

HIGHER ORDER ENERGY EXPANSIONS FOR SOME SINGULARLY PERTURBED NEUMANN PROBLEMS

JUNCHENG WEI AND MATTHIAS WINTER

Abstract- We consider the following singularly perturbed semilinear elliptic problem:

$$\epsilon^2 \Delta u - u + u^p = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

where Ω is a bounded smooth domain in R^N , $\epsilon > 0$ is a small constant and p is a sub-critical exponent. Let $J_\epsilon[u] := \int_\Omega (\frac{\epsilon^2}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} u^2 - \frac{1}{p+1} u^{p+1}) dx$ be its energy functional, where $u \in H^1(\Omega)$. Ni and Takagi ([15], [16]) proved that for a single boundary spike solution u_ϵ , the following asymptotic expansion holds

$$J_\epsilon[u_\epsilon] = \epsilon^N \left[\frac{1}{2} I[w] - c_1 \epsilon H(P_\epsilon) + o(\epsilon) \right],$$

where $c_1 > 0$ is a generic constant, P_ϵ is the unique local maximum point of u_ϵ and $H(P_\epsilon)$ is the boundary mean curvature function. In this paper, we obtain the following higher order expansion of $J_\epsilon[u_\epsilon]$:

$$J_\epsilon[u_\epsilon] = \epsilon^N \left[\frac{1}{2} I[w] - c_1 \epsilon H(P_\epsilon) + \epsilon^2 [c_2 (H(P_\epsilon))^2 + c_3 R(P_\epsilon)] + o(\epsilon^2) \right],$$

where c_2, c_3 are generic constants and $R(P_\epsilon)$ is the Ricci scalar curvature at P_ϵ . In particular $c_3 > 0$. Applications of this expansion will be given.

L'expansion de l'énergie de les solutions de les problèmes de la perturbation singuliere

Résumé. Nous étudions le problème suivant de la perturbation singuliere:

$$\epsilon^2 \Delta u - u + u^p = 0 \text{ dans } \Omega, \quad u > 0 \text{ dans } \Omega \quad \text{et} \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ sur } \partial\Omega,$$

où Ω est un domaine ouvert dans R^N , $\epsilon > 0$ est une constante petite et p est un exposant subcritique. L'énergie s'écrit alors $J_\epsilon[u] := \int_\Omega (\frac{\epsilon^2}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} u^2 - \frac{1}{p+1} u^{p+1}) dx$, où $u \in H^1(\Omega)$. Ni et Takagi ([15], [16]) montrent que pour une solution u_ϵ avec une pic sur la frontière du domaine, l'existence de la expansion asymptotique suivant:

$$J_\epsilon[u_\epsilon] = \epsilon^N \left[\frac{1}{2} I[w] - c_1 \epsilon H(P_\epsilon) + o(\epsilon) \right],$$

où $c_1 > 0$ est une constante générique, P_ϵ est le point unique du maximum local de u_ϵ et $H(P_\epsilon)$ est la fonction de la courbure moyenne sur la frontière. Nous dérivons de la expansion suivant de l'ordre plus élevé de $J_\epsilon[u_\epsilon]$:

$$J_\epsilon[u_\epsilon] = \epsilon^N \left[\frac{1}{2} I[w] - c_1 \epsilon H(P_\epsilon) + \epsilon^2 [c_2 (H(P_\epsilon))^2 + c_3 R(P_\epsilon)] + o(\epsilon^2) \right],$$

où c_2, c_3 sont les constantes génériques et $R(P_\epsilon)$ est la courbure scalaire de Ricci dans P_ϵ . En particulier $c_3 > 0$. Nous présentons les applications de la expansion.

