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Formulas for calculating connectivity-based indices (Randić-type index calculated on vertices, $\chi$, and on edges, $\varepsilon$, Zagreb index, $M_{2}$, and Bertz index, $B$ ) and distance-based indices (Wiener, $W$, hy-per-Wiener, $W W$, and Harary-type indices, $H_{\text {We }}$ and $H_{\text {Wp }}$ ) in regular homogeneous dendrimers are derived. Values of the above topological indices for families of dendrimers, with up to 10 orbits, are calculated. Mutual intercorrelation of these indices, in the considered dendrimers, is evaluated.
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## INTRODUCTION

Dendrimers are hyperbranched molecules, synthesized mainly by two procedures: (i) by »divergent growth ${ }^{1-3}$ when branched blocks are added around a central core, thus obtaining a new, larger orbit or generation, and (ii) by »convergent growth «, ${ }^{4-7}$ when large branched blocks, previously built up starting from the periphery, are attached to the core. These rigorously tailored structures show a spherical shape, which can be functionalized, ${ }^{8-11}$

[^0]thus modifying their physico-chemical or biological properties. Excellent reviews in the field are available. ${ }^{12-14}$

Topology of dendrimers is basically that of a tree (dendros in Greek means tree). Some particular definitions in dendrimers are needed :

Vertices in a dendrimer, except for the external endpoints, are considered as branching points. The number of edges that enlarge the number of points of a newly added generation is called the progressive degree, p. ${ }^{15-17}$ It equals the classical degree (i.e., the number of all edges emerging from a point), $k$, minus one: $p=k-1$.

A regular dendrimer has all branching points with the same degree, otherwise it is irregular.

A dendrimer is called homogeneous if all its radial chains (i.e., the chains starting from the core and ending in an external point) have the same length. ${ }^{12}$ In graph theory, they correspond to the Bethe lattices. ${ }^{18}$

A tree has either a monocenter or a dicenter ${ }^{19}$ (i.e., two points joined by an edge). Accordingly, a dendrimer is called monocentric or dicentric. Examples are given in Figure 1. The numbering of orbits (generations) ${ }^{12}$ starts with zero for the core and ends with $r$, which is the radius of the dendrimer



Figure 1. Monocentric and dicentric regular dendrimers.
(i.e., the number of edges along a radial chain, starting from the core and ending at an external node).

## CONNECTIVITY INDICES

The vertex (atom) connectivity index was introduced by Randić ${ }^{20}$ as a measurement of the molecular branching in alkanes. It was subsequently extended by Kier and Hall to account for heteroatoms and it was renamed as the molecular connectivity index. ${ }^{21}$ The original Randić index is calculated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=\chi(\mathrm{G})=\sum_{(i j) \in E(\mathrm{G})}\left(k_{i} k_{j}\right)^{-1 / 2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the summation is carried out over all pairs of adjacent vertices, in a molecular graph, G, which is always a connected graph.

In order to calculate the vertex connectivity index for regular dendrimers, we need to introduce some mathematical results that will be given below.

In a regular monocentric dendrimer graph, of degree $k$, the number of vertices in the $s^{\text {th }}$ orbit or generation, $n_{s}$, is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{s}=k(k-1)^{s-1} ; s>0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of a dicentric dendrimer, $n_{s}$ is obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{s}=2(k-1)^{s} ; s>0 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A general expression to calculate the number of vertices in the $s^{\text {th }}$ orbit of a regular dendrimer can be obtained from a combination of expressions (2) and (3):

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{s}=(2-z)(k+z-1)(k-1)^{s-1} ; s>0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

or using the progressive degree, $p$, one obtains:

