscess formation (Wong et al., 1995) where it may be localized or disseminated to various organ systems such as lungs, skin and subcutaneous tissues, bones and joints, liver, spleen, pancreas, kidneys, bladder, prostate, genital organs, brain and meninges, parotid glands, lymph nodes and pericardium (Ip et al., 1995).

The clinical spectrum of melioidosis is extremely diverse, consisting of four forms of disease: acute fulminant septicaemia, subacute illness, chronic infection and subclinical disease. In acute form, death can occur within 24-48 hours of the onset of symptoms (Ip *et al.*, 1995). Subacute melioidosis is described as prolonged febrile illness characterized by multiorgan involvement, systemic abscess formation and bacteraemia where clinical manifestations can last for weeks to months or years. Chronic melioidosis is the most common presentation of this disease. It generally remains undiagnosed until activated by a traumatic event or post-mortem examination of the tissues (Brett and Woods, 2000) whereas subclinical melioidosis is an infection with no symptoms resulting in a chronic carrier state. However, if decrease in immuno competence occurs, one of the clinical forms of the disease can occur. Recurrent disease due to reactivation of the original infecting strain (relapse) or different strain (re-infection) after apparent successful treatment is well described (Maharjan *et al.*, 2005). Re-activation of melioidosis from a latent focus can present as fulminant or less severe melioidosis but it is still an important cause of morbidity and mortality in patients who survive a primary episode of melioidosis.

DIAGNOSIS AND MANAGEMENT

Diagnosis

Rapid diagnostics are needed for melioidosis as the clinical presentation is nonspecific and treatment requires specific antibiotics. Isolation of *B. pseudomallei* from blood, urine, sputum, skin lesions and throat swab samples remains the "gold standard" of diagnosis (Limmathurotsakul *et al.*, 2010a). For non-sterile specimens, Ashdown's selective media is commonly used but, identification of *B. pseudomallei* can also be made by combining the commercial API 20NE or 20E biochemical kit (Kiratisin *et al.*, 2007) with a simple screening system involving Gram stain, the oxidase reaction, typical growth characteristic and resistance to gentamicin and colistin (Lowe *et al.*, 2002).

Melioidosis also be diagnosed can presumptively using serological tests in the absence of isolated B. pseudomallei in the specimen. A variety of antigen detection methods have been developed for use on direct specimens or in blood culture supernatant. For example, direct immunofluorescence microscopy of infected sputum, urine and pus (Wuthiekanun et al., 2005) or a latex agglutination test based on specific monoclonal antibodies to LPS for definitive identification from blood culture (Ekpo et al., 2007). The indirect hemagglutination (IHA) test detects antibodies against B. pseudomallei that appear in the blood within 1-2 weeks after infection and reach a maximal titre in 4 to 5 months (Tiyawisutsri et al., 2005). IHA remains the most widely used serological test for the diagnosis of melioidosis despite its poor sensitivity and specificity. The use of enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) to detect specific IgG and IgM antibodies against B. pseudomallei in serum specimens (Chantratita et al., 2007c) has improved the diagnostic accuracy of tests based on antibody detection.

Molecular biology techniques such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and dot immunoassay are also used for diagnosis of melioidosis. Several studies demonstrated that nucleic acid-based methods using PCR are recommended for rapid, reliable and accurate identification of *B. pseudomallei* with less biological hazard risks for technical personnel (Gal *et al.*, 2005; Chantratita *et al.*, 2007b). For instance, PCR-based techniques previously evaluated are PCR targeting the 16S rRNA (Ruppitsch *et al.*, 2007) and real-time PCR targeting type III secretion system (TTSS3) genes (Meumann *et al.*, 2006). Nevertheless, PCR-based assays are not routinely used as the sensitivity is lower on blood cultures compared to conventional techniques, probably due to low bacterial concentrations (Chantratita *et al.*, 2008).

Antimicrobial therapy

Generally, disease management is based on either an intensive intravenous phase of treatment and/or a prolonged eradication phase of treatment. Ceftazidime is the drug of choice for initial intensive therapy and when the response is not encouraging, carbapenem is administered (Cheng et al., 2004). Following initial intensive treatment, subsequent eradication therapy is considered necessary for preventing recrudescence or later relapses of melioidosis. Currently, the most effective eradication therapy is a combination of trimethoprim and sulfamethoxazole for 12 - 20weeks (Limmathurotsakul et al., 2006). In the wake of increasing reports of B. pseudomallei resistance towards conventional antibiotic therapy, new approaches are being taken to identify new antimicrobials against this pathogen. Recent preliminary reports on the isolation and characterisation of antimicrobial peptides that inhibit the growth of *B. pseudomallei* include the LL-37 antimicrobial peptide (Kanthawong et al., 2012), polysaccharides derived from the Acai berry (Skyberg et al., 2012) and bovine lactoferrin antimicrobial peptides (Puknun et al., 2013).

VIRULENCE FACTORS OF B. pseudomallei

A number of potential virulence factors have been described and characterized in *B. pseudomallei*. The factors described here have been grouped according to the current data indicating the known role in virulence for other pathogens or virulence in experimental models (reviewed in Lazar Adler *et al.* (2009) and Wiersinga and van der Poll (2009).

Secreted factors

Previous studies have deduced that *B. pseudomallei* secretes numerous soluble factors into the external milieu via the type II general secretory pathway (Gsp) (DeShazer *et al.*, 1999) and these include several proteases, phospholipase C,

haemolysin, lecithinase, lipase and cytotoxic exolipid that cause tissue necrosis, haemolysis, cytolysis and death in the host (Ashdown and Koehler, 1990). Nevertheless, whilst transposon mutagenesis of the Gsp resulted in a failure of secretion, the pathogen was not attenuated in an animal model (Brett and Woods, 2000) suggesting that these exoproducts may only play a minor role in virulence of B. pseudomallei. Many reports have alluded to the potential role of proteases in B. pseudomallei pathogenicity. The serine MprA protease has been implicated as a possible virulence factor (Lee and Liu, 2000) causing extensive damage to mammalian physiological proteins involved in circumventing the detrimental effects of bacterial secreted proteases (Chin et al., 2007).