Nous étudions le problème suivant de la perturbation singulière:

$$\epsilon^2 \Delta u - u + u^p = 0 \text{ dans } \Omega, \quad u > 0 \text{ dans } \Omega \text{ et } \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ sur } \partial\Omega,$$

où Ω est un domaine ouvert dans R^N avec une frontière lisse, $\epsilon > 0$ est une constante petite, Δ est l'opérateur de Laplace dans R^N , ν est le normale extérieur sur $\partial\Omega$ et p satisfait $1 < p < (\frac{N+2}{N-2})_+ (= \frac{N+2}{N-2}$ si $N \geq 3$; $= +\infty$ si $N = 1, 2$).

L'énergie s'écrit alors $J_\epsilon[u] := \int_\Omega (\frac{\epsilon^2}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} u^2 - \frac{1}{p+1} u^{p+1}) dx$, où $u \in H^1(\Omega)$. Ni et Takagi ([15], [16]) montrent que pour une solution u_ϵ avec une pic sur la frontière du domaine, l'existence de la expansion asymptotique suivant:

$$J_\epsilon[u_\epsilon] = \epsilon^N \left[\frac{1}{2} I[w] - c_1 \epsilon H(P_\epsilon) + o(\epsilon) \right],$$

où $c_1 > 0$ est une constante générique, $P_\epsilon \in \partial\Omega$ est le point unique du maximum local de u_ϵ , $H(P_\epsilon)$ est la fonction de la courbure moyenne sur la frontière et $I[w]$ est l'énergie de l'état fondamental dans R^N .

Dans ce travail nous dérivons de la expansion suivant de l'ordre plus élevé de $J_\epsilon[u_\epsilon]$:

Théorème 1. *Pour une solution u_ϵ de (I) avec une pic sur la frontière du domaine et avec un point unique du maximum local de u_ϵ nous avons pour ϵ petit suffisant:*

$$J_\epsilon = \epsilon^N \left[\frac{1}{2} I[w] - c_1 \epsilon H(P_\epsilon) + \epsilon^2 [c_2 (H(P_\epsilon))^2 + c_3 R(P_\epsilon)] + o(\epsilon^2) \right],$$

où c_1, c_2, c_3 sont les constantes génériques. En plus $c_1 > 0, c_3 > 0$.

Le corollaire suivant donne un affinage des résultats de [15] et [16].

Corollaire 2. *Pour une solution u_ϵ de l'énergie minimale de (I) et pour ϵ petit suffisant nous avons*

$$H(P_\epsilon) \rightarrow \max_{P \in \partial\Omega} H(P), R(P_\epsilon) \rightarrow \min_{Q \in \partial\Omega, H(Q) = \max_{P \in \partial\Omega} H(P)} R(Q).$$

Ils sont deux pas essentielles dans la preuve du Théorème 1. Dans Pas 1 nous trouvons une fonction approximativement bonne $w_{\epsilon, P}$ avec $\epsilon^2 \Delta \tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P} - \tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P} + w_{\epsilon, P}^p = O(\epsilon^2)$. Dans Pas 2 nous montrons que $u_\epsilon = \tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_\epsilon} + O(\epsilon^\tau)$ pour un $\tau > 1$.

1. Introduction. We consider the following singularly perturbed semilinear elliptic problem

$$\epsilon^2 \Delta u - u + f(u) = 0, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } \Omega \text{ and } \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad (1.1)$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in R^N with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, $\epsilon > 0$ is a small constant, $\Delta := \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_j}$ denotes the Laplace operator in R^N , ν stands for the unit outer normal to $\partial\Omega$, $f(u) = u^p$ and p satisfies $1 < p < (\frac{N+2}{N-2})_+ (= \frac{N+2}{N-2}$ when $N \geq 3$; $= +\infty$ when $N = 1, 2$).