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{s}=(2-z)(p+z) p^{s-1} ; s>0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z=1$ for a monocentric dendrimer and $z=0$ for a dicentric one.
The number of external vertices (i.e., endpoints) is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{r}=(2-z)(p+z) p^{r-1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r$ is the radius of the dendrimer and equals the number of its orbits.
The total number of vertices, $N$, in a dendrimer will be:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=(2-z)+\sum_{s=1}^{r}(2-z)(p+z) p^{s-1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=2 \sum_{s=0}^{r} p^{s}-z p^{r} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By developing the sum in Eq.(8), one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\frac{2\left(p^{(r+1)}-1\right)}{(p-1)}-z p^{r} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to calculate the $\chi$ index, we can consider it as a combination of two $\chi$ indices, one of them $\chi_{\mathrm{ii}}$ calculated from contributions coming from in-
ternal vertices in the dendrimer, i.e., those different from the end points, and the other $\chi_{\mathrm{ie}}$ calculated from the end point contributions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=\chi_{\mathrm{ii}}+\chi_{\mathrm{ie}} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\chi_{\mathrm{ii}}$ index is calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{\mathrm{ii}}=\left(N_{r-1}-1\right)\left[(p+1)^{2}\right]^{g} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{r-1}$ is the number of internal vertices, i.e. those inside the $r-1$ orbit. This number is obtained from the total number of vertices by subtracting the number of endpoints, $n_{r}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{r-1}=\left(N-n_{r}\right)=\frac{2\left(p^{r}-1\right)}{p-1}-z p^{(r-1)} ; \mathrm{r} \geq 1 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substituting the expressions for $N$ and $n_{r}$ in Eq. (12) and then that of $N_{r-1}$ in Eq. (11), one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{i i}=\left(N-n_{r}-1\right)\left[(p+1)^{2}\right]^{g} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and subsequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{\mathrm{ii}}=\left[\frac{2\left(p^{(r+1)}-1\right)}{p-1}-z p^{r}-(2-z)(p+z) p^{(r-1)}\right\rceil(p+1)^{2 g} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following a similar procedure for $\chi_{\mathrm{ie}}$, one obtains:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{\mathrm{ie}}=n_{r}(p+1)^{g}=(2-z)(p+z) p^{r-1}(p+1)^{g} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the global index (see Eq. (10))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=\left(N-n_{r}-1\right)(p+1)^{2 g}+n_{r}(p+1)^{g} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

or by expanding $N$ and $n_{r}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\chi=\left[\frac{2\left(p^{(r+1)}-1\right)}{p-1}-z p^{r}-(2-z)(p+z) p^{(r-1)}-1\right](p+1)^{2 g}+ \\
+(2-z)(p+z) p^{(r-1)}(p+1)^{g} . \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
$$

By making in Eq. (16) $g=-1 / 2$, the classical Randić index, $\chi_{-1 / 2}$, is obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{-1 / 2}=\left(N-n_{r}-1\right)(p+1)^{-1}+n_{r}(p+1)^{-1 / 2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{-1 / 2}=\frac{2\left(p^{(r+1)}-1\right)}{\left(p^{2}-1\right)}-\frac{(2-z)(p+z) p^{(r-1)}-1}{(p+1)}+(2-z)(p+z) p^{(r-1)}(p+1)^{-1 / 2} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $g=1$, the Zagreb Group index, $M_{2},{ }^{22}$ can be obtained

$$
\begin{gather*}
M_{2}=\left(N-n_{r}-1\right)(p+1)^{2}+n_{r}(p+1)  \tag{20}\\
M_{2}=\frac{(p+1)}{(p-1)}\left[4 p^{(r+1)}-(p+1)^{2}+z(z-3) p^{r}(p-1)\right] . \tag{21}
\end{gather*}
$$

From Eq. (13), it is easy to calculate the Bertz index ${ }^{23}$ (see also the Platt and Gordon-Scantlebury indices $)^{23 a}$ of a dendrimer as

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\left(N-n_{r}\right)\binom{p+1}{2}=\left[\frac{2\left(p^{(r+1)}-1\right)}{(p-1)}-p^{r} z-(2-z)(p+z) p^{(r-1)}\right] \frac{(p+1) p}{2} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which equals the number of connected pairs of edges in a regular dendrimer.

## BOND (EDGE) CONNECTIVITY INDEX

The bond (edge) connectivity index, ${ }^{24} \varepsilon$, was introduced by Estrada as a measurement of molecular volume in alkanes. It was subsequently extended to molecules containing heteroatoms ${ }^{25}$ and to account for spatial ${ }^{26}$ (3D) features of organic molecules. The $\varepsilon$ index is calculated by using the Randic graph theoretical invariant in which the vertex degree is substituted by edge degree. Mathematically, the index is obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon=\varepsilon(\mathrm{G})=\sum_{(i j) \in E(L(\mathrm{G}))}\left(\delta_{i} \delta_{j}\right)^{g} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the summation runs over all edges in the line graph, $L(\mathrm{G})$, which is derived from $G$ by substituting edges by points and then connecting those points whenever the edges which they represent are adjacent in G. In Eq. (23), $\delta_{i}$ is the degree of vertex $i \in V(L(\mathrm{G}))$ (i.e., the degree of the corresponding edge in $G$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{i}=p_{u}+p_{v} ;(u, v) \in E(\mathrm{G}) . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, an edge $(i, j) \in E(L(G))$ corresponds to a subgraph of two adjacent edges in $G$. The exponent $g$ is taken to be $-1 / 2$, like for the Randić index.