A recent finding has discovered a highly potent toxin named Burkholderia lethal factor 1 – BLF1, which interferes with the protein translation elongation factor elF4A of the host. Furthermore, this toxin was demostrated to act as a potent cytotoxin in eukaryotic cells and mice (Cruz-Migoni et al., 2011). Aside from the exoproducts, the ability to acquire iron from host sources is a prerequisite for the successful establishment and maintenance of most bacterial infections. Most bacteria including B. pseudomallei have the ability to produce and secrete iron chelator molecules, siderophores (malleobactin) during growth under iron-deficient conditions, to scavenge iron from both lactoferrin and transferrin at acidic pH (Yang et al., 1991).

Quorum sensing

Quorum sensing (QS) is a mode of bacterial communication used by multiple bacterial species to regulate processes such as antibiotic synthesis, biofilm formation and virulence factor expression as a function of population density (Miller and Bassler, 2001). In many Gram negative bacteria, quorum sensing is mediated by acyl-homoserine-lactones (AHLs) that are synthesized and recognized by quorum sensing circuits composed of LuxI and LuxR homologs for the coordination of extracellular virulence factor expression. The B. pseudomallei genome has been reported to have three LuxI and five LuxR homologues. B. pseudomallei mutants of the LuxIR quorum sensing homologues showed a significant increase in the LD_{50} (lethal dose 50%) in a Syrian hamster melioidosis model and displayed reduced colonization in the BALB/c mouse model, delaying time to death (Ulrich et al., 2004). Furthermore, the extracellular secretion of B. pseudomallei QS AHLs is highly dependent on the B. pseudomallei BpeAB-OprB efflux pump (Chan et al., 2007). This efflux pump is notorious for conferring antimicrobial resistance to amino glycosides and macrolides, optimal production of siderophores and phospholipase C as well as biofilm formation. In addition, BpeAB mutants displayed reduced levels of invasion and cytotoxicity of human lung epithelia (A549) and human macrophage (THP-1) cells (Chan and Chua, 2005).

Type III secretion system

The type III secretion system (TTSS) is a syringe-like apparatus that facilitates the injection of deleterious proteins called effectors into the target-cell cytosol. Depending on the species, TTSS effectors have been implicated in perturbing a variety of host cellular processes including cytoskeletal dynamics, gene expression, cell cycle progression and apoptotic cell death programs (Galan and Wolf-Watz, 2006). B. pseudomallei has three type III secretion system (TTSS) gene clusters. One of these clusters (the TTSS3 cluster) is very similar to that of the human pathogens Salmonella typhimurium and Shigella flexneri (Rainbow et al., 2002; Stevens et al., 2002). This gene cluster (termed bsa, Burkholderia secretion apparatus) encodes proteins predicted to be required for the synthesis of both the secretion apparatus and the effector proteins. Mutants of the bsa secretion and translocation apparatus have been shown to have impaired intracellular survival and are unable to escape from endocytic vacuoles, replicate or form actin tails in the first 8 hours after infection of J774.2 macrophage cells (Stevens et al., 2002). In addition, a separate study corroborated the findings by showing that mutations involving the TTSS3 (as opposed to TTSS1 and TTSS2) attenuate virulence in a Syrian hamster model and mutants exhibited delays in vacuolar escape, multi-nucleated giant cell (MNGC) formation and actin-mediated intracellular motility (Warawa and Woods, 2005). Recently, the presence of a new secretion system, designated T6SS, was reported. Six T6SS clusters have been identified in the B. pseudomallei K92643 genome (Holden et al., 2004), of which at least one was implicated in macrophage invasion (Shalom et al., 2007).

Surface polysaccharide and LPS

B. pseudomallei expresses several surface polysaccharides. Capsular polysaccharides (CPS) such as O-PS I enable bacteria to evade host defense mechanisms by inhibiting complement activation and phagocytic mediated killing (Reckseidler-Zenteno *et al.*, 2005). Inoculation with *B. pseudomallei* mutants of the O-PS I biosynthesis locus genes resulted in reduced survival in mice (Atkins *et al.*, 2002). In addition, another polysaccharide, LPS (formerly O-PS II), expressed by *B. pseudomallei* has been suggested to play a role in internalization and intracellular survival of the bacteria (Arjcharoen *et al.*, 2007). In addition to CPS and LPS, two other surface polysaccharides, termed type III and IV O-PS have been identified and shown to play important roles in virulence of *B. pseudomallei* (Sarkar-Tyson *et al.*, 2007). A number of previous studies have confirmed that *B. pseudomallei* is capable of synthesizing outer membrane proteins (OMP) implicated in adhesion to and invasion of host cells, resistance to phagocytosis and the killing of host cells. These surface associated proteins are also important in maintaining structural integrity and in enabling pathogens to adapt to differing environments within the host (Harding *et al.*, 2007).

Flagella and pili

Adhesion is a fundamental requirement for many bacteria in order to colonize the host and cause disease. The B. pseudomallei K96243 genome encodes eight type IV pili-associated loci. However, only one type IV A pilin gene, pilA, is present on the genome. A study has shown that pilA is required for efficient adherence of B. pseudomallei onto cultured cells and for virulence in vivo (Essex-Lopresti et al., 2005). The importance of flagella in cell invasion of phagocytic and non-phagocytic cells has been demonstrated in a number of studies (Chua et al., 2003; Chuaygud et al., 2008). Furthermore, in a mouse model of systemic infection, flagella-deficient B. pseudomallei is less virulent compared with the wild type strain (Chuaygud et al., 2008).

INTRACELLULAR ADAPTATION OF B. pseudomallei

Our group undertook a study on comparing expression profiles obtained from intracellular B. pseudomallei and the transcriptome of control bacteria grown in cell culture medium (Chieng et al., 2012). A majority of the bacterial genes were down-regulated throughout the infection period (1-6 hours) when compared to bacteria grown in vitro. Adaptation of B. pseudomallei within macrophages occurred rapidly with most of the changes occurring as early as 1 hour post-infection. With time, the number of significantly expressed genes gradually decreased, suggesting that B. pseudomallei had become well adapted to the intracellular environment and displayed behaviour similar to in vitro grown bacteria. Functional classification of intracellularly modulated bacterial genes at any time point showed that most of these genes encoded core functions such as metabolism, cell envelope, regulatory functions and transport and binding, as well as unknown and hypothetical proteins. Only 25 genes were constantly up-regulated and were those involved in cellular functions such as biosynthesis of flagella and capsule, energy metabolism and regulatory systems. Therefore, *B. pseudomallei* adapts rapidly to the intracellular environment by relying on a strategy that includes regulation of intracellular bacteria metabolism and growth kinetics and the possibility of host cell immune response avoidance through shutdown of known virulence factors.