Equation (1.1) arises in many branches of applied sciences. For example, it can be viewed as a steady-state equation for the shadow system of Gierer-Meinhardt model in biological pattern formation ([7], [18]) or of parabolic equations in chemotaxis, population dynamics and phase transitions. Associated with (1.1) is the energy functional J_ϵ defined by

$$J_\epsilon[u] := \int_\Omega \left(\frac{\epsilon^2}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} u^2 - F(u) \right) dx \quad \text{for } u \in H^1(\Omega), \text{ where } F(u) = \int_0^u f(s) ds.$$

In the pioneering papers [14], [15] and [16], Lin, Ni and Takagi established the existence of least-energy solutions and showed that for ϵ sufficiently small the least-energy solution has only one local maximum point P_ϵ with $P_\epsilon \in \partial\Omega$. Moreover, $H(P_\epsilon) \rightarrow \max_{P \in \partial\Omega} H(P)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, where $H(P)$ is the mean curvature of $\partial\Omega$ at P . Since then, many works have been devoted to finding solutions with multiple spikes for the Neumann problem as well as the Dirichlet problem. See [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [15], [16], [17], [19], [20], [21], and the review article [18] and the references therein.

A common tool for proving the existence of spike solutions is by energy expansion: In [15] and [16], Ni and Takagi proved, among others, that for a single boundary spike solution u_ϵ the following asymptotic expansion for $J_\epsilon[u_\epsilon]$ holds true:

$$J_\epsilon[u_\epsilon] = \epsilon^N \left[\frac{1}{2} I[w] - c_1 \epsilon H(P_\epsilon) + o(\epsilon) \right], \quad (1.2)$$

where $c_1 > 0$ is a generic constant, P_ϵ is the unique local maximum point of u_ϵ , $H(P_\epsilon)$ is the mean curvature function at $P_\epsilon \in \partial\Omega$, w is the unique solution of the following ground-state problem

$$\Delta w - w + f(w) = 0, w > 0 \text{ in } R^N, \quad w(0) = \max_{y \in R^N} w(y), \quad \lim_{|y| \rightarrow +\infty} w(y) = 0, \quad (1.3)$$

and $I[w]$ is the ground-state energy $I[w] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{R^N} (|\nabla w|^2 + \frac{1}{2} w^2 - F(w)) dy$. Based on (1.2), Ni and Takagi [16] concluded that the least energy solution must concentrate at a maximum point of the mean curvature function. However, if $H(P)$ has more than one maximum point on $\partial\Omega$, the asymptotic expansion (1.2) has to be refined to prove such a statement and the next order term in (1.2) becomes important. This is exactly the purpose of this paper.

We now state our main theorem. First, we introduce boundary deformations. Let $P \in \partial\Omega$. After rotation and translation of the coordinate system we may assume that the inward normal to $\partial\Omega$ at P points in the direction of the positive x_N -axis, that $P = 0$, and that there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ and a smooth function ρ such that $\Omega \cap B_\delta(P) = \{(x', x_N) | x_N > \rho(x')\}$. Moreover, we may assume that

$$\rho(x') = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} k_i x_i^2 + O(|x'|^3), \quad x' = (x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}),$$

where $k_i, i = 1, \dots, N-1$ are the principal curvatures at P . (Note that $H(P) = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} k_i$ is the mean curvature.) For $N \geq 3$, we also need to define $R(P) = \sum_{i \neq j} k_i k_j$, which is called Ricci scalar curvature at P . When $N = 2$, we let $R(P) = 0$.

Now we can state the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1. *Let u_ϵ be a single boundary spike solution of (1.1) with a unique local maximum point $P_\epsilon \in \partial\Omega$. Then, for ϵ sufficiently small, we have*

$$J_\epsilon = \epsilon^N \left[\frac{1}{2} I[w] - c_1 \epsilon H(P_\epsilon) + \epsilon^2 [c_2 (H(P_\epsilon))^2 + c_3 R(P_\epsilon)] + o(\epsilon^2) \right], \quad (1.4)$$

where $c_1 = \frac{N-1}{N+1} \int_{R_+^N} (w')^2 y_N dy > 0$, and c_2, c_3 are generic constants. Moreover, we have $c_3 > 0$. Here $R_+^N = \{(y', y_N) | y_N > 0\}$.

As a corollary, we give a refinement of the results of [15] and [16].