In regular dendrimers, $\varepsilon$ can be calculated as a sum of three indices accounting for contributions associated to pairs of internal-internal adjacent edges, $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{ii}}$, pairs of internal-external adjacent edges $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{ie}}$, and pairs of extern-al-external adjacent edges $\varepsilon_{\text {ee }}$. One edge will be called internal if it is inside the $(r-2)^{t h}$ orbit and external if it is outside this orbit (i.e., if it is incident to an external vertex). It is straightforward that the internal edges of the regular dendrimer have the same degree, $\delta_{i}=2 p$, and the external ones have the degree $\delta_{e}=p$, where $p$ is the progressive degree of internal vertices in the dendrimer. Now, the expression for the edge connectivity index can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon=\varepsilon_{\mathrm{ii}}+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{ie}}+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{ee}} . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\varepsilon_{\text {ii }}$ index can be obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{ii}}=N_{r-2}\binom{p+1}{2}\left(\delta_{i}\right)^{2 g} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{r-2}$ is the number of internal vertices inside the $r-2$ orbit (itself included). It can be calculated by Eq. (8), when the summation runs till $r-2$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{r-2}=\left(N-n_{r}-n_{r-1}\right)=\frac{2\left(p^{(r-1)}-1\right)}{p-1}-z p^{(r-2)} ; r \geq 2 . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The internal edge connectivity index, $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{ii}}$, is then calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{-1 / 2, \mathrm{ii}}=N_{r-2}\binom{p+1}{2}(2 p)^{-1}=\left(\frac{2\left(p^{(r-1)}\right)}{p-1}-z p^{(r-2)}\right)\left(\frac{p+1}{4}\right) ; \quad r \geq 2 . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The internal-external edge connectivity index, $\varepsilon_{i e}$, can be calculated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{ie}}=n_{r}\left(\delta_{i} \delta_{e}\right)^{g} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{-1 / 2, \text { ie }}=n_{r}\left(2 p^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}=(2-z)(p+z) p^{(r-1)}\left(\frac{1}{p \sqrt{2}}\right) . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\varepsilon_{\text {ee }}$ index is calculated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{ee}}=n_{r-1}\binom{p}{2}\left(\delta_{e}\right)^{2 g} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{r-1}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{r-1}=(2-z)(p+z) p^{(r-2)} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and next

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{-1 / 2, \mathrm{ee}}=n_{r-1}\binom{p}{2}(p)^{-1}=(2-z)(p+z) p^{(r-2)}\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) ; r \geq 2 . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The edge connectivity index of regular dendrimers can be obtained by combining Eqs. (28), (30) and (33) in expression (25). Tables I and II list values of the above presented connectivity indices, up to generation ten, in regular dendrimers.

## TABLE I

Vertex and edge connectivity indices for regular dendrimers having $p=2$ and 3 , and generations up to 10 orbits

