## ORAL HYGIENE IS AN IMPORTANT FACTOR FOR PREVENTION OF VENTILATOR-ASSOCIATED PNEUMONIA

Matej Par<sup>1</sup>, Ana Badovinac<sup>2</sup> and Darije Plančak<sup>2</sup>

# <sup>1</sup>PhD student, Private Dental Practice; <sup>2</sup>Department of Periodontology, School of Dental Medicine, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia

SUMMARY – Inadequate oral hygiene in intensive care units (ICUs) has been recognized as a critical issue, for it is an important risk factor for ventilator associated pneumonia (VAP). VAP is an aspiration pneumonia that occurs in mechanically ventilated patients, mostly caused by bacteria colonizing the oral cavity and dental plaque. It is the second most common nosocomial infection and the leading cause of complications and death in mechanically ventilated patients. It has been suggested that improvement of oral hygiene in ICU patients could lead to a reduced incidence of VAP. Although diverse oral care measures for ICU patients have been proposed in the literature, there is no evidence that could identify the most efficient ones. Although there are several evidence-based protocols, oral care measures are still performed inconsistently and differ greatly between individual ICUs. This paper lists the oral care measures most commonly performed in ICUs, indicating their advantages and disadvantages. Brushing with regular toothbrush and rinsing with chlorhexidine are considered optimal measures of oral hygiene in critically ill patients. To date, there is no definitive agreement about the most effective oral care protocol, but evidence demonstrates that consistent performance of oral care may lower the incidence of VAP in critically ill patients.

Key words: Oral hygiene; Intensive care units; Pneumonia, ventilator-associated; Cross infection

#### Introduction

Critically ill patients in intensive care units (ICUs) require continuous 24-hour monitoring and adequate nursing care. In the state of critical illness, more attention is often paid to other aspects of nursing care, while oral care is neglected and insufficiently/inappropriately performed. Literature identifies several potential causes of inappropriate oral hygiene in ICUs. The most common are the nursing staff's perception that other aspects of nursing care are more important than consistently performing oral care<sup>1,2</sup>, limited and insufficient knowledge regarding importance of oral hygiene in critically ill patients<sup>3</sup>, lack of standard-

E-mail: mpar@inet.hr

ized protocols and recommendations for oral hygiene in critically ill<sup>2-7</sup>, and insufficient resources allocated for oral hygiene in ICUs. The consequences of inadequate oral hygiene in ICUs manifest both locally, with a higher incidence of caries, periodontal disease and oral mucosal infections<sup>6</sup>; and systemically as bacteremia<sup>8</sup>, metastatic infections such as infective endocarditis<sup>9</sup>, sepsis and pneumonia<sup>7</sup>, chronic obstructive lung disease<sup>10</sup>, and other conditions that are caused by increased blood levels of long-range proinflammatory mediators (e.g., accelerated atherosclerosis, glucose intolerance, increased leukocyte count)<sup>11,12</sup>. These conditions further aggravate the health state of ICU patients who are already vitally threatened by their primary disease.

Inadequate oral hygiene in ICUs has been recognized as a critical issue, thus the importance of creating and implementing a standardized oral hygiene

Correspondence to: Matej Par, DMD, Malekova 18, HR-10040 Zagreb, Croatia

Received February 24, 2013, accepted January 14, 2014

protocol is increasingly emphasized. Investigations are aimed at finding effective yet easily feasible oral care measures for critically ill patients. Recent efforts to create standardized oral care protocols for ICU patients have resulted in several evidence-based recommendations<sup>13-16</sup>. Despite published recommendations and available protocols, oral care measures are still performed inconsistently and differ greatly between individual ICUs<sup>17</sup>. In many cases, oral care practice is insufficient, compromising both oral and general health of the critically ill.