Corollary 2 *Let u_ϵ be a least energy solution of (1.1). Then, for ϵ sufficiently small, we have*

$$H(P_\epsilon) \rightarrow \max_{P \in \partial\Omega} H(P), \quad R(P_\epsilon) \rightarrow \min_{Q \in \partial\Omega, H(Q) = \max_{P \in \partial\Omega} H(P)} R(Q). \quad (1.5)$$

Remarks: 1. (1.5) shows that the least energy solution will concentrate at a global maximum mean curvature point with smallest scalar curvature. For example, for $N = 3$, and suppose that the mean curvature function $H(P)$ has two global maximum points P_1 and P_2 . Let the principal curvatures at P_i be given by $k_{i,j}$, $i = 1, 2, j = 1, 2$. Then $R(P_i) = k_{i,1}k_{i,2}$, $i = 1, 2$. The spike will approach the point with smaller R . However, if $N = 2$, (1.5) yields no new results. In that case, we have to expand $J_\epsilon[u_\epsilon]$ up to the order $O(\epsilon^3)$ to obtain more information on the spike locations.

2. Theorem 1 holds true if we replace $-u + u^p$ with more general nonlinearities. See [22].

Acknowledgments. This question was raised by Professor H. Brezis when JW visited the Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences at the University of Cambridge in June – July, 2001. He would like to thank the Institute for its kind hospitality and Prof. H. Brezis for useful conversations. The research of JW is supported by an Earmarked Grant from RGC of Hong Kong.

2. Two Important Lemmas. In this section we present two main lemmas needed to prove Theorem 1. We begin with the following on good approximate functions.

Lemma 3. *For each $P \in \partial\Omega$, there exists a smooth function $\tilde{w}_{\epsilon,P}$ such that*

$$\epsilon^2 \Delta \tilde{w}_{\epsilon,P} - \tilde{w}_{\epsilon,P} + f(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon,P}) = O(\epsilon^{1+\sigma}), \quad (2.1)$$

$$J_\epsilon[\tilde{w}_{\epsilon,P}] = \epsilon^N \left[\frac{1}{2} I[w] - c_1 \epsilon H(P) + \epsilon^2 [c_2 (H(P))^2 + c_3 R(P)] + o(\epsilon^2) \right], \quad (2.2)$$

where $\sigma = \min(1, p-1)$ and c_1, c_2, c_3 are generic constants. In particular,

$$c_3 = \frac{1}{16} \int_{R_+^N} [|\nabla \Psi_0|^2 + |\Psi_0|^2 - f'(w)\Psi_0^2] dy > 0,$$

where Ψ_0 satisfies $\Delta \Psi_0 - \Psi_0 + f'(w)\Psi_0 = 0$ in R_+^N , $\frac{\partial \Psi_0}{\partial y_N} = \frac{w'}{|y|}(y_1^2 - y_2^2)$ on ∂R_+^N .

The proof of Lemma 3 is technical and we refer to Section 2 and Section 3 of [22].

Our next lemma is about the expansion of u_ϵ which is a single boundary spike solution of (1.1). Let P_ϵ be its local maximum point. The key observation is that by using $\tilde{w}_{\epsilon,P_\epsilon}$ as our approximating function, we just need to expand u_ϵ up to $O(\epsilon^\tau)$ for some $\tau > 1$. In fact, we do not even need to know the exact asymptotic expansion in $O(\epsilon^\tau)$. We now choose $\tau = 1 + \frac{\sigma}{2}$. Thus we get

Lemma 4: *For ϵ sufficiently small, we have $u_\epsilon = \tilde{w}_{\epsilon,P_\epsilon} + \epsilon^\tau \phi_\epsilon$, where ϕ_ϵ satisfies*

$$\|\phi_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\epsilon^{-N} \int_{\Omega} (\epsilon^2 |\nabla \phi_\epsilon|^2 + |\phi_\epsilon|^2) dx \leq C. \quad (2.4)$$

