



MATHEMATICAL MODELLING OF UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES WITH FOUR ROTORS

Zoran Benić^{1,*}, Petar Piljek² and Denis Kotarski³

¹Končar D&ST
Zagreb, Croatia

²Faculty of Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture – University of Zagreb
Zagreb, Croatia

³Karlovac University of Applied Sciences
Karlovac, Croatia

DOI: 10.7906/indecs.14.1.9
Regular article

Received: 17 December 2015.
Accepted: 20 January 2016.

ABSTRACT

Mathematical model of an unmanned aerial vehicle with four propulsors (quadcopter) is indispensable in quadcopter movement simulation and later modelling of the control algorithm. Mathematical model is, at the same time, the first step in comprehending the mathematical principles and physical laws which are applied to the quadcopter system. The objective is to define the mathematical model which will describe the quadcopter behavior with satisfactory accuracy and which can be, with certain modifications, applicable for the similar configurations of multicopter aerial vehicles. At the beginning of mathematical model derivation, coordinate systems are defined and explained. By using those coordinate systems, relations between parameters defined in the earth coordinate system and in the body coordinate system are defined. Further, the quadcopter kinematic is described which enables setting those relations. Also, quadcopter dynamics is used to introduce forces and torques to the model through usage of Newton-Euler method. Final derived equation is Newton's second law in the matrix notation. For the sake of model simplification, hybrid coordinate system is defined, and quadcopter dynamic equations derived with the respect to it. Those equations are implemented in the simulation. Results of behavior of quadcopter mathematical model are graphically shown for four cases. For each of the cases the propellers revolutions per minute (RPM) are set in a way that results in the occurrence of the controllable variables which causes one of four basic quadcopter movements in space.

KEY WORDS

quadcopter, earth frame, body frame, rotation matrix, Newton-Euler method, control variable

CLASSIFICATION

JEL: Z19

PACS: 07.05.Tp, 87.19.Iu

*Corresponding author, *η*: zoran.benic@triviumsci.com; +385 91 5985588;
Naselje Marka Marulića 10c, 47 000 Karlovac, Croatia

INTRODUCTION

Quadcopter (or quadrotor) is an unmanned aerial vehicle with four propulsors that are enabling vertical takeoff and landing. It has six degrees of freedom – 6 DOF and four controllable variables which makes it an underactuated and dynamically unstable system. Number of controllable variables is equal to the number of propulsors which affect position and attitude of quadcopter in space. Quadcopter cannot move translationally without the finite rotation around one of the axes, i.e. without the inclination of quadcopter. To achieve the inclination it is necessary to change the torque with respect to the one of the axes. In order to do so, it is necessary to increase or decrease the thrust on one or two propulsors. If the change of thrust happens on just one propulsors, that could cause instability in torque around the Z_B axis of rotation. To achieve stable flight, it is necessary to combine several high accuracy sensors with fast and robust control algorithm.

Only moving parts on quadcopter are propellers on propulsors and which are fixed in a propulsors axis. Quadcopter can have cross (+) configuration (X_B and Y_B axes are oriented in the directions of propulsors), or it can have X configuration (X_B and Y_B axes are oriented in the directions between the propulsors). For further mathematical modelling, + configuration is assumed. Quadcopter frame is a symmetric, light and thin construction that mechanically connects propulsors. Propulsor motor and propeller are directly connected, with all the propulsors axes being fixed and parallel. Propeller rotation causes airflow in the negative direction of the Z_B axis which results in thrust in the positive direction of the Z_B axis. Quadcopter frame is assumed to be rigid. The only thing that has direct influence on the quadcopter movement are each motor's RPM.




Figure 1. Quadcopter structure.

MATHEMATICAL MODELLING OF QUADCOPTER

Mathematical model describes quadcopter movement and behavior with the respect to the input values of the model and external influences on quadcopter. Mathematical model can be observed as a function that is mapping inputs on outputs. By using mathematical model, it is possible to predict position and attitude of quadcopter by knowing the four angular velocities of propellers, i.e. it enables computer simulation of quadcopter behavior in different conditions. Computer simulation is relatively simple, cheap and harmless method for control algorithm verification. More detailed mathematical model describes quadcopter behavior more accurately, but it also requires more computer resources which leads to longer simulation time or even to inability to successfully complete the simulation. Depending on

the requirements, it is necessary to find compromise between model complexity and accuracy. For the purpose of mathematical model, quadcopter movement is directly dependent on angle velocities of the propellers. Quadcopter frame with propulsors are the only elements that will come into the consideration in this mathematical model. Quadcopter structure along with the body frame and corresponding angular velocities are shown in Figure 1.

