

THE NORMAL AND MACKEY TOPOLOGIES
ON CO-ECHELON SPACES

by

J. PRADA BLANCO

Abstract. A necessary and sufficient condition for the coincidence of the normal and Mackey topologies on a co-echelon space of order one is studied.

Introduction. Let $a_n^{(k)}$, $n=0,1,\dots$, $k=1,2,\dots$ be such that the following conditions are satisfied.

a) $a_n^{(k)} > 0$, for each k and n .

b) $a_n^{(1)} \leq a_n^{(2)} \leq a_n^{(3)} \dots n=0,1,\dots$

Let E and E^X be the echelon and co-echelon spaces respectively, corresponding to the steps $a_n^{(k)}$ [1, p.419].

In this paper, the following theorem is proved.

Theorem. *The normal and Mackey topologies in E^X coincide if and only if E with the normal topology is nuclear.*

It is known that the normal and Mackey topologies in E^X coincide if and only if for every sequence (x_n) satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \cdot a_n^{(k)} = 0$ for $k=1,2,\dots$, it follows that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |x_n| \cdot a_n^{(k)} < \infty$, for every $k=1,2,\dots$ [3].

On the other hand, the Grothendieck-Pietsch criterium establishes that E with the normal topology is nuclear if and only if for every k , there exists an $N(k)$ such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^{(k)} / a_n^{(N(k))} < \infty$ [2, p.98].

The previous theorem is, then, an immediate consequence of the following proposition.

Proposition. Let k_0 be such that for each $j = 1, 2, \dots$, we have $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^{(k_0)} / a_n^{(j)} = \infty$. There exists a sequence (a_n) , $a_n > 0$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$,

such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \cdot a_n^{(k)} = 0$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$ while $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \cdot a_n^{(k_0)} = \infty$.

Proof. $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^{(k_0)} / a_n^{(j)} = \infty$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$ implies that there exists

$0 < n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ such that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{n_1} a_n^{(k_0)} / a_n^{(1)} \geq 2$$

$$\sum_{n=n_i+1}^{n_{i+1}} a_n^{(k_0)} / a_n^{(i+1)} \geq 2^{i+1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Consider, now, the sequence

$$\frac{1}{2} (a_n^{(1)})^{-1}, \quad 0 \leq n \leq n_1$$

$$a_n =$$

$$\frac{1}{2^{i+1}} \cdot (a_n^{(i+1)})^{-1}, \quad n_i + 1 \leq n \leq n_{i+1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

It is obvious that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \cdot a_n^{(k)} = 0$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$ because given k , we have

$$a_n^{(j)}^{-1} \cdot a_n^{(k)} \leq 1, \quad j \geq k, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

However $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \cdot a_n^{(k_0)} = \omega$.

REFERENCES

- 1 G. Köthe, Topological Vector Spaces I, Springer-Verlag. Berlin.
Heidelberg, New York, 1969.
- 2 A. Pietsch, Nuclear locally convex spaces, Springer-Verlag. Berlin.
Heidelberg. New York, 1972.
- 3 M. Tort Pinilla, Consideraciones sobre la topología normal en los espacios de Köthe, Actas de las primeras jornadas matemáticas luso-españolas, 1973.

Appendix. The referee has kindly pointed out to us that it is not necessary to take $a_n^{(k)} > 0$ for each k and n . Supposing $a_n^{(k)} \geq 0$ for each k and n , and that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_n^{(k)} \neq 0$, then we may proceed in an analogous way finding the same results.