Proof: We sketch the main ideas of the proof. For details, see Section 5 of [22]. Substituting $u_\epsilon = \tilde{w}_{\epsilon,P_\epsilon} + \epsilon^\tau \phi_\epsilon$ into (1.1), we see from (2.1) that ϕ_ϵ satisfies

$$\epsilon^2 \Delta \phi_\epsilon - \phi_\epsilon + f'(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon,P_\epsilon}) \phi_\epsilon = O(\epsilon^{\sigma/2}) + N_\epsilon[\phi_\epsilon] \text{ in } \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial \phi_\epsilon}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad (2.5)$$

where $N_\epsilon[\phi_\epsilon] = -\epsilon^{-\tau} [f(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon,P_\epsilon} + \epsilon^\tau \phi_\epsilon) - f(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon,P_\epsilon}) - \epsilon^\tau f'(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon,P_\epsilon}) \phi_\epsilon] = o(1)|\phi_\epsilon|$, by the mean value theorem.

Now we can prove (2.3). Suppose not, then there exists a sequence $\epsilon_k \rightarrow 0$ such that $M_{\epsilon_k} := \|\phi_{\epsilon_k}\|_{L^\infty(\bar{\Omega})} \rightarrow +\infty$. For simplicity of notation, we still denote ϵ_k by ϵ . Let $M_\epsilon = |\phi_\epsilon(x_\epsilon)|$, where $x_\epsilon \in \bar{\Omega}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that x_ϵ is a maximum point of ϕ_ϵ . We proceed by proving two claims.

Claim 1: $\frac{|x_\epsilon - P_\epsilon|}{\epsilon} \leq C$. Suppose not, that is $\frac{|x_\epsilon - P_\epsilon|}{\epsilon} \rightarrow +\infty$. Then $-1 + f'(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)) \leq -\frac{1}{4}$ for ϵ small. Since $\frac{\partial \hat{\phi}_\epsilon}{\partial \nu} = 0$, by the Hopf boundary Lemma, $x_\epsilon \notin \partial\Omega$. So $x_\epsilon \in \Omega$, which implies $\Delta \hat{\phi}_\epsilon(x_\epsilon) \leq 0$. From (2.5) we then deduce that

$$(1 - f'(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)))M_\epsilon + o(1)M_\epsilon + O(\epsilon^{\tau-1}) \leq 0$$

and hence M_ϵ is bounded, a contradiction. Let $\hat{\phi}_\epsilon(y) = \frac{\phi_\epsilon(x)}{M_\epsilon}$, where $\epsilon y = x - P$.

Claim 2: $\hat{\phi}_\epsilon(y) \rightarrow 0$ in $C_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$, as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. In fact, from the equation for $\hat{\phi}_\epsilon$, we see that as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, $\hat{\phi}_\epsilon \rightarrow \hat{\phi}_0$, where $\Delta \hat{\phi}_0 - \hat{\phi}_0 + f'(w)\hat{\phi}_0 = 0$, $|\hat{\phi}_0| \leq 1$, in \mathbb{R}_+^N , $\frac{\partial \hat{\phi}_0}{\partial y_N} = 0$ on $\partial\mathbb{R}_+^N$. By the nondegeneracy of w , there exist $N-1$ constants a_1, \dots, a_{N-1} such that $\hat{\phi}_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} a_j \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_j}$. On the other hand, we know that $\nabla_{x_k} u_\epsilon(P_\epsilon) = 0, k = 1, \dots, N-1$ and hence

$$0 = \nabla_{x_k}(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_\epsilon}(P_\epsilon) + \epsilon^\tau \phi_\epsilon(P_\epsilon)) = O(\epsilon) + \epsilon^{\tau-1} M_\epsilon \nabla_{y_k} \hat{\phi}_\epsilon(0).$$

Thus we have $\nabla_{y_k} \hat{\phi}_\epsilon(0) \rightarrow 0$ which shows that $\nabla_{y_k} \hat{\phi}_0(0) = 0$. This implies $\nabla_{y_k} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} a_j \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_j} \right)_{y=0} = 0, k = 1, \dots, N-1$. Thus $a_1 = \dots = a_{N-1} = 0$. This proves Claim 2.

