

J. PAVLÍČEK et al., Vapour-Liquid Equilibria in the Polystyrene + Toluene System at..., Chem. Biochem. Eng. Q., 29 (1) 1-4 (2015)

# Vapour–Liquid Equilibria in the Polystyrene + Toluene System at Higher Concentrations of Solvent

J. Pavlíček, G. Bogdanić, and I. Wichterle\*

doi: 10.15255/CABEQ.2015.2183

Institute of Chemical Process Fundamentals, The Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic, Rozvojová 135, 165 02 Praha 6, Czech Republic

Original scientific paper Received: January 27, 2015 Accepted: March 5, 2015

Vapour–liquid equilibria (VLE) were determined in the polystyrene + toluene system under isothermal conditions at 363.15, 373.15, and 383.15 K using an improved all-glass microebulliometer with circulation of the liquid phase for the dynamic measurement of total pressure over liquid mixtures. The experimental data were correlated using the UNIQUAC-free volume model and the applicability of three known predictive models was tested. It was found that prediction of VLE using the GC-Flory equation of state, the UNIFAC-vdw-FV and the Entropic-FV model are of the same quality.

Key words:

vapour-liquid equilibrium, polymer-solvent system, ebulliometer, experimental data, correlation

### Introduction

This paper represents a continuation of the series concerning experimental determination of vapour-liquid equilibria (VLE) in diluted polymer + solvent systems. In the previous papers we dealt with the polymeric flow improvers + toluene, hexane, chloroform<sup>1,2</sup>, poly(acrylic acid) + water<sup>3</sup>, poly(methyl methacrylate) + acetone<sup>3</sup>, and poly(methyl methacrylate) + 2-butanone<sup>4</sup> systems. The aim of this study is to provide new – and always welcome - experimental data on vapour-liquid equilibria (VLE) in the polystyrene (PS) + toluene system, determined under isothermal conditions at 363.15, 373.15, and 383.15 K using ebulliometric techniques infrequently exploited for the investigation of polymer solutions. Moreover, the three prediction models were tested against the new experimental data.

#### **Experimental equipment and procedure**

Experimental techniques are summarised and discussed in<sup>5</sup>. In our earlier study<sup>1</sup>, we designed a micro-ebulliometer for the quick measurement of the total pressure of systems containing a solvent and a polymer or a non-volatile component, for which only a little amount of material is required. Detailed testing and a discussion about the error caused by a little hold-up of solvent in the vapour phase part of the equilibrium still was published in<sup>1</sup>.

For this study, we exploited the improved original ebulliometer, which with simplified construction makes operation not only easier but more reliable. This ebulliometer was described in details recently<sup>4</sup>. The improved ebulliometer makes it possible to measure VLE with only a small amount of polymer available; 10 mL of liquid phase is required. As discussed in<sup>2</sup>, the experimental uncertainty *u* in the composition and pressure are estimated as u(w) = 0.0001, and u(P) = 0.001 kPa, respectively.

### **Results and data processing**

The quality and the source of the individual compounds are summarized in Table 1. Table 2 presents the experimental vapour pressure data expressed in terms of toluene activity,  $a_1 = P_1/P_1^{\circ}$ , in dependence on weight fraction of solvent  $w_1$ , where  $P_1$  is partial pressure and  $P_1^{\circ}$  is vapour pressure of pure solvent. For illustration, the experimental data are plotted in Figure 1.

The obtained experimental data were correlated using the UNIQUAC-FV model<sup>6</sup>, which includes the three contributions: combinatorial, residual, and free-volume. The first two contributions are identical to the original UNIQUAC model described in the DECHEMA Data Collection<sup>5</sup>. The free–volume contribution, which enables better description of polymer–solvent system, is calculated by means of the Flory expression<sup>6</sup>. The complete procedure is described in<sup>4</sup>.

