University of Nebraska - Lincoln DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Library Philosophy and Practice (e-journal)

Libraries at University of Nebraska-Lincoln

April 2016

Periodical Literature Bibliometric Analysis: A case study of four International Journals

BIPIN BIHARI SETHI Sambalpur University,ODISHA,INDIA, bipin_bihari_sethi@hotmail.com

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/libphilprac Part of the <u>Library and Information Science Commons</u>

SETHI, BIPIN BIHARI, "Periodical Literature Bibliometric Analysis: A case study of four International Journals" (2016). *Library Philosophy and Practice (e-journal)*. 1353. http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/libphilprac/1353

Periodical Literature Bibliometric Analysis: A case study of four International Journals

Bipin Bihari Sethi Sambalpur University, Odisha, India bbs21111967@gmail.com

Dr. Bulu Maharana Sambalpur University, Odisha, India <u>bulumaharana@gmail.com</u>

Barada Kanta Mohanty Librarian, KIIMS, Cuttack, Odisha, India <u>baradakantamohanty@gmail.com</u>

* Corresponding author. 917377136122 (M) ;. E-mail address: bbs21111967@gmail.com (B. B.Sethi).

Abstract

Bibliometrics is most popular among the scholars, researchers and academics in the faculty of Library and Information Science research. The current study is a bibliometrics analysis of four international journals such as: 1st "Language Sciences" (LS) and 2nd "Linguistics and Education" (L&E), 3rd 'Political Geography' (PG), and 4th 'Religion' (Rgn). The present paper attempts to evaluate the publications indexed under the database of Science Direct Top 25 hottest Papers journal literature to understand the global approach of research output in four core journals. This is a comprehensive survey work rendering bibliographic records from Science Direct top 25 hottest papers database during 2005-2013, and this paper strenuously tries to give a complete sketch of the evaluation of research outputs. The key findings of the research divulge that, out of a total number of 3300 papers undertaken for the present research work, 900 were taken from $1^{\rm st}$ three journals and 600 shared by the 4th journal "Religion". It is indicated from the study that top 15 authors of all four journals identically contributed 349 (38.77%), 281 (31.22%), 384 (42.66 %) and 239 (39.83 %) papers to their credit which counts more than one third of the whole contribution except 2nd journal. In all journals the greater number 79, 76, 72, and 85 percent papers were produced by single authors, while the collaborated papers were only 21, 24, 28, and 15 percent the study unmasks. Considering the authors' institutional affiliation it is ascertained that, the authors' contributed to the journals was affiliated to 153, 152, 169 and 80 unique institutions encompassing intercontinental regions, which again determines maximum number of institutional contributors are involved in 3^{rd} journal, while minimum institutional contributors in 4^{th} journal respectively. Besides, the geographical analysis indicates the involvement of cross national regions in the research practices is well found considerably benchmarking. Moreover, the study evidently shows that the overwhelming and most productive geographical region contributors' USA shared 208 (23.11%), 354 (39.33%) and 231 (38.5 %) papers in 1^{st} , 2^{nd} and 4^{th} journal with posed 1^{st} rank, while UK achieved 1^{st} rank having contribution 396 (44%) to the 3rd journal respectively. Resultantly, it could be professed here that, the both regions (USA and UK) are considerably granted as leading productive nations and prolific in the realm of global research.

Keywords: Bibliometrics; Research output; Authors productivity; Degree of collaboration; Authorship pattern; Citation pattern; Productive countries and Institutions; Prolific Authors; Science Direct; Scholarly Publications; Research Excellence; LS; L&E; PG; Rgn.

1. Background Study

The examination of the research publication and its contributions is a buzzing area of research in the field of library and information science. Bibliometrics, Scientometrics, Citation Study, and Content analysis are the concepts supplementary and complementary to each other in their respective applications in the field in the domain of research which are most familiar tools extremely and extensively used by the scholars, researchers and academics across the globe. This technique has been put forth over the present study to evaluate research productivity at a global context to induce necessary inferences.

To avoid confusion it would be worthwhile to point out here that, though the data undertaken from papers indexed in Science Direct Bibliographic Database top 25 hottest papers of journals such as: 1st "Language Sciences" (LS), 2nd "Linguistics and Education" (L&E), and 3rd 'Political Geography' (PG), under the time period 2005-2013, while the journal "Religion" (Rgn) covers the time period 2005-2010, but the table no. 2 indicates the actual year of publication of these papers in concerned source journals.

2. Introduction:

Bibliometrics and scientometrics are the two closely related approaches for measuring scientific publications and science in general, respectively. In practice, much of the work that fall under this header involves various types of citation analysis, which looks at how scholars cite one another in publications. In the context of this toolkit, bibliometrics are also one of the key ways of measuring the impact of scholarly publications. 'Scientometrics' is often done using bibliometrics which is a measurement of the impact of (scientific) publications. Modern scientometrics is mostly based on the work of Derek J. de Solla Price and Eugene Garfield. The latter founded the Institute for Scientific Information which is heavily used for scientometric analysis. Methods of research include qualitative, quantitative and computational approaches.

(http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scientometrics/ accessed on 15.12.11).

Bibliometrics is a type of research method being used in LIS. It is an emerging area of research in the LIS field. The quantitative analysis and statistics to describe patterns of publication within a given field of literature are utilized. Researchers use bibliometric methods of evaluation to determine the influence of a single author or to describe the relationship between two or more authors or works. Bibliometric studies can also be used to study the regional patterns of research, the extent of cooperation between research groups and national research profiles. The main derivatives of bibliometrics are: publication counts, citation counts, co-citation analysis, co-word analysis, scientific 'mapping' and citations in patents. The word 'bibliometric' has been derived from the Latin and Greek words 'biblio' and 'metrics' which refer to the application of mathematics to the study of bibliography (Thanuskodi, 2010, p.78). The term bibliometrics was coined by Alan Pritchard in a paper published in 1969, titled Statistical Bibliography or Bibliometrics? He defined the term as "the application of mathematics and statistical methods to books and other media of communication".

Bibliometrics is statistical analysis of written publications, such as books or articles. Bibliometric methods are frequently used in the field of library and information science, including scientometrics. For instance, bibliometrics are used to provide quantitative analysis of academic literature. Analysis and content analysis are commonly used bibliometric methods. Many research fields use bibliometric methods to explore the impact of their field,^[3] the impact of a set of researchers, or the impact of a particular paper. Bibliometrics also has a wide range of other applications, such as in descriptive linguistics, the development of thesauri, and evaluation of reader usage.

