

## H-SPACES OF SELF-EQUIVALENCES OF FIBRATIONS

Renzo A. Piccinini

Let  $G(p)$  be the space of all equivariant automorphisms of a principal  $G$ -bundle  $p : E \rightarrow B$ , topologized as a subspace of  $M(E, E)$ , the space of maps from  $E$  to itself. Composition of automorphisms gives  $G(p)$  a group structure and indeed,  $G(p)$  is a topological group. The topological group  $G(p)$  has been used quite frequently in connection with certain problems of Theoretical Physics; for example, it appears in the Feynman approach to Quantum Mechanics as the group of all gauge transformations of a smooth principal  $G$ -bundle  $p$ , with  $G$  a Lie group. In these problems, it is necessary on several occasions to know more about the space  $G(p)$  or about certain of its homotopy groups (see [8]). Clearly, if  $p$  is a trivial  $G$ -bundle over a space  $B$ , then  $G(p)$  is homeomorphic to the space  $M(B, G)$ . In general, if  $f \in G(p)$  and  $x \in E$ , because  $G$  acts effectively and transitively on fibres there is a unique  $g \in G$  such that  $f(x) = gx$ . This gives rise to a homeomorphism  $\theta$  from  $G(p)$  to the space  $M_C(E, G)$  of all maps  $\varphi$  from  $E$  to  $G$ , such that  $\varphi(gx) = g\varphi(x)g^{-1}$  for all  $g \in G$  and all  $x \in E$ , in practice, a difficult space to deal with. Note that if  $G$  is abelian,  $\theta : G(p) \cong M(B, G)$  [6]. This is a better result but, of course, it is too limited. A more general result was obtained by D.H.Gottlieb in 1972 [5]: if  $BG$  is the classifying space for  $G$ ,  $k : B \rightarrow BG$  is the classifying map for the principal  $G$ -bundle  $p : E \rightarrow B$  and  $M(B, BG; k)$  is the path-component of  $M(B, BG)$  containing  $k$ , then

**Proposition 1**— $G(p) \simeq_w \Omega M(B, BG; k)$  ( $\simeq_w$  = weak homotopy equivalence).

As for other types of fibrations, probably the first result along the lines of Proposition 1 was also obtained by Gottlieb [4]. To describe it, we must recall the following classification theorem, due to A. Dold: "let  $E^F(B)$  be the set of all fibre-homotopy equivalence classes of Hurewicz fibrations over a path-connected CW-complex  $B$  and with fibres of the homotopy type of a fixed space  $F$ ; then, there is a CW-complex  $B_\infty$  such that the functors  $E^F$  and  $[ \cdot, B_\infty ]$  of CW into Set are naturally equivalent" (here  $[X, Y]$  represents the set of all homotopy classes of maps from  $X$  into  $Y$ ; see [3], Corollary 16.9).

**Proposition 2**—If  $p : E \rightarrow B$  is a Hurewicz fibration with fibre  $F$ ,  $B$  is a path-connected CW-complex and  $k : B \rightarrow B_\infty$  is the classifying map, the space  $G(p)$  of all self-fibre homotopy equivalences of  $p$  is such that

$$\pi_0(G(p)) \simeq \pi_0(\Omega M(B, B_\infty; k)).$$

The purpose of this note is to report results of a joint work with P. Booth, P. Heath and C. Morgan, concerning the study — in a unified fashion — of the homotopy type and certain homotopy groups of the space  $G(p)$ , where  $p$  is an object of an arbitrary category of fibrations over CW-complexes. Proofs will be given elsewhere.

The main examples of categories of fibrations we have in mind are the following (note that all fibrations considered have a path-connected CW-complex as a base space).

- (I) Dold fibrations with fibres of the homotopy type of a fixed space  $F$  (we define a *Dold fibration* as a fibration satisfying the *Weak Covering Homotopy Property* [2]);
- (II) Hurewicz fibrations with fibres of the homotopy type of a fixed space  $F$ ;

- (III) principal  $G$ -bundles,  $G$  a topological group;
- (IV) smooth principal  $G$ -bundles,  $G$  a Lie group;
- (V) vector bundles with fibres isomorphic to a fixed vector space  $V$ ;
- (VI) fibre bundles with fibre  $F$ , corresponding to a given effective action of a compact topological group  $G$ ;
- (VII) principal  $H$ -fibrations with fibres of the homotopy type of a strictly associative  $H$ -space with strict identity (see [1], Ex.3).