QUADCOPTER COORDINATE SYSTEMS

To get the mathematical model, it is necessary to define two coordinate systems:

- Earth fixed frame (E-frame, \mathcal{F}^E)
- Body fixed frame (B-frame, \mathcal{F}^B)

Some quadcopter physical properties are measured in \mathcal{F}^E (roll, pitch and yaw angles, angular velocities), while some properties are measured in \mathcal{F}^B (linear accelerations).

\mathcal{F}^E is the inertial right-handed coordinate system where positive direction of Z_E axis is in the direction from the earth. Quadcopter position ξ and attitude η are defined in \mathcal{F}^E .

\mathcal{F}^B is fixed on quadcopter body. Positive direction of the X_B axis goes through the propulsor 1 which is located on the front side of quadcopter. Positive direction of the Y_B axis goes through the propulsor 4 which is located on the left side of quadcopter. The Z_B axis is perpendicular to X_B and Y_B axes and its positive direction is in the direction of propulsors thrust forces. Assumption is that the origin of \mathcal{F}^B coincides with the center of gravity of the quadcopter. Linear velocities \mathbf{v}^B , angular velocities $\boldsymbol{\omega}^B$, forces \mathbf{f}^B and torques $\boldsymbol{\tau}^B$ are defined in \mathcal{F}^B .




Figure 2. Earth and body frames.

Quadcopter position is defined with vector ξ between origins of \mathcal{F}^E and \mathcal{F}^B (Fig. 2)

$$\xi = [X \ Y \ Z]^T. \quad (1)$$

Quadcopter attitude η is defined with the orientation of \mathcal{F}^B with the respect to the \mathcal{F}^E . The orientation is defined with three consecutive rotations around the \mathcal{F}^E coordinate axes. Roll-pitch-yaw order is applied

$$\eta = [\phi \ \theta \ \psi]^T. \quad (2)$$

Motion equations are more suitable to formulate with the respect to the \mathcal{F}^B for several reasons: system inertia matrix is time-invariant, equations simplification because of quadcopter frame symmetry, sensors measurements are easily converted to \mathcal{F}^B and control variables equations simplification.

QUADCOPTER KINEMATICS

Kinematics of a rigid body with 6 DOF is given with

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = \mathbf{J} \mathbf{v}, \quad (3)$$

where $\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$ is generalized velocity vector in \mathcal{F}^B , \mathbf{v} is generalized velocity vector in \mathcal{F}^B , and \mathbf{J} is generalized rotation and transformation matrix. $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ consists of quadcopter position $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ and attitude $\boldsymbol{\eta}$

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = [\boldsymbol{\xi} \quad \boldsymbol{\eta}]^T = [X \quad Y \quad Z \quad \phi \quad \theta \quad \psi]^T. \quad (4)$$

Generalized velocity vector in \mathcal{F}^B is defined the same way

$$\mathbf{v} = [\mathbf{v}^B \quad \boldsymbol{\omega}^B]^T = [u \quad v \quad w \quad p \quad q \quad r]^T. \quad (5)$$

Generalized rotation and transformation matrix transfers velocities from \mathcal{F}^B to \mathcal{F}^E which is a more natural way of quadcopter motion observation. It consists of four submatrices

$$\mathbf{J} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 3} \\ \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 3} & \mathbf{T} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

\mathbf{R} is the rotation matrix

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\psi \cos\theta & \cos\psi \sin\theta \sin\phi - \sin\psi \cos\phi & \cos\psi \sin\theta \cos\phi + \sin\psi \sin\phi \\ \sin\psi \cos\theta & \sin\psi \sin\theta \sin\phi + \cos\psi \cos\phi & \sin\psi \sin\theta \cos\phi - \cos\psi \sin\phi \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \sin\phi & \cos\theta \cos\phi \end{bmatrix}. \quad (7)$$

Because of the need to transform measured values from one coordinate system to another, the rotation matrix is introduced, which by matrix multiplication, transfers linear velocity vector from one coordinate system to another. Matrix \mathbf{R} is the orthogonal matrix.