Historically bibliometric methods have been used to trace relationships amongst academic journal citations. Citation analysis, which involves examining an item's referring documents, is used in searching for materials and analyzing their merit.^[4] Citation indices, such as Institute for Scientific Information's Web of Science, allow users to search forward in time from a known article to more recent publications which cite the known item. (Retrieved from http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bibliometrics)

3. Scope & Objective of the Study:

The scope of the study encompasses four international journals viz., 1st "Language Sciences" (LS), 2nd "Linguistics and Education" (L&E), and 3rd 'Political Geography' (PG), and 4th "Religion (Rgn)" indexed at Science Direct Database under the heading Top 25 Hottest Articles. The study accounts a total of 3300 papers adding 900 (Nine hundred) each from three journals, and 600 (Six hundred) from journal 'Religion' categorically. For clarity it may be noted here that, data on the papers of journal "Religion" from the year 2011-2013 are not available under the heading top 25 hottest papers site of Science Direct Bibliographic Database, for which the researcher excluded the period from the study. The key objectives of the present study holds to acclaim the following issues are as follows:

- i. Nature of Authorship pattern of publication;
- ii. Single Vs Multiple authored papers;

- iii. Trace the Geographical Distribution/scattering of research
 publication;
- iv. Chronological Growth pattern of literature;
- v. Most productive authors of top countries;
- vi. Degree of collaboration of authors;
- vii. Degree of citation of articles;
- viii. Study of length of the papers and
- ix. Understanding the changing trends in scholarly research output

4. Methodology Employed

The study specifically concentrates on the Bibliometric analysis is one of the most widely used methods in Library and information science research. It is an examination of the frequency, patterns, and graphs of citations in articles. This study is aimed to discuss about the analysis of the research output of four international journals indexed under *Science Direct on-line Database*. The relevant sources and data are collected from top 25 hottest papers site of above mentioned database. Based on the available sources the following discussions were made.

Data on papers published in four journals such as: 1st "Language Sciences" (LS), 2nd "Linguistics and Education" (L&E), and 3rd 'Political Geography' (PG), and 4th "Religion (Rgn)" were collected from each downloaded records from Science Direct on-line Bibliographic Database and each data were examined identically to find out the result. All papers included in the analyses which are indexed under the top twenty five hottest papers site of 1^{st} three journals accounting 900 papers each, whereas the 4^{th} journal with 600 papers identically. Further, each items of information processed by developing a database of 3300 down loaded records adding essential fields viz. journal title, article title, 1st author, number of authors, affiliation with institutions, country of origin (considering 1st author), year of publication in source journal, number of citations, length of papers and ranking pattern, etc. using the MS-Excel spread sheet. It may be noticed here that, in case of 4th journal "Religion" due to non-availability of data on papers period from 2011-2015 in top 25 hottest papers site 300 records have been excluded which caused a total 600 records considered under the gamut of the present study. Since, reference counts are not freely available with the abstract site the investigator did not able to analyze the reference pattern of the papers. Finally, all relevant data are then sorted, tabulated, and assimilated in a logical order to draw inferences for the present research.

5. Review of Literature

Lipetz (1999) studied many bibliometric aspects of papers in JASIS by examining volume of 1955, 1965, 1975, 1985 and 1995. One of his findings revealed that the number of scholarly papers published per year in JASIS has grown exponentially from 21 to 68.

Dutt, Garg & Bali (2003) analyzed 1317 papers published in the first fifty volumes of the international journal of Scientometrics during 1978 to 2001. They found that the U.S.A share of papers is constantly declining while that of the Netherlands, India, France and Japan is on the rise. The research output is highly scattered as indicated by the average number of papers per institution.

Mukherjee (2008) analyzed the authorship pattern of scientific productions of the four most productive Indian academic institutions for the eight-year -period from 2000 to 2007. The results show that among four universities, the authors of Delhi University contributed the highest number of articles, followed by Banaras Hindu University. There is also an increasing tendency toward collaborative research among Indian authors as well as more frequent collaboration with international authors. Biochemistry and Molecular Biology are two of the most prolific research areas in these four Indian universities. The average rate of references per item is 28 and the citations received per item are 3.56.

Tian, Wen & Hong (2008) conducted a bibliometric analysis to evaluate global scientific production of Geographic Information System (GIS) papers from 1997 to 2006 in Science Citation Index. Results indicated that GIS research steadily increased over the period and the annual paper production in 2006 was about three times higher comparing to 1997s paper productions.

6. Need of the study

There have been incessant studies on bibliometrics, scientometrics, content analysis etc. which is most familiar among the researchers, scholars, and academicians all over the globe in the field of Library and information science (LIS). The trend has given new dimensions and understanding to the domain of LIS research. However, the very study trace this trend and aims at highlighting the aspects which would be most useful and further encourage the researchers', scholars and library practitioners in enriching their respective research activities and professional exercises with designing a nuance platform to the hub of given research.

7. Analysis and Interpretation of Data

The present study is based on the analysis of the collected data of four international journals indexed under science direct database top 25

hottest papers link which has been represented in the tabular form for the easy understanding of the theme, finding inferences. and meeting the goal of the present research work.

Area of Study	Number of Jr.	Name of Journal	Period of Coverage	No. of Papers	Percentage	C. F.	С. Р.
	1	Language Sciences	2005-13	900	27.27	900	27.27
	2	Linguistics and Education	2005-13	900	27.27	1800	54.54
Arts & Humanities	3	Political Geography	2005-13	900	27.27	2700	.8181
	4	Religion	2005-10, three years data (2011,2012 & 2013) not available	600	18.18	3300	99.99
Total	2	*	8 Years except journal 'Religion'	3300	100	3300	100

Table-7.1: State of the Art of Study

The present study is undertaken pertaining papers indexed under Science Direct Database top 25 hottest papers link during the period 2005-2013 (8 years) of four international journals namely 'Language Sciences (LS)', 'Linguistic & Education (L&E)', 'Political Geography (PG)', and 'Religion (Rgn)' accounts a total 3300 papers, 900 from each 1st, 2nd, and 3rd journals, and 600 from 4th journal as a bibliometric dimention with the key objectives to measure and find a nuanced approach to the strength and weakness of scholarly research output.

Table-7.2: Chronological Analysis of Papers on the basis of Year of Publication in Source Journal

r																			
										Journ	als								
	1	. Lar	nguag	е		2. 1	Lingui	stics	and		3	. Pol	itica	1		4	. Rel	igio	n
		Sci	ience	s			Edu	catic	n			Geo	graph	\mathbf{y}					
<i>S</i> 1	Ye	No.	용	Avg	Sl	Ye	No.	00	Avg	<i>S</i> 1	Ye	No.	용	Avg	Sl	Ye	No.	olo	Avg
	ar	O£		per	•	ar	of		per		ar	of		per	-	ar	Of		per
No		pap		Yea	No		pap		Yea	No		Pap		Yea	No		Pap		Yea
		ers		r			ers		r			ers		r			ers		r
																			-
1	10	1	0		1	10	1	0		1	10	1	0		1	10	1	0	
1	88	1	11		1	95	1	11		1	92	1	11		Ŧ	85	1	16	
2	19	8	0		2	10	3	0		2	19	5	0		2	19	10	1	
2	95	0	88		2	96	5	33		2	95	5	56		2	97	10	±.	
3	19	5	0		3	10	1	0		3	19	Q	0		З	19	1.4	2	
5	96	9	55		5	08	7	11		5	96	0	89		5	90	11	2.	
Δ	19	1	0	1	4	20	25	2	1	4	19	3	0		Δ	20	19	33	
-7	98	1	11		- 1	00	2.5	77		-1	97	5	33		-1	01	10	16	
.5	20	44	4.	1	5	20	27	3	1	5	19	5	0.		5	20	4	0.	
5	98 20	44	11 4.		5	00 20	27	77 3		5	97 19	5	33 0.		5	01 20	4	16 0.	