HOST-PATHOGEN INTERACTIONS IN B. pseudomallei INFECTION

Host response to infectious disease can be modeled by exposing a host to a pathogen(s) and monitoring the molecular changes that occur over time. The availability of host model systems for melioidosis, such as *in vitro* cell-based models and *in vivo* models including animals and invertebrates has boosted pathogenesis studies.

In vitro cell-based models

In vitro studies have established that B. pseudomallei is capable of surviving and multiplying intracellularly within professional phagocytes, including macrophages, monocytes and neutrophils (Jones et al., 1996; Stevens and Galyov, 2004) or non-phagocytic cells, including respiratory epithelial cells (Brown et al., 2002; Wongprompitak et al., 2009) although the exact mechanism of invasion and colonization remains unknown. When B. pseudomallei is taken up by macrophage-like cells, it is capable of escaping from endocytic vacuoles into the cytoplasm, where it can replicate by lysing the endosome membrane (Harley et al., 1998). It also appears to be able to evade phogosome-lysosome fusion and reside within phagolysosomes, making use of its ability to survive and grow in acidic environments. Moreover, B. pseudomallei can be propelled by inducing continuous polymerization of actin resulting in the formation of membrane protrusions in host cells that may project into an adjacent cell, facilitating cellto-cell spread of the bacteria (Kespichayawattana et al., 2000). As a result of intracellular motility, cell fusion leads to MNGC formation (Wong et al., 1995).

Eventually, the ability of intracellular *B. pseudomallei* to survive and replicate in macrophages is in part due to the suppression of macrophage killing mechanisms, including the production of the free radical species nitric oxide (NO). In macrophages, NO production results from active inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS), an enzyme essential for the generation of reactive nitrogen intermediates (RNIs), which regulate survival and multiplication of intracellular bacteria.

However, macrophages infected with *B. pseudomallei* do not activate iNOS expression (Utaisincharoen *et al.*, 2004). A recent report has also indicated that *B. pseudomallei* might evade killing by macrophages and dendritic cells through the induction of caspase-1-dependent host-cell death that resembles oncosis, similar to the caspase-1-dependent death described for *Salmonella* and *Shigella* spp.(Sun *et al.*, 2005).

In vivo models

While in vitro studies are of practical importance to provide insight into the pathogenesis of B. pseudomallei, it is critical to understand host response to infection using in vivo models which reflect multiple cell types, tissues and interactions, in addition to signaling events and expression cascades (reviewed in Warawa (2010)). Rodents are routinely used as an in vivo model of B. pseudomallei infection. The more susceptible BALB/c and the relatively resistant C57BL/6 inbred mouse strains have been used extensively in studies of host responses to B. pseudomallei to mimic the acute and chronic disease in humans (Hoppe et al., 1999). Following infection with B. pseudomallei, there is a rapid influx and activation of neutrophils. The importance of neutrophils in innate immunity is well recognized and further demonstrated with the establishment of an acute B. pseudomallei infection in C57BL/6 mice depleted of neutrophils (Easton et al., 2007). Furthermore, myeloid differentiation primary response gene 88 (MyD88) knockout mice demonstrated increased susceptibility to B. pseudomallei infection as a result of reduced neutrophil recruitment and activation. This revealed that MyD88, as the key signaling adaptor for most TLRs, the IL-1-receptor and IL-18 receptor, is involved in protective neutrophil recruitment (Wiersinga et al., 2008). In addition, the proinflammatory cytokine interferon (IFN)- α has been shown to have an important role in early resistance against B. pseudomallei infection with additional vital roles played by tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- α , (IL)-12 and IL-18 in the $T_{\rm H}$ 1 cell-mediated immune response (Easton et al., 2007; Wiersinga et al., 2007). The role of $T_{\rm H}1$ cell-mediated immune response in protecting against melioidosis was evident from studies demonstrating that T_H1response-prone C57BL/6 mice are relatively resistant to B. pseudomallei when compared with the $T_{\rm H}2$ -response-prone BALB/c mice (Hoppe *et al.*, 1999). However, levels of proinflammatory cytokines were found to be significantly elevated in patients with melioidosis, resulting in excessive inflammation which fails to control the infection but contributes to tissue destruction and multiple organ failure (Gan, 2005; Wiersinga et al., 2007).

The use of the murine model of melioidosis has provided a detailed picture of how B. pseudomallei affects a whole range of host mediator systems, including toll-like receptor (TLR) signaling and coagulation during a systemic infection. The interaction of B. pseudomallei with TLR2, TLR4 and CD14 was confirmed by reduced tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- α expression in leucocytes from knockout mice. Following B. pseudomallei infection, TLR4 knockout mice demonstrated wildtype mortality, whereas both TLR2 and CD14 knockout mice demonstrated reduced mortality, bacterial loads and inflammation. In addition, both TLR4 and TLR2 have been shown to detect the LPS of B. pseudomallei and the macrophage cytokine response to LPS is totally dependent on binding to TLR4 (West et al., 2008) although TLR2 has been identified as an agonist (Wiersinga et al., 2007). Chin et al. (2010) investigated the gene expression profile of *B. pseudomallei* induced immunity by microarray-based expression profiling of a murine acute-phase melioidosis model and highlighted the crucial link between innate and adaptive immunity in responses to B. pseudomallei infection. They also demonstrated that TLR2 was induced to initiate an inflammatory response to the acute B. pseudomallei infection. Nevertheless, the overwhelmed inflammatory response to infection as well as tissue injury leads to metabolic disturbances and homeostatic imbalance which is detrimental to the host, corroborating the notion that development of acute disease is not due to a lack of but rather, an excess of inflammation (Gan, 2005). More importantly, this study has also demonstrated that suboptimal activation and function of the downstream complement system promotes uncontrolled spread of the bacteria, eventually leading to death of the infected host (summarised in Fig. 3). The strongest risk factor associated with severe melioidosis is diabetes. In a separate study using a diabetic mouse