1

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: tel.: +420 220 390 289; fax: +420 220 920 661; e-mail address: wi@icpf.cas.cz

| Compound               | CAS No.   | Denotation | Source         | Specification  |
|------------------------|-----------|------------|----------------|--|
| Polystyrene            | 9003-53-6 | PS         | Acros Organics | $M_{\rm w} = 250000 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$<br>$M_{\rm w}/M_{\rm n} = 1.2$ |
| Toluene, puriss. p. a. | 108-88-3  |            | Fluka AG       | purity >99.5 %   |

Table 1 – Description of compounds

2

Table 2 – Total pressure P and toluene activity  $a_1$  versus weight fraction  $w_1$  in the toluene (1) + PS (2) system

| w <sub>1</sub>                             | P (kPa)                     | <i>a</i> <sub>1</sub> |  |  |  |  |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| $T = 363.15$ K; $P_1^{\circ} = 54.379$ kPa |                             |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 1.0000                                     | 54.379                      | 1.0000                |  |  |  |  |
| 0.9910                                     | 54.374                      | 0.9999                |  |  |  |  |
| 0.9742                                     | 54.358                      | 0.9996                |  |  |  |  |
| 0.9620                                     | 54.344                      | 0.9993                |  |  |  |  |
| 0.9465                                     | 54.324                      | 0.9990                |  |  |  |  |
| 0.9371                                     | 54.311                      | 0.9988                |  |  |  |  |
| 0.9252                                     | 54.295                      | 0.9984                |  |  |  |  |
| $T = 373.15$ K; $P_1^{o} = 74.313$ kPa     |                             |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 1.0000                                     | 74.313                      | 1.0000                |  |  |  |  |
| 0.9910                                     | 74.301                      | 0.9998                |  |  |  |  |
| 0.9742                                     | 74.274                      | 0.9995                |  |  |  |  |
| 0.9620                                     | 74.254                      | 0.9992                |  |  |  |  |
| 0.9465                                     | 74.223                      | 0.9988                |  |  |  |  |
| 0.9371                                     | 74.206                      | 0.9986                |  |  |  |  |
| 0.9252                                     | 74.183                      | 0.9982                |  |  |  |  |
| T =  | 383.15 K; $P_1^{o} = 99.74$ | 8 kPa                 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.0000                                     | 99.748                      | 1.0000                |  |  |  |  |
| 0.9910                                     | 99.726                      | 0.9998                |  |  |  |  |
| 0.9742                                     | 99.681                      | 0.9993                |  |  |  |  |
| 0.9620                                     | 99.652                      | 0.9990                |  |  |  |  |
| 0.9465                                     | 99.609                      | 0.9986                |  |  |  |  |
| 0.9371                                     | 99.584                      | 0.9984                |  |  |  |  |
| 0.9252                                     | 99.552                      | 0.9980                |  |  |  |  |

Standard uncertainties *u* are u(w) = 0.0001, u(P) = 0.001 kPa



Fig. 1 – Activity  $a_1$  of toluene in PS as a function of toluene weight fraction  $w_p$ . Experimental data at (•) 363.15 K, (•) 373.15 K and (•) 383.15 K. Solid line represents predicted activities at 373.15 K using the UNIQUAC-FV parameters estimated using all data within temperature range 363.15–383.15 K.

The calculation method requires as input data the densities of the solvent and of the polymer at the temperature of polymer solution, molecular weight of the repeating unit of component *i*, van der Waals volume parameters and the surface area parameters of the repeating unit of component *i*. The densities are estimated using the DIPPR data bank<sup>7</sup> for the solvent and the Tait equation parameters obtained by Rodgers<sup>8</sup> for polymer. The van der Waals volumes and the surface area parameters of the repeating units are calculated using the group contributions values by Bondi<sup>9</sup>, but normalized using the volume and external area of the CH<sub>2</sub> unit in the polyethylene<sup>5</sup>.