	00		88			01					98		56			02		66	
6	20 01	3	0. 33		6	20 02	66	7. 33		6	19 99	8	0. 89		6	20 03	57	9. 5	
7	20 02	10	1. 11		7	20 03	30	3. 33		7	20 00	10	1.		7	20 04	147	24	
8	20 03	49	5. 44		8	20 04	74	8. 22		8	20 01	69	7. 67		8	20 05	96	16	
9	20 04	46	5. 11		9	20 05	163	18 .1 1		9	20 02	33	3. 67		9	20 06	45	7. 5	
10	20 05	139	15 .4 4		10	20 06	89	9. 88		10	20 03	23	2. 56		10	20 07	58	9. 66	
11	20 06	66	7. 33		11	20 07	36	4		11	20 04	91	10 .1 1		11	20 08	63	10 .5	
12	20 07	99	11	47.	12	20 08	99	11	50	12	20 05	118	13 .1 1		12	20 09	45	7. 5	46.
13	20 08	58		36 pap	13	20 09	88		50 pap	13	20 06	131	14 .5	45 pap	13	20 10	41	6. 83	15 pap
			6. 44	ers				9. 77	ers				6	ers	To ta l	13 Ye ar s	600	10 0	ers
14	20 09	102	11 .3 3		14	20 10	58	6. 44		14	20 07	254	28 .2 2		Gr an d To ta l	13	600	10 0	
15	20 10	94	10 .4 4		15	20 11	64	7. 11		15	20 08	61	6. 78						
16	20 11	82	9. 11		16	20 12	36	4		16	20 09	14	1. 56						
17	20 12	49	5. 44		17	20 13	36	4		17	20 10	27	3						
18	20 13	38	4. 22		18	20 14	1	0. 11		18	20 11	25	2. 78						
19	20 14	6	0. 66		To ta l	18 Ye ar	900			19	20 12	7	0. 78			·	*		
To ta	19 Ye	900	10			S		10		20	20 13	7	0. 78						
1	ar s		0					0		To ta l	20 Ye ar	900	10 0						
Gr an d To ta l					Gr an d To ta l	18	900	10 0		Gr an d To ta l	20	900	10 0						

To avoid confusion and for clarity it would be worthwhile to state here that, on the basis of the year of publication in the source journal the papers as shown in above table are classified and arranged. It is determined from the that 2005 is the most prolific year for the journals **'Language Sciences'**, and **'Linguistics and Education'** from which a largest number of papers 139 (15.44%), 163 (18.11%) are indexed under top 25 hottest papers site, while the papers of journal '**Political Geography**' 254 (28.22%) of the year 2007 and the journal '**Religion**' 147 (24.5%) papers of the year 2004 took place under top 25 hottest papers link are found quite significant. Moreover, it is also explored that, the papers indexed under top 25 hottest papers link of all four journals covers the period of publication in original journal categorically 19, 18, 20 and 13 years, accounting papers 900 each as individual share in three journals, except the journal 'Religion' which adds 600 papers to the domain. The above table shows that the maximum number of papers published in the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th journals, 139 (15.44\%), 163 (18.11\%), 254 (28.22\%), and 147 (24.5\%) during the years 2005, 2005, 2007, and 2004 and the minimum papers (one) in the years 1988, 1995, 1992, and 1985 respectively. The journals on an average has contributed to the top 25 hottest papers domain 47.36, 50, 45 and 46.15 research papers per year.

Table-7.3: Authorship pattern & Degree of Collaboration of papers of Four (4) Journals

									J	ourna	als									
	Lan	iguage	e Sci	ences			Lingu Ec	isti lucat:	cs and ion		Po	litic	al G	eograph	Y		R	əligi	on	
S 1 N	Aut hor shi p pat ter n of pap ers	To ta l No Of Au th or s	No of pa pe rs	Degr ee of coll abor atio n	ફ	Aut hor shi p pat ter n of pap ers	To ta l No Of Au th or s	No of pa rs	Degr ee of coll abor atio n	o'o	Aut hor shi p ter n of pap ers	To ta l No Of Au th or s	No of pa rs	Degr ee of Coll abor atio n	Ş	Aut hor shi p pat ter n of pap ers	To ta l No Of Au th or s	No of Pa pe rs	Degr ee of Coll abor atio n	90
1	Sin gle aut hor	70 0	70 0		7 7 7 8	Sin gle aut hor	68 0	68 0		7 5 .5 6	Sin gle aut hor	65 1	65 1		7 2 .3 3	Sin gle aut hor	50 8	50 8		8 4 6 6
2	Two aut hor s	24 2	12 1	0.22	1 3 4 4	Two aut hor	27 2	13 6	0.24	1 5 1 1	Two aut hor s	36 6	18 3	0.29	2 0 .3 3	Two aut hor s	13 6	68	0.15	1 1 3 5
з	Thr ee aut hor s	18 9	63		7	Thr ee aut hor	20 7	69		7 6 7	Thr ee aut hor s	84	28		3 • 1 1	Thr ee aut hor s	15	05		0 8 3
4	Fou r aut hor	20	5		0 5 6	Fou r aut hor	36	9		1	Fou r aut hor	76	19		2 1 1	Fou r aut hor	08	02		0 3

	S								S				S			3
5	Fiv e aut hor s	25	5	0 • 5 6	Fiv e aut hor	25	5	0 • 5 6	Fiv e aut hor s	50	10	1 • 1 1	Fiv e aut hor s	05	01	0 1 6
6	Six aut hor s & Mor e	38	6	0 6 7	Six aut hor s & Mor e	9	1	0 1 1	Mor e tha n fiv e aut hor s	52	9	1	Mor e tha n fiv e aut hor s	12 3	16	2 6 6
G T	irand otal	12 14	90 0	1 0 0	Gra nd Tot al	12 29	90 0	1 0 0	Gra nd Tot al	12 79	90 0	1 0 0	Gra nd Tot al	79 5	60 0	1 0 0

It is clear from the above analysis that the percentage of single authored papers is more than that of multi-authored papers. In order to shed more light over the pattern of collaboration the present analysis is undertaken. To determine the extent of collaboration in quantitative terms, the formula given by K. Subramanyam is used. The formula is as follows:

C =Nm/Nm+Ns Where, C=Degree of Collaboration, Nm=Number of Multi Authored Contributions, NS= Number of Single Authored Contributions.

From the data, it has been found that about 700 (77.78%), 680 (75.56%), 651 (72.33%), and 508 (84.66%) papers have been produced solely by single authors, while remaining frequency 200 (22.22%), 220 (24.44%), 249 (27.66%), and 92 (15.33%) papers Produced in collaboration in all four journals as confirms the above table. Since, the degree of collaboration or value of 'C' in the present study is found 0.22, 0.24, 0.29, and 0.15 in all four journals, it is seemingly clear that single authorship is very common trend in these journals, which is dominant over multi pattern. Nonetheless, it is expounded that, the total number of authors involved in research productivity are 1214, 1229,1279, and 795 in producing papers 900 each in 1st three journals and 600 in 4th journal as the study denotes categorically.

