

## THE LONELY RUNNER PROBLEM FOR MANY RUNNERS

ARTŪRAS DUBICKAS

Vilnius University, Lithuania

ABSTRACT. The lonely runner conjecture asserts that for any positive integer  $n$  and any positive numbers  $v_1 < \dots < v_n$  there exists a positive number  $t$  such that  $\|v_i t\| \geq 1/(n+1)$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . We verify this conjecture for  $n \geq 16342$  under assumption that the speeds of the runners satisfy  $\frac{v_{j+1}}{v_j} \geq 1 + \frac{33 \log n}{n}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $n$  be a positive integer, and let  $v_1 < v_2 < \dots < v_n$  be  $n$  positive real numbers. The *Lonely Runner Conjecture* asserts that there is a positive number  $t$  such that

$$(1.1) \quad \|v_i t\| \geq \frac{1}{n+1}$$

for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Throughout  $\|y\|$  stands for the distance between a real number  $y$  and the nearest integer to  $y$ . Note that inequality (1.1) is optimal if, for instance,  $v_i = vi$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $v > 0$  is a fixed real number (see, e.g., [6]). For some  $n$  there are also other values of  $v_i$ 's when equality in (1.1) is attained (see [12]).

The conjecture originally comes from the paper of Wills ([17]), where it is stated for integer  $v_i$ 's. Independently, this problem was considered by Cusick ([7]). The name of the lonely runner conjecture comes from the following beautiful interpretation of the problem due to Goddyn ([5]). *Suppose  $k$  runners having distinct constant speeds start at a common point and run laps on a circular track with circumference 1. Then for any given runner there is a time at which that runner is at least  $1/k$  (along the track) away from every*

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11J13, 11J25, 11J71.

*Key words and phrases.* Lonely runner conjecture, Diophantine approximation, Lovász local lemma.

*other runner.* Taking  $k = n + 1$  and assuming that the speeds of the runners are  $u_0 < u_1 < \dots < u_n$ , we see that at the time  $t > 0$  the runner with speed, say,  $u_0$  is at distance  $\geq 1/(n + 1)$  from all other runners if and only if (1.1) holds for  $v_i = u_i - u_0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

It seems that the lonely runner conjecture is very deep in general. It is known that it has some useful applications to so-called view-obstruction problems, flows in regular matroids, chromatic numbers for distance graphs, etc. ([5, 7, 8, 13]). The problem has been settled for  $n = 2, 3$  ([4]),  $n = 4$  (first in [8] and then a simpler proof was found in [5]),  $n = 5$  ([6], a simpler proof in [15]). Recently, Barajas and Serra ([2]) proved the conjecture for  $n = 6$ . For each  $n \geq 7$  the lonely runner conjecture is still open.

On the other hand, there are some conditions on the speeds of the runners  $v_1 < \dots < v_n$  under which the lonely runner conjecture holds. If, for example,

$$(1.2) \quad v_n/v_1 \leq n$$

then taking  $t_0 = 1/(n + 1)v_1$  it is easy to see that the numbers  $v_i t_0 = v_i/(n + 1)v_1$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , all lie in the interval  $[1/(n + 1), n/(n + 1)]$ , so (1.1) holds.

Recently, Pandey ([14]) showed that the condition

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{v_{j+1}}{v_j} \geq \frac{2(n+1)}{n-1}$$

for each  $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$  implies (1.1). This inequality (in a slightly different form) was also obtained by Ruzsa, Tuza and Voigt ([16]), and then the constant  $2(n + 1)/(n - 1)$  was improved to 2 in [3]. Using the same method of nested intervals as in [14] one can easily prove (1.1) under condition

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{v_{j+1}}{v_j} \geq \frac{2n}{n-1}$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$  which is slightly weaker than (1.3) (see the beginning of Section 2).