All these categories have in common the fact that each has a Universal Object  $(E_\infty, p_\infty, B_\infty)$  from which one deduces a Classification Theorem of Dold's type; furthermore, in each one of these examples,  $(E_\infty, p_\infty, B_\infty)$  also satisfies another type of universality which we shall describe later on and which plays a crucial role in our considerations.

In order to unify these ideas we begin by taking a category  $F$  with a distinguished object  $F$  and a faithful underlying space functor  $F \rightarrow K$ , where  $K$  is the convenient category of  $k$ -spaces, that is to say,  $K$  is the image of  $\text{Top}$  under the functor  $k : \text{Top} \rightarrow \text{Top}$  - called the  $k$ -ification functor - obtained as a left Kan-extension of the imbedding  $C \rightarrow \text{Top}$  over itself, where  $C$  is the category of all compact Hausdorff spaces. It is also assumed that for any two objects  $X, Y \in F$ ,  $F(X, Y)$  is non empty. We then define an  $F$ -space as a triple  $(E, p, B)$  such that  $B$  is a CW-complex,  $E \in K$ ,  $p : E \rightarrow B$  is a map in  $K$  and finally, for every  $b \in B$ ,  $E_b = p^{-1}(b) \in F$ . An  $F$ -map  $(f_1, f_0) : (E, p, B) \rightarrow (E', p', B')$  is given by two maps  $f_1 : E \rightarrow E'$ ,  $f_0 : B \rightarrow B'$  such that  $p' f_1 = f_0 p$  and the restriction of  $f_1$  to any fibre  $E_b$  is a morphism of  $F$ . If  $B = B'$  and  $f_0 = 1_B$ , an  $F$ -map  $(f_1, 1_B)$  is said to be an  $F$ -map over  $B$ . An  $F$ -homotopy is an  $F$ -map  $(H, h)$  such that  $pH = h q \times 1$ . If  $A = B$  and  $h$  is the projection map, we have the notion of  $F$ -homotopy over  $B$ . An  $F$ -map  $g : X \rightarrow E$  over  $B$  is an  $F$ -homotopy equivalence if there exists an  $F$ -map  $g' : E \rightarrow X$  over  $B$  such that  $gg'$  and  $g'g$  are  $F$ -homotopic

over  $B$  to the respective identity maps. We now once more restrict the category  $F$  by requiring that every morphism of  $F$  is an  $F$ -homotopy equivalence over a point.

We are now prepared to define formally what we intend for a category of fibrations relatively to a category  $F$ .

**Definition** - A category of fibrations is a non-empty, full subcategory  $A$  of the category of  $F$ -spaces and  $F$ -maps such that:

- [1]  $(F, c, X) \in A$ , where  $X$  is a singleton space and  $c$  is the constant map;
- [2] If  $(E, p, B) \in A, A \in CW$  and  $l: A \rightarrow B$  is a map, the pullback  $(l^*(E), p_l, A) \in A$ ;
- [3]  $A$  is closed under  $F$ -isomorphisms over a fixed base space;
- [4] If  $(E, p, B) \in A$ , there is a numerable open covering  $(U)$  of  $B$  such that, for every  $U \in (U)$   $p: p^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$  is  $F$ -homotopy equivalent to  $pr: U \times F \rightarrow U$ .

As examples of categories of fibrations we quote the categories [I] to [VII] described earlier; we limit ourselves to define the category  $F$  in each case. For the examples numbered [I] and [III],  $F$  is the category of all spaces of the same homotopy type as  $F$  and all homotopy equivalences between these spaces. For [III] and [IV],  $F$  is the category whose objects are right  $G$ -spaces  $Y$  such that, for all  $y \in Y$ , the function  $\bar{y}: G \rightarrow Y$  defined by  $\bar{y}(g) = yg$  is a homeomorphism; its morphisms are  $G$ -maps. For [V],  $F$  consists of all vector spaces isomorphic to  $V$  and all isomorphisms between such vector spaces. For [VI], we first assume that  $G$  acts effectively on the left of  $F$ ; then, we define  $F$  by taking for its objects all pairs  $(X, \psi)$  such that  $X$  is a left  $G$ -space and  $\psi: F \rightarrow X$  is a homeomorphism of left  $G$ -spaces; the set of morphisms from  $(X, \psi)$  to  $(X', \psi')$  is given by

$$F((X, \psi), (X', \psi')) = (\psi' g \psi^{-1} \mid g \in G)$$

with the obvious operation of composition. Finally, for [VII],  $F$  is similar to that of [III] ([1], Example 3).