Table-7.4: Top 15 Authors with Institutional Affiliation of Four (4) Journals

											Jou	rnal	S											
s		Language Sciences Linguistics and Education Political Geography Religion																						
1																								
	Nam	Aff	No	용	А	А	Name	Affi	N	용	А	А	Na	Affi	N	ę	А	А	Nam	Affi	N	ø	А	А
N	е	ili			v	v	of	liat	0		v	v	me	liat	0		v	v	е	liat	0		v	v
0	of	ati	of		g	g	Auth	ion			g	g	of	ion			g	g	of	ion			g	g
	Aut	on	pa		•	•	or	to	0		•	•	Au	to	0		•	•	Aut	to	0		•	•

	hor	to Ins tit	pe rs		P a P	P a P		Inst itut ion	f P a		P a P	P a P	th or	Inst itut ion	f P a		P a P	P a P	hor	Inst itut ion	f P a		P a P	P a P
		uti on			ersper Author	e r p e r I n s t			p e r s		e r s p e r A u t h o r	e r s p e r I n s t			p e r s		e r s P e r A u t h o r	e r s p e r I n s t			р е <i>г</i> ѕ		e r s p e r A u t h o r	e r s p e r I n s t
1	Yuh - Fan g Cha ng	Nat ion al Chu ng Hsi ng Uni ver sit y	47	5 2 2	4	5.	Ange la Cree se	Univ ersi ty of Birm ingh am	2 7	3	4	5.	Ar tu ro Es co ba r	Univ ersi ty of Nort h Caro lina	3 5	3 • 8 8	4	5	Hen ry Mun son	Univ ersi ty of Main e	2 9	4 8 3	3	7
2	Cli ff God dar d	Uni ver sit y of New Eng lan d	42	4 7	2 0	8	Vera F utié rrez - Clel len	San Dieg o Stat e Univ ersi ty	2 5	2 7 8	3 6	9 2	Mi ch ae l K. Go od ma n	Univ ersi ty of Cali forn ia	3 4	3 • 7 7	54	32	Phi lip A. Mel lor	Univ ersi ty of Leed s	2 5	4 1 6	89	5
3	Lyl e Cam pbe ll	Uni ver sit y of Can ter bur y	41	4 5 6			Cons tant Leun g	King 's Coll ege Lond on	2 4	2 6 7			Ph il pe Le Bi ll on	Scho ol Geog raph Y	3 4	3 • 7 7			Ste ven Eng ler	Moun t Roya l Coll ege	2 4	4		
4	Pau l Mat ych u	And rew S Uni ver sit Y	33	3 7			J.R. Mart in	Univ ersi ty of Sydn ey	2 2	2 • 4 4			Ha rr ie t Bu lk el ey	Univ ersi ty of Durh am	32	3 • 5 5			Ter ry Rey	Flor ida Inte rnat iona l Univ ersi ty	2 2	3. 66		
5	Chr ist oph e Par iss e	LEA PLE , UMR	23	2 5 5			Rich ard Barw ell	Univ ersi ty of Bris tol	2 1	2 3 3			Ma tt he w B. Sp ar ke	Univ ersi ty of Wash ingt on,	2 7	3			Bil l Ash cro ft	Scho ol of Engl ish,	1 6	2.66		
6	Ann a Wie rzb ick a	Aus tra lia n Nat ion al Uni	20	2 2 2			Mary J Schl eppe grel l	Univ ersi ty of Cali forn ia	2 0	2 2 2			Jo n Ba rn et t	Univ ersi ty of Melb ourn e,	2 5	2 • 7 7			Chr ist oph er Par tri dge	Univ ersi ty Coll ege Ches ter,	1 5	2 • 5		

		ver sit y																		
7	Ana Deu mer t	Mon ash Uni ver sit Y	19	2 1 1		Ross Form an	Univ ersi ty of Tech nolo gy	2 0	2 2 2		Lo ui se Am oo re	Univ ersi ty of Durh am,	2 5	2 • 7 7		Bro n Tay lor	Univ ersi ty of Wisc onsi n,	1 3	2 1 6	
8	Chr ist oph er S. But ler	Uni ver sit y of Wal es Swa nse	18	2 0 0		Tina Shar pe	Shar pe Cons ulti ng (NSW)	1 9	2 1 1		Ra fa el Re uv en Y	, Indi ana Univ ersi ty	2 5	2 8 5		Mic hae l Sta usb erg	Univ ersi ty of Berg en,	1 3	2 1 6	
9	Tal bot J. Tay lor	Col leg of Wil lia m and Mar	17	1 9		Jame s Paul Gee	Univ ersi ty of Wisc onsi n at Madi son	1 7	1 8 9	-	Cl io na dh Ra le ig h	Univ ersi ty of Esse x,	2 4	2 7 7		Sus an Rai ne	, Univ ersi ty of Albe rta,	1 3	2 1 6	
1 0	Nao mi S Bar on	Ame ric an Uni ver sit Y,	16	1 7 7		Tarj a Niku la	Univ ersi ty of Jyvä skyl ä	1 7	1 8 9	-	Ra gn ld No rd ås	Inte rnat iona l Peac e Rese arch Inst itut e,	2 4	2.66		Win nif red Fal ler s Sul liv an	Univ ersi ty of Chic ago,	1 3	2 1 6	
1 1	Ewa Dąb row ska	Uni ver sit y of She ffi eld ,	15	1 6 6		Susa n Hood	Univ ersi ty of Tech nolo gy	1 6	1 7 8		No el Ca st re e	Manc hest er Univ ersi ty,	21	2 • 3 8		Eli zab eth Moh kam sin g- den Boe r	Univ ersi ty of Nijm egen	1 2	2	
1 2	Fie ke Van der Guc ht	Ghe nt Uni ver sit Y,	15	1 6 6		Vale rie Hobb s	Univ ersi ty of Shef fiel d,	1 6	1 7 8		Ja so n Ac kl es on	New Mexi co Stat e Univ ersi ty	2 0	2 2 5		Ian Rea der	Univ ersi ty of Manc hest er,	1 2	2	
1 3	Mig uel Cas as Góm ez	Uni ver sid ad de Cád iz,	15	1 6 6		Patr icia A Duff	Univ ersi ty of Brit ish Colu mbia	1 3	1 • 4 4		Pá dr ai g R. Ca rm od	Dubl in City Univ ersi ty,	2 0	2 • 5		Yuv al Ner ia	Colu mbia Univ ersi ty and New York	1 2	2	

										У						Stat e Psyc hiat ric Inst itut e,			
1 4	Nig el Lov e	Uni ver sit y of Cap e Tow n	14	1 • 5 5	Aria Razf a	Univ ersi ty of Illi nois	1 2	1 3 3		Li ly Ko ng	Nati onal Univ ersi ty of Sing apor e	1 9	2 1 8		E. Tyl Gra ham	101 Nort h Univ ersi ty Aven ue	1 0	1 6 6	
1 5	Phi lip Sea rge ant	The Ope n Uni ver sit y	14	1 • 5 5	Jame s Coll ins	Stat e Univ ersi ty of New York	1 2	1 3 3		Ma xw el l T. Bo yk of f	Univ ersi ty of Oxfo rd	1 9	2 1 8		Jus tin L. Bar ret t	Oxfo rd Univ ersi ty	1 0	1 6 6	
T o t a l	15 Aut hor s	15 Aff ili ate d Ins tit uti ons	34 9	3 8 7 7	15 Auth ors	15 Affi liat ed Inst itut ions	2 8 1	3 1 2 2		15 Au th or s	15 Affi liat ed Inst itut ions	3 8 4	4 2 6 6		15 Aut hor s	15 Affi liat ed Inst itut ions	2 3 9	3 9 8 3	
0 t h e r s	197 Aut hor s	136 Aff ili ate d Ins tit uti ons	54 9	6 1	191 Auth ors	137A ffil iate d Inst itut ions	6 1 9	6 8 7 7 7		18 3 Au th or s	154 Affi liat ed Inst itut ions	5 1 6	5 7 3 3		139 Aut hor s	65 Affi liat ed Inst itut ions	3 6 1	6 0 1 6	
G r a n d	212 +Da ta	151 +Da ta	89 8+ 2=	1 0 0	206 Auth ors	152 Affi liat	9 0 0	1 0 0		19 8 Au	169 Affi liat	9 0 0	1 0 0		154 Aut	80 Affi liat	6 0	1 0 0	1