In this note we prove the following:

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $n \geq 32$ , and let  $v_1 < v_2 < \dots < v_n$  be positive real numbers satisfying*

$$v_{j+[(n+1)/12e]} \geq (n+1)v_j$$

*for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n - [(n+1)/12e]$ . Then there is a positive number  $t$  such that  $\|v_i t\| > 1/(n + 1)$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .*

Here and below,  $[y]$  stands for the integral part of a real number  $y$ . Theorem 1.1 implies the following improvement of the conditions (1.3), (1.4) under which (1.1) holds:

**COROLLARY 1.2.** *Suppose that  $\kappa$  is a constant strictly greater than  $8e = 21.74625\dots$ . Then there is a positive integer  $n(\kappa)$  such that for each integer*

$n \geq n(\kappa)$  and each collection of  $n$  positive numbers  $v_1 < v_2 < \dots < v_n$  satisfying

$$(1.5) \quad \frac{v_{j+1}}{v_j} \geq 1 + \frac{\kappa \log n}{n}$$

for every  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  there is a positive number  $t$  such that

$$(1.6) \quad \|v_i t\| > 1/(n+1)$$

for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . In particular, for  $\kappa = 33$ , one can take  $n(33) = 16342$ .

Note that the condition

$$\frac{v_{j+1}}{v_j} \geq 1 + \frac{22 \log n}{n},$$

$j = 1, \dots, n-1$ , of Corollary 1.2 with  $\kappa = 22 > 8e$  yields  $v_n/v_1 \geq (1 + \frac{22 \log n}{n})^{n-1}$ . Here, the right hand side is approximately  $n^{22}$  for large  $n$ . Comparing with (1.2) we see that there is still a polynomial gap between  $n$  and  $n^{22}$  for the bounds on  $v_n/v_1$  for which the lonely runner conjecture is not verified. At least this gap is smaller than a corresponding exponential gap between  $n$  and (roughly)  $2^{n-1}$  which comes from (1.3) and (1.4).

We remark that, by Lemma 6 in [10], for any positive numbers  $v_1 < \dots < v_n$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $T > 0$  there is an interval  $I = [u_0, u_0 + \varepsilon/2v_n]$ , where  $u_0 > T$ , such that

$$\|v_i t\| < \varepsilon$$

for each  $t \in I$  and each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . This shows that all the runners can be arbitrarily close to their starting position at arbitrarily large time  $t$ . The referee pointed out that this problem is somewhat related to Bogolyubov's theorem on Bohr neighborhoods and, despite some similarity to the lonely runner, has a different nature.

We shall derive Theorem 1.1 from Lemma 2.1 below. Since the proof of the lemma is based on a so-called Lovász local lemma (see [1] and [11]), the Lovász lemma is implicitly present in the proofs below.

## 2. PROOFS

We first prove that (1.4) implies (1.1). Indeed, setting  $I_1 := [1/(n+1)v_1, n/(n+1)v_1]$  we see that  $\|v_1 t\| \geq 1/(n+1)$  for each  $t \in I_1$ . Put  $k_1 := 0$ . We claim that there is a sequence of nested intervals  $I_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq I_n$  of the form  $I_i := [(k_i + 1/(n+1))/v_i, (k_i + n/(n+1))/v_i]$  with integer  $k_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $\|v_i t\| \geq 1/(n+1)$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . The proof is by induction. Assume that we have such sequence of nested intervals  $I_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq I_j$ , where  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ . Note that the interval

$$\left[ \frac{v_{j+1}k_j}{v_j} + \frac{v_{j+1}/v_j - 1}{n+1}, \frac{v_{j+1}k_j}{v_j} + \frac{n(v_{j+1}/v_j - 1)}{n+1} \right]$$

contains a positive integer, say,  $k_{j+1}$ , because the length of this interval is  $\geq 1$ , by (1.4). From

$$\frac{v_{j+1}k_j}{v_j} + \frac{v_{j+1}/v_j - 1}{n+1} \leq k_{j+1} \leq \frac{v_{j+1}k_j}{v_j} + \frac{n(v_{j+1}/v_j - 1)}{n+1}$$

we deduce that  $I_{j+1} := [(k_{j+1} + 1/(n+1))/v_{j+1}, (k_{j+1} + n/(n+1))/v_{j+1}] \subseteq I_j$ . This completes the induction step and so proves that (1.4) implies (1.1).

The next lemma is Theorem 1.1 with dimension  $m = 1$  from [9].