### Ventilator Associated Pneumonia

It is certain that poor oral hygiene affects many aspects of oral and general health of ICU patients. However, most attention is paid to the development of ventilator associated pneumonia (VAP), while research of other health conditions caused by inadequate oral hygiene is scarce and less documented in the literature. Compared with other effects of inadequate oral hygiene that are mostly local and low in acuity, VAP is a serious condition with a high mortality rate. Therefore, prevention of VAP is recognized as the most important objective of oral care in ICUs.

Ventilator associated pneumonia is defined as a nosocomial infection that occurs at least 48 hours after intubation. VAP incidence ranges from 8% to 28% of mechanically ventilated patients and mortality rate is 24%-76%<sup>18</sup>. By its incidence, VAP is the second most common nosocomial infection (after urinary tract infections), and is the leading cause of complications and death in mechanically ventilated patients<sup>6</sup>. Etiologically, VAP is an aspiration pneumonia mostly caused by bacteria that colonize the oral cavity and dental plaque<sup>19</sup>.

In oral cavities of hospitalized patients, especially in those mechanically ventilated, microbial flora gradually changes; gram-positive bacteria of low virulence that are predominant at admission (*Streptococcus* spp., *Actinomyces* spp.) are progressively being replaced by the more virulent gram-negative, potentially pathogenic microbial flora. This transition occurs both in dental plaque, which is yet in physiological condition colonized with 200-350 different bacterial species<sup>4,5</sup>, and on mucosal surfaces. In dental plaque, the transition occurs by accumulation and maturation of dental plaque enabled by insufficient mechanical cleaning, i.e. poor oral hygiene<sup>20</sup>. In physiological conditions, regular exfoliation and salivary immune mechanisms ensure that oral mucosa is less colonized by bacteria than teeth. However, these protective mechanisms may decline in critically ill patients due to the reduction of salivary secretion, lower levels of salivary local immunity factors, and absence of self-cleaning by chewing. In such conditions, dental plaque and oral mucosa in hospitalized patients may become colonized by (possibly multi-resistant) bacterial species such as Staphylococcus (S.) aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Streptococcus pneumoniae, which are identified as potential respiratory pathogens<sup>21</sup>. The shift towards a more pathogenic flora occurs promptly, within 48 hours of admission<sup>22</sup>. Endotracheal tube constantly keeps the glottis open, giving the potential pathogens a pathway to the lower parts of the respiratory tract. Moreover, endotracheal tube may become colonized by bacteria, intubation obstructs physiological cleaning of the upper respiratory tract by coughing, compromises mucociliary transport, and increases mucus secretion<sup>23</sup>. All of the above listed factors make intubated patients more prone to aspiration of bacteria and development of VAP. Therefore, it is reasonable to hypothesize that improvement in oral hygiene and the subsequent reduction of potentially pathogenic bacteria could lead to a reduced incidence of VAP<sup>24</sup>.

#### **Oral Hygiene Measures**

Available literature suggests diverse oral care measures for ICU patients. Although recent research has yielded recommendations that are supported by evidence, literature still remains equivocal regarding the unique evidence-based protocol. In the absence of such a protocol, oral hygiene practice varies among hospitals and even among individual ICUs within a hospital. Reliable proofs of the efficiency for most of oral care procedures are lacking, so these procedures are carried out in various combinations, mostly unsystematically and superficially<sup>1-7</sup>. The most common oral care agents and procedures, as described in the literature include those described below.

#### Hydrogen peroxide

Oxidizing antiseptic is used as a mouthwash in concentrations of 1%-3%. Due to its irritating effect,

unpleasant taste and potential genotoxicity<sup>25</sup>, it is not recommended for rinsing.

### Sodium bicarbonate

Mouthwashes containing aqueous solution of sodium bicarbonate reduce mucus viscosity and therefore make removal of materia alba easier<sup>26</sup>. Moreover, high pH inhibits demineralization of dental hard tissues and protects teeth from caries. However, solutions of higher concentrations may show caustic effect and cause irritation and chemical burns<sup>26</sup>. Research and evidence that may justify usage of sodium bicarbonate solution for oral care in ICUs are lacking<sup>5</sup>.