Equation (2.3) now follows from Claim 1 and Claim 2: Let $y_\epsilon = \frac{x_\epsilon - P_\epsilon}{\epsilon}$. Then by Claim 1, $|y_\epsilon| \leq C$. So we may assume that $y_\epsilon \rightarrow y_0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Since $\hat{\phi}_\epsilon(y_\epsilon) = 1$, we have $\hat{\phi}_0(y_0) = 1$, which contradicts Claim 2.

Multiplying (2.5) by ϕ_ϵ , integrating over Ω and using (2.3), we obtain (2.4). □

3. Proofs of Theorem 1 and Corollary 2.

We prove Theorem 1 by using Lemma 3 and Lemma 4.

Proof of Theorem 1: Since both $\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_\epsilon}$ and ϕ_ϵ satisfy the Neumann boundary condition, we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_\epsilon[u_\epsilon] &= J_\epsilon[\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}] + \epsilon^\tau \int_\Omega (\epsilon^2 \nabla \tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P} \nabla \phi_\epsilon + \tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P} \phi_\epsilon - f(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}) \phi_\epsilon) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{\epsilon^{2\tau}}{2} \left(\int_\Omega (\epsilon^2 |\nabla \phi_\epsilon|^2 + |\phi_\epsilon|^2) dx - \int_\Omega f'(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_\epsilon}) \phi_\epsilon^2 dx \right) \\ &\quad - \int_\Omega [F(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_\epsilon} + \epsilon^\tau \phi_\epsilon) - F(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_\epsilon}) - \epsilon^\tau f(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_\epsilon}) \phi_\epsilon - \frac{\epsilon^{2\tau}}{2} f'(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_\epsilon}) \phi_\epsilon^2] dx. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4, the last two terms are $o(\epsilon^{N+2})$. Now integrating by parts and using (2.1) we obtain

$$\epsilon^\tau \int_\Omega (\epsilon^2 \nabla \tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P} \nabla \phi_\epsilon + \tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P} \phi_\epsilon - f(\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}) \phi_\epsilon) dx = \epsilon^\tau \int_\Omega S_\epsilon[\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P_\epsilon}] \phi_\epsilon dx = O(\epsilon^{N+1+\tau+\sigma}).$$

Hence $J_\epsilon[u_\epsilon] = J_\epsilon[\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, P}] + o(\epsilon^{N+2})$ which, by Lemma 3, finishes the proof of Theorem 1. □

Next, we prove Corollary 2.

Proof of Corollary 2: Let u_ϵ be a least energy solution of (1.1). By Theorem 1, we have

$$c_\epsilon := J_\epsilon[u_\epsilon] = \epsilon^N \left[\frac{1}{2} I[w] - c_1 \epsilon H(P_\epsilon) + \epsilon^2 (c_2 (H(P_\epsilon))^2 + c_3 R(P_\epsilon)) + o(\epsilon^2) \right]. \quad (3.1)$$

On the other hand, by using $\tilde{w}_{\epsilon, Q}$ as test function, we see that

$$c_\epsilon \leq \epsilon^N \left[\frac{1}{2} I[w] - c_1 \epsilon H(Q) + \epsilon^2 (c_2 (H(Q))^2 + c_3 R(Q)) + o(\epsilon^2) \right], \quad (3.2)$$

where we take Q such that $H(Q) = \max_{P \in \partial\Omega} H(P)$. Comparing (3.1) with (3.2), we arrive at

$$c_1 H(Q) - \epsilon(c_2(H(Q))^2 + c_3 R(Q)) + o(\epsilon) \leq c_1 H(P_\epsilon) - \epsilon(c_2(H(P_\epsilon))^2 + c_3 R(P_\epsilon)) + o(\epsilon).$$