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $(\xi_k)_{k=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of real numbers. If  $h$  is a positive integer,  $c(h)$  is a real number greater than  $4eh$  and  $(t_k)_{k=1}^\infty$  is a sequence of positive numbers satisfying  $t_{k+h} \geq c(h)t_k$  for each integer  $k \geq 1$  then there is a real number  $x$  such that*

$$\|t_k x - \xi_k\| > \frac{1}{8eh} - \frac{1}{2c(h)}$$

for every  $k \geq 1$ .

Take  $\xi_k := 0$  for each  $k \geq 1$ . Put  $t_k := v_k$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$  and, say,  $t_k := v_n c(h)^{k-n}$  for  $k \geq n+1$ . By Lemma 2.1, there is a real number  $x$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad \|v_k x\| > \frac{1}{8eh} - \frac{1}{2c(h)}$$

for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . Since  $\|y\| = \|-y\|$ , the same inequality holds for  $t := |x| > 0$  instead of  $x$ . Obviously,  $x \neq 0$ , so  $t > 0$ .

Put  $h := \lceil (n+1)/12e \rceil$  and  $c(h) := n+1$ . Note that  $h \geq 1$ , because  $n \geq 32$ . Since  $e \notin \mathbb{Q}$ , we have  $h < (n+1)/12e$ . Thus the right hand side of (2.1) is

$$\frac{1}{8eh} - \frac{1}{2c(h)} = \frac{1}{8e\lceil (n+1)/12e \rceil} - \frac{1}{2(n+1)} > \frac{12e}{8e(n+1)} - \frac{1}{2(n+1)} = \frac{1}{n+1}.$$

Therefore, the inequality  $\|v_i t\| > 1/(n+1)$  holds for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  provided that  $v_{i+h} \geq (n+1)v_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-h$ . This is exactly the condition of Theorem 1.1. The proof of the theorem is completed.

We first prove that one can take  $n(33) = 16342$  in Corollary 1.2. Assume that inequality (1.5) holds with  $\kappa = 33$ . To apply Theorem 1.1 we will check with Maple that

$$\left(1 + \frac{33 \log n}{n}\right)^h = \left(1 + \frac{33 \log n}{n}\right)^{\lceil (n+1)/12e \rceil} > n+1$$

for each integer  $n \geq 16342$ . Indeed, the function

$$g(z) := \left\lceil \frac{z+1}{12e} \right\rceil \log \left(1 + \frac{33 \log z}{z}\right) - \log(z+1)$$

is positive for  $z \geq 16342$  except for two intervals  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  such that  $J_1 \subset (16373, 16374)$  and  $J_2 \subset (16406, 16407)$ . At the points  $z =$

16373, 16374, 16406, 16407 the function  $g(z)$  is positive. Thus  $g(n) > 0$  for each integer  $n \geq 16342$ .

For the proof of Corollary 1.2 we assume that (1.5) holds with some  $\kappa > 8e$ . We shall derive inequality (1.6) directly from Lemma 2.1. Set  $\epsilon := (k - 8e)/(4e + 1)$ . Then  $\epsilon > 0$  satisfies

$$(2.2) \quad 8e(1 + \epsilon/2) = \kappa - \epsilon.$$

This time, we select  $h := \lceil (n + 1)/(\kappa - \epsilon) \rceil$  and  $c(h) := \lceil (n + 1)/\epsilon \rceil + 1$ . Then, by (2.2), the right hand side of (2.1) is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{8eh} - \frac{1}{2c(h)} &= \frac{1}{8e\lceil (n + 1)/(\kappa - \epsilon) \rceil} - \frac{1}{2(\lceil (n + 1)/\epsilon \rceil + 1)} \\ &> \frac{\kappa - \epsilon}{8e(n + 1)} - \frac{\epsilon}{2(n + 1)} = \frac{1}{n + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.1, inequality (1.6) holds for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and some  $t > 0$  provided that  $v_{i+h} \geq (\lceil (n + 1)/\epsilon \rceil + 1)v_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n - h$ . Note that (1.5) implies  $v_{i+h} \geq (1 + \frac{\kappa \log n}{n})^h v_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n - h$ . Since  $h \geq 1$  for  $n \geq \kappa$ , it remains to prove the inequality

$$(2.3) \quad \left(1 + \frac{\kappa \log n}{n}\right)^{\lceil (n+1)/(\kappa-\epsilon) \rceil} \geq \lceil (n + 1)/\epsilon \rceil + 1$$

for each sufficiently large  $n$ .