Fig. 3. A summary of host immune responses to B. pseudomallei acute infection

Upon encountering *B. pseudomallei*, the host responds with a multitiered defense approach to protect itself. a) TLR2 and TLR4 are responsible for initiation of host defense responses to *B. pseudomallei*; b) Many of the highly elevated inflammatory genes are "alarm signals" commonly seen in general inflammation infection as represented in the shaded area; c) High inflammation responses lead to occurrence of tissue injury, that ultimately activates the ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis; d) Activation of the caspase and cell death programs to reduce a favorable niche for the intracellular pathogen; e) Suboptimal activation and function of the downstream complement system promotes uncontrolled spread of intracellular pathogen. f) Recognition of endogenous signals that resulted from tissue injury by TLRs amplifies the inflammatory responses. Arrow represents activator connection; lines ending in bars represent inhibitory connection; solid lines represent direct activation; dashed lines represent indirect activation. The boxes highlighted in red depict the up-regulated biological processes, while the box highlighted in green depicts the down-regulated biological processes.

model, Chin *et al.* (2011) demonstrated that the presence of elevated glucose levels impairs the host innate immune system by delaying the identification and recognition of *B. pseudomallei* surface structures, resulting in delayed activation of various inflammatory and immune responses as well as the general "alarm signal" of infection. This could explain the increased susceptibility of diabetic patients to this fatal disease.

A novel approach to studying the pathogenesis of B. pseudomallei using an invertebrate model Caenorhabditis elegans was developed by Jeddeloh and colleagues (O'Quinn et al., 2001). They found that nematode killing appears to be mediated by a toxin which was shown to be UV-labile but gammaray resistant. Additionally, they also showed that a number of characterized mutations, including those affecting the Amr multidrug efflux system, LPS Oantigen synthesis, the general protein secretion machinery (gspD) and flagella (fliC) had little effect on this toxin-based killing. A separate study has also reported the use of C. elegans to study pathogenesis of B. pseudomallei, particularly on the perspective of identifying pathogen virulence determinants in B. pseudomallei by initially screening for bacterial mutants attenuated in virulence towards C. elegans (Gan et al., 2002). Our group has recently also developed the B. pseudomallei - C. elegans pathogenesis model system. We demonstrated that direct prolonged interaction between the host (C. elegans) and the pathogen (B. pseudomallei) is required for a complete lethal effect as the killing of worms requires living or proliferating bacteria to continuously produce toxins in order to mediate the full killing effect (Lee et al., 2007; Ooi et al., 2012). To understand the host defense response to B. pseudomallei infection within the context of a whole organism, we performed a genome-wide transcriptome analysis on infected C. elegans. The use of a microarray-based platform technology has successfully deciphered the C. elegans immune response especially the signalling pathways that are triggered and the defense arsenal that is deployed, in response to B. pseudomallei infection. Interestingly, the analysis revealed a previously undescribed mechanism by which B. pseudomallei suppresses the host immune defense by specifically targeting an intestinal transcription factor, GATA/ ELT-2, hence reducing its availability and subsequently repressing the expression of ELT-2 transcriptional targets which are intestinallyexpressed host defense effectors. Our findings suggest that B. pseudomallei employs a mechanism distinct from other pathogens in causing a more detrimental and malicious assault upon the host (Lee et al. in preparation).

CONCLUSION

Much progress on melioidosis research has been made in recent years, especially with respect to genetics and genomics, epidemiology, diagnosis and treatment, identification of virulence factors and pathogenesis. Despite the vast advances in our understanding of important virulence factors as well as the molecular and cellular basis of the host response to B. pseudomallei infection, the pathogenic factors and specific mechanisms of intracellular survival still remain an enigma. Thus, continued research on identifying critical virulence factors in B. pseudomallei is crucial to uncover a new and effective vaccine against melioidosis. Nonetheless, more efforts have to be devoted to understanding the complex interaction between host and pathogen factors that not only shed light on pathogenic mechanisms but aid in discovering specific protein targets for drug development to combat this disease.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work by our group reported in this review was supported by funding from the Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation (MOSTI), Malaysia. We would like to thank Dr. Chui-Yoke Chin for providing Figure 3. SHL was the recipient of a postdoctoral fellowship provided by the grant UKM-DLP-2011-019 from Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia.

REFERENCES

- Abbink, F.C., Orendi, J.M. and de Beaufort, A.J. 2001. Mother-to-child transmission of Burkholderia pseudomallei. The New England Journal of Medicine **344**: 1171–1172.
- Arjcharoen, S., Wikraiphat, C., Pudla, M., Limposuwan, K., Woods, D.E., Sirisinha, S. and Utaisincharoen, P. 2007. Fate of a *Burkholderia pseudomallei* lipopolysaccharide mutant in the mouse macrophage cell line RAW 264.7: possible role for the O-antigenic polysaccharide moiety of lipopolysaccharide in internalization and intracellular survival. *Infection and Immunity* **75:** 4298–4304.
- Ashdown, L.R. 1979. An improved screening technique for isolation of *Pseudomonas pseudomallei* from clinical specimens. *Pathology* **11**: 293–297.

- Ashdown, L.R. and Koehler, J.M. 1990. Production of hemolysin and other extracellular enzymes by clinical isolates of *Pseudomonas pseudomallei*. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 28: 2331–2334.
- Atkins, T., Prior, R., Mack, K., Russell, P., Nelson, M., Prior, J., Ellis, J., Oyston, P.C., Dougan, G. and Titball, R.W. 2002. Characterisation of an acapsular mutant of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* identified by signature tagged mutagenesis. *Journal of Medical Microbiology* **51**: 539–547.
- Brett, P.J. and Woods, D.E. 2000. Pathogenesis of and immunity to melioidosis. *Acta Tropica* 74: 201–210.
- Brown, N.F., Boddey, J.A., Flegg, C.P. and Beacham, I.R. 2002. Adherence of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* cells to cultured human epithelial cell lines is regulated by growth temperature. *Infection and Immunity* **70:** 974–980.
- Chan, Y.Y., Bian, H.S., Tan, T.M., Mattmann, M.E., Geske, G.D., Igarashi, J., Hatano, T., Suga, H., Blackwell, H.E. and Chua, K.L. 2007. Control of quorum sensing by a *Burkholderia pseudomallei* multidrug efflux pump. *Journal of Bacteriology* 189: 4320–4324.
- Chan, Y.Y. and Chua, K.L. 2005. The *Burkholderia pseudomallei* BpeAB-OprB efflux pump: expression and impact on quorum sensing and virulence. *Journal of Bacteriology* **187:** 4707–4719.
- Chantratita, N., Meumann, E., Thanwisai, A., Limmathurotsakul, D., Wuthiekanun, V., Wannapasni, S., Tumapa, S., Day, N.P. and Peacock, S.J. 2008. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification method targeting the TTS1 gene cluster for detection of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* and diagnosis of melioidosis. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 46: 568–573.
- Chantratita, N., Wuthiekanun, V., Boonbumrung, K., Tiyawisutsri, R., Vesaratchavest, M., Limmathurotsakul, D., Chierakul, W., Wongratanacheewin, S., Pukritiyakamee, S., White, N.J., Day, N.P. and Peacock, S.J. 2007a. Biological relevance of colony morphology and phenotypic switching by *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. *Journal of Bacteriology* 189: 807–817.
- Chantratita, N., Wuthiekanun, V., Limmathurotsakul, D., Thanwisai, A., Chantratita, W., Day, N.P. and Peacock, S.J. 2007b. Prospective clinical evaluation of the accuracy of 16S rRNA real-time PCR assay for the diagnosis of melioidosis. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 77: 814–817.
- Chantratita, N., Wuthiekanun, V., Thanwisai, A., Limmathurotsakul, D., Cheng, A.C., Chierakul, W., Day, N.P. and Peacock, S.J. 2007c. Accuracy

of enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay using crude and purified antigens for serodiagnosis of melioidosis. *Clinicaland Vaccine Immunology* **14:** 110–113.

- Chen, Y.S., Chen, S.C., Kao, C.M. and Chen, Y.L. 2003. Effects of soil pH, temperature and water content on the growth of *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. *Folia Microbiology (Praha)* 48: 253–256.
- Chen, Y.S., Lin, H.H., Mu, J.J., Chiang, C.S., Chen, C.H., Buu, L.M., Lin, Y.E. and Chen, Y.L. 2010. Distribution of melioidosis cases and viable *Burkholderia pseudomallei* in soil: evidence for emerging melioidosis in Taiwan. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **48**: 1432–1434.
- Cheng, A.C. and Currie, B.J. 2005. Melioidosis: epidemiology, pathophysiology, and management. *Clinical Microbiology Review* **18:** 383– 416.
- Cheng, A.C., Fisher, D.A., Anstey, N.M., Stephens, D.P., Jacups, S.P. and Currie, B.J. 2004. Outcomes of patients with melioidosis treated with meropenem. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* **48**: 1763–1765.
- Chieng, S., Carreto, L. and Nathan, S. 2012. Burkholderia pseudomallei transcriptional adaptation in macrophages. BMC Genomics 13: 328.
- Chin, C.Y., Monack, D.M. and Nathan, S. 2010. Genome wide transcriptome profiling of a murine acute melioidosis model reveals new insights into how *Burkholderia pseudomallei* overcomes host innate immunity. *BMC Genomics* 11: 672.
- Chin, C.Y., Monack, D.M. and Nathan, S. 2011. Delayed activation of host innate immune pathways in streptozotocin-induced diabetic hosts leads to more severe disease during infection with *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. *Immunology* **135**: 312–332.
- Chin, C.Y., Othman, R. and Nathan, S. 2007. The *Burkholderia pseudomallei* serine protease MprA is autoproteolytically activated to produce a highly stable enzyme. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology* **40**: 373–377.
- Chua, K.L., Chan, Y.Y. and Gan, Y.H. 2003. Flagella are virulence determinants of *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. *Infection and Immunity* **71**: 1622–1629.
- Chuaygud, T., Tungpradabkul, S., Sirisinha, S., Chua, K.L. and Utaisincharoen, P. 2008. A role of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* flagella as a virulent factor. *Transactions of Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* **102**: 140–144.
- Chugh, T.D. 2008. Emerging and re-emerging bacterial diseases in India. Journal of Biosciences 33: 549–555.

- Cruz-Migoni, A., Hautbergue, G.M., Artymiuk, P.J., Baker, P.J., Bokori-Brown, M., Chang, C.T., Dickman, M.J., Essex-Lopresti, A., Harding, S.V., Mahadi, N.M., Marshall, L.E., Mobbs, G.W., Mohamed, R., Nathan, S., Ngugi, S.A., Ong, C., Ooi, W.F., Partridge, L.J., Phillips, H.L., Raih, M.F., Ruzheinikov, S., Sarkar-Tyson, M., Sedelnikova, S.E., Smither, S.J., Tan, P., Titball, R.W., Wilson, S.A. and Rice, D.W. 2011. A *Burkholderia pseudomallei* toxin inhibits helicase activity of translation factor eIF4A. *Science* 334: 821–824.
- Currie, B.J., Dance, D.A. and Cheng, A.C. 2008. The global distribution of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* and melioidosis: an update. *Transactions of Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* **102:** 1–4.
- Currie, B.J., Fisher, D.A., Anstey, N.M. and Jacups, S.P. 2000. Melioidosis: acute and chronic disease, relapse and re-activation. *Transactions* of Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 94: 301–304.
- Currie, B.J., Ward, L. and Cheng, A.C. 2010. The epidemiology and clinical spectrum of melioidosis: 540 cases from the 20 year Darwin prospective study. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases* **4**: e900.
- DeShazer, D., Brett, P.J., Burtnick, M.N. and Woods, D.E. 1999. Molecular characterization of genetic loci required for secretion of exoproducts in Burkholderia pseudomallei. Journal of Bacteriology 181: 4661–4664.
- Easton, A., Haque, A., Chu, K., Lukaszewski, R. and Bancroft, G.J. 2007. A critical role for neutrophils in resistance to experimental infection with *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. *The Journal of Infectious Diseases* 195: 99–107.
- Ekpo, P., Rungpanich, U., Pongsunk, S., Naigowit, P. and Petkanchanapong, V. 2007. Use of protein-specific monoclonal antibody-based latex agglutination for rapid diagnosis of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* infection in patients with community-acquired septicemia. *Clinical Vaccine and Immunology* 14: 811–812.
- Essex-Lopresti, A.E., Boddey, J.A., Thomas, R., Smith, M.P., Hartley, M.G., Atkins, T., Brown, N.F., Tsang, C.H., Peak, I.R., Hill, J., Beacham, I.R. and Titball, R.W. 2005. A type IV pilin, *PilA*, contributes to adherence of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* and virulence in vivo. *Infection and Immunity* **73**: 1260–1264.
- Francis, A., Aiyar, S., Yean, C.Y., Naing, L. and Ravichandran, M. 2006. An improved selective and differential medium for the isolation of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* from clinical specimens. *Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Disease* 55: 95–99.