We continue as in [1] by defining, for two arbitrary  $F$ -spaces  $(X, g, A)$  and

$(Y, r, B)$ , the functional space

$$X \times Y = \bigcup_{\substack{a \in A \\ b \in B}} F(X_a, Y_b)$$

and the function

$$q \times r : X \times Y \rightarrow A \times B, q \times r(f : X_a \rightarrow Y_b) = (a, b).$$

The topology of  $X \times Y$  is given as follows. Let  $Y^+ = Y \cup \{\infty\}$  be the  $k$ -ification of the topology defined by requiring that  $C$  is closed in  $Y^+$  if either  $C = Y^+$  or if  $C$  is closed in  $Y$ . Now define the function  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow M(X, Y^+)$  by  $f(f)(x) = f(x)$  if  $x \in X_a, f : X_a \rightarrow Y_b$  and  $f(f)(x) = \infty$  otherwise. ( $M(X, Y^+)$  is endowed with the compact open topology). Then we give  $X \times Y$  the  $k$ -ification of the initial topology with respect to  $f$  and  $q \times r$ . In general,  $(X \times Y, q \times r, A \times B)$  is not an  $F$ -space; however, the following holds.

**Theorem 1**—If  $(X, q, A), (Y, r, B) \in \mathcal{A}$  then,  $q \times r : X \times Y \rightarrow A \times B$  is a Dold fibration.

As we have mentioned before, each one of the categories described in the examples [I] to [VIII] has a (free) universal object  $(E_\infty, p_\infty, B_\infty)$ . It also happens that in these examples, the Dold fibration  $(F \times E_\infty, c \times p_\infty, \mathbb{R} \times B_\infty)$  has a weakly contractible total space (i.e., for every non-negative integer  $n, \pi_n(F \times E_\infty) = 0$ ); in this case, we say that  $(E_\infty, p_\infty, B_\infty)$  is *Weakly Contractible Universal*. We wish to observe, at this point, that if a category of fibrations has a weakly contractible universal object, then such object is also free universal; however the converse is not necessarily true ([1], Theorem 3.2 and Example 4).

For a given object  $(E, p, B)$  of the category of fibrations  $\mathcal{A}$  let  $G(p)$  be the space of all  $F$ -homotopy equivalences of  $p$  into itself over  $B$ , topologized as a subspace of  $M(E, E)$ ; notice that the composition of  $F$ -maps of  $p$  into  $p$  over  $B$  gives to  $G(p)$  a continuous product under which  $G(p)$  becomes an associative  $H$ -space with a strict two-sided unit defined by the identity morphism of  $p$  into itself

over  $B$ .

**Theorem 2**—Let  $A$  be a category of fibrations with a weakly contractible object  $(E_\infty, p_\infty, E_\infty)$  and let  $(E, p, B)$  be an arbitrary element of  $A$ ; suppose that  $k: B \rightarrow B_\infty$  is a classifying map for  $(E, p, B)$ . Then, there exists an  $H$ -map

$$\delta: \Omega M(B, B_\infty; k) \rightarrow G(p)$$

which is a weak homotopy equivalence.

Observe that the Dold fibration  $F \times E_\infty \rightarrow B_\infty$  has fibre  $F \times F$  and so, if  $F \times F$  has the homotopy type of a CW-complex,  $F \times E_\infty$  is contractible; this, in turn, will imply that the  $H$ -map  $\delta$  of Theorem 2 is a homotopy equivalence. This is precisely the situation of Example [IV], since  $G \times G$  is homeomorphic to  $G$ .