Since $c_1 > 0, c_3 > 0$, we obtain (1.5). □

REFERENCES

- [1] Adimurthi, Pacella F. and Yadava, S.L., Interaction between the geometry of the boundary and positive solutions of a semilinear Neumann problem with critical nonlinearity, *J. Funct. Anal.* 113 (1993), no. 2, 318-350.
- [2] Alikakos N., Kowalczyk M., Critical points of a singular perturbation problem via reduced energy and local linking, *J. Differential Equations* 159 (1999) 403-426.
- [3] Bates P., Dancer E.N., Shi J., Multi-spike stationary solutions of the Cahn-Hilliard equation in higher-dimension and instability, *Adv. Differential Equations* 4 (1999) 1-69.
- [4] Bates P., Fusco G., Equilibria with many nuclei for the Cahn-Hilliard equation, *J. Differential Equations* 4 (1999), 1-69.
- [5] Dancer E.N., Yan S., Multipeak solutions for a singular perturbed Neumann problem, *Pacific J. Math.* 189 (1999), 241-262.
- [6] del Pino M., Felmer P., Spike-layered solutions of singularly perturbed elliptic problems in a degenerate setting, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 48 (1999) 883-898.
- [7] Gierer A., Meinhardt H., A theory of biological pattern formation, *Kybernetik (Berlin)* 12 (1972), 30-39.
- [8] M. Grossi, A. Pistoia and J. Wei, Existence of multipeak solutions for a semilinear Neumann problem via nonsmooth critical point theory, *Cal. Var. PDE* 11(2000) 143-175.
- [9] Gui C., Wei J., Multiple interior peak solutions for some singular perturbation problems, *J. Differential Equations* 158 (1999), 1-27.
- [10] Gui C., Wei J., Winter M., Multiple boundary peak solutions for some singularly perturbed Neumann problems, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire* 17 (2000), 47-82.
- [11] Kowalczyk M., Multiple spike layers in the shadow Gierer-Meinhardt system: existence of equilibria and approximate invariant manifold, *Duke Math. J.* 98 (1999), 59-111.
- [12] Li Y.-Y., On a singularly perturbed equation with Neumann boundary condition, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 23 (1998), 487-545.
- [13] Li Y.-Y., Nirenberg L., The Dirichlet problem for singularly perturbed elliptic equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 51 (1998), 1445-1490.
- [14] Lin C.-S., Ni W.-M., Takagi I., Large amplitude stationary solutions to a chemotaxis systems, *J. Differential Equations* 72 (1988), 1-27.
- [15] Ni W.-M., Takagi I., On the shape of least energy solution to a semilinear Neumann problem, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 41 (1991), 819-851.
- [16] Ni W.-M., Takagi I., Locating the peaks of least energy solutions to a semilinear Neumann problem, *Duke Math. J.* 70 (1993), 247-281.
- [17] Ni W.-M., Wei J., On the location and profile of spike-layer solutions to singularly perturbed semilinear Dirichlet problems, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 48 (1995), 731-768.
- [18] Ni W.-M., Diffusion, cross-diffusion, and their spike-layer steady states, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* 45 (1998), 9-18.
- [19] Wei J., On the construction of single-peaked solutions to a singularly perturbed semilinear Dirichlet problem, *J. Differential Equations* 129 (1996), 315-333 .
- [20] Wei J., On the boundary spike layer solutions of singularly perturbed semilinear Neumann problem, *J. Differential Equations* 134 (1997), 104-133.
- [21] Wei J., Winter M., Stationary solutions for the Cahn-Hilliard equation, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire* 15 (1998), 459-492.
- [22] Wei J., Winter M., Higher order energy expansions and location of spikes for some singularly perturbed Neumann problems, preprint.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, SHATIN, HONG KONG

E-mail address: wei@math.cuhk.edu.hk

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT A, UNIVERSITÄT STUTTGART, PFAFFENWALDRING 57, D-70569 STUTTGART, GERMANY

E-mail address: winter@mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de