- Gal, D., Mayo, M., Spencer, E., Cheng, A.C. and Currie, B.J. 2005. Short report: application of a polymerase chain reaction to detect *Burkholderia pseudomallei* in clinical specimens from patients with suspected melioidosis. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 73: 1162–1164.
- Galan, J.E. and Wolf-Watz, H. 2006. Protein delivery into eukaryotic cells by type III secretion machines. *Nature* 444: 567–573.
- Gan, Y.H. 2005. Interaction between *Burkholderia* pseudomallei and the host immune response: sleeping with the enemy? The Journal of Infectious Diseases **192**: 1845–1850.
- Gan, Y.H., Chua, K.L., Chua, H.H., Liu, B., Hii, C.S., Chong, H.L. and Tan, P. 2002. Characterization of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* infection and identification of novel virulence factors using a *Caenorhabditis elegans* host system. *Molecular Microbiology* 44: 1185–1197.
- Harding, S.V., Sarkar-Tyson, M., Smither, S.J., Atkins, T.P., Oyston, P.C., Brown, K.A., Liu, Y., Wait, R. and Titball, R.W. 2007. The identification of surface proteins of *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. Vaccine 25: 2664-2672.
- Harley, V.S., Dance, D.A., Drasar, B.S. and Tovey, G. 1998. Effects of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* and other *Burkholderia* species on eukaryotic cells in tissue culture. *Microbios* 96: 71–93.
- Holden, M.T., Titball, R.W., Peacock, S.J., Cerdeno-Tarraga, A.M., Atkins, T., Crossman, L.C., Pitt, T., Churcher, C., Mungall, K., Bentley, S.D., Sebaihia, M., Thomson, N.R., Bason, N., Beacham, I.R., Brooks, K., Brown, K.A., Brown, N.F., Challis, G.L., Cherevach, I., Chillingworth, T., Cronin, A., Crossett, B., Davis, P., DeShazer, D., Feltwell, T., Fraser, A., Hance, Z., Hauser, H., Holroyd, S., Jagels, K., Keith, K.E., Maddison, M., Moule, S., Price, C., Quail, M.A., Rabbinowitsch, E., Rutherford, K., Sanders, M., Simmonds, M., Songsivilai, S., Stevens, K., Tumapa, S., Vesaratchavest, M., Whitehead, S., Yeats, C., Barrell, B.G., Oyston, P.C. and Parkhill, J. 2004. Genomic plasticity of the causative agent of melioidosis, Burkholderia pseudomallei. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 101: 14240-14245.
- Hoppe, I., Brenneke, B., Rohde, M., Kreft, A., Haussler, S., Reganzerowski, A. and Steinmetz, I. 1999. Characterization of a murine model of melioidosis: comparison of different strains of mice. *Infection and Immunity* 67: 2891–2900.
- Howard, K. and Inglis, T.J. 2003. Novel selective medium for isolation of *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **41:** 3312–3316.

- Howe, C., Sampath, A. and Spotnitz, M. 1971. The pseudomallei group: a review. The Journal of Infectious Diseases 124: 598–606.
- Inglis, T.J., Rolim, D.B. and Sousa Ade, Q. 2006. Melioidosis in the Americas. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* **75**: 947–954.
- Ip, M., Osterberg, L.G., Chau, P.Y. and Raffin, T.A. 1995. Pulmonary melioidosis. *Chest* 108: 1420– 1424.
- Issack, M.I., Bundhun, C.D. and Gokhool, H. 2005. Melioidosis in Mauritius. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 11: 139–140.
- Jones, A.L., Beveridge, T.J. and Woods, D.E. 1996. Intracellular survival of *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. *Infection and Immunity* **64**: 782– 790.
- Kanthawong, S., Bolscher, J.G., Veerman, E.C., van Marle, J., de Soet, H.J., Nazmi, K., Wongratanacheewin, S. and Taweechaisupapong, S. 2012. Antimicrobial and antibiofilm activity of LL-37 and its truncated variants against *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents* 39: 39–44.
- Kespichayawattana, W., Rattanachetkul, S., Wanun, T., Utaisincharoen, P. and Sirisinha, S. 2000. *Burkholderia pseudomallei* induces cell fusion and actin-associated membrane protrusion: a possible mechanism for cell-to-cell spreading. *Infection and Immunity* 68: 5377–5384.
- Kiratisin, P., Santanirand, P., Chantratita, N. and Kaewdaeng, S. 2007. Accuracy of commercial systems for identification of *Burkholderia* pseudomallei versus *Burkholderia cepacia*. Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Disease 59: 277–281.
- Lazar-Adler, N.R., Govan, B., Cullinane, M., Harper, M., Adler, B. and Boyce, J.D. 2009. The molecular and cellular basis of pathogenesis in melioidosis: how does *Burkholderia pseudomallei* cause disease? *FEMS Microbiology Review* 33: 1079–1099.
- Le Hello, S., Currie, B.J., Godoy, D., Spratt, B.G., Mikulski, M., Lacassin, F. and Garin, B. 2005. Melioidosis in New Caledonia. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 11: 1607–1609.
- Lee, M.A. and Liu, Y. 2000. Sequencing and characterization of a novel serine metalloprotease from *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. *FEMS Microbiology Letter* **192**: 67–72.
- Lee, S.H., Chong, C.E., Lim, B.S., Chai, S.J., Sam, K.K., Mohamed, R. and Nathan, S. 2007. *Burkholderia pseudomallei* animal and human isolates from Malaysia exhibit different phenotypic characteristics. *Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Disease* 58: 263–270.