Angles and angular velocities are measured in \mathcal{F}^E . Matrix \mathbf{T} is the transformation matrix that transfers angular velocities from \mathcal{F}^B to \mathcal{F}^E

$$\mathbf{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \sin\phi \tan\theta & \cos\phi \tan\theta \\ 0 & \cos\phi & -\sin\phi \\ 0 & \sin\phi/\cos\theta & \cos\phi/\cos\theta \end{bmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

To transfer angular velocities from \mathcal{F}^E to \mathcal{F}^B , angular velocity vector in \mathcal{F}^E has to be matrix multiplied by inverse of the transformation matrix.

QUADCOPTER DYNAMICS: NEWTON-EULER METHOD

Quadcopter dynamics is described by differential equations that were derived by using the Newton-Euler method. Dynamics of a rigid 6 DOF body takes into consideration the mass m and the inertia of the body I . By applying the assumption that the quadcopter frame has symmetrical structure where four propulsors carriers are in line with X_B and Y_B axes, i.e. the principal inertia axes coincides with the \mathcal{F}^B coordinate axes, inertia matrix becomes the diagonal matrix where $I_{xx} = I_{yy}$

$$\mathbf{I} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{xx} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{yy} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{zz} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

Quadcopter dynamics is described by

$$\begin{bmatrix} m\mathbf{I}_{3 \times 3} & \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 3} \\ \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 3} & \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\mathbf{v}}^B \\ \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^B \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\omega}^B \times (m \mathbf{v}^B) \\ \boldsymbol{\omega}^B \times (\mathbf{I} \boldsymbol{\omega}^B) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{f}^B \\ \boldsymbol{\tau}^B \end{bmatrix}, \quad (10)$$

where $\mathbf{I}_{3 \times 3}$ is the identity matrix of size 3×3 (different from \mathbf{I}), $\dot{\mathbf{v}}^B$ is the linear acceleration vector, $\dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^B$ is the angular acceleration vector, \mathbf{f}^B is the force vector acting on quadcopter, $\boldsymbol{\tau}^B$ is the torque vector acting on quadcopter, all with the respect to the \mathcal{F}^B .

Generalized force vector $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda} = [\mathbf{f}^B \quad \boldsymbol{\tau}^B]^T = [F_x \quad F_y \quad F_z \quad \tau_x \quad \tau_y \quad \tau_z]^T. \quad (11)$$

Now, equation (10) can be written in the form of

$$\mathbf{M}_B \dot{\mathbf{v}} + \mathbf{C}_B(\mathbf{v}) \mathbf{v} = \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \quad (12)$$

where $\dot{\mathbf{v}}$ is the generalized acceleration vector, \mathbf{M}_B is the system inertia matrix, $\mathbf{C}_B(\mathbf{v})$ is the Coriolis-centripetal matrix, all with the respect to the \mathcal{F}^B .

System inertia matrix is diagonal matrix comprised from quadrotor mass and moments of inertia with the respect to the coordinate axes of \mathcal{F}^B . Because the quadcopter dynamics is described in \mathcal{F}^B , it is important to introduce the Coriolis-centripetal matrix to the model which will upgrade the model with centripetal force that is acting on the rotational body and also with the Coriolis effect which affects moving body in rotational frame. Equation (12) generally applies to all rigid bodies to which the made assumptions can be applied (symmetry and same origin for \mathcal{F}^B and COG).

Force vector $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ can be divided into three components: gravitational vector, gyroscopic torque vector and movement vector.

Gravitational vector

The first component of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is gravitational vector which introduces the gravitational force to the model. It only affects the linear components of the model, and not the angular. In \mathcal{F}^E , the gravitational force always has the direction in the negative direction of Z_E , if the \mathcal{F}^E coordinate axes are set in a way previously described. Considering that dynamics is described in \mathcal{F}^B , it is necessary to transfer gravitational vector from \mathcal{F}^E to \mathcal{F}^B by matrix multiplication with \mathbf{R} . Due to quadcopter movement, Z_B is not always parallel with Z_E axis. In that case, only a certain component of gravity vector will act in the direction of Z_B , depending of the roll and pitch angles (ϕ and θ)

$$\mathbf{g}_B(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{f}_G^B \\ \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{f}_G^E \\ \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} mg \sin\theta \\ -mg \cos\theta \sin\phi \\ -mg \cos\theta \cos\phi \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (13)$$

where \mathbf{f}_G^B is the gravitational vector with respect to \mathcal{F}^B , and \mathbf{f}_G^E is the gravitational vector with respect to \mathcal{F}^E .