It is clear that  $\kappa/(\kappa - \epsilon) > 1 + \epsilon/\kappa$ . Thus there is a positive integer  $n_1 = n_1(\epsilon, \kappa) = n_1(\kappa)$  such that the left hand side of (2.3) is greater than  $n^{1+\epsilon/\kappa}$  for  $n \geq n_1$ . On the other hand, there is a positive integer  $n_2 = n_2(\epsilon, \kappa) = n_2(\kappa)$  such that the right hand side of (2.3) is at most  $2n/\epsilon < n^{1+\epsilon/\kappa}$  for  $n \geq n_2$ . Thus (2.3) holds for each  $n \geq \max(n_1(\kappa), n_2(\kappa))$ . This completes the proof of the corollary.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

I thank the referee who quickly wrote a detailed report containing some useful information.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N. Alon and J. Spencer, *The probabilistic method*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 2000, 2nd ed.
- [2] J. Barajas and O. Serra, *The lonely runner with seven runners*, *Electron. J. Combin.* **15** (2008), R48, 18 pp.
- [3] J. Barajas and O. Serra, *On the chromatic number of circulant graphs*, *Discrete Math.* **309** (2009), 5687–5696.
- [4] U. Betke and J.M. Wills, *Untere Schranken für zwei diophantische Approximations-Funktionen*, *Monatsh. Math.* **76** (1972), 214–217.
- [5] W. Bienia, L. Goddyn, P. Gvozdzjak, A. Sebő and M. Tarsi, *Flows, view obstructions and the lonely runner*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **72** (1998), 1–9.
- [6] T. Bohman, R. Holzman and D. Kleitman, *Six lonely runners*, *Electron. J. Combin.* **8**(2) (2001), R3, 49 pp.

- [7] T. W. Cusick, *View-obstruction problems in  $n$ -dimensional geometry*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **16** (1974), 1–11.
- [8] T. W. Cusick and C. Pomerance, *View-obstruction problems III*, J. Number Theory **19** (1984), 131–139.
- [9] A. Dubickas, *An approximation by lacunary sequence of vectors*, Combin. Probab. Comput. **17** (2008), 339–345.
- [10] A. Dubickas, *An approximation property of lacunary sequences*, Israel J. Math. **170** (2009), 95–111.
- [11] P. Erdős and L. Lovász, *Problems and results of 3-chromatic hypergraphs and some related questions*, in: A. Hajnal et al., eds. Infinite and finite sets (dedic. to P. Erdős on his 60th birthday), Vol. II. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1975, pp. 609–627.
- [12] L. Goddyn and E. B. Wong, *Tight instances of the lonely runner*, Integers **6** (2006), #A38, 14 pp.
- [13] D. D. F. Liu, *From rainbow to the lonely runner: a survey on coloring parameters of distance graphs*, Taiwanese J. Math. **12** (2008), 851–871.
- [14] R. K. Pandey, *A note on the lonely runner conjecture*, J. Integer Seq. **12** (2009), Article 09.4.6, 4 pp.
- [15] J. Renault, *View-obstruction: a shorter proof for 6 lonely runners*, Discrete Math. **287** (2004), 93–101.
- [16] I. Ruzsa, Zs. Tuza and M. Voigt, *Distance graphs with finite chromatic number*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **85** (2002), 181–187.
- [17] J. M. Wills, *Zwei Sätze über inhomogene diophantische Approximation von Irrationalzahlen*, Monatsh. Math. **71** (1967), 263–269.

A. Dubickas  
Department of Mathematics and Informatics  
Vilnius University  
Naugarduko 24, Vilnius LT-03225  
Lithuania  
*E-mail:* arturas.dubickas@mif.vu.lt

*Received:* 3.12.2009.

*Revised:* 12.3.2010.