Papers and Proceedings of the Royal Society of Tasmania, Volume 117, 1983.

(ms. received 19.10.1982)

CONSERVATION OF TASMANIAN MACROPHYTIC WETLAND VEGETATION

by J.B. Kirkpatrick and C.E. Harwood Department of Geography, University of Tasmania, and Department of Minerals and Energy, Konedobu, Papua-New Guinea

(with three tables and one text-figure)

ABSTRACT

KIRKPATRICK, J.B. & HARWOOD, C.E., 1983 (31 viii): Conservation of Tasmanian macro-

phytic wetland vegetation. *Pap. Proc. R. Soc. Tasm.*, 117: 5-20. https://doi.org/10.26749/rstpp.117.5 ISSN 0080-4703.Department of Geography, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia, and Department of Minerals and Energy, Konedobu, Papua-New Guinea.

Approximately 200 macrophytic species, of which thirty-one were poorly reserved or unreserved, were recorded from 530 Tasmanian wetlands. An iterative strategy locates six wetlands within which sixteen of these species occur, individual wetlands being suggested to provide a minimum level of reservation for the remaining species. Half of the major wetland plant communities, as defined by dominant lifeform and species, are unreserved, and a further quarter are poorly reserved.

## INTRODUCTION

The conservation status of Australian wetlands has attracted considerable interest in recent years (e.g. Gooderick 1970, Jones 1978). The main focus of much of the work on Australian wetlands and their conservation has been on the avifauna, although Tyler (1976) discussed the conservation status of the interesting vegetation at the Lagoon of Islands and there is a recent review of Australian wetland vegetation (Briggs 1981). This paper documents the results of an investigation into the conservation of Tasmanian wetland species and plant communities.

#### METHODS

Wetlands were defined as vegetated or temporarily unvegetated areas covered by nontidal, still water less than 4 m deep for several months of the year or more. This definition excludes salt marshes, the subject of a previous study of wetland conservation in Tasmania (Kirkpatrick & Glasby 1981). Artificial impoundments and wetlands smaller than 0.5 ha were excluded from the study. Most wetlands below 500 m above sea level were included as was a sample of highland wetlands. Few wetlands were visited in the southwest of the state where accessibility is poor.

The following data relevant to this study were recorded from 530 wetlands: 1) The projective canopy coverage class of the tallest stratum in each perceived plant community (0-10%, 10-30%, 30-70% and 70-100%). These were discriminated on the basis of differences in dominance. The lifeforms used were: tree, shrub, grass (Poaceae), sedge (Cyperaceae), rush (Restionaceae, Juncaceae), herb (non-graminoid, non-woody, non-aquatic), aquatic. 2) The percentage of the area of the wetland covered by each zone. Five classes were used: 0-5%, 5-25%, 25-50%, 50-75% and 75-100%. 3) The percentage cover of each observed macrophytic species, bare ground and water in each zone. The classes in 2) above were used.

The wetland survey data and reliable literature reports were used to discover which species were not known from State Reserves (subsequently referred to as "unreserved"). A check of the specimens in the Tasmanian Herbarium (HO) was then made for these latter species in order to gain information on their range outside wetlands and any possible occurrences in State Reserves. The distributions of the remaining "unreserved" species were mapped and each wetland was given a score which equalled the number of "unreserved" species recorded from it from all data sources. The wetland with the highest score was then designated the first priority for reservation and/or protection of species. The scores were then recalculated omitting the species found in the first priority wetland. This procedure was repeated until all "unreserved" species were in a wetland designated for reservation and/or protection. Where two or more wetlands had the same score the wetland most feasibly reserved or protected was selected. This procedure, described more fully elsewhere (Kirkpatrick 1983), objectively locates the minimum number of wetlands necessary to ensure that each wetland plant species has at least one population in a safe area in Tasmania.

Most wetlands could only be visited once, which meant that certain species had to be identified from limited reproductive material or from vegetative material only. Where possible, vegetative samples were taken and propagated when identification was in doubt. The difficulties encountered in differentiating between closely related species from often inadequate material made it impossible to consistently differentiate between Scirpus fluitans and S. productus, most Rumex species, Potamogeton australiensis and P. tricarinatus, Myriophyllum elatinoides and M. propinquum (wetlands 1-99 only), Ranunculus species, Typha species and native Agrostis species.

The plant community is an imprecise entity. Communities with the same dominant species may differ widely in terms of subordinate species, physical and chemical parameters, and productivity. Nevertheless, as the assemblages and relative abundance of plant species form an integral part of the components of the ecosystem, they are one of the best indices for general conservation purposes.

For the assessment of conservation status the wetland plant communities are placed into one of herbfield, sedgeland, rushland, heath, scrub, forest and aquatic vegetation and are defined by the single species with the greatest cover in the tallest stratum.