Gyroscopic torque vector

Gyroscopic torque vector introduces the gyroscopic effect to the model. It manifests itself in a form of a rotation around unwanted axis, which is perpendicular to the axis of the propulsor and the axis around which the wanted rotation is being achieved

$$\mathbf{o}_B(\mathbf{v}) \boldsymbol{\omega} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 1} \\ -\sum_{k=1}^4 J_{TP} \left(\boldsymbol{\omega}^B \times \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) (-1)^k \omega_k \end{bmatrix}. \quad (14)$$

Movement vector

Movement vector introduces thrust force of propulsors U_1 and torques around coordinate axes \mathcal{F}^B : U_2 , U_3 and U_4 . Number of controllable variables is equal to the number of propulsors

$$\mathbf{u}_B(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = [0 \ 0 \ U_1 \ U_2 \ U_3 \ U_4]^T = [0 \ 0 \ F_Z \ \tau_\phi \ \tau_\theta \ \tau_\psi]^T. \quad (15)$$

Four basic movements enable the quadcopter to reach defined altitude and attitude. This is the only vector that can be directly influenced, which together with previously named vectors influence to quadcopter accelerations.

Movement vector is influenced through propellers angular velocity ω_i , which is, naturally, influenced by propulsors RPM.




Figure 3. Linear and angular accelerations with the respect to the \mathcal{F}^B .

Controllable variable U_1 , i.e. propulsors thrust force F_Z , is directed in the positive direction of Z_B axis. By increasing or decreasing propellers angular velocities by the same amount, thrust force F_Z is also increasing or decreasing, enabling the quadcopter movement along the Z_B axis or hovering. If the quadcopter is on horizontal position (roll and pitch angles are equal to 0), Z axes of \mathcal{F}^B and \mathcal{F}^E coincide. If the quadcopter is not in horizontal position, the thrust force creates vertical and horizontal linear accelerations in \mathcal{F}^E . Controllable variable U_1 equation is

$$U_1 = F_Z = \sum_{i=1}^4 f_i = b \sum_{i=1}^4 \omega_i^2 = b (\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 + \omega_3^2 + \omega_4^2), \quad (16)$$

where ω_i is angular speed of the propeller i , and b is the thrust coefficient (measurement unit Ns^2) which can be calculated according the equation

$$b = C_T \rho A r^2, \quad (17)$$

where C_T is thrust factor, ρ is air density, A is the area of propeller disk and r is the propeller radius.

Controllable variable U_2 is the roll torque τ_ϕ around X_B axis. By increasing the 4th propeller angular velocity and decreasing 2nd propeller angular velocity, positive quadcopter rotation with respect to the X_B axis is achieved, which causes the linear quadcopter movement in the negative direction of the Y_B axis. Differences in the angular velocities are determined in a way which does not change vertical thrust, i.e. during the quadcopter rotation around X_B axis, there cannot be any unwanted changes of the quadcopter altitude. Controllable variable U_2 equation is

$$U_2 = \tau_\phi = b l (\omega_4^2 - \omega_2^2), \quad (18)$$

where l is the distance between the quadcopters Center Of Gravity (COG) and propulsors axis.

Controllable variable U_3 is the pitch torque τ_θ around Y_B axis. By increasing the 3rd propeller angular velocity and decreasing 1st propeller angular velocity, positive quadcopter rotation with the respect to the Y_B axis is achieved, which causes the linear quadcopter movement in the positive direction of the X_B axis. Similar to the U_2 controllable variable, the differences in the angular velocities are determined in a way which does not change vertical thrust, i.e. during the quadcopter rotation around Y_B axis, there cannot be any unwanted changes of the quadcopter altitude. Controllable variable U_3 equation is