The table- 7.4 shows the top 15 most productive authors identified from four international journals i. e. 'Language Sciences', 'Linguistics and Education', 'Political Geography' and 'Religion' with publications range 14-

47, 12-27, 19-35 and 10-29 respectively. Yuh-Fang Chang is the most productive author affiliated to institution 'National Chung Hsing University affiliated to institution 'University of Birmingham', ', Angela Creese Arturo Escobar affiliated to institution 'University of North Carolina' and Henry Munson associated to institution 'University of Maine' identically in 4 journals with publications 47, 27, 35 and 29, which accounts about 5.22%, 3%, 3.88% and 4.83% of the total publications found quite encouraging. Moreover, the top 15 authors account about 349 (38.77%), 281 (31.22%), 384 (42.66%) and 239 (39.83%) papers in separate journals out of total publications undertaken for the present study. Besides, to track the publication trend of top second author it is explored that, the author Cliff Goddard affiliated to 'University of New England', Vera F utiérrez-Clellen affiliated to 'San Diego State University', Michael K. Goodman associated to 'University of California' and Philip A. Mellor amalgamated with 'University of Leeds' reports 42 (4.67%), 25 (2.78%), 34 (3.83%) and 25 (4.16%)papers to their credit, followed by top 3rd ranking authors Lyle Campbell, Constant Leung, Philippe Le Billon, and Steven Engler being teamed up with the institutions such as: University of Canterbury, King's College London, School of Geography, and University of Leeds Contributed papers 41 (4.56%), 24 (2.67%), 34 (3.83%) and 24 (4%) to their respective journals as the above table connotes. A glance at table 4 emphatically indicates that, average papers per author is 4.20, 4.36, 4.54 and 3.89 found quite closer to each another in four different journals, while average papers per institution is 5.88, 5.92, 5.32 and 7.5 observed which is more than the average contribution of papers per author, but in the same category 1st three journals average institutional value is quite closer to each other, although 4th journal leads a gap among others as the study prompts.

					Jour	nals						
Sl. No.	1.Languag	ge Scie	nces	2.Lingui Educ	istics cation	and	3.Pol Geog	litical graphy		4 . Re	ligion	
	Name of Country	No. of pape rs	୫	Name of Country	No. of pape rs	ક	Name of Country	No. of pape rs	ક	Name of Country	No. of pape rs	olo
1	USA	208	23. 11	USA	354	39. 33	UK	396	44	USA	231	38. 5
2	Australi a	111	12. 33	UK	165	18. 33	USA	275	30. 55	UK	102	17
3	France	94	10. 44	Australi a	134	14. 88	Norway	48	5.3 3	Canada	71	11. 83

Table-7.5: Geographical Analysis of Papers Published in Four (4) Journals

4	UK	83	9.2 2	Canada	52	5.7 7	Australi a	33	3.6 7	The Netherla nds	48	8
5	Taiwan	55	6.1 1	Spain	37	4.1 1	Ireland	32	3.5 6	Norway	20	3.3 3
6	South Africa	41	4.5 5	China	32	3.5 5	Canada	29	3.2 2	Australi a	18	3
7	Belgium	38	4.2 2	Singapor e	26	2.8 8	Singapor e	29	3.2 2	Italy	11	1.8 3
8	Spain	29	3.2 2	Finland	18	2	Israel	7	0.7 8	Denmark	10	1.6 6
9	The Netherla nds	28	3.1 1	The Netherla nds	14	1.5 5	Switzerl and	6	0.6 7	Egypt	9	1.5
10	Hong Kong	24	2.6 6	New Zealand	11	1.2 2	Sweden	3	0.3 3	Israel	8	1.3 3
11	Germany	18	2	Hungary	11	1.2 2	Turkey	3	0.3 3	Wales	8	1.3 3
12	Israel	18	2	Hong Kong	10	1.1	Germany	2	0.2 2	Finland	5	0.8 3
13	Singapor e	16	1.7 7	Belgium	8	0.8	Netherla nds	2	0.2 2	Switzerl and	4	0.6
14	Iran	16	1.7 7	Africa	2	0.2	Estonia	1	0.1 1	Germany	3	0.5
15	Canada	12	1.3	Sweden	1	0.1	Hong Kong	1	0.1 1	News land	З	0.5
16	*	*	*	*	*	*	news land	1	0.1 1	Philippi nes	3	0.5
17	*	*	*	*	*	*	Russian Federati on	1	0.1 1	Sweden	3	0.5
18	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Taiwan	2	0.3 3
19	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Czech Republic	1	0.1
20	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	France	1	0.1 6
21	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Japan	1	0.1 6
Top Countrie s with correspo nding papers	15 Countrie s collecti vely contribu te	791	87. 88	15 Countrie s collecti vely contribu te	875	97. 22	17 Countrie s collecti vely contribu te	869	96. 55	21 Countrie s collecti vely contribu te	562	93. 66
Other	Data on country of origin of papers not availabl e	109	12. 11	Data on country of origin not availabl e	25	2.7 8	Data on country of origin not availabl e	31	3.4 4	Data on country of origin not availabl e	38	6.3 3
Total	*	900	100	*	900	100	*	900	100	*	600	100

Geographical analysis of papers is another vital factor which is ever intended in bibliometrics studies of research output as the table number 5 discloses above is undertaken for the present work. Out of four, in three journals i. e. 1st 'Language Sciences', 2nd 'Linguistics and Education', and 4th 'Religion' the highest number 208 (23.11%), 354 (39.33%), and 231 (38.5%) papers has been contributed by USA, while in the journal *`Political* Geography' major contributor is UK with 396 (44%) papers. Hence, it is ascertained that, USA is the most productive country in the field of research output across the globe. In 1st journal Australia, UK, France, Taiwan, posed second, third, fourth and fifth place having 111 (12.33%),94 (10.44%),83 (9.22%), and 55 (6.11%) contributions respectively. As regard to 2nd journal the countries such as: UK, Australia, Canada, and Spain achieved second, third, fourth and fifth rank contributing 165 (18.33%), 134 (14.88%), 52 (5.77%), 37 (4.11%) to their credit. With respect to 3rd journal it is noticed that USA, Norway, Australia, and Ireland got second, third, fourth and fifth rank with 275 (30.55%), 48 (5.33%), 33 (3.67%), and 32 (3.56%) papers as their research output. Moreover, in concern to 4th journal it is found that UK, Canada, Netherlands, and Norway schedules their rank producing 102 (17%), 71 (11.83%), 48 (8%), and 20 (3.33%) research papers in the respective journal. Although, UK achieved 1st rank in 3rd journal it might be moot having 2nd rank, in 2nd and 4th journals following USA. However, resultantly it might be worthwhile to say here that USA and UK both are most prolific countries among other top contributors of the globe. In concluding phase the researchers would like to focus over the number of country contributors in different journals as the table shows that, fifteen countries involved in research contribution in 1st two journals, followed by seventeen countries in 3^{rd} journal, while the highest twenty one countries associated with 4^{th} journal respectively.