|  | $N$ | $\chi_{-1 / 2}$ | $\varepsilon_{-1 / 2}$ | $N$ | $\chi_{-1 / 2}$ | $\varepsilon_{-1 / 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $r$ |  | $z=1$ |  |  | $z=0$ |  |
| $p=2$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 4 | 1.732 | 1.500 | 6 | 2.643 | 2.414 |
| 2 | 10 | 4.464 | 4.371 | 14 | 6.285 | 6.328 |
| 3 | 22 | 9.928 | 10.243 | 30 | 13.571 | 14.157 |
| 4 | 46 | 20.856 | 21.985 | 62 | 28.142 | 29.814 |
| 5 | 94 | 42.713 | 45.471 | 126 | 57.284 | 61.127 |
| 6 | 190 | 86.426 | 92.441 | 254 | 115.568 | 123.755 |
| 7 | 382 | 173.851 | 186.382 | 510 | 232.135 | 249.010 |
| 8 | 766 | 348.703 | 374.265 | 1022 | 465.270 | 499.519 |
| 9 | 1534 | 698.405 | 750.029 | 2046 | 931.540 | 1000.539 |
| 10 | 3070 | 1397.810 | 1501.558 | 4094 | 1864.080 | 2002.577 |
| $p=3$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 5 | 2 | 2.000 | 8 | 3.25 | 3.414 |
| 2 | 17 | 7 | 7.828 | 26 | 10.75 | 12.243 |
| 3 | 53 | 22 | 25.485 | 80 | 33.25 | 38.728 |
| 4 | 161 | 67 | 78.456 | 242 | 100.75 | 118.184 |
| 5 | 485 | 202 | 235.368 | 728 | 303.25 | 356.551 |
| 6 | 1457 | 607 | 714.103 | 2186 | 910.75 | 1071.654 |
| 7 | 4373 | 1822 | 2144.308 | 6560 | 2733.25 | 3216.962 |
| 8 | 13120 | 5467 | 6434.923 | 19680 | 8200.75 | 9652.885 |
| 9 | 39370 | 16400 | 19306.770 | 59050 | 24603.25 | 28960.655 |
| 10 | 118100 | 49210 | 57922.310 | 177100 | 73810.75 | 86883.966 |

## TABLE II

Bertz and Zagreb group indices for regular dendrimers having $p=2$ and 3 , and generations up to 10 orbits

|  | $N$ | B | $M_{2}$ | $N$ | B | $M_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $r$ |  | $z=1$ |  |  | $z=0$ |  |
|  | $p=2$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 4 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 21 |
| 2 | 10 | 12 | 45 | 14 | 18 | 69 |
| 3 | 22 | 30 | 117 | 30 | 42 | 165 |
| 4 | 46 | 66 | 261 | 62 | 90 | 357 |
| 5 | 94 | 138 | 549 | 126 | 186 | 741 |
| 6 | 190 | 282 | 1125 | 254 | 378 | 1509 |
| 7 | 382 | 570 | 2277 | 510 | 762 | 3045 |
| 8 | 766 | 1146 | 4581 | 1022 | 1530 | 6117 |
| 9 | 1534 | 2298 | 9189 | 2046 | 3066 | 12261 |
| 10 | 3070 | 4602 | 18405 | 4094 | 6138 | 24549 |
| $p=3$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 5 | 6 | 16 | 8 | 12 | 40 |
| 2 | 17 | 30 | 112 | 26 | 48 | 184 |
| 3 | 53 | 102 | 400 | 80 | 156 | 616 |
| 4 | 161 | 318 | 1264 | 242 | 480 | 1912 |
| 5 | 485 | 966 | 3856 | 728 | 1452 | 5800 |
| 6 | 1457 | 2910 | 11632 | 2186 | 4368 | 17464 |
| 7 | 4373 | 8742 | 34960 | 6560 | 13116 | 52456 |
| 8 | 13120 | 26238 | 104944 | 19680 | 39360 | 157432 |
| 9 | 39370 | 78726 | 314896 | 59050 | 118092 | 472360 |
| 10 | 118100 | 236190 | 944752 | 177100 | 354288 | 1417144 |

## WIENER-TYPE INDICES

The Wiener index, ${ }^{27} W$, or the »path number«, in acyclic structures, can be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=W(\mathrm{G})=\sum_{(i, j) \in E(\mathrm{G})} N_{i,(i, j)} N_{j,(i, j)} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{i,(i, j)}$ and $N_{j,(i, j)}$ denote the number of vertices lying on the two sides of edge $(i, j) \in E(\mathrm{G})$, with $E(\mathrm{G})$ being the set of edges in a connected graph, G. The summation runs over all edges in G. The product $N_{i,(i, j)} N_{j,(i, j)}$ is the number of external paths (i.e., the paths which contain edge ( $i, j$ ) as a sub-path) and represents the contribution of edge $(i, j)$ to the global index, $W$. It is just the $(i, j)$-entry $((i, j) \in E(\mathrm{G}))$ in the edge-defined Wiener matrix ${ }^{28,29}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\boldsymbol{W}_{\mathrm{e}}\right]_{i, j}=N_{i,(i, j)} N_{j,(i, j)} ;(i, j) \in E(\mathrm{G}) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

For non-adjacent vertices, $(i, j) \notin E(G)$, the entries in $W_{\mathrm{e}}$ are zero. From this, $W$ can be calculated as the half sum of its entries

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\mathrm{We}}=(1 / 2) \sum_{i} \sum_{j}\left[\boldsymbol{W}_{\mathrm{e}}\right]_{i, j} . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following, a subscript matrix symbol associated with the index symbol will specify the matrix on which the index is calculated.