$$U_3 = \tau_\theta = b l (\omega_3^2 - \omega_1^2). \quad (19)$$

Controllable variable U_4 is the yaw torque τ_ψ around Z_B axis. By increasing the angular velocity of a pair of propellers (on 2nd and 4th propulsor) and by decreasing the angular velocity of a pair of propellers (on 1st and 3rd propulsor), positive rotation with respect to the Z_B axis is achieved thanks to the fact that one pair of propellers (on 2nd and 4th propulsor) is rotating clockwise, while other pair of propellers (on 1st and 3rd propulsor) is rotating counterclockwise. Created resulting moments causes unbalance in the sum of moments with respect to Z_B , which is the cause of rotation. Differences in the angular velocities are determined in a way which does not change vertical thrust. Controllable variable U_4 equation is

$$U_4 = \tau_\psi = d (-\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 - \omega_3^2 + \omega_4^2), \quad (20)$$

where d is the drag coefficient which can be calculated according the equation

$$d = C_p \rho A r^3, \quad (21)$$

where C_p is the power factor.

Considering aerodynamic effects, it follows that forces and moments are proportional to the squared angular velocities of the propellers. Movement vector $\mathbf{u}_B(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ is represented by the product of movement matrix \mathbf{E}_B and the vector of the squared angular velocities of the propellers $\boldsymbol{\omega}^2$

$$\mathbf{u}_B(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \mathbf{E}_B \boldsymbol{\omega}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b & b & b & b \\ 0 & -bl & 0 & bl \\ -bl & 0 & bl & 0 \\ -d & d & -d & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \omega_1^2 \\ \omega_2^2 \\ \omega_3^2 \\ \omega_4^2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (22)$$

Generalized force vector $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is represented by previously described components, from which it follows

$$\mathbf{M}_B \dot{\mathbf{v}} + \mathbf{C}_B(\mathbf{v}) \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{g}_B(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) + \mathbf{o}_B(\mathbf{v}) \boldsymbol{\omega} + \mathbf{E}_B \boldsymbol{\omega}^2, \quad (23)$$

what, basically, is Newton's second law of motion. To calculate the accelerations with respect to \mathcal{F}^B equation (23) has to be rearranged

$$\dot{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbf{M}_B^{-1} [-\mathbf{C}_B(\mathbf{v}) \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{g}_B(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) + \mathbf{o}_B(\mathbf{v}) \boldsymbol{\omega} + \mathbf{E}_B \boldsymbol{\omega}^2]. \quad (24)$$

The system inertia matrix inverse is multiplied by the sum of all the forces and torques, relevant to the mathematical model, acting on the quadcopter.

NEWTON-EULER EQUATIONS IN HYBRID COORDINATE SYSTEM (\mathcal{F}^H)

Quadcopter dynamics equation (24) is written with respect to \mathcal{F}^B . It can be useful to rewrite the dynamics equations with respect to \mathcal{F}^H which is comprised from the linear accelerations equations with respect to \mathcal{F}^E and angular accelerations equations with respect to \mathcal{F}^B . In such coordinate system, it is relatively easy to show the dynamics of the linear accelerations in the combination with regulation. It is especially suitable for showing the vertical position in \mathcal{F}^E .

Generalized velocity vector with respect to \mathcal{F}^H

$$\boldsymbol{\zeta} = [\dot{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \quad \boldsymbol{\omega}^B]^T = [\dot{X} \quad \dot{Y} \quad \dot{Z} \quad p \quad q \quad r]^T. \quad (25)$$

Quadrotor dynamics with respect to \mathcal{F}^H in matrix form

$$\mathbf{M}_H \dot{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} + \mathbf{C}_B(\boldsymbol{\zeta}) \boldsymbol{\zeta} = \mathbf{g}_H(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) + \mathbf{o}_H(\boldsymbol{\zeta}) \boldsymbol{\omega} + \mathbf{E}_H \boldsymbol{\omega}^2, \quad (26)$$

where $\dot{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}$ is the generalized acceleration vector with respect to \mathcal{F}^H . System inertia matrix is defined in a similar way to the one defined with respect to \mathcal{F}^B . Coriolis-centripetal matrix defined with respect to \mathcal{F}^H excludes members that affects linear accelerations (because they

are defined with respect to \mathcal{F}^E , and not in the rotational \mathcal{F}^B). Gravitational vector is defined with respect to \mathcal{F}^E , so the gravitational force is influencing only the component in the direction of the Z_E axis. Gyroscopic effects that occurs as the result of propellers rotation are the same as in the mathematical model with respect to \mathcal{F}^B . Movement vector defined with respect to \mathcal{F}^H is different than the one defined in \mathcal{F}^B . Controllable variable U_1 influences all three linear accelerations equation through the rotation matrix \mathbf{R} .