Within wetlands, communities judged to be less than 0.2 ha in extent were excluded. Thus it can be seen that many smaller areas of a community may have been excluded from the analysis. However most of the area of a community is contained within its larger occurrences, just as most of the area of wetland in Tasmania is located in the upper 50% of the size range. It should also be noted that for many management objectives, such as providing feeding or breeding grounds for many of the larger vertebrates, only large areas of a community warrant consideration.

The percentage of the total surveyed area of each community found within the State Reserve system was then calculated. For the unreserved and poorly reserved communities, wetlands outside the State Reserve system, but preferably within Lands Department Reserves, Wildlife Sanctuaries, Conservation Areas or Crown Land were selected to bring potential reservation of surveyed wetlands up to 5%.

## RESULTS

Conservation of the wetland plant species

The vascular plant species observed in the wetlands included in this study are listed in the appendix which also indicates the State Reserves within which particular species are found, shows whether they occur only marginally in wetlands and denotes whether species are Tasmanian endemics, native to Tasmania or introduced to Tasmania.

Most of the native wetland species occur widely on the mainland of Australia. Seven species are Tasmanian endemics. *Centrolepis pulvinata* is reserved only in the Mt William National Park, while the other endemic species are known to have substantial populations in two or more State Reserves. Of the non-endemic native wetland species 30 are not known from any State Reserve.

Sixteen of the thirty-one unreserved and poorly reserved native species could be reserved in six wetlands (table 1). Most of the remaining species are present in reserves

## J.B. Kirkpatrick and C.E. Harwood

of less secure status than those fully controlled by the Tasmanian National Parks and Wildlife Service, although several species could only be reserved, with present information, by the resumption of privately-owned wetlands (table 1). *Cyperus lucidus, C. tenellus, Juncus amabilis, J. astreptus* and *J. subsecundus* all occur widely outside wetlands in disturbed habitats. Thus, no reservation appears to be necessary.

## TABLE 1

## DETAILS OF RESERVATION PROPOSALS FOR SPECIES CONSERVATION.

Wetland Code Number	1:100 000 Map	Grid Reference	Species	Land tenure	
519	8416	800728	Centipeda minima, Lythrum salicaria, Folygonum strigosum, Villarsia exaltata	private	
330	8517	156343	Centrolepis pulvinata, Myriophyllum muelleri, Scirpus pungens, Wilsonia rotundifolia	Crown land	
212	8515	094220	Baumea articulata, Amphibromus archeri	private and Crown land reserve	
536	8416	520750	Scirpus caldwellii, S. validus (S. pungens)	Crown land	
272	8515	885900	Haloragis heterophylla, Juncus revolutus	Crown land	
17	8313	310160	Juncus vaginatus, Glyceria australis	Council Wildlife Sanctuary	
230	8412	770780	Juncus squarrosu <b>s</b>	private wildlife sanctuary	
530	8416	552710	Potamogeton pectinatus	Crown land reserve	
344	8517	222228	Aphelia sp.	Crown land	
707	8313	130340	Amphibromus neessii	Crown land and private	
444	8000	312939	Lepilaena bilocularis	private	
88	8311	402370	Lepilaena preissii	Crown land reserve	
16	8313	300020	Rumex bidens	private	
297	8517	028662	Scaevola albida	Crown land	
305	8517	010485	Wolffia sp.	private	
402	8000	369609	Polygonum plebeium	Crown land and private	

The native species that are unreserved in Tasmania might be reserved elsewhere in Australia or overseas. None is listed in Leigh, Briggs and Hartley (1981) as threatened or rare. Novertheless it may be desirable to reserve these species in Tasmania to help avoid local extinction of species or genotypes. To the extent that species reflect habitats, the reservations suggested in table 1 would present the most efficient manner of extending the wetland environments included within the State Reserve system.

The Conservation of Macrophytic Plant Communities

The area of wetland included in the survey was 11 700 ha. Excluding the oligotrophic wetlands in the glacially eroded part of the state, another 2 000 ha of wetland were not included in the survey and approximately 35% (7 000 ha) of the original area of wetland has been drained. Only 643 ha (5.5% of surveyed wetlands) are in State Reserves. Of the wetland vegetation formations only forest and scrub have greater than 5% of their surveyed area reserved (table 2), and these formations had previously been drastically reduced in area as a result of land clearance for agriculture. Sedgeland almost attains a desirable minimum percentage of reservation. Aquatic, herbfield, rushland and grassland are all inadequately reserved (table 2). At the level of communities defined by dominance and structure, reservation is extremely poor. Half the communities occupying more than 10 ha in total are totally unreserved (table 3).