From now on, we shall assume for technical reasons that  $(E, p, B)$  is an object of the category of fibrations  $A$  which satisfies a strengthened version of axiom [4] in the definition of a category of fibrations, implying that if  $(X, q, A)$  and  $(Y, r, B)$  are objects of  $A$  then,  $(X \times Y, q \times r, A \times B)$  is a Hurewicz fibration; furthermore, we suppose that  $A$  has a weakly contractible universal object  $(E_\infty, p_\infty, B_\infty)$ . This is the case of examples [III] and [VI]. Let  $F$  be the fibre of  $(E, p, B)$  over a point  $x \in B$  and define  $G^1(p)$  to be the subspace of  $G(p)$  of all  $F$ -homotopy equivalences of  $p$  over itself over  $B$  which extend the identity map  $1_F: F \rightarrow F$ . The space  $G^1(p)$  has proved itself very useful in certain problems of Mathematical Physics, where  $(E, p, B)$  is an object of the category [IV] (see [8]). We wish to observe that the relation between  $G^1(p)$  and  $G(p)$  is deeper than just the relation "subspace-space": in fact,

**Theorem 3**—There is a Hurewicz fibration  $G(p) \rightarrow F \times F$  with fibre  $G^1(p)$  over  $1_F$ .

A result similar to Theorem 2 holds for  $G^1(p)$ : in what follows  $M_\Delta(B, B_\infty; k)$  denotes the space of all based maps from  $B$  to  $B_\infty$ .

**Theorem 4**—There is an H-map

$$\delta: \Omega M_{\mathbb{K}}(B, B_{\infty}; k) \rightarrow G^1(\rho)$$

which is a weak homotopy equivalence (or a strong homotopy equivalence if  $F\mathbb{K}E_{\infty}$  is contractible).

Next, consider the Hurewicz fibration  $F\mathbb{K}E_{\infty} \rightarrow B_{\infty}$  (with fibre  $F\mathbb{K}F$  over  $b = k(x) \in B_{\infty}$ ) and its long homotopy sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \Omega(F\mathbb{K}E_{\infty}) \rightarrow \Omega B_{\infty} \rightarrow F\mathbb{K}F \rightarrow F\mathbb{K}E_{\infty} \rightarrow B_{\infty}:$$

because  $F\mathbb{K}E_{\infty}$  is weakly contractible,

$$\delta: \Omega B_{\infty} \rightarrow F\mathbb{K}F$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence (strong homotopy equivalence if  $F\mathbb{K}E_{\infty}$  is contractible). This fact is used to prove the following.

**Theorem 5**— Suppose that all path-components of  $M(B, B_{\infty})$  (resp.  $M_{\mathbb{K}}(B, B_{\infty})$ ) have the same homotopy type. Then

$$G(\rho) \simeq_w M(B, F\mathbb{K}F) \quad (\text{resp. } G^1(\rho) \simeq_w M_{\mathbb{K}}(B, F\mathbb{K}F))$$

(strong homotopy equivalence if  $F\mathbb{K}E_{\infty}$  is contractible); furthermore, these weak (strong) equivalences preserve the H-space structures.

In connection to the previous theorem the reader should recall that if  $B$  is an H-cogroup (e.g.,  $B$  is a suspension space) then the hypothesis of Theorem 5 hold for  $M_{\mathbb{K}}(B, B_{\infty})$  and if  $B_{\infty}$  is an H-group (e.g.,  $B_{\infty} = BU, BO, BSp$ ) then these hypothesis hold for both  $M(B, B_{\infty})$  and  $M_{\mathbb{K}}(B, B_{\infty})$ .

**Theorem 6**— If  $F\mathbb{K}F$  is  $(n-1)$ -connected ( $n$  positive) and  $\dim B = m < 2n$ , then for  $0 \leq j < 2n-m$

$$\pi_j(G(\rho)) \cong \pi_j(M(B, F\mathbb{K}F; c))$$

$$\pi_j(G^1(\rho)) \cong \pi_j(M_{\mathbb{K}}(B, F\mathbb{K}F; c))$$

where  $c : B \rightarrow F \times F$  is the constant map to  $1_F$ .