							00	/urmai								
	1.Lang	uage Sci	ences		2.Li	nguisti Educati	cs and on	1	3.Pol:	itical Ge	ograpi	чy		4.Religio	n	
Sl. No.	Name of Institut ion	Name of Count ry	No. of Pap ers	ષ્ટ્ર	Name of Instit ution	Name of Coun try	No. Of Pap ers	olo	Name of Instit ution	Name of Countr Y	No. of Pap ers	Ŷ	Name of Instit ution	Name of Count ry	No. Of Pap ers	ઝ
1	National Chung Hsing Universi ty	Taiwa n	47	5. 40	Univer sity of Califo rnia	Cana da	62	6. 89	Univer sity of Durham	UK	65	7. 48	Univer sity of Maine	USA	30	5
2	Universi ty of New England	Austr alia	42	4. 83	Univer sity of Britis h Columb ia	USA	36	4	Univer sity of Califo rnia	USA	51	5. 89	Univer sity of Leeds	UK	28	4. 66
3	Universi	New	41	4.	Univer	Chin	36	4	Durham	UK	39	4.	Mount	Canad	24	4

Table-7.6: Top 20 Productive Institutions/Institutional Contributors'

	ty of Canterbu ry	Zeala nd		71	sity of Techno	a			Univer sity			49	Royal Colleg e	a		
4	Andrews Universi ty	USA	32	3. 68	King's Colleg e London	Cana da	35	3. 89	School of Geogra phy	UK	39	4. 49	Florid a Intern ationa l Univer sity	USA	22	3. 66
5	Ghent Universi ty	Belgi um	28	3. 22	San Diego State Univer sity	Spai n	31	3. 44	Intern ationa l Peace Resear ch Instit ute	Norway	36	4. 18	Facult y of Humani ties	The Nethe rland s	19	3. 16
6	Monash Universi ty	Austr alia	27	3. 10	Univer sity of Sydney	USA	28	3. 11	Univer sity of North Caroli na	USA	35	4. 09	School of Englis h	Austr alia	16	2. 66
7	Universi ty of Cape Town	South Afric a	25	2. 87	Univer sity of Birmin gham	USA	27	3	Univer sity of Washin gton	USA	34	3. 94	Univer sity of Bergen	Norwa Y	16	2. 66
8	Leaple, UMR	Franc e	23	2. 64	Univer sity of Bristo l	USA	25	2. 78	Manche ster Univer sity	UK	29	3. 34	Univer sity Colleg e Cheste r	UK	15	2. 5
9	Max Planck Institut e for Psycholi nguistic s	The Nethe rland s	22	2. 53	Univer sity of London	Aust rali a	23	2. 56	Nation al Univer sity of Singap ore	Singap ore	29	3. 34	Univer sity of Amster dam	The Nethe rland s	14	2. 33
10	The Universi ty of Hong Kong	Hong Kong	20	2. 30	Univer sity of Sheffi eld	USA	22	2. 44	Univer sity of Essex	UK	26	2. 99	Univer sity of Chicag o	USA	14	2. 33
11	Australi an National Universi ty	Austr alia	19	5. 14	Sharpe Consul ting (NSW),	USA	19	2. 11	Indian a Univer sity	USA	25	2. 88	Univer sity of Wiscon sin	USA	13	2. 16
12	College of William and Mary	USA	18	3. 22	Univer sity of Jyvask yla	Spai n	18	2	Univer sity of Melbou rne	Austra lia	25	2. 88	Columb ia Univer sity and New York State	USA	12	2

													Psychi atric			
													Instit ute			
13	Universi ty of Wales Swansea	UK	18	3. 22	Univer sity of Wiscon sin at Madiso n	USA	17	1. 89	Dublin City Univer sity	Irelan d	23	2. 64	Univer sity of Albert a	Canad a	12	2
14	American Universi ty	USA	16	1. 84	Arizon a State Univer sity,	USA	14	1. 56	Univer sity of Oxford	UK	23	2. 64	Univer sity of Manche ster	UK	12	2
15	Baikal National Universi ty of Economic s and Law	Russi a	16	1. 84	Nation al Instit ute of Educat ion	USA	14	1. 56	New Mexico State Univer sity	USA	21	2. 47	Univer sity of Nijmeg en	The Nethe rland s	12	2
16	Universi ty of Sheffiel d	UK	16	1. 84	Univer sity of Leeds	UK	14	1. 56	Lancas ter Univer sity	UK	19	2. 19	North Univer sity Avenue	Canad a	10	1. 66
17	The Open Universi ty	UK	14	1. 61	Univer sity of New Englan d	UK	14	1. 56	Univer sity of Portsm outh	UK	15	1. 72	Oxford Univer sity	UK	10	1. 66
18	Universi dad de Cádiz	Spain	14	1. 61	Columb ia Univer sity	Cana da	13	1. 44	Univer sity of London	UK	14	1. 62	Univer sity of Califo rnia Rivers ide	USA	10	1. 66
19	Universi ty of Californ ia	USA	14	1. 61	Northe rn Arizon a Univer sity	UK	13	1. 44	Univer sity of Southa mpton	UK	14	1. 62	Univer sity of Ottawa	Canad a	10	1. 66
20	National Universi ty of Singapor e	Singa pore	13	1. 49	Univer sitat Autòno ma de Barcel ona	USA	13	1. 44	Univer sity of Wiscon sin	USA	13	1. 49	Univer sity of Tennes see	USA	10	1. 66
Tot 2	al Publicat. 20 Instituti	ion of ons	465	51 .6 6	Tot Public of Institu	al ation 20 ntions	474	52 .6 6	Tot Publica 20 Insti	al tion of tutions	575	63 .8 8	Tot Publicat 2(Institu	al tion of tions	309	51 .5
Oth ers	130 Institut ions	*	432	48	107 Instit utions	*	401	44 .5 5	136 Instit utions	*	293	32 .5 5	51 Instit utions	*	245	40 .8 3
Tot al	Data not Availabl e on Inst.	*	03	0. 33	Total	Data not Avai labl e on Inst	25	2. 77	Data not Availa ble on Inst.(13)	*	32	3. 55	Data not availa ble on Inst.(9)	*	46	7. 66

					•										
Gra nd Tot al	*	900	10 0	Grand Total	*	900	10 0	Grand Total	Instit utions (169)	900	10 0	Grand Total: Instit utions (80)	*	600	10 0