When $(i, j)$ represents a path, $(i, j) \in P(\mathrm{G})$, with $P(\mathrm{G})$ being the set of paths in graph, then a relation similar to Eq. (34) will define the hyper-Wiener index, ${ }^{30} W W$

$$
\begin{equation*}
W W=W W(\mathrm{G})=\sum_{(i, j) \in P(G)} N_{i,(i, j)} N_{j, i, j)} . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The summation goes over all paths in G. $N_{i,(i, j)}$ and $N_{j,(i, j)}$ represent now the number of vertices lying on the two sides of the path $(i, j) \in P(\mathrm{G})$. The product $N_{i,(i, j)} N_{j,(i, j)}$ equals the number of external paths that contain the path $(i, j)$ as a sub-path and is the contribution of the path $(i, j)$ to the global index, $W W$. It is the $(i, j)$-entry in the path-defined Wiener matrix ${ }^{28,29}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\boldsymbol{W}_{\mathrm{p}}\right]_{i, j}=N_{i,(i, j)} N_{j,(i, j)} ;(i, j) \in P(\mathrm{G}) . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $\boldsymbol{W}_{\mathrm{p}}$, the index $W W$ is calculated as the half sum of its entries

$$
\begin{equation*}
W W_{W_{\mathrm{p}}}=(1 / 2) \sum_{i} \sum_{j}\left[\boldsymbol{W}_{\mathrm{p}}\right]_{i, j} . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

In both $\boldsymbol{W}_{\mathrm{e}}$ and $\boldsymbol{W}_{\mathrm{p}}$ matrices, the diagonal entries are zero.
In cycle-containing graphs, Wiener matrices are not defined. Wiener indices are here calculated by means of the distance-type matrices.

The distance matrix, ${ }^{19} \boldsymbol{D}_{\text {e }}$, collects the topological distances in the graph, i.e., the number of edges, $N_{e,(i, j)} \in P(\mathrm{G})$, which separate two vertices, $i$ and $j$, on the shortest path, $(i, j) \in P(\mathrm{G})$

$$
\left[\boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{e}}\right]_{i, j}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
N_{\mathrm{e},(, i, j) \in P(G)} ;|(i, j)|=\min , \text { if } i \neq j .  \tag{40}\\
0 \text { if } i=j
\end{array} .\right.
$$

The subscript e in the symbol of the distance matrix means that it is edge-defined (i.e., its entries count edges on the path $(i, j)$ ). In Eq. $(40),(i, j)$ is the cardinality of the path $(i, j)$ taken as a set of subsequently connected edges; it is just the length of the path $(i, j)$. In case $(i, j) \mid=\min$, it equals the topological distance between $i$ and $j$. The Wiener index is calculated as the half sum of entries in $\boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{e}}$, meaning the number of all distances in G (i.e., the number of internal edges contained in all shortest paths in the graph)

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{D \mathrm{e}}=(1 / 2) \sum_{i} \sum_{j}\left[\boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{e}}\right]_{i, j} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

A similar matrix ${ }^{31}$ can be constructed when paths, $p$, of length $1 \leq|p| \leq$ $|(i, j)|$ are counted in the path $(i, j)$

$$
\left[\boldsymbol{D}_{\mathbf{p}}\right]_{i, j}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left.N_{p,(i, j) \in P(\mathrm{G})}\right) ;  \tag{42}\\
0 \text { if } i=j
\end{array}|(i, j)|=\min , \text { if } i \neq j .\right.
$$

It is a path-defined matrix and the number of paths, $N_{p,(i, j) \in P(G)}$, can be calculated from the entries $\left[\boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{e}}\right]_{i, j}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{p,(i, j) \in P(\mathrm{G})}=\binom{\left[\boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{e}}\right]_{i j}+1}{2}=\left\{\left(\left[D_{\mathrm{e}}\right]_{i, j}\right)^{2}+\left[D_{\mathrm{e}}\right]_{i, j}\right\} / 2 \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