We complete these notes with a few computations. If  $(E, p, B)$  is a smooth principal  $Sp(1)$ -bundle and  $B$  is a manifold of dimension  $m < 5$ , since  $Sp(1) \cong S^3$  and  $Sp(1) \times Sp(1) \cong Sp(1)$ , theorem 6 shows that if  $0 < j < 6-m$ ,

$$\pi_j(G(p)) \cong \pi_j(M(B, Sp(1)))$$

and

$$\pi_j(G^1(p)) \cong \pi_j(M_{\times}(B, Sp(1))).$$

If  $p$  is a smooth principal  $G$ -bundle over a sphere  $S^n$ ,  $n > 0$ , then

$$G^1(p) \cong M_{\times}(S^n, G)$$

and thus the homotopy groups of  $G^1(p)$  are totally determined by the homotopy groups of  $G$ , since, for every  $j > 0$ ,  $\pi_j(G^1(p)) \cong \pi_{j+n}(G)$ . If  $p$  is a smooth principal  $U$ -bundle over a manifold  $B$ , then  $G(p) = M(B, U)$  and  $G^1(p) = M_{\times}(B, U)$ ; if, in particular,  $B = S^n$  and  $n > 0$ , then

$$\pi_j(G^1(p)) \cong \pi_{j+n}(U) \cong \begin{cases} 0, \text{ if } j=\text{even}, n=\text{even} & \mathbb{Z}, \text{ if } j=\text{even}, n=\text{odd} \\ & \text{or} \\ \mathbb{Z}, \text{ if } j=\text{odd}, n=\text{even} & 0, \text{ if } j=\text{odd}, n=\text{odd} \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, Theorem 2.2 of [7] shows that  $M(S^n, U) \cong U \times M_{\times}(S^n, U)$  and so,

$$\pi_j(G(p)) \cong \begin{cases} 0, \text{ if } j=\text{even}, n=\text{even} & \mathbb{Z}, \text{ if } j=\text{even}, n=\text{odd} \\ & \text{or} \\ \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, \text{ if } j=\text{odd}, n=\text{even} & \mathbb{Z}, \text{ if } j=\text{odd}, n=\text{odd} \end{cases}$$

Finally, we recall from the Milnor construction of universal bundles that each countable, connected CW-complex  $X$  can be viewed as the base space of a universal  $G$ -bundle ( $G$  is constructed from  $X$ ): let us take  $X$  to be  $S^4$  and let  $k : S^4 \rightarrow S^4$  be a degree  $k$  function and let  $(E_k, p_k, S^4)$  be the corresponding principal  $G$ -bundle. Then,



$$\pi_2(G(\varphi_k)) \cong \pi_2(\Omega M(S^4, S^4; k)) \cong \pi_3(M(S^4, S^4; k)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{24|k|} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{12}.$$

according to ([7], Lemma 3.10). Since  $G^1(\varphi) = M_{\mathbb{X}}(S^4, G)$  it follows that

$$\pi_2(G^1(\varphi)) \cong \pi_6(G) \cong \pi_7(S^4) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{12}.$$

Independently of  $k$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] P.Booth,P.Heath and R.Piccinini, *Characterizing Universal fibrations*, Springer Lecture Notes In Mathematics n.673 (1978).168-184.
- [2] A.Dold.*Partitions of Unity in the Theory of Fibrations*, Ann.of Math.78 (1963).223-255.
- [3] A.Dold.*Halbexakte Homotopiefunkoren*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics,n.12 (1966) .
- [4] D.H.Gottlieb.*On fibre Spaces and the Evaluation Map*, Ann.of Math.87 (1968).42-55.
- [5] D.H.Gottlieb.*Applications of Bundle Map Theory*,Transact. Amer.Math.Soc. 171 (1972).23-50.
- [6] I.M.James.*The Space of Bundle Maps*,Topology 2 (1963).45-59.
- [7] S.S.Koh.*Note on the Homotopy Properties of the Components of the Mapping Space  $X^S$* ,Proc.Amer.Math.Soc. 11 (1960).896-904.
- [8] I.M.Singer.*Some Remarks on the Gribov ambiguity*,Comm. Math.Phys.60 (1978).7-12.

Memorial University of Newfoundland  
 Sr. John's, Newfoundland, Canada A/B 3X7