## TABLE 2

## THE RESERVATION STATUS OF THE MAJOR WETLAND VEGETATION FORMATIONS.

	(	Cover cla	usses (%)	m ( 1	Area	Reserved	
	70-100	30-70	10-30	0-10	lotal	Reserved	(% of area surveyed)
Forest	1040				1040	100	9.6
Scrub	664	13	39	15	731	173.5	23.7
Grassland	70.5	8	5	1.5	85	0	0
Herbfield	103	131	156.5	256.5	647	7.5	1.2
Rushland	133	76	17	4	230	1.5	0.7
Sedge1and	1398.5	2606	770	50	4824.5	220.5	4.6
Aquatic	702.5	1561	810.5	1075	4149	140.5	3.4
TOTAL					11706.5	643.5	5.5

The wetlands recommended as having a high priority for future reservation for community conservation include many of those important for species conservation, and are concentrated in the Furneaux Group and the northeast with a scattering elsewhere in the state (table 3, fig. 1). The selective process ensured that most of these wetland were on Crown land or private land dedicated to nature conservation (table 3).

### DISCUSSION

The preceding analysis and discussion somewhat begs the question of the ideal proportion of reservation for wetland plant species and communities. We have assumed that endemic species might be rendered secure with two populations in reserves, that other native species, apart from the most highly opportunistic, might be secured with populations in one reserved wetland, and that communities might require 5% of their present recorded area for security. Most species and communities would be reserved at greater than this minimal level if our reservation recommendations were implemented. With the present state of knowledge on the ecology of wetland macrophytes there is no means of establishing appropriate reservation levels, which would almost certainly differ among the species and communities. The minimal levels effectively assume that wetlands will be constant through time in their species and community composition.

This assumption may be invalid as many wetland environments are highly unstable, particularly in respect to the period of inundation. This instability is very likely necessary for the regeneration and/or maintenance of many of the wetland species and communities (e.g. regeneration of *Melaleuca* scrublands in deep aquatic zones is believed to require exceptionally dry periods). Suitable environments for the growth of particular species disappear for protracted periods or shift drastically within a wetland (Millar 1973). These habitat disappearances are countered in most species by the ability to remain dormant in underground organs or seed until suitable conditions for re-establishment or

# J.B. Kirkpatrick and C.E. Harwood

# TABLE 3

# PLANT COMMUNITIES RECORDED FROM MORE THAN 10 HA, with reservation recommendations for those having less than 5% of their surveyed area in the State Reserve system.

Community	Surveyed area (ha)	% reservation of surveyed area	Code	Recommended reservation & grid reference	Land ownership
FOREST Acacia melanoxylon	1040	9.6			
SCRUB Melaleuca ericifolia M. squarrosa Leptospermum lanigerum	664 33 34	22.6 71.2 0.0	well	-reserved outside	surveyed wetlands
GRASSLAND Phragmites custralis	50	0.0	35 (	(Derwent River Wild Sanctuary)	life Crown land
HERBFIELD	10	2 7	107	070570 0514	
centeria coraijoria	18	2.1	193	078538 8514	private
Lilaeopsis brownii	15	0.0	530	552/10 8416	Crown land reserve
Mimulus repens	15	0.0	508	820854 8416	private wildlife sanctuary
Pratia platycalyx	10	0.0	348	155178 8517	Crown land
Sarcocornia quinqueflora	13	0.0	well	-reserved in tidal	salt marsh
Selliera radicans	152	0.3	303	100520 8517	Wildlife Sanctuary Crown 1and
			538	560785 8416	Crown land reserve
			330	156343 8517	Crown land
			105	073422 8513	Crown land
Wilconia haakhousai	211	0.0	284	075710 8517	Crown land
V maturale Palia	122	0.0	204	042747 9519	Crown land
w. Potunciijotta	122	0.0	280 511	811879 8416	private wildlife
			515	812870 8416	sanctuary private wildlife
RUSHLAND	212	0.7	well	-reserved in tidal	sanctuary
ouncue Araubert	212	0.7	WCII	-ieserved in cidar	Shit maish
SEDGELAND					
Baumea arthrophylla	2518	6.5		-	
B. juncea	63	41.3		-	
Carex gaudichaudiana	85	0.0	well	-reserved outside	surveyed wetlands
Chorizandra cumbarica	96	0.0	708	115355 8313	Crown land
Eleochamin acuta	54	0.0	423	385100 8000	private
			717	853405 8213	private
E sphacelata	185	6.8			Printero
Gahnia filum	45	0.0	510	795888 8416	private wildlife
a	207	0.0	077	000000 0510	Sanctuary
G. Emijiaa	203	0.0	2/3	880890 8518	Crown land
pepidospernum iongituainai	3 1072	0.9	10	300020 8313	private
Schoenus tesquorum	77	1.3	717	853405 8213	private
Scirpus caldwellii	100	0.0	97	400375 8313	private wildlife
					sanctuary
S. cernuus	20	0.0	271	870920 8518	Crown land
S. fluitans	214	0.0	708	115355 8313	Crown land
AQUAT1C					
Chara spp.	14	0.0	722	603607 8214	Crown land reserve
Lomprothemnium spp.	1255	0.0	303	100520 8517	Crown land Wildlife Sanctuary
			717	853405 8213	private
Lasilaena culindroacersa	151	0.0	330	156343 8517	Crown land
6 bilogularis	11	0.0	444	312939 8000	nrivate
il malaa waxaa	77	0.0	1370	225260 8517	Crown land
Anno martine stational ina	405	20.1	1.0.00	223200 031/	Crown Tanu
просорнутыт статионаев	495	20.1	7.3.2		Carry land man
n. [Popinquum	25/	0.0	144	003007 8214	with the
mapra spp.	740	0.8	35	(Derwent River	wildlite
a t - 02 to	6.0	2.0		Sanctuary)	Crown land
sermus fluitans	90	2.2	519	800728 8416	private
Truglochin procera	911	10.2		-	
Villarvia reniformis	11	0.0	624	993573 7815	Crown land