## Saline solution

It is a neutral solution used for mouth washing and relief of xerostomia-associated symptoms. Paradoxically, its usage may produce a drying effect on oral mucosa<sup>27</sup>, thus rendering it inappropriate for routinely performed oral care of critically ill patients<sup>5</sup>.

## Water

Plain water can be used for mucosal moistening and symptomatic treatment of xerostomia. Tap water must not be used since it may be contaminated with nosocomial bacteria; therefore, the use of sterile water is recommended<sup>28</sup>.

## Chlorhexidine

Chlorhexidine (CHX) is a very effective widespectrum antiseptic agent with rare occurrence of side effects. It is considered an agent of choice for chemical plaque control, especially when mechanical methods of oral hygiene are difficult to perform<sup>29</sup>. Due to its physicochemical properties, CHX adsorbs to tooth surfaces, enabling extended protection against plaque formation for up to 12 hours following application. For chemical plaque control, solutions of concentration between 0.12% and 0.2% are used for mouth rinsing, swabbing or spraying. CHX gels containing higher concentrations are also available. CHX efficiency in reduction of VAP incidence is still unclear, but there is increasing evidence to support its inclusion in oral hygiene protocols for ICU patients<sup>30-33</sup>. Some authors do not advocate employment of CHX for VAP prevention in critically ill<sup>34-36</sup>, whereas others recommend its use only in patients undergoing cardiac surgery<sup>37,38</sup>.

Due to its substantivity to clean tooth surfaces, CHX may exert more beneficial and prolonged effect if applied following brushing<sup>39</sup>. This may also explain the non-beneficial effect of CHX in some studies that involved application of CHX without previous brushing<sup>40</sup>. Apparently, further studies are needed to assess the benefits of using CHX for VAP prevention and justify its possible inclusion in standardized oral care protocols.

## Topical antibiotics

Topically applied antibiotics covering gramnegative bacteria demonstrated reduced incidence of VAP caused by these bacterial species<sup>41</sup>. There was no development of resistant strains; however, increased growth of *S. aureus* (a potential cause of VAP) was observed<sup>41</sup>. Due to their inability to cover the wide spectrum of bacteria that may cause VAP, the possible change of microbial flora in favor of other pathogenic species, and the risk of resistance development, topical antibiotics are not recommended for oral care in ICU<sup>2</sup>.

## Citric acid and glycerin

Swabbing with citric acid and glycerin solution stimulates salivation, thereby temporarily relieving the symptoms of xerostomia. Long-term application may exhaust the salivary reflex mechanism, resulting in rebound xerostomia<sup>42</sup>. Moreover, low pH facilitates demineralization of dental hard tissues. Therefore, the use of this solution is not recommended.

## Moisturizing agents

Artificial saliva may be used for mucosal moistening and petroleum jelly coating may protect lips from drying. Maintaining moisture of oral mucosa helps preserve its integrity, as damaged mucosa may provide a route for transmission of oral bacteria. Also, moist environment favors physiological oral flora, preventing overgrowth of pathogenic bacteria<sup>24</sup>.

## Povidone-iodine

Although very effective for skin and mucosal disinfection, povidone-iodine has no effect on the reduction of dental plaque. Frequent use is not recommended as it may result in the adsorption of high and potentially toxic amounts of iodine.

### Mechanical plaque control

Mechanical biofilm removal is both the most basic and most efficient means of maintaining oral hygiene. In ICU setting, the use of small toothbrushes is recommended, as they can reach the most posterior parts of oral cavity<sup>43</sup>. In order to minimize traumatization of soft tissues, only soft brushes with rounded bristles should be used. Ordinary toothbrushes can also be used for tongue, palate and edentulous ridges cleaning, which are significant microbial reservoirs due to the absence of physiological self-cleansing mechanisms<sup>44</sup>. The benefits of using electric toothbrush are unclear; one study mentions superiority of electric versus manual toothbrush45, whereas another one demonstrated no difference<sup>46</sup>. The former study may have been biased in favor of electric toothbrush because it was used as part of a comprehensive protocol, while manual brushing was performed in a control group, i.e. devoid of other measures that were included in the protocol<sup>45</sup>.