As shown in Table 7.6, the top twenty institutional contributors of four journals contribute to the respective journal more than fifty percent of total citation and among those journals the 3rd journal's top twenty institutions found proficient having highest number of papers 575 (63.88%), followed by 2nd journal's top twenty institutional contributors with papers 474 (53.66%), 1st journal's top twenty institutional contributors with 465 (51.66%), and 4th journal's top twenty institutional contributors adds 309 (51.5%) papers identically. Moreover, the results as indicated above National Chung Hsing University of Taiwan, University of California from Canada, University of Durham from UK and University of Maine of USA are most productive institutions in four different journals accounting 47 (5.40%), 62 (6.89%), 65 (7.48%) and 30 (5%) papers as their contribution, among which University of Durham (UK) is best one. As regards to institutions, which ranks 2nd with respect to their contribution are University of New England (Australia), University of British Columbia and University of California (USA), and University of Leeds (UK) adds 42 (4.83%), 36 (4%), 51 (5.89%), and 28 (4.66%) papers individually to different four journals, among those University of California (USA) is best one with highest share. Furthermore, with respect to 3rd ranking institutions it is ascertained that, University of Canterbury (New Zealand), University of Technology (China), Durham University (UK) and Mount Royal College (Canada) reserved their positions with 41 (4.71%), 36 (4%), 39 (4.49%), and 24 (4%) papers to different journals, among which University of Canterbury (New Zealand) is the best one having grand share. Moreover, the above table can be viewed as recognizing the remaining top seventeen institutional contributors those who contributed with a range 13-47, 13-62, 13-65, and 10-30 papers in four different journals as the study unearths. In concern to total number of institutions involved in research contribution it is determined that, 150, 127, 156, and 71 institutional contributors involved in research output in four different journals respectively undertaken for the present study.

Table-7.7: Average Factors

c1			Journ	als			10/		X ²
SI. No	Factors	Language	Linguistics	Political	Boligion	Totol	Table	E Table	Calculated
NO.	Factors	Sciences	and	Geography	Religion	IOCAL	Table	Table	Value (CV)

			Education						
1	Avg. Citations per Paper	08	10.12	94.77	28.26	141.15	08	26.39	12.81
2	Avg. Papers per Unique Author	4.20	4.36	4.61	4.08	17.25	4.20	3.22	0.29
3	Avg. Authors per Paper (All Authors)	1.34	1.36	1.42	1.28	5.4	1.34	1.00	0.11
4	Avg. Authors per Paper (Unique Authors)	0.22	0.22	0.21	0.24	0.89	0.22	0.16	0.02
5	Avg. Page length per paper	23.70	19.35	21.33	17.28	81.66	23.70	15.26	4.66
6	Avg. Papers per Year (considering year of publication of papers in source journal)	47.36	50	45	46.15	188.51	47.36	35.24	4.16
7	Avg. Papers per Institution (Unique)	5.88	5.92	5.32	7.5	24.62	5.88	4.60	0.35
8	Avg. Papers per Country (Unique)	23.07	47.36	50	28.57	149	23.07	27.85	0.82
	Total	113.77	138.69	222.66	133.36	608.48	10.12	32.17	15.11
							4.36	3.93	0.04
TT	IIO, Theme		minting i		. facto		1.36	1.23	0.01
пу:	HU: INELE I			n averag	e lacto		0.22	0.20	0.002
res	earch papers	of four	(4) journ	als.			19.35	18.61	0.02
							50	42.96	1.15
Chi	-Square (x^2)	Formula	$: x^2 = (o -$	$e)^{2}/e app$	olied		J.92	32.01	U.UI 5 20
	-						94 77	51 65	35 99
Dom	noo of Encod		- 21		TTo Two	(CTZ) =	4.61	6.31	0.45
Deg.		0111 (V) =			varue ((v) =	1.42	1.97	0.15
96.	27 ; Tabulate	ed Value	(TV) at 0	.050 or	95 % lei	rel of	0.21	0.32	0.03
sig	nificance is	= 32.7					21.33	29.88	2.44
							45	68.98	8.33
Chi	-Square test	applied	d over th	e data	in the	table	5.32	9.00	1.50
	7 with hos	ding W	Arromage 1	e deve : Fostors//	Since	2	50	54.52	0.37
- 110	, with nea		rveraye I	actors".	, <u>51110</u>	, X	28.26	30.93	0.23
cal	culated valu	e is 96	0.27 which	is gre	ater th	an x²	4.08	3.78	0.02
tab	ulated value	<i>32.</i> 7,	so the nu	ill hypot	thesis s	stands	1.28	1.18	0.008
fal	se or rejecte	ed. Henc	e, it is d	concluded	d that,	there	17 28	U.19 17 RG	0.01
is	significant	variati	on in the	averad	e facto	rs of	46.15	41,31	0.56
ros	earch papers	of four	journals	y			7.5	5.39	0.82
res	earch papers	or rour	Journars.				28.57	32.65	0.50
	* *	X² (CV) = 96.27							

s								Jour	nals							
1 N	Lang	uage S	cience	9 <i>5</i>	Lind	guistic Educati	cs and Lon		Polit	ical G	eogra	ohy		Religi	.on	
0	Cita	No.	융	С.	Citat	No.	olo	С.	Cita	No.	ø	C.	Cita	No.	olo	с.

Table-7.8: Citation Pattern of Publication

•	tion Patt ern	of pap ers		F.	ion Patte rn	of pap ers		F.	tion Patt ern	of pap ers		F.	tion Patt ern	Of pap ers		F.
1	1-25	853	94. 78	85 3	1-25	734	81. 56	73 4	1-25	167	18. 56	16 7	1-25	508	64. 66	50 8
2	26- 50	37	4.1 1	89 0	26-50	57	6.3 3	79 1	26- 50	191	21. 22	35 8	26- 50	5	0.8 3	51 3
3	51- 75	10	1.1 1	90 0	51-75	22	2.4 4	81 3	51- 75	176	19. 56	53 4	51- 75	4	0.6	51 7
4	Gran d Tota l	900	100	90 0	Data not avail able	87	9.6 7	90 0	76- 100	94	10. 44	62 8	76- 100	11	1.8 3	52 8
5	*	*	*	*	Grand Total	900	100	90 0	101- 125	59	6.5 6	68 7	101- 125	3	0.5	53 1
6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	126- 150	7	0.7 8	64	126- 150	*	*	*
7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	151- 175	53	5.8 9	74 7	151- 175	1	0.1	53 2
8	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	176- 200	*	*	*	176- 200	*	*	*
9	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	201 and abov e	130	14. 44	87 7	201 and abov e	6	1	53 8
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	No Cita tion Data	23	2.5 6	90 0	No Cita tion Data	62	10. 33	60 0
									Gran d Tota l	900	100	90 0	Gran d Tota l	600	100	60 0

Table-7.8.1: Application of Chi-Square (x^2) test over table (8)

" <i>O″</i>	<i>"E"</i>	X ² Calculated	
Table	Table	Value (CV)	
853	616.90	90.36	Hy: H0: There is no variation among the journals in
37	79.09	22.39	
10	57.81	39.53	citation pattern of their papers.
00	28.63	28.63	
00	16.90	16.90	
00	1.90	1.90	
00	14.72	14.72	
00	00	00	Degree of Freedom (V)=27 ; X^2 Calculated Value
00	37.09	37.09	
00	46.90	46.90	(CV)=1982.72; Tabulated Value (TV) at 0.050 or 95 %
734	616.90	22.22	
57	79.09	6.16	level of significance is 40.11
22	57.81	22.18	
00	28.63	28.63	
00	16.90	16.90	
00	1.90	1.90	
00	14.72	14.72	Applying Chi-Square (x^2) test using Formula x^2 (o-
00	00	00	
00	37.09	37.09	e) ² /e it is ascertained that:
87	46.90	34.28	
167	616.90	328.10	
191	79.09	158.34	
176	57.81	241.63	
94	28.63	149.25	