Table 3  $-A_{12}$  and  $A_{21}$  interaction parameters in the UNIQUAC-FV model for the toluene (1) + PS (2) system

| Type of calculation | <i>T</i> (K)    | No. of data points | A <sub>12</sub> (K) | A <sub>21</sub> (K) | $\Delta a$ |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------|
| Estimation          | 363.15 - 383.15 | 21                 | 84.79               | -64.34              | 0.0002     |
| Prediction          | 363.15          | 7                  |                     |                     | 0.0002     |
| Prediction          | 373.15          | 7                  |                     |                     | 0.0002     |
| Prediction          | 383.15          | 7                  |                     |                     | 0.0003     |

 $\Delta a$  = average absolute deviation in calculated activity

The UNIQUAC-FV energy parameters  $A_{12}$  and  $A_{21}$  have been estimated by minimizing differences between experimental and calculated activities. In Table 3 are presented the estimated values within the temperature range 363.15–383.15 K together with average absolute deviation in calculated activity. Additionally, these parameters were used to predict activities at the three particular isotherms and corresponding average absolute deviations in activities are summarised in Table 3, too. It can be seen that activities are well predicted; therefore, we can conclude that parameters are practically temperature independent. Figure 1 additionally illustrates successful prediction of data at 373.15 K with the UNIQUAC-FV model.

Note that the simple sorption method, often referred to as total pressure measurement, usually provides data only from the concentration range with a lower solvent content. Such type of data for the PS + toluene system are available in literature<sup>4,10-12</sup> but the dynamic total pressure method for boiling point measurement used in this work can provide data at high solvent concentrations. For further analyses of the obtained data, our data  $(M_w = 250000 \text{ g mol}^{-1})$  were compared with the experimental data<sup>10</sup>  $(M_w = 275000 \text{ g mol}^{-1})$  determined at 383.15 K as illustrated in Figure 2. It is obvious that data are well complementing each other.

We opted also for the prediction of phase behaviour using group-contribution predictive models developed earlier: the GC-Flory EoS model<sup>13</sup> the UNIFAC-vdw-FV model<sup>14</sup>, and the Entropic-FV model<sup>15</sup>. These models were used to predict the ac-



tivities of toluene in mixtures with PS, because input values for all necessary group parameters for the models have already been published. Models are described in detail in<sup>13–15</sup>, where necessary equations, calculation procedure, and parameters are presented.

The reliability of all mentioned models is illustrated in Figure 2 for 383.15 K. It can be seen that the predictions of activity versus concentration using the GC-Flory EoS, the UNIFAC-vdw-FV, and the Entropic-FV models are almost of the same quality. It is obvious that the models are mutually comparable and in good agreement. It should be pointed out that prediction procedures were used not for validation of the experimental data but rather to give an idea of the trend of activity as a function of concentration.

### Conclusions

Total pressures over the PS + toluene system were determined ebulliometrically at three isotherms in the region of diluted concentrations of polymer and expressed in terms of solvent activity. These data complete existing literature data and were successfully correlated using the UNI-QUAC-FV model. Three known predictive models were tested against the obtained experimental data to see how applicable they may be for practical use. It was found that all three models can be used for prediction of VLE in the studied system.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge the partial support of the Czech Science Foundation (Grant No. 15-19542S).

#### List of symbols

- $a_i$  activity of component *i*
- $A_{ii}$  UNIQUAC parameter for interaction i j, K
- i, j component
- $M_{\rm n}$  number average molecular weight, g mol<sup>-1</sup>
- $M_{\rm w}$  weight average molecular weight, g mol<sup>-1</sup>
- P total pressure, kPa
- $P_i$  pressure of component *i*, kPa
- $P^{o}$  vapour pressure of pure solvent, kPa
- T temperature, K
- *u* uncertainty of measurements
- $w_i$  weight fraction of component *i*

### References

- Pavlíček, J., Bogdanić, G., Wichterle, I., Circulation Micro-ebulliometer for Determination of Pressure above Mixtures Containing Solvent and Non-volatile Component, Fluid Phase Equilib. 297 (2010) 142. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fluid.2010.05.022.
- 2. Bogdanić, G., Wichterle, I., Vapor–Liquid Equilibrium in Diluted Polymer + Solvent Systems, J. Chem. Eng. Data **56** (2011) 1080.

doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/je101052a.