Foam swabs are sometimes used as an alternative for toothbrush. Compared to toothbrushes, foam swabs are less efficient in mechanical plaque control<sup>47</sup>, since interdental spaces cannot be reached by foam swabs. Also, foam swabs alone cannot generate sufficient pressure to thoroughly remove adherent bacteria.

The standard Bass tooth brushing technique (positioning a soft toothbrush at a 45° angle to the long axis of the tooth and brushing with gentle vibratory motions) is recommended for mechanical plaque control in ICU patients, although other systematic brushing techniques may also be effective. There is no right or wrong way to brush teeth; the final result is more important than the technique itself. The aim of any tooth brushing technique is to achieve as much plaque reduction as possible while avoiding trauma to oral mucosa, especially to marginal gingiva.

The use of toothpaste while brushing is beneficial, although not necessary for plaque removal. A sufficient level of oral hygiene can be achieved by brushing alone; however, brushing with toothpaste provides more thorough cleansing as well as fluoride protection<sup>48</sup>. If CHX is to be applied after brushing, toothpastes without sodium lauril sulfate should be used in order to avoid CHX inactivation<sup>49</sup>.

Any tooth brushing presents a theoretical risk of bacteremia. In healthy adult population, transitory bacteremia occurs in 25% of the cases of daily brushing, and in healthy children population, it may occur in up to 40% of the cases<sup>50</sup>. Such transitory bacteremia in healthy subjects is clinically irrelevant, since bacteria are efficiently and promptly neutralized by the reticuloendothelial system<sup>51</sup>. Therefore, the risk of complications caused by transitory bacteremia in ICU patients is negligible, provided they are immunocompetent<sup>52</sup>.

Although the benefits of brushing as a measure of VAP prevention are rather intuitive and supported by evidence, some authors indicate the lack of high-level evidence of brushing effectiveness<sup>30,53</sup>. Obviously, more studies are required to elucidate the effect of this simple yet potentially beneficial oral care measure.

## Protocol

Despite the various methods and agents for oral hygiene in ICUs aimed at VAP prevention described in the literature, there is still no evidence that could point out the most efficient ones<sup>54</sup>. Although the need of creating a single comprehensive protocol of oral hygiene in critically ill patients is often emphasized in the literature<sup>1-7</sup>, and some evidence has been accumulated through clinical research, there is still no consensus regarding the most effective VAP prevention method(s) of oral care that should be integrated in a unique comprehensive protocol. As to date, several protocols have been proposed that are mostly based on mechanical plaque removal, i.e. tooth brushing with regular toothbrush<sup>24,30,54</sup>. CHX use is sometimes included in routine oral care<sup>13,14,31</sup>, but there are protocols that limit its use to cardiac surgery patients<sup>38</sup>.

Despite recent advances, oral care of the critically ill is performed variously and inconsistently, mostly in accordance with individual staff experience, long 'tradition' of particular methods and available resources<sup>1,17,45,55-58</sup>.

The beneficial effect of standardized protocol implementation on lowering VAP incidence was demonstrated in multiple clinical evaluations<sup>31,59</sup>, but the protocols employed differed among researchers. However, this confirms the positive effect of various oral care practices in ICUs, provided they are implemented consistently, i.e. according to the written protocol. Although investigated oral care practices varied, they are predominantly focused on brushing and CHX rinsing, while investigation of other oral care measures is relatively scarce. Results are inconsistent, though supporting the hypothesis that improvement of oral care reduces VAP incidence in the critically ill, besides other local and systemic benefits of maintaining good oral health. Comprehensive oral care protocols have been published<sup>14,16,38,45</sup> and oral care measures are becoming integrated into care bundles for the critically ill<sup>15</sup>. Most of the contemporary evidence-based protocols include tooth brushing and some of them also include CHX rinsing. The American Association of Critical Care Nurses guidelines recommend routine brushing at least twice a day using a soft pediatric or adult toothbrush, but CHX use is limited to cardiac surgery patients<sup>38</sup>.