The half sum of entries in $\boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{p}}$ yields the hyper-Wiener index ${ }^{31}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
W W_{D \mathrm{p}}=(1 / 2) \sum_{i} \sum_{j}\left[\boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{p}}\right]_{i, j} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose meaning is the number of all internal paths (i.e., the paths internal with respect to endpoints $i$ and $j$ ) contained in all shortest paths in the graph. In a connected graph, the number of internal paths equals the number of external paths, (i.e., the paths containing the path (i,j) as a sub-path), as stated by Klein, Lukovits and Gutman. ${ }^{32}$

By virtue of the equality of the sum of »external« and»internal« paths in a tree graph, it is straightforward that: $W_{D e}=W_{W e}$ and $W W_{D \mathrm{p}}=W W_{W p}$ (i.e., Wiener-type indices calculated on the distance-type and Wiener-type matrix, respectively).

## HARARY-TYPE INDICES

In chemical graph theory, the distance matrix accounts for the »through bond« interactions of atoms in molecules. However, these interactions decrease as the distance between atoms increases. This is the reason why the »reciprocal distance« matrix, $\boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{G})$ was recently introduced. Entries in this matrix are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{e}}\right]_{i, j}=1 /\left[\boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{e}}\right]_{i, j} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{D}_{\text {e }}$ matrix allows the calculation of a Wiener index ${ }^{7}$ analogue, as the half sum of its entries

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{D \mathrm{e}}=H_{D \mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{G})=(1 / 2) \sum_{i} \sum_{j}\left[\boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{e}}\right]_{i, j} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

The resulting number was named, ${ }^{33-35}$ the »Harary index«, in the honor of Frank Harary. Since topological matrices are considered »natural« sources in deriving graph descriptors, Diudea ${ }^{36}$ has extended the use of »reciprocal (topological) property« matrices in defining novel Harary-type indices, $H_{M}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{M_{e^{\prime} p}}=(1 / 2) \sum_{i} \sum_{j} 1 /[\boldsymbol{M}]_{i, j}=(1 / 2) \sum_{i} \sum_{j}[\boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{M}]_{i, j} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

the subscript $M$ being the identifier for a square matrix $\boldsymbol{M}$, which collects some topological property. Note that the subscript $e / p$ refers to the length of the path $(i, j)$ on which the matrix is defined: $e$ means a path equal to one edge (i.e., $(i, j) \in E(\mathrm{G}))$ while $p$ denotes a path of length $1 \leq|p| \leq(i, j) \mid \in P(\mathrm{G})$. When the symbol of a topological index is associated with the subscript $e$, it is an index but it becomes a hyper-index when associated with a subscript $p$.

Despite the equalities $W_{D e}=W_{W e}$ and $W W_{D \mathrm{p}}=W W_{W \mathrm{p}}$, the Harary numbers calculated on distance-type and Wiener-type matrices, respectively, do not obey such a relation (i.e., $H_{W e} \neq H_{D e}$ and $H_{W \mathrm{p}} \neq H_{D \mathrm{p}}$ ).

## WIENER- AND HARARY-TYPE INDICES IN DENDRIMERS

The Wiener and hyper-Wiener indices have been calculated ${ }^{16,17}$ by formulas derived via the layer matrix of cardinality, ${ }^{37} \boldsymbol{L C}$, which is related to the distance matrix, $\boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{e}}$. In fact, formulas for calculating $W_{D \mathrm{e}}$ and $W W_{D \mathrm{p}}$ have been derived.

In this paper, general formulas for evaluating $W_{W e}$ and $W W_{W \mathrm{p}}$ and their corresponding Harary indices, $H_{W e}$ and $H_{W \mathrm{p}}$, will be derived.