FIG. 1 - Distribution of wetlands recommended for reservation and regions in which wetlands were surveyed.

growth recur (Valk & Davis 1978). Others may depend for re-establishment on the dispersal of propagules from adjacent wetlands.

The probabilities of dispersal of species from one wetland to another are a function partly of distance and partly of the strength of the dispersal agent. Wetland species, being inhabitants of a highly disjunct environment, generally have adaptations for long distance dispersal, and thus have wide distributions. The main agents for dispersal for most species are almost certainly the wetland avifauna, and within catchments, floods (Sculthorpe 1967). Thus, the maintenance of a species complement in a particular wetland may partially depend on the maintenance of the paths of bird migration and local movement. These paths may be disrupted by widespread drainage of surrounding wetlands, and an overall reduction in the area of wetlands may lead to a total reduction in the populations of particular bird species, and thus of the opportunities for particular wetlands to receive propagules of species eliminated through environmental fluctuations.

The Tasmanian wetland environments appear to be relatively resistant to the invasion of exotic species, which are substantially confined to the infrequently inundated zone on the margins of wetlands in agricultural country, particularly those disturbed by introduced grazing animals. Most of the native species which occupy this zone are themselves opportunistic and persist well even in the face of such invasions. Thus, wetlands appear to be suitable for small reserves in developed country, and a series of small reserves may be better for the preservation of variation in wetland communities and species than a few large reserves containing a similar number of wetlands, albeit with greater area.

A substantial reduction in area of any vegetation type is likely to lead to some extinction of localized or widespread but rare species. For example, several of the unreserved species recorded in this study might well be eliminated in Tasmania by the drainage of just one wetland.

The major conclusion of this study has to be that although the level of reservation of wetland vegetation as a whole might be construed as adequate, the reservation of species and communities, apart from those characteristic of oligotrophic environments, is markedly inadequate. This inadequacy can largely be rectified by the transfer of Crown land and some Lands Department Reserves to the status of State Reserve, but purchases of privately owned wetlands or their management as conservation areas with declared Management Plans are also necessary.

Part of the value of a study such as this one is that it focusses attention on those species and communities that are rare or endangered. It might be that intensive searches for these, now that they have been identified, will reveal other occurrences, which will enable secure reservation to be made more easily.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank the following people: Dr Rod Buckney and Mr Stephen Harris, who carried out some of the field work, Mr Paul Barnett and Mr Derek Smith, who were helpful in many ways during our visits to King and Flinders Islands, Dr Winifred Curtis, Dr Michael Brown, Mr Dennis Morris, and Prof. W.D. Jackson, who helped with identification of species, staff of the Geography Department, University of Tasmania, for help in many ways, and finally the many private landowners, without whose kind permission to visit and study the many Tasmanian wetlands on private land, the study would not have been possible. The study was financed by a grant from the National Heritage Commission to the Tasmanian Conservation Trust Inc.

#### REFERENCES

Briggs, S.V., 1981: Freshwater wetlands. In Groves, R.H. (Ed.): AUSTRALIAN VEGETATION. Cambridge University Press, London.

Gooderick, G.N., 1970: A survey of wetlands of coastal New South Wales. CSIRO Aust. Div. Wildl. Res. Tech. Mem. No.5.

Jones, W., 1978: THE WETLANDS OF THE SOUTHEAST OF SOUTH AUSTRALIA. Nature Conservation Society of South Australia, Adelaide.

Kirkpatrick, J.B., 1983: An iterative method for establishing priorities for the selection of Nature Reserves: an example from Tasmania. *Biol. Conserv.*, 16: 127-134.

and Glasby, J., 1981: Salt marshes in Tasmania: distribution, community composition and conservation. Dept Geography, Univ. of Tasmania, Occasional Paper No.8.

Leigh, J., Briggs, J. and Hartley, W., 1981: Rare or threatened Australian plant species. Australian National Parks and Wildlife Service, Spec. Pub. No.7.

Millar, J.B., 1973: Vegetation changes in shallow marsh wetlands under improving moisture regime. Can. J. Bot., 51: 1443-1457. Sculthorpe, C.D., 1967: THE BIOLOGY OF AQUATIC VASCULAR PLANTS. Arnold, London.