A recently published meta-analysis<sup>60</sup> highlights the lack of high-level evidence for efficiency of tooth brushing as a method of VAP prevention. Moreover, all of the present recommendations are derived from relatively low-level evidence, thus additional studies are needed to verify the efficiency of current protocols and suggest possible improvements.

#### Conclusion

Although there is no definitive agreement on the most effective oral care protocol, evidence has demonstrated that consistent performance of certain oral care procedures may lower the incidence of VAP in critically ill patients. It should be noted that, besides VAP prevention, quality oral care plays an important role in maintaining local health, and also contributes to the prevention of systemic diseases that may be related to poor oral hygiene. Hence, oral care of the critically ill must be considered as an important part of routine nursing activity, not only as a measure of VAP prevention, but as a crucial treatment with a profound effect on the patient's general health.

## References

 BINKLEY C, FURR L, CARRICO R, McCURREN C. Survey of oral care practices in US intensive care units. Am J Infect Control 2004;32(3):161-9.

- 2. MUNRO CL, GRAP MJ. Oral health and care in the intensive care unit: state of the science. Am J Crit Care 2004;13:25-34.
- CUTLER CJ, DAVIS N. Improving oral care in patients receiving mechanical ventilation. Am J Crit Care 2005;14:389-94.
- 4. O'REILLY M. Oral care of the critically ill: a review of the literature and guidelines for practice. Austral Crit Care 2003;16(3):101-10.
- BERRY AM, DAVIDSON PM, MASTERS J, ROLLS K. Systematic literature review of oral hygiene practices for intensive care patients receiving mechanical ventilation. Am J Crit Care 2007;16:552-62.
- 6. BERRY AM, DAVIDSON PM. Beyond comfort: oral hygiene as a critical nursing activity in the intensive care unit. Intensive Crit Care Nurs 2006;22:318-28.
- 7. CRAVEN DE, DUNCAN RA. Preventing ventilator-associated pneumonia. Am J Crit Care Med 2006;173:1297-9.
- 8. KERR V. Bacteraemia. Br Dent J 2000;188:355-6.
- CARMONA IT, DIZ DIOS P, SCULLY C. An update on the controversies in bacterial endocarditis of oral origin. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2002;93:660-70.
- SCANNAPIECO FA, PAPANDONATOS GD, DUN-FORDRG. Associations between oral conditions and respiratory disease in a national sample survey population. Ann Periodontol 1998;3:251-6.
- 11. KINANE DF, MARSHALLGJ. Periodontal manifestations of systemic disease. Aust Dent J 2001;46(1):2-12.
- PEJČIĆ A, KESIĆ L, PESIĆ Z, MIRKOVIĆ D, STOJANOVIĆ M. White blood cell count in different stages of chronic periodontitis. Acta Clin Croat 2011;50(2):159-67.
- HALM MA, ARMOLA R. Effect of oral care on bacterial colonization and ventilator-associated pneumonia. Am J Crit Care 2009;18:275-8.
- 14. SONA CS, ZACK JE, SCHALLOM ME, McSWEENEY M, McMULLENK, THOMAS J, *et al.* The impact of a simple, low-cost oral care protocol on ventilator-associated pneumonia rates in a surgical intensive care unit. J Intensive Care Med 2009;24(1):54-62.
- HECK K. Decreasing ventilator-associated pneumonia in the intensive care unit: a sustainable comprehensive quality improvement program. Am J Infect Control 2012;40(9):877-9.
- GARCIA R, JENDRESKY L, COLBERT L, BAILEY A, ZAMAN M, MAJUMDER M. Reducing ventilatorassociated pneumonia through advanced oral-dental care: a 48-month study. Am J Crit Care 2009;18(6):523-32.
- 17. LAMBERT ML, PALOMAR M, AGODI A, HIES-MAYR M, LEPAPE A, INGENBLEEK A, *et al.* Prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia in intensive care units: an international online survey. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control 2013;2:9.
- CHASTRE J, FAGON J. Ventilator-associated pneumonia. Am J RespirCrit Care Med 2002;165:867-903.