The procedure for evaluating the $N_{i,(i, j)}$ and $N_{j,(i, j)}$ numbers (cf. Eqs. (34) and (37)) is based on the wedgeal enumeration of vertices in a dendrimer. ${ }^{16,38} \mathrm{~A}$ wedge is a fragment of the dendrimer (i.e., a subdendrimer) ${ }^{38}$ that results from deleting any edge, except those incident in an external, nonbranching point, in a dendrimer. If the cut edge ends at the core, the wedge is called maximal. The vertices of a wedge have the same degree as the corresponding ones in the whole dendrimer, except the cut point, whose degree is smaller by one. The number of vertices, $F_{i}$, in the wedge starting at orbit $i$ can be calculated by ${ }^{16}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i}=\sum_{s=i}^{r} p^{(r-s)}=\frac{p^{(r-i+1)}-1}{p-1} . \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hyper-Wiener and hyper-Harary-type indices, $T I_{p}$, in dendrimers can be expressed as a sum of 'interactions' between the core and any vertex $i, T I_{0, i}$, between vertices lying on the same orbit, $T I_{i, i}$, and between vertices $i$ and $j$ located on different orbits, $T I_{i, j}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
T I_{p}=T I_{0, i}+T I_{i, i}+T I_{i, j}  \tag{49}\\
T I_{0, i}=(2-z)(p+1)^{z} \sum_{i=1}^{r} p^{(i-z)}\left[\left[\left(N-F_{1}\right) F_{i}\right]^{g}+(1-z)\left[(N / 2) F_{i}\right]^{g}\right]  \tag{50}\\
T I_{i, i}=(1-z)\left[(N / 2)^{2}\right]^{g}+\sum_{i=1}^{r}\binom{(2-z)(p+1)^{z} p^{(i-z)}}{2}\left[\left(F_{i}\right)^{2}\right]^{g}  \tag{51}\\
T I_{i, j}=(2-z)(p+1)^{z} \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} p^{(i-z)} \sum_{j=i+1}^{r}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left.\left[(2-z)(p+1)^{z} p^{(j-z)}-p^{(j-i)}\right]\left(F_{i} F_{j}\right)^{g}+\right\} \\
+p^{(j-i)}\left[\left(N-F_{i+1}\right) F_{j}\right]^{g}
\end{array}\right\} ; r>1 \tag{52}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $N$ is the total number of vertices in the dendrimer (see Eq.(9)) and $F_{i}$ is the number of vertices in a wedgeal fragment starting at orbit $i$ (see Eq. (48)). When $g=1$ the $T I$ is $W W_{W p}$ while in case $g=-1$, the index is $H_{W p}$.

A similar procedure leads to the edge-defined indices, $T I_{\mathrm{e}}: W_{W e}(g=1)$ and $H_{W e}(g=-1)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T I_{\mathrm{e}}=(1-z)\left(\left(\frac{N}{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{g}+(p+1)^{z}(2 p)^{(1-z)} \sum_{i=1}^{r} p^{(i-1)}\left(\left(N-F_{i}\right) F_{i}\right)^{g} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Values of the Wiener-type and Harary-type indices are collected in Tables III and IV.

TABLE III
Wiener-Type indices for regular dendrimers having $p=2$ and 3 , and generations up to 10 orbits

| $p$ | $r$ |  | $W$ |  | $W W$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $z=0$ | $z=1$ | $z=0$ | $z=1$ |  |
| 2 | 1 | 29 | 9 | 47 | 12 |  |
|  | 2 | 285 | 117 | 667 | 237 |  |
|  | 3 | 1981 | 909 | 6195 | 2535 |  |
|  | 4 | 11645 | 5661 | 46179 | 20427 |  |
|  | 5 | 62205 | 31293 | 301251 | 139923 |  |
|  | 6 | 312829 | 160893 | 1798531 | 863523 |  |
|  | 7 | 1510397 | 788733 | 10085123 | 4958787 |  |
|  | 8 | 7084029 | 3740157 | 53986819 | 27022467 |  |
|  | 9 | 32518141 | 17310717 | 278891523 | 141535491 |  |
|  | 10 | 146825213 | 78661629 | 1400838147 | 718754307 |  |

TABLE III (continued)

| $p$ | $r$ |  | $W$ |  | $W W$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $z=0$ | $z=1$ | $z=0$ | $z=1$ |  |
| 3 | 1 | 58 | 16 | 97 | 22 |  |
|  | 2 | 1147 | 400 | 2842 | 862 |  |
|  | 3 | 16564 | 6304 | 55546 | 18988 |  |
|  | 4 | 207157 | 82336 | 885067 | 322684 |  |
|  | 5 | 2392942 | 975280 | 12486859 | 4737346 |  |
|  | 6 | 26310703 | 10897456 | 162614932 | 63370330 |  |
|  | 7 | 279816808 | 117191488 | 2001654484 | 795156568 |  |
|  | 8 | 2905693033 | 1226857792 | 23632595701 | 9524050936 |  |
|  | 9 | 29637785506 | 12591244624 | 270225628693 | 110124165742 |  |
|  | 10 | 298120420579 | 127267866832 | 3012581235310 | 1238679833686 |  |