Tyler, F.A., 1976: Lagoon of Islands, Tasmania - death knell for an unique ecosystem? Biol. Conserv., 9: 1-11.

Valk, van der, A.G. and Davis, C.B., 1978: The role of seed banks in the vegetation dynamics of prairie glacial marshes. *Ecology*, 59: 322-335.

# APPENDIX 1

PLANT TAXA OBSERVED IN THE TASMANIAN WETLAND SURVEY.

+ = introduced taxon

m = wetland margins

# DICOTYLEDONEAE

BORAGINACEAE m Mysotis australis R.Br.

# CALLITRICHACEAE

+ Callitriche stagnalis Scop.

# CARYOPHYLLACEAE

+ Cerastium spp. + Sagina maritima Don ex Sm. & Sow. Spergularia media (L.) Pres1. G,M,MW + Stellaria media (L.) Cyrillo S. palustris Ehrh. ex Retz. P CHENOPODIACEAE m Atriplex cinerea Poir. + A. hastata L. m A. paludosa R.Br. + A. patula L. Chenopodium glaucum spp. ambiguum (R.Br.) Murr. & Thell. ex Thell. F,LV,M,TH Hemichroa pentandra R.Br. AR,M,MW Pachycornia arbuscula (R.Br.) A.J.Scott AR Suaeda australis (R.Br.) Moq. М Sarcocornia blackiana (Ulbrich) A.J.Scott М S. quinqueflora (Bunge ex Ung.-Sternb.) A.J. Scott AR, F, M, MW, SW COMPOSITAE Angianthus eriocephalus Benth. endemic GR,SW A. preissianus (Steetz) Benth. LB + Bellis perennis L. m Calocephalus lacteus Less. Brachycome cardiocarpa F. Muell. ex Benth. MW B. graminea (Labill.) F. Muell. M.MCW Centipeda minima (L.) A. Br. & Aschers. + Cirsium arvense (L.) Scop. + C. vulgare (Savi) Ten. Cotula alpina Hook. f. CM,BL F,G,LB,LV,M,MW,TH C. coronopifolia L. C. longipes (Hook.f.) W.M. Curtis F,MW,SW,TH C. reptans Benth. BN,CM,LB,M m Craspedia glauca (Labill.) Spreng. + Gnaphalium candidissimum Lam.

m G. collinum Labill. var. monocephalum Hook. f.

m Gnaphalium involucratum Forst. f. m G. luteo-album L. Helichrysum bicolor Lindl. M MW H. dealbatum Labill. M, MW + Hypochaeris radicata L. m Lagenophora stipitata (Labill.) Druce + Leontodon leysseri (Wallr.) Beck m Leptorhynchos squamatus (Labill.) Less. Nablonium calyceroides Cass. endemic MCW.SW.WP m Senecio biserratus Belcher m S. quadridentatus Labill. + Sonchus asper (L.) Hill + Taraxacum officinale Weber CONVOLVULACEAE m Dichondra repens J.R. & G. Forst. Wilsonia backhousei Hook. f. F.M W. rotundifolia Hook. CRASSULACEAE Crassula helmsii (Kirk) Cockayne LV,MCW CRUCIFERAE + Cakile edentula (Bigelow) Hook. Cardamine heterophylla Hook. BL,CM,M,MCW,MF,TH + Coronopus didymus (L.) Sm. + Nasturtium officinale R.Br. DROSERACEAE Drosera binata Labill. F, LV, M, MW F,LB,LV,M,MW D. pygmaea DC. ELATINACEAE Elatine gratioloides A. Cunn. HG,MF EPACRIDACEAE m Epacris lanuginosa Labill. m E. obtusifolia Sm. m E. serpyllifolia R.Br. m Sprengelia incarnata Sm. FICOIDEAE m Carpobrotus rossii Schwartes Disphyma australe (Soland.) J.M. Black Μ m Tetragonia expansa Murr. GENTIANACEAE + Centaurium erythraea Rafn. Liparophyllum gunnii Hook. f. MF,SW Nymphoides exigua (F. Muell) Kuntze endemic Sebaea albidiflora F. Muell. M MW, P, SW Villarsia exaltata (Soland. ex Sims) F. Muell. AR, BN, F, LB, LV, M, MCW, MW, TH V. reniformis R.Br. GERANIACEAE + Erodium cicutarium (L.) L'Hérit. ex Ait. GOODENIACEAE m Dampiera stricta (Sm.) R.Br. Goodenia humilis R.Br. MW Scaevola albida (Sm.) Druce