- 19. PAJU S, SCANNAPIECO FA. Oral biofilms, periodontitis, and pulmonary infections. Oral Dis 2007;13:508-12.
- JONES DJ, MUNRO CL, GRAPMJ. Natural history of dental plaque accumulation in mechanically ventilated adults: a descriptive correlational study. Intensive Crit Care Nurs 2011;27(6):299-304.
- SCANNAPIECO FA, STEWART EM, MYLOTTE JM. Colonization of dental plaque by respiratory pathogens in medical intensive care patients. Crit Care Med 1992;20:740-5.
- 22. MUNRO CL, GRAP MJ, JONES DJ, McCLISH DK, SESSLER CN. Chlorhexidine, toothbrushing and preventing ventilator-associated pneumonia in critically ill adults. Am J Crit Care 2009;18:428-37.
- 23. LEVINE SA, NIEDERMAN MS. The impact of tracheal intubation on host defenses and risks for nosocomial pneumonia. Clin Chest Med 1991;12:523-43.
- STONECYPHER K. Ventilator-associated pneumonia: the importance of oral care in intubated adults. Crit Care Nurs Q 2010;33(4):339-47.
- 25. International Agency on Research on Cancer (IARC) Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. Re-evaluation of Some Organic Chemicals, Hydrazine and Hydrogen Peroxide. World Health Organization, 1999. http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Monographs/vol71/ mono71.pdf. Accessed Jan 17 2012.
- 26. CARL W, DALY C, ANDREANA S, CIANCIO SG, CO-HEN RE, NISENGARD RJ. Clinical evaluation of the effect of a hydrogen peroxide mouth rinse, toothette-plus swab containing sodium bicarbonate, and a water-based mouth moisturizer on oral health in medically compromised patients. Periodont Insights 1999;3:1-5.
- 27. BOWSHER J, BOYLE S, GRIFFITHS J. A clinical effectiveness systematic review of oral care. Nurs Stand 1999;13(37):31.
- 28. ANAISSIE EJ, PENZAK SR, DIGNANI MC. The hospital water supply as a source of nosocomial infections: a plea for action. Arch Intern Med 2002;162(13):1483-92.
- 29. MATHUR S, MATHUR T, SHRIVASTAVA R, KHA-TRI R. Chlorhexidine: the gold standard in chemical plaque control. Natl J Physiol Pharm Pharmacol 2011;1(2):45-50.
- GRAPMJ, MUNRO CL, UNOKI T, HAMILTON VA, WARD KR. Ventilator-associated pneumonia: the potential critical role of emergency medicine in prevention. J Emerg Med 2012;42(3):353-62.
- 31. ROBERTS N, MOULE P. Chlorhexidine and tooth-brushing as prevention strategies in reducing ventilator-associated pneumonia rates. NursCrit Care 2011;16(6):295-302.
- 32. CABOV T, MACAN D, HUSEDŽINOVIĆ I, ŠKRLIN-ŠUBIĆ J, BOŠNJAK D, ŠESTAN-CRNEK S, *et al.* The impact of oral health and 0.2% chlorhexidine oral gel on the prevalence of nosocomial infections in surgical intensive-care patients: a randomized placebo-controlled study. Wien Klin Wochenschr 2010;122(13-14):397-404.