By modifying the equation (26), generalized acceleration vector with respect to \mathcal{F}^H can be calculated

$$\ddot{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} = \mathbf{M}_H^{-1}[-\mathbf{C}_H(\boldsymbol{\zeta}) \boldsymbol{\zeta} + \mathbf{G}_H + \mathbf{O}_H(\boldsymbol{\zeta}) \boldsymbol{\Omega} + \mathbf{E}_H \boldsymbol{\Omega}^2]. \quad (27)$$

There are many effects that were not included in the derivation of this mathematical model because of the rise of the model complexity and because they have little significance to the model accuracy.

SIMULATION RESULTS

The behavior of the outputs of the mathematical model is dependent on the input values. Even though the mathematical model deals with angular velocities as one of the variables, the input values are chosen to be the RPM of the propulsors propellers ($n = 30 \omega/\pi$) because that is the more natural way of setting the propeller rotation. The output values are chosen to be the position coordinates and quadrotor attitude.




Figure 4. Quadcopter mathematical model inputs and outputs.

Based on the given and calculated values which are needed for calculating the output values, input values can be given for which the quadcopter will behave in the expected way.

Table 1. Mathematical model input parameters.

| Case | RPM [rev/min] | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|
| | n_1 | n_2 | n_3 | n_4 |
| 1 st | 3 760 | 3 760 | 3 760 | 3 760 |
| 2 nd | 3 760 | 3 759 | 3 760 | 3 761 |
| 3 rd | 3 759 | 3 760 | 3 761 | 3 760 |
| 4 th | 3 759 | 3 761 | 3 759 | 3 761 |

In all four cases the state of constant altitude is wanted to be achieved. In order to achieve that state, controllable variable U_1 has to be the same value as the gravitational force. In cases 2, 3 and 4 some change in quadcopter altitude occurs because of the change of thrust force component value in the direction of the Z_E axis due to the change in either roll or pitch angle. Also, the model does not have implemented control algorithm which would compensate for the quadcopter attitude changes. In the first case, only U_1 is different from 0. In the rest of the cases, U_1 stays approximately constant, while other variables change their values.

In the first case the hovering mode is simulated (Fig. 5), for which all four propellers had the same RPM. It can be seen that U_1 is equal to the gravitational force. The rest of the controllable variables are equal to 0.

Also, it can be seen that the quadcopter position (Fig. 6 is showing Z_E coordinate) and attitude is without change, i.e. the quadcopter is in the hovering mode.

Controllable variable U_2 value is very small, but still it causes the change in the quadcopter attitude. U_3 and U_4 are equal to 0.

Next, the second case checks quadcopter behavior due to the change of U_2 value (Fig. 7).

Due to the U_2 , the change in roll angle ϕ value occurs, as well as the change in Y_E coordinate. Change of the Z_E coordinate is previously explained.

In the third case, behavior due to the change of U_3 value (Fig. 10) is tested.

Just like U_2 , controllable variable U_3 value is also very small, but still it causes the change in the quadcopter attitude. In this case, U_2 and U_4 are equal to 0.

Due to the U_3 , the change in pitch angle θ value occurs, as well as the change in X_E coordinate.

In the last case, the behavior due to the change of U_4 value (Fig. 10) is checked.




Figure 5. Controllable variable U_1 . Solid, medium dashed, short dashed and dash-dotted curves represent case 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively.




Figure 6. Quadcopter Z_E coordinate. Solid, medium dashed, short dashed and dash-dotted curves represent case 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively.




Figure 7. Controllable variable U_2 . Solid, medium dashed, short dashed and dash-dotted curves represent case 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively.




Figure 8. Quadcopter ϕ angle. Solid, medium dashed, short dashed and dash-dotted curves represent case 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively. Dotted curve represents polynomial approximation ($y = 1,2373 x^2 + 4 e^{-0,5x} - 1,0 e^{-0,5}$) for case 2.