Scaevola hookeri (de Vriese) Hook. f. BL,CM,HM,LB,MF,MW Selliera radicans Cav. AR, BN, F, G, LB, LV, M, MCW, MW, SW, TH HALORAGACEAE Gonocarpus micranthus Thunb. BN,LB, Haloragis brownii (Hook. f. ) Schindl. BN,LB,MW LV,TH H. heterophylla Brongn. Myriophyllum amphibium Labill. LV,M,MCW AR, LB, LV, MCW, TH M. elatinoides Gaudich. M. muelleri Sond. M. pedunculatum Hook. f. F,M,MW M. propinguum A. Cunn. AR,L,M,MW HYPERICACEAE m Hypericum gramineum Forst. f. H. japonicum Thunb. ex Murr. LB,MW LABIATAE Mentha ?diemenica Spreng. MCW,TH LAURACEAE m Cassytha glabella R.Br. LEGUMINOSAE m Acacia melanoxylon R.Br. m A. sophorae R.Br. m A. verticillata Willd. m Dillwynia glaberrima Sm. + Lotus corniculatus L. + Medicago spp. + Melitotus indica (L.) All. m Pultenaea dentata Labill. + Trifolium spp. + Ulex europaeus L. + Vicia spp. LENTIBULARIACEAE Utricularia dichotoma Labill. F,LV,M,MW,SW U. lateriflora R.Br. F,LV,RC LINACEAE m Linum marginale A. Cunn. LOBELIACEAE Isotoma fluviatilis (R.Br.) F. Muell. ex Benth. MF Lobelia alata Labill. LB,LV,M,MCW,MW,SW,TH Pratia pedunculata R.BR. LB P. platycalyx F. Muell. MCW,TH P. surrepens (Hook. f.) F.E. Wimmer CM,WJ LOGANIACEAE Mitrasacme distylis F. Muell. MW LYTHRACEAE Lythrum hyssopifolia L. LV L. salicaria L. MALVACEAE Lawrencia spicata (Hook.) Benth. F,LB,M,MW

**MYOPORACEAE** m Myoporum insulare R.Br. MYRTACEAE m Eucalyptus amygdalina Labill. m E. ovata Labili. m E. rodwayi R.T. Baker & H.T. Smith endemic m E. viminalis Labill. m Leptospermum laevigatum (J. Gaertn.) F. Muell. L. lanigerum Sm. AR,CM,F, L. scoparium J.R. & G. Forst AR, ČM, F, M, MCW, MW, SW AR,BN,F,LV,M,MCW,MW,SW Melaleuca ericifolia Sm. AR, LV, MCW, MW, TH M. gibbosa Labill. F,M,MW M. squamea Labill. CM, F, FC, M, SW M. squarrosa Donn ex Sm. BN, F, L, LV, M, MCW, MW ONAGRACEAE Epilobium spp. BN,LV,MCW,MW,TH OXALIDACEAE m Oxalis corniculata L. PITTOSPORACEAE m Billardiera longiflora Benth. PLANTAGINACEAE + Plantago australis P. bellidioides Dcne. endemic MCW,P + P. coronopus L. + P. major L. PLUMBAGINACEAE Limonium australe (R.Br.) Kuntze AR POLYGONACEAE + Polygonum aviculare L. P. plebeium R.Br. P. strigosum R. Br. + Rumex acetosella L. R. bidens R.Br. R. brownii Campd. М + R. conglomeratus Murr. + R. crispus L. PORTULACACEAE Neopaxia australasica (Hook. f.) O. Nilss. AR,CM PRIMULACEAE + Anagallis arvensis L. Samolus repens (Forst. et f.) Pers. AR,F,G,M,MW,SW PROTEACEAE m Banksia marginata Cav. m Hakea sericea Schrad. & J. Wendl. RANUNCULACEAE Ranunculus rivularis Banks & Sol. AR,LV,M.MCW,MW,TH

ROSACEAE

m Acaena novae-zelandiae Kirk. + Potentilla anglica Laicharding + Rubus fruticosus L. RUBIACEAE m Galium gaudichaudii DC. RUTACEAE m Boronia parviflora Sm. SAL ICACEAE + Salix spp. SCROPHULARIACEAE m Euphrasia diemenica Spreng. Gratiola latifolia R.Br. MW G. nana Benth. MW Limosella lineata Gluck LB,LV,MCW,TH Mazus pumilio R.Br. М BN, F, LB, M, MW Mimulus repens R.Br. + Parentucellia spp. m Veronica gracilis R.Br. SOLANACEAE + Solanum nigrum L. STYLIDIACEAE Stylidium despectum R.Br. MW m S. graminifolium Rich. UMBELLIFERAE G,M,MCW,SW Apium prostratum Vent. Centella cordifolia Nannf. BN,F,LB,M,MCW,MW,TH Eryngium vesiculosum Labill. F, LB, M, MCW, MW, TH m Hydrocotyle javanica Thunb. H. muscosa R.Br. F,LB,LV,M,MCW,MW,TH H. pterocarpa F. Muell. LV,MCW m H. sibthorpioides Lamk. Lilaeopsis brownii A.W. Hill endemic BN,F,LB,LV,M,MCW,MW,SW,TH m Oreomyrrhis argentea Hook. f. URTICACAEA m Urtica incisa Poir. VIOLACEAE m Viola hederacea Labill. MONOCOTYLODONEAE CENTROLEPIDACEAE Aphelia spp. Centrolepis aristata (R.Br.) Roem. & Schult. ΝW C. fascicularis Labill. MW,LV C. polygona (R. Br.) Hieron. MW C. pulvinata (R.Br.) Desv. endemic C. strigosa (R.Br.) Roem. & Schult. MW BN,LB,MW Trithuria submersa Hook. f. MW