TABLE IV
Harary-Type indices for regular dendrimers having $p=2$ and 3 , and generations up to 10 orbits

| $p$ | $r$ | $H_{W e}$ |  | $H_{W \mathrm{p}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $z=0$ | $z=1$ | $z=0$ | $z=1$ |
| 2 | 1 | 0.91111 | 1.00000 | 8.24444 | 4.00000 |
|  | 2 | 0.75700 | 0.80952 | 39.48428 | 21.00000 |
|  | 3 | 0.67978 | 0.70526 | 171.93340 | 93.99806 |
|  | 4 | 0.64248 | 0.65479 | 718.89205 | 398.36215 |
|  | 5 | 0.62434 | 0.63034 | 2942.94684 | 1642.52530 |
|  | 6 | 0.61544 | 0.61840 | 11913.41433 | 6674.41687 |
|  | 7 | 0.61105 | 0.61251 | 47945.57042 | 26914.25133 |
|  | 8 | 0.60886 | 0.60959 | 192376.55943 | 108099.91432 |
|  | 9 | 0.60778 | 0.60814 | 770707.04503 | 433297.01294 |
|  | 10 | 0.60724 | 0.60742 | 3085243.85345 | 1734996.10484 |
| 3 | 1 | 0.91964 | 1.00000 | 17.41964 | 7.00000 |
|  | 2 | 0.79410 | 0.82692 | 179.54978 | 77.12500 |
|  | 3 | 0.75027 | 0.76122 | 1696.45922 | 744.63142 |
|  | 4 | 0.73578 | 0.73939 | 15533.98437 | 6873.80590 |
|  | 5 | 0.73099 | 0.73219 | 140639.34503 | 62412.87700 |
|  | 6 | 0.72941 | 0.72980 | 1268302.06937 | 563405.57044 |
|  | 7 | 0.72888 | 0.72901 | 11422421.63083 | 5075774.57299 |
|  | 8 | 0.72870 | 0.72875 | 102824974.44435 | 45697411.49634 |
|  | 9 | 0.72864 | 0.72866 | 925494391.69444 | 411323103.15047 |
|  | 10 | 0.72862 | 0.72863 | 832965848.383902 | 3702047217.72089 |

From Table IV one can see that the $H_{W e}$ values decrease as the radius (i.e., generation) of the dendrimer increases. For the family of dendrimers having the progressive degree 2 , the limit of convergence is 0.6067 while for the family with the progressive degree 3 , the limit is 0.7286 , irrespective of whether they are mono- or dicentric-dendrimers. The convergence is a feature of $H_{W e}$ that differentiates this index from all the discussed indices.

Connectivity-type indices are highly intercorrelated (correlating coefficient, $r>0.9999$ ) in the set of homogeneous dendrimers with the degree 3 and 4 and generation up to ten. When the distance-based indices are considered, the correlation of the connectivity-type indices lowers to about 0.97 and drops to about 0.05 vs. $H_{W e}$. This behavior suggests that the distancebased indices are more "structure-related« in comparison to the connec-tivity-based ones. This is supported by the correlation $v s$. the number of vertices (i.e., carbon atoms), which is about 0.97 for the distance-based indices, except $H_{W e}$ and over 0.9999 for the connectivity-based indices. Index $H_{W e}$ is practically orthogonal vs. all the other indices discussed herein. The above results might be used in structure-property studies. Unfortunately, well defined families of dendrimers are still difficult to obtain and characterize.
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## SAŽETAK

## Indeksi povezanosti i indeksi nalik na Wienerov i Hararyjev za dendrimere

Mircea B. Diudea, Anton A. Kiss, Ernesto Estrada i Nicolais Guevara
Izvedene su formule za izračunavanje različitih indeksa povezanosti za pravilne homogene dendrimere (Randićevi indeksi za čvorove ( $\chi$ ) i bridove ( $\varepsilon$ ), Zagrebački indeks $\left(M_{2}\right)$ i Bertzov indeks (B)) i različitih indeksa udaljenosti (Wienerov indeks, $W$, hiper-Wienerov indeks, WW, Hararyjevi indeksi $H_{W e}$ i $H_{W p}$ ). Razmotrene su međusobne korelacije tih indeksa.
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