59	16.90	104.87
07	1.90	13.68
53	14.72	99.54
00	00	00
130	37.09	232.73
23	46.90	12.17
508	411.27	22.75
05	52.72	43.19
04	38.54	30.95
11	19.09	3.42
03	11.27	6.06
00	1.27	1.27
01	9.81	7.91
00	00	00
06	24.72	14.17
62	31.27	30.19
		Calculated
		Value
		(CV)=1982.72

At (0.050) 95% level of significance X^2 tabulated value is 40.11, while calculated value is 1982.72. As calculated value of X^2 is greater than tabulated value the hypothesis stands false or rejected which means the citation pattern of papers of all four journals are significantly varied from each other.

Table-7.9: Pagination Pattern of Papers

	Langua	ige S	cien	ces	Linguist.	ics and	Educa	tion	Politi	cal Ge	eogra	phy	R e l	i g	i	о п
Sl. No.	Pattern of Pagination	No. of papers	8	<i>C.F.</i>	Pattern of Pagination	No. of papers	8	C.F.	Pattern of Pagination	No. of papers	8	C.F.	Pattern of Pagination	No. of papers	8	C.F.
1	1 - 5	2 0	2.22	20	1 - 5	1 8	2	18	1 - 5	1 8	2	18	1 - 5	38	6.33	38
2	6 - 1 0	60	6.67	80	6 - 1 0	1 5	1.67	33	6 - 1 0	59	6.56	77	6 - 1 0	8 4	1 4	122
3	11-15	242	26.89	322	11-15	297	33	330	11-15	75	8.33	152	11-15	172	28.66	294
4	16-20	186	2.06	508	16-20	273	30.33	603	16-20	207	23	359	16-20	141	23.5	435
5	21-25	140	15.56	648	21-25	150	16.67	753	21-25	310	34.44	669	21-25	99	16.5	534
6	26-30	8 3	9.22	731	26-30	66	7.33	819	26-30	166	18.44	835	26-30	4 7	7.83	581
7	31 and above	169	18.78	900	31 and above	8 1	3.44	900	31 and above	65	7.22	900	31 and above	1 9	3.16	600
Grand	l Total	900	100	900	Grand Total	900	100	900	Grand Total	900	100	900	Grand Total	600	100	600

Table-7.9.1: Application of Chi-Square (x^2) test over table (9)

<u>~</u> 0″	"E″	X ² Calculated	
Table	Table	Value (CV)	The TO President in a three of annual of all from
20	25.63	1.23	Hy: HU: Pagination pattern of papers of all four
60	59.45	0.005	journals are not significantly different
242	214.36	3.56	Journais are not significantly different.
186	220.09	5.28	
140	190.63	13.44	
83	98.72	2.50	Degree of Freedom (V)=18 ; X ² Calculated Value
169	91.09	66.63	
18	25.63	2.27	(CV)=536.628; Tabulated Value (TV) at 0.050 or 95 %
15	59.45	33.23	level of significance is 28 87
297	214.36	31.85	ievel of significance is 20.07
273	220.09	12.71	
150	190.63	8.65	
66	98.72	10.84	Applying Chi-Square (x^2) test using Formula x^2 (o-
81	91.09	1.11	
18	25.63	2.27	e) ² /e it is ascertained that:
59	59.45	0.003	
75	214.36	90.60	
207	220.09	0.77	At $(0,050)$ 95 % level of significance X^2 tabulated
310	190.63	74.74	
166	98.72	45.85	value is 28.87, while calculated value is 536.628.
65	91.09	7.47	
38	17.09	25.58	As calculated value of X^2 is greater than tabulated
84	39.63	49.67	

172	142.90	5.92	value for which the hypothesis stands false or
141	146.72	0.22	
99	127.09	6.20	rejected that means the pagination patterns of
47	65.81	5.37	
19	60.72	28.66	papers of all four journals are significantly
		Calculated	waried from each other
		Value	Valled flom each other.
		(CV)=536.628	

8: Results and Findings

Overall, findings of this study reported that:

i. The Degree of author collaboration is from range 0.15 to 0.29 found in four journals i.e. Majority of researchers prefers to contribute their papers individually rather than collaborated.

ii. Besides, it might be ascertained from the study that, 2005 is the most prolific year during which the highest 139 (15.44%) and 163 (18,11%) number of papers published in 1^{st} and 2^{nd} journal (source journal) has been indexed under top 25 hottest papers database, while 254 (28.22%) papers from 3^{rd} journal of the year 2007, followed by 147 (24.5%) papers of 2004 from 4^{th} journal took place under the same database is found significant. iii. On the basis of the year of publication of papers in four source journals 45 to 50 papers on an average per year took place in top 25 hottest papers database.

iv. The followings are most interesting to say here that, the authors Yuh-Fang Chang, Angela Creese, Arturo Escobar, Henry Munson, are most prolific contributors to respective four journals having highest number of papers such as: 47 (5.22%), 27 (3%), 35 (3.88%), 29 (4.83%) to their credit of total contribution.

v. Geographical analysis of papers is another vital factor in quantitative analysis of research output determines the most productive countries, as the present study explores USA is the most dominating region having highest contribution i. e. 208 (23.11%), 354 (39.33%), 231 (38.5%) in 1st, 2nd, and 4th journals and UK in 3rd journal with 396 (44%)papers respectively.

vi. National Chung Hsing University (Taiwan), University of California (Canada), University of Durham (UK) and University of Maine (USA) are most dominating institutional contributors in four different journals accounting maximum number of papers such as: 47 (5.40%), 62 (6.89%), 65 (7.48%) and 30 (5%) as their research productivity the study unearths.

vii. Applying Chi-Square test it is concluded that, there is significant variation in the average factors of research papers of four journals.

viii. Applying Chi-Square (x^2) test using Formula x^2 $(o-e)^2/e$ it is ascertained that: the citation pattern of papers of all four journals are significantly varied from each other.

ix. Chi-Square (x^2) test applied over Pagination pattern of papers and resultantly found that, the pagination patterns of papers of all four journals are significantly varied from each other.

9: Conclusion

This study served to develop a greater understanding of the characteristics of scholarly publications over multiple years. Additionally, by comparing the four different journals' research output, the present study confirms the characteristics, features, and patterns of research papers from various angles to reflect the strength and weakness at the arena of global research. Since the vast majority of papers are found single authored, the authors' is dominated in research practices the study explores. collaboration Furthermore, the study discovers, USA is the most productive geographical region, followed by UK from the geographical and institutional contributors' point of view. As the data collected for the present research encompasses a definite period requires further research all over the globe in succeeding decades adding more journals and years of publication of papers under the gamut of new research work. As a concluding remark the investigators earnestly hope and expect the study would be a promising platform for the forthcoming researchers, scholars and library practitioners for their research and day-to-day library activities to promote and support the practices.

References:

- Dutt, B., Garg, K