CYPERACEAE Baumea acuta (Labill.) Palla F.M.MW B. arthrophylla (Nees) Boeck. BN, F, LB, LV, M, MW, TH B. articulata (R.Br.) S.T. Blake B. juncea (R.Br.) Palla BN, F, LV, M, MCW, MW, TH B. rubiginosa (Spreng.) Boeck. MCW B. tetragona (Labill.) S.T. Blake F.M.MW BL,CM,FC,MB,MF,SW Carpha alpina R.Br. Carex appressa R.Br. L,LV,M,MCW,TH C. fascicularis Soland. ex Boott in Hook. f. LV.MCW C. gaudichaudiana Kunth. BL,CM,HM,M,MF,SW C. tereticaulis F. Muell. М Chorizandra cymbarica R. Br. F.M.MW Cyperus lucidus R.Br. C. tenellus L. f. Eleocharis acuta R. Br. BN, F, LV, M, MCW, MW, TH E. gracilis R.Br. SW E. pusilla R.Br. MW,TH E. sphacelata R.Br. BN,F,LB,M,MW Gahnia filum (Labill.) F. Muell. AR,F,M, m G. grandis S.T. Blake m G. sieberiana Kunth. G. trifida Labill. MCW,MW,TH Gymnoschoenus sphaerocephalus (R.Br.) Hook. f. CM, F, FC, LH, MF, MW, RC, SW m Lepidosperma concavum R.Br. L. filiforme Labill. CM,F,FC,LH,M,MF,SW BN,F,LB,M,MCW,MW,TH L. longitudinale Labill. Schoenus apogon Poem. & Schult. F,MW S. brevifolius R.Br. MW S. fluitans Hook. f. M,MW S. maschalinus Roem. & Schult. BN,LV,MCW,MW S. nitens (R.Br.) Poir. AR, BN, F, G, LB, LV, M, MCW, MW, SW, TH S. tesquorum J.M. Black F,MW Scirpus caldwellii Cook BN,F,LB,LV,MCW,MW,SW S. cernuus Vahl S. fluitans L. AR, BN, F, M, MCW, MW S. gunnii Boeck SW S. inundatus (R.Br.) Poir. BN,F,L,LB,LV,MCW,MW,TH S. montivegus S.T. Blake MF m S. nodosus Rottb. S. platycarpus S.T. Blake 7 S. productus C.B. Clarke ΜŴ S. pungens Vahl S. validus Vahl Tetraria capillaris (F. Muell.) J.M. Black MW,SW GRAMINEAE + Agropogon littoralis (SM.) C.E. Hubbard m Agropyron scabrum (Labill.) Pal. Beauv. m Agrostis aemula R. Br. m A. avenacea J.F. Gmel. m A. billardieri R.Br. m A. rudis Roem. & Schult. + A. stolonifera L. + A. tenuis Sibth. + Ammophila arenaria Link. Amphibromus archeri (Hook. f.) P.F. Morris

Amphibromus neesii Steud. A. recurvatus J.R. Swallen F,MW + Anthoxanthum odoratum L. m Danthonia caespitosa Gaudich. m D. laevis J.W. Vickery m D. semiannularis (Labill.) R.Br. m D. setacea R.Br. Deyeuxia quadriseta Benth. BN.LB.M.MW m Dichelachne crinita Hook. Distichlis distichophylla (Labill.) Fassett AR.F.M.MW m Eragrostis ? brownii (Kunth) Nees & Steud. + Festuca arundinacea Schreb. Glyceria australis C.E. Hubbard + Glyceria maxima (Hartm.) Holmb. Hemarthria uncinata R.Br. + Holcus lanatus L. + Hordeum spp. + Lagurus ovatus L. + Monerma cylindrica (Willd.) Coss. & Durieu + Parapholis incurva (L.) C.E. Hubbard m Pentapogon quadrifidus Baill. + Phalaris arundinacea L. + P. minor Retz. + Phleum pratense L. Phragmites australis (Cav.) Trin. ex Steud. F,M,MCW,MW + Poa annua L. m P. labillardieri Steud. m P. poiformis Druce + Polypogon monspeliensis (L.) Desf. Pucinellia stricta (Hook. f.) C. Blom М + Spartina townsendii H. & J. Groves Sporolobus virginicus (L.) Kunth MW + Stenotaphrum secundatum (Walt.) Kuntze Stipa stipoides (Hook.) Veldkamp AR,G,M,SW F,MW Tetrarrhena acuminata R.Br. T. distichophylla (Labill.) R.Br. F, LB, M, RC + Vulpia spp. Zoisia matrella (L.) E.D. Merrill MW HYDROCHARITACEAE + Elodea canadensis Michx. Vallisneria spiralis L. GR HYPOXIDACEAE m Hypoxis hygrometrica Labill. IRIDACEAE m Diplarrena moraea Labill. m Patersonia fragilis (Labill.) Druce JUNCACEAE Juncus amabilis Edgar + J. articulatus L. J. astreptus L.A.S. Johnson SW,Z J. australis Hook. f. J. bufonius L. BN, LB, LV, MW + J. bulbosus L.