- 33. ÖZÇAKA Ö, BAŞOĞLU OK, BUDUNELI N, TAŞBA-KAN MS, BACAKOĞLU F, KINANE DF. Chlorhexidine decreases the risk of ventilator-associated pneumonia in intensive care unit patients: a randomized clinical trial. J Periodontal Res 2012;47(5):584-92.
- 34. JÁCOMO AD, CARMONA F, MATSUNO AK, MAN-SO PH, CARLOTTI AP. Effect of oral hygiene with 0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate on the incidence of nosocomial pneumonia in children undergoing cardiac surgery. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2011;32(6):591-6.
- 35. SCANNAPIECO FA, YU J, RAGHAVENDRAN K, VA-CANTI A, OWENS SI, WOOD K, *et al.* A randomized trial of chlorhexidine gluconate on oral bacterial pathogens in mechanically ventilated patients. Crit Care 2009;13(4):R117.
- FEIDER LL, MITCHELL P, BRIDGES E. Oral care practices for orally intubated critically ill adults. Am J Crit Care 2010;19(2):175-83.
- TABLAN O, ANDERSON L, BESSER R, *et al.* Guidelines for preventing health-care-associated pneumonia, 2003. MMWR Recomm Rep 2004;53(RR-3):1-40.
- 38. American Association of Critical Care Nurses [Internet]. Aliso Viejo: American Association of Critical Care Nurses; c2013 [cited 2013 Apr 15]. Oral care for patients at risk for ventilator-associated pneumonia; [pdf, 3 pages]. Available from: http://www.aacn.org/WD/Practice/Docs/PracticeAlerts/oral%20care%2004-2010%20final.pdf.
- 39. ZANATTA FB, ANTONIAZZI RP, RÖSING CK. The effect of 0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate rinsing on previously plaque-free and plaque-covered surfaces: a randomized, controlled clinical trial. J Periodontol 2007;78(11):2127-34.
- 40. BERRY AM, DAVIDSON PM, MASTERS J, ROLLS K, OLLERTON R. Effects of three approaches to standardized oral hygiene to reduce bacterial colonization and ventilator associated pneumonia in mechanically ventilated patients: a randomised control trial. Int J Nurs Stud 2011;48(6):681-8.
- 41. ABELE-HORN M, DAUBER A, BAUERNFEIND A, *et al.* Decrease in nosocomial pneumonia in ventilated patients by selective oropharyngeal decontamination (SOD). Intensive Care Med 1997;23:187-95.
- 42. MILLER M, KEARNEY N. Oral care for patients with cancer: a review of the literature. Cancer Nurs 2001;24(4):241-54.
- 43. ABIDIA RF. Oral care in the intensive care unit: a review. J Contemp Dent Pract 2007;8(1):76-82.
- 44. DANSER M, GOMEZ S, Van der WEIJDEN G. Tongue coating and tongue brushing: a literature review. Int J Dent Hyg 2003;1(3):151-8.
- 45. PRENDERGAST V, JAKOBSSON U, RENVERT S, HALLBERG IR. Effects of a standard *versus* comprehensive oral care protocol among intubated neuroscience ICU patients: results of a randomized controlled trial. J Neurosci Nurs 2012;44(3):134-46.
- 46. PRENDERGAST V, HALLBERG IR, JAKOBSSON U, RENVERT S, MORAN A, GONZALEZ O. Comparison

of oropharyngeal and respiratory nosocomial bacteria between two methods of oral care: a randomized control trial. J Neurol Neurosurg Nurs 2012;1:1-14.

- 47. DeWALT EM. Effect of timed hygienic measures on oral mucosa in a group of elderly subjects. Nurs Res 1975;24:104-8.
- KITE K, PEARSON L. A rationale for mouth care: the integration of theory with practice. Intensive Crit Care Nurs 1995;11(2):71-6.
- 49. CHOO A, DELAC DM, BREARLY MESSER L. Oral hygiene measures and promotion: review and considerations. Aust Dent J 2001;46(3):166-73.
- JONES DJ, MUNRO CL. Oral care and the risk of bloodstream infection in mechanically ventilated adults: a review. Intensive Crit Care Nurs 2008;24(3):152-61.
- 51. ROGERS DE. Host mechanisms which act to remove bacteria from the blood stream. Bacteriol Rev 1960;24(1):50-66.
- JONES DJ, MUNRO CL, GRAP MJ, KITTEN T, ED-MOND M. Oral care and bacteremia risk in mechanically ventilated adults. Heart Lung 2010;39(6 Suppl):S57-65.
- 53. NANCY JA. Evidence to support tooth brushing in critically ill patients. Am J Crit Care 2011;20(3):242-50.
- BERRY AM, DAVIDSON PM, NICHOLSON L, PASQUALOTTO C, ROLLS K. Consensus based clinical guideline for oral hygiene in the critically ill. Intensive Crit Care Nurs 2011;27(4):180-5.