- Limmathurotsakul, D., Chaowagul, W., Chierakul, W., Stepniewska, K., Maharjan, B., Wuthiekanun, V., White, N.J., Day, N.P. and Peacock, S.J. 2006. Risk factors for recurrent melioidosis in northeast Thailand. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* **43**: 979–986.
- Limmathurotsakul, D., Jamsen, K., Arayawichanont, A., Simpson, J.A., White, L.J., Lee, S.J., Wuthiekanun, V., Chantratita, N., Cheng, A., Day, N.P., Verzilli, C. and Peacock, S.J. 2010a.
 Defining the true sensitivity of culture for the diagnosis of melioidosis using Bayesian latent class models. *PLoS One* 5: e12485.
- Limmathurotsakul, D. and Peacock, S.J. 2011. Melioidosis: a clinical overview. *British Medical Bulletin* **99:** 125–139.
- Limmathurotsakul, D., Wongratanacheewin, S., Teerawattanasook, N., Wongsuvan, G., Chaisuksant, S., Chetchotisakd, P., Chaowagul, W., Day, N.P. and Peacock, S.J. 2010b. Increasing incidence of human melioidosis in Northeast Thailand. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 82: 1113– 1117.
- Lowe, P., Engler, C. and Norton, R. 2002. Comparison of automated and nonautomated systems for identification of *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **40:** 4625–4627.
- Ma, G., Zheng, D., Cai, Q. and Yuan, Z. 2009. Prevalence of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* in Guangxi, China. *Epidemiology and Infection* **138(1)**: 37–39.
- Maharjan, B., Chantratita, N., Vesaratchavest, M., Cheng, A., Wuthiekanun, V., Chierakul, W., Chaowagul, W., Day, N.P. and Peacock, S.J. 2005. Recurrent melioidosis in patients in northeast Thailand is frequently due to reinfection rather than relapse. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 43: 6032–6034.
- Meumann, E.M., Novak, R.T., Gal, D., Kaestli, M.E., Mayo, M., Hanson, J.P., Spencer, E., Glass, M.B., Gee, J.E., Wilkins, P.P. and Currie, B.J. 2006. Clinical evaluation of a type III secretion system real-time PCR assay for diagnosing melioidosis. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 44: 3028–3030.
- Miller, M.B. and Bassler, B.L. 2001. Quorum sensing in bacteria. *Annual Review of Microbiology* **55**: 165–199.
- Moore, R.A., DeShazer, D., Reckseidler, S., Weissman, A. and Woods, D.E. 1999. Effluxmediated aminoglycoside and macrolide resistance in *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* **43**: 465–470.
- Ngauy, V., Lemeshev, Y., Sadkowski, L. and Crawford, G. 2005. Cutaneous melioidosis in a

man who was taken as a prisoner of war by the Japanese during World War II. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **43**: 970–972.

- O'Quinn, A.L., Wiegand, E.M. and Jeddeloh, J.A. 2001. Burkholderia pseudomallei kills the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans using an endotoxin-mediated paralysis. Cellular Microbiology 3: 381–393.
- Ooi, S.K., Lim, T.Y., Lee, S.H. and Nathan, S. 2012. Burkholderia pseudomallei kills Caenorhabditis elegans through virulence mechanisms distinct from intestinal lumen colonization. Virulence **3:** 485–496.
- Puknun, A., Bolscher, J.G., Nazmi, K., Veerman, E.C., Tungpradabkul, S., Wongratanacheewin, S., Kanthawong, S. and Taweechaisupapong, S. 2013. A heterodimer comprised of two bovine lactoferrin antimicrobial peptides exhibits powerful bactericidal activity against Burkholderia pseudomallei. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology DOI 10.1007/ s11274-013-1284-6.
- Puthucheary, S.D. and Sam, I.C. 2012. Why is the response rate slow in ceftazidime therapy for melioidosis? *Expert Review of Anti-Infective Therapy* **10:** 5–7.
- Rainbow, L., Hart, C.A. and Winstanley, C. 2002. Distribution of type III secretion gene clusters in *Burkholderia pseudomallei*, *B. thailandensis* and *B. mallei. Journal of Medical Microbiology* 51: 374–384.
- Raja, N.S., Ahmed, M.Z. and Singh, N.N. 2005. Melioidosis: an emerging infectious disease. Journal of Postgraduate Medicine **51**: 140– 145.
- Reckseidler-Zenteno, S.L., DeVinney, R. and Woods, D.E. 2005. The capsular polysaccharide of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* contributes to survival in serum by reducing complement factor C3b deposition. *Infection and Immunity* 73: 1106–1115.
- Rolim, D.B., Vilar, D.C., Sousa, A.Q., Miralles, I.S., de Oliveira, D.C., Harnett, G., O'Reilly, L., Howard, K., Sampson, I. and Inglis, T.J. 2005. Melioidosis, northeastern Brazil. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 11: 1458–1460.
- Ruppitsch, W., Stoger, A., Indra, A., Grif, K., Schabereiter-Gurtner, C., Hirschl, A. and Allerberger, F. 2007. Suitability of partial 16S ribosomal RNA gene sequence analysis for the identification of dangerous bacterial pathogens. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 102: 852–859.
- Sam, I.C. and Puthucheary, S.D. 2007. Melioidosis and rainfall in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. *Journal of Infection* 54: 519–520.
- Sarkar-Tyson, M., Thwaite, J.E., Harding, S.V., Smither, S.J., Oyston, P.C., Atkins, T.P. and Titball, R.W. 2007. Polysaccharides and

virulence of Burkholderia pseudomallei. Journal of Medical Microbiology **56:** 1005– 1010.