Figure 9. Quadcopter Y_E coordinate. Solid, medium dashed, short dashed and dash-dotted curves represent case 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively. Dotted curve represents polynomial approximation ($y = -0,1706x^3 + 0,5234 x^2 - 0,5295 x + 0,0928$) for case 2.




Figure 10. Controllable variable U_3 . Solid, medium dashed, short dashed and dash-dotted curves represent case 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively.




Figure 11. Quadcopter θ angle. Solid, medium dashed, short dashed and dash-dotted curves represent case 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively. Dotted curve represents polynomial approximation ($y = 1,2097x^2 - 0,0859x + 0,0127$) for case 3.




Figure 12. Quadcopter X_E coordinate. Solid, medium dashed, short dashed and dash-dotted curves represent case 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively. Dotted curve represents polynomial approximation ($y = 0,1687x^3 - 0,5381x^2 + 0,5628x - 0,1059$) for case 3.




Figure 13. Controllable variable U_4 . Solid, medium dashed, short dashed and dash-dotted curves represent case 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively.




Figure 14. Quadcopter ψ angle. Solid, medium dashed, short dashed and dash-dotted curves represent case 1, 2, 3 and 4. Dotted curve represents polynomial approximation ($y = 0,2211x^2 + 3e^{-0,5x} - 6e^{-0,6}$) for case 4.

Again, controllable variable U_4 value, in this case, is very small, but still it causes the change in the quadcopter attitude. In this case, U_2 and U_3 are equal to 0.

Due to the U_4 , the change in yaw angle ψ value occurs, i.e. the quadcopter is rotating with respect to Z_B .

CONCLUSIONS

In this article, the complexity of the quadcopter mathematical model derivation is shown. The influences of various parameters on quadcopter behavior are modelled from the aspects of physics and mathematics. This mathematical model does not include influential parameters that would complicate model without the significant improvement of the model accuracy.

Quadcopter behavior for different RPMs is shown by simulation. Simulation results show the roll, pitch and yaw angles as a function of time. As it can be seen, the function is of the 2nd order, which is expected considering that controllable variables are a function of squared angular velocities. Also, position in \mathcal{F}^B is shown as a function of time.

Further work will include mathematical model improvements by modelling the brushless DC electric motor (BLDC) and the propeller, including the effects of air as a medium, modelling the ground effect and possible disturbances.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bresciano, T.: *Modelling, Identification and Control of a Quadrotor Helicopter*. M.Sc. Thesis. Department of Automatic Control, Lund University, 2008,
- [2] Harrison, H.R. and Nettleton, T.: *Advanced Engineering Dynamics*. Arnold, London, 1997,
- [3] Ardem, M.D.: *Newton-Euler Dynamics*. Springer, New York, 2005,
- [4] Luukkonen, T.: *Modelling and Control of a Quadcopter*. Mat-2.4108, School of Science, Espoo, 2011,
- [5] Magnussen, Ø. and Skjønhaug, K.E.: *Modelling, Design and Experimental Study for a Quadcopter System Construction*. Department of Engineering Sciences – University of Agder, Kristiansand & Grimstad, 2011,
- [6] Beard, R.W.: *Quadrotor Dynamics and Control*. Brigham Young University, Provo, 2008,
- [7] Raza, S.A.: *Intelligent Flight Control of an Autonomous Quadrotor*. In: Casolo, F, ed.: *Motion Control*. University of Ottawa, Ottawa, p.580, 2010, <http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/6968>,
- [8] Kasać, J.; Stevanović, S.; Žilić, T. and Stepanić, J.: *Robust Output Tracking Control of a Quadrotor in the Presence of External Disturbances*. Transactions of FAMENA **37**(4), 29-42, 2013,
- [9] Naidoo, Y.; Stopforth, R. and Bright, G.: *Quad-Rotor Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Helicopter Modelling & Control*. International Journal of Advanced Robotic Systems **8**(4), 139-149, 2011, <http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/45710>,
- [10] Ćosić, J.; Ćurković, P.; Kasać, J. and Stepanić, J.: *Interpreting Development of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles Using Systems Thinking*. Interdisciplinary Description of Complex Systems **11**(1), 143-152, 2013, <http://dx.doi.org/10.7906/indecs.11.1.12>.