- 55. ROSS A, CRUMPLER J. The impact of an evidence based practice education program on the role of oral care in the prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia. Intensive Crit Care Nurs 2007;23:132-6.
- 56. HUTCHINS K, KARRAS G, ERWIN J, SULLIVAN KL. A comprehensive oral care program reduces rates of ventilator-associated pneumonia in intensive care unit patients. Am J Intensive Care 2008;36(5):81-2.
- KEARNS R, BREWER A, BOOTH M. Oral hygiene practices in Scottish intensive care units – a national survey. JICS 2009;10(2):155-8.
- HUTCHINS K, KARRAS G, ERWIN J, SULLIVAN KL. Ventilator-associated pneumonia and oral care: a successful quality improvement project. Am J Infect Control 2009;37(7):590-7.
- 59. BERALDO CC, ANDRADE DD. Oral hygiene with chlorhexidine in preventing pneumonia associated with mechanical ventilation. J Bras Pneumol 2008;34(9):707-14.
- 60. ALHAZZANI W, SMITH O, MUSCEDERE J, MEDD J, COOK D. Toothbrushing for critically ill mechanically ventilated patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials evaluating ventilator-associated pneumonia. Crit Care Med 2013;41(2):646-55.

#### Sažetak

#### ORALNA HIGIJENA KAO VAŽAN ČIMBENIK U SPRJEČAVANJU PNEUMONIJE POVEZANE S MEHANIČKOMVENTILACIJOM

#### M. Par, A. Badovinac i D. Plančak

Nezadovoljavajuća oralna higijena na jedinicama intenzivnog liječenja (JIL) prepoznata je kao ključno pitanje, jer predstavlja značajan čimbenik rizika za razvoj pneumonije povezane s mehaničkom ventilacijom. Pneumonija povezana s mehaničkom ventilacijom je aspiracijska pneumonija koja se javlja kod mehanički ventiliranih bolesnika i većinom je uzrokovana bakterijama koje koloniziraju usnu šupljinu i zubni plak. Druga je po redu najčešća nozokomijalna infekcija i vodeći je uzrok komplikacija i smrti u mehanički ventiliranih bolesnika. Smatra se kako bi poboljšanje oralne higijene u JIL moglo rezultirati smanjenom incidencijom pneumonije povezane s mehaničkom ventilacijom. Iako su u literaturi predložene razne mjere oralne higijene za bolesnike u JIL, ne postoje dokazi koji bi pokazali koje su od njih najučinkovitije. Iako postoji nekoliko protokola temeljenih na dokazima, mjere oralne higijene se još uvijek provode nedosljedno i razlikuju se među pojedinim JIL. Ovaj rad navodi mjere oralne higijene koje se najčešće provode u JIL i ukazuje na njihove prednosti i nedostatke. Optimalnim mjerama oralne higijene smatraju se četkanje običnom zubnom četkicom i ispiranje klorheksidinom. Iako do danas ne postoji suglasje o najučinkovitijem protokolu oralne skrbi, pokazalo se kako dosljedno provođenje oralne higijene može smanjiti incidenciju pneumonije povezane s mehaničkom ventilacijom u kritično bolesnih pacijenata.

Ključne riječi: Oralna higijena; Jedinice za intenzivnu skrb; Pneumonija povezana s mehaničkom ventilacijom; Infekcija, hospitalna