- Pavlíček, J., Bogdanić, G., Wichterle, I., Vapour–Liquid Equilibria in the Polymer + Solvent System Containing Lower Concentrations of Solute at Normal or Reduced Pressures, Fluid Phase Equilib. 358 (2013) 301. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fluid.2013.08.036.
- Pavlíček, J., Bogdanić, G., Wichterle, I., Vapour–Liquid Equilibria in the Poly(methyl methacrylate) + 2-Butanone System Containing Lower Concentrations of Solute at Normal or Reduced Pressures, Chem. Biochem. Eng. Q. 28 (2014) 447.

doi: http:/dx.doi.org/10.15255/CABEQ.2014.19388

- Hao, W., Elbro, H. S., Alessi, P., Polymer Solution Data Collection. 1: Vapor–Liquid Equilibrium, Chemistry Data Series XVI, Part 1, DECHEMA: Frankfurt/M., 1992.
- Jonquières, A., Perrin, L., Arnold, S., Lochon, P., Comparison of UNIQUAC with related models for modelling vapour sorption in polar materials, J. Membrane Sci. 150 (1998) 125.

doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0376-7388(98)00221-X.

 Daubert, T. E., Danner, R. P., Data Compilation Tables of Properties of Pure Compounds, Design Institute for Physical Property Data, American Institute of Chemical Engineers (DIPPR/AIChE), 1985. 8. *Rodgers, P. A.*, Pressure–volume–temperature relationships for polymeric liquids: A review of equations of state and their characteristic parameters for 56 polymers, J. Appl. Polym. Sci. **48** (1993) 1061.

doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/app.1993.070480613.

- 9. *Bondi, A.*, Physical Properties of Macromolecular Crystals, Liquid and Glasses, Wiley, New York, 1968.
- 10. Danner, R. P., High, M. S., Handbook of Polymer Solution Thermodynamics, DIPPR Project, AIChE, New York, 1993.
- 11. *Wohlfarth, C.*, Vapour–Liquid Equilibrium data of Binary Polymer Solutions, Physical Sciences Data, Vol. 44, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1994.
- Wohlfarth, C., Polymer Solutions, Landolt-Börnstein Group VIII Advanced Materials and Technologies, Vol. 6D1, Springer, Berlin, 2009. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978–3-540–88057–8 387.
- Bogdanić, G., Fredenslund, A., Revision of the Group-Contribution Flory Equation of State for Phase Equilibria Calculations in Mixtures with Polymers. 1. Prediction of Vapor-Liquid Equilibria for Polymer Solutions, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 33 (1994) 1331. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ie00029a032.
- Kannan, D. C., Duda, J. L., Danner, R. P., A free-volume term based on the van der Waals partition function for the UNIFAC model, Fluid Phase Equilib. 228–229 (2005) 321. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fluid.2004.08.012.
- 15. *Kontogeorgis, G. M., Fredenslund, A., Tassios, D. P.*, Simple activity coefficient model for the prediction of solvent activities in polymer solutions, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. **32** (1993) 362.

doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ie00014a013.

## Erratum

"Vapour–Liquid Equilibria in the Polystyrene + Toluene System at Higher Concentrations of Solvent" by J. Pavlíček, G. Bogdanić, and I. Wichterle, published in Chemical and Biochemical Engineering Quarterly, **29** (1), 1–4 (2015),

there are wrongly printed dimensions of interaction parameters  $A_{12}$  and  $A_{21}$ . Correct dimensions are (cal mol<sup>-1</sup>) instead of (K) in both the Tables 3 and Lists of symbols.

The authors and publisher apologize for these errors.