Juncus caespiticius E. Mey. in Lehm. MCW, MW, TH J. falcatus E. Mey. CM J. holoschoenus R.Br. М J. kraussii Hochst. AR,F,G,LB,M,MCW,SW,TH J. pallidus R.Br. L, LV, M, MW, TH J. pauciflorus R.Br. LV,M J. planifolius R.Br. BN, LB, LV, M, TH J. prismatocarpus R.Br. M J. procerus E. Mey. AR, BN, L, LV, M, MW J. revolutus R.Br. J. squarrosus L. J. subsecundus N.A. Wakefield J. vaginatus R.Br. J. T6 CM,FC,HM,LV JUNCAGINACEAE Triglochin minutissima F. Muell. L,MW T. procera R.Br. AR, BN, F, L, LB, LV, M, MCW, MW, TH T. striata Ruiz. & Pav. BN,F,G,LB,LV,M,MCW,MW,SW,TH LEMNACEAE Lemna minor L. L,LV,MCW L. trisulca L. F Wolffia arrhiza (L.) Hork. ex Wimm. LILIACEAE m Dianella caerulea Sims m D. revoluta R.Br. POTAMOGETONACEAE Potamogeton australiensis A. Bennett MCW P. pectinatus L. P. tricarinatus F. Muell. & A. Bennett ex A. Bennett CM, MF, WR ORCHIDACEAE m Cryptostylis subulata (Labill.) Reichb. f. m Microtis parviflora R.Br. m M. unifolia (Forst. f.) Reichb. f. m Prasophyllum odoratum R.S. Rogers Spiranthes sinensis (Pers.) Ames ssp. australis (R.Br.) Kitamura F m Thelymitra ixioides Swartz m T. retecta H.M.R. Rupp m T. venosa R.Br. RESTIONACEAE Empodisma minus (Hook. f.) Johnson & Cutler AR,CM,F,M,MF,MW,RC,SW Leptocarpus brownii Hook. f. G,M,SW BN,F,M,MW,SW L. tenax (Labill.) R.Br. Lepyrodia muelleri Benth. F,LV,M,MW m L. tasmanica Hook. f. m Restio complanatus R.Br. R. tetraphyllus Labill. FC,LV,RC,SW RUPPIACEAE Ruppia spp. AR, F, LB, TH

TYPHACEAE + Typha latifolia L. XYRIDACEAE Xyris operculata Labill. F,M,MW,SW ZANNICHELLIACEAE Lepilaena bilocularis T. Kirk. L. cylindrocarpa (Kornicke) Benth. F,LB L. preissii (Lehm.) F. Muell. PTERI DOPHYTA AZOLLACEAE Azolla filiculoides Lam. LV,TH GLE ICHEN I ACEAE m Gleichenia microphylla R.Br. **ISOETACEAE** Isoetes gunnii HM,SW SCHIZAEACEAE m Schizaea bifida Willd. SELAGINELLACEAE Selaginella gracillima (Kunze) Alston L,MW S. uliginosa (Labill.) Spring F,L,LB,LV,M,MW Also recorded were the algal genera, Chara, Lamprothamnium, Nitella and Nostoc, and the presence of mosses and/or liverworts. LV = Lavinia Nature Reserve AR = Asbestos Range National Park BL = Ben Lomond National Park М = Maria Island National Park MCW = Mt Cameron West Aboriginal Site RN = Bruny Neck Game Reserve CM = Cradle Mt - Lake St Clair National Park MF = Mt Field National Park MW = Mt William National Park = Freycinet National Park F FC = Frenchmans Cap National Park = Pieman River State Reserve P = Rocky Cape National Park = South West National Park = Green Point Nature Reserve RC G = Gordon River State Reserve GR SW HG = Hellyer Gorge State Reserve TH = Three Hummock Island Nature Reserve HM = Hartz Mountains National Park WJ = Walls of Jerusalem National Park = Labillardiere State Reserve WP = West Point Aboriginal Site L WR = Wild Rivers National Park LB = Lime Bay Nature Reserve LH = Lyell Highway State Reserve Ζ = Brown Mt - Remarkable Cave State

Reserve

Reserves shown only for species not marked + or m.