- Shalom, G., Shaw, J.G. and Thomas, M.S. 2007. In vivo expression technology identifies a type VI secretion system locus in *Burkholderia pseudomallei* that is induced upon invasion of macrophages. *Microbiology* 153: 2689–2699.
- Sim, S.H., Yu, Y., Lin, C.H., Karuturi, R.K., Wuthiekanun, V., Tuanyok, A., Chua, H.H., Ong, C., Paramalingam, S.S., Tan, G., Tang, L., Lau, G., Ooi, E.E., Woods, D., Feil, E., Peacock, S.J. and Tan, P. 2008. The core and accessory genomes of *Burkholderia pseudomallei*: implications for human melioidosis. *PLoS Pathogen* 4: e1000178.
- Skyberg, J.A., Rollins, M.F., Holderness, J.S., Marlenee, N.L., Schepetkin, I.A., Goodyear, A., Dow, S.W., Jutila, M.A. and Pascual, D.W. 2012. Nasal Acai polysaccharides potentiate innate immunity to protect against pulmonary *Francisellatularensis* and *Burkholderia pseudomallei* Infections. *PLoS Pathogen* 8: e1002587.
- Smith, M.D., Angus, B.J., Wuthiekanun, V. and White, N.J. 1997. Arabinose assimilation defines a nonvirulent biotype of *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. *Infection and Immunity* 65: 4319–4321.
- Sprague, L.D. and Neubauer, H. 2004. Melioidosis in animals: a review on epizootiology, diagnosis and clinical presentation. *Journal of Veterinary Medicine B, Infectious Diseases and Veterinary Public Health* **51**: 305–320.
- Stevens, M.P. and Galyov, E.E. 2004. Exploitation of host cells by *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. *International Journal of Medical Microbiology* 293: 549–555.
- Stevens, M.P., Wood, M.W., Taylor, L.A., Monaghan, P., Hawes, P., Jones, P.W., Wallis, T.S. and Galyov, E.E. 2002. An Inv/Mxi-Spalike type III protein secretion system in *Burkholderia pseudomallei* modulates intracellular behaviour of the pathogen. *Molecular Microbiology* 46: 649–659.
- Sun, G.W., Lu, J., Pervaiz, S., Cao, W.P. and Gan, Y.H. 2005. Caspase-1 dependent macrophage death induced by *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. *Cellular Microbiology* 7: 1447–1458.
- Tiyawisutsri, R., Peacock, S.J., Langa, S., Limmathurotsakul, D., Cheng, A.C., Chierakul, W., Chaowagul, W., Day, N.P. and Wuthiekanun, V. 2005. Antibodies from patients with melioidosis recognize *Burkholderia mallei* but not *Burkholderia thailandensis* antigens in the indirect hemagglutination assay. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **43**: 4872–4874.

- Tong, S., Yang, S., Lu, Z. and He, W. 1996. Laboratory investigation of ecological factors influencing the environmental presence of Burkholderia pseudomallei. Microbiology and Immunology 40: 451–453.
- Ulrich, R.L., Deshazer, D., Brueggemann, E.E., Hines, H.B., Oyston, P.C. and Jeddeloh, J.A. 2004. Role of quorum sensing in the pathogenicity of *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. *Journal of Medical Microbiology* **53**: 1053– 1064.
- Utaisincharoen, P., Anuntagool, N., Arjcharoen, S., Limposuwan, K., Chaisuriya, P. and Sirisinha, S. 2004. Induction of iNOS expression and antimicrobial activity by interferon (IFN)-beta is distinct from IFN-gamma in *Burkholderia pseudomallei*-infected mouse macrophages. *Clinical and Experimental Immunology* **136**: 277–283.
- Warawa, J. and Woods, D.E. 2005. Type III secretion system cluster 3 is required for maximal virulence of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* in a hamster infection model. *FEMS Microbiology Letter* 242: 101–108.
- Warawa, J.M. 2010. Evaluation of surrogate animal models of melioidosis. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 1: 141.
- Warner, J.M., Pelowa, D.B., Gal, D., Rai, G., Mayo, M., Currie, B.J., Govan, B., Skerratt, L.F. and Hirst, R.G. 2008. The epidemiology of melioidosis in the Balimo region of Papua New Guinea. *Epidemiology and Infection* **136**: 965– 971.
- West, T.E., Ernst, R.K., Jansson-Hutson, M.J., Skerrett, S.J. 2008. Activation of Toll-like receptors by *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. *BMC Immunology* 9: 46.
- White, N.J. 2003. Melioidosis. *Lancet* **361**: 1715–1722.
- Whitmore, A. 1913. An account of a glanders-like disease occurring in Rangoon. *The Journal of Hygiene* **13**: 1–34.
- Whitmore, A. and Krishnaswami, C.S. 1912. An account of the discovery of a hitherto undescribed infective disease occurring among the population of Rangoon. *The Indian Medical Gazette* **47:** 262–267.
- Wiersinga, W.J. and van der Poll, T. 2009. Immunity to Burkholderia pseudomallei. Current Opinion in Infectious Diseases 22: 102–108.
- Wiersinga, W.J., Wieland, C.W., Roelofs, J.J. and van der Poll, T. 2008. MyD88 dependent signaling contributes to protective host defense against *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. *PLoS One* **3**: e3494.

- Wiersinga, W.J., Wieland, C.W., van der Windt, G.J., de Boer, A., Florquin, S., Dondorp, A., Day, N.P., Peacock, S.J. and van der Poll, T. 2007. Endogenous interleukin-18 improves the early antimicrobial host response in severe melioidosis. *Infection and Immunity* **75:** 3739-3746.
- Wong, K.T., Puthucheary, S.D. and Vadivelu, J. 1995. The histopathology of human melioidosis. *Histopathology* 26: 51–55.
- Wongprompitak, P., Sirisinha, S. and Chaiyaroj, S.C. 2009. Differential gene expression profiles of lung epithelial cells exposed to *Burkholderia pseudomallei* and *Burkholderia thailandensis* during the initial phase of infection. *Asian Pacific Journal of Allergy and Immunology* 27: 59–70.
- Wuthiekanun, V., Desakorn, V., Wongsuvan, G., Amornchai, P., Cheng, A.C., Maharjan, B., Limmathurotsakul, D., Chierakul, W., White, N.J., Day, N.P. and Peacock, S.J. 2005. Rapid immunofluorescence microscopy for diagnosis of melioidosis. *Clinical and Diagnostic Laboratory Immunology* **12**: 555–556.
- Wuthiekanun, V., Smith, M.D. and White, N.J. 1995. Survival of Burkholderia pseudomallei in the absence of nutrients. Transactions of Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 89: 491.
- Yabuuchi, E. and Arakawa, M. 1993. Burkholderia pseudomallei and melioidosis: be aware in temperate area. Microbiology and Immunology 37: 823–836.
- Yabuuchi, E., Kosako, Y., Oyaizu, H., Yano, I., Hotta, H., Hashimoto, Y., Ezaki, T. and Arakawa, M. 1992. Proposal of *Burkholderia* gen. nov. and transfer of seven species of the genus *Pseudomonas* homology group II to the new genus, with the type species *Burkholderia cepacia* (Palleroni and Holmes 1981) comb. nov. *Microbiology and Immunology* 36: 1251– 1275.
- Yabuuchi, E., Wang, L., Arakawa, M. and Yano, I. 1993. Survival of *Pseudomonas pseudomallei* strains at 5 degrees C. *Kansenshogaku Zasshi* 67: 331–335.
- Yang, H.M., Chaowagul, W. and Sokol, P.A. 1991. Siderophore production by *Pseudomonas pseudomallei*. *Infection and Immunity* **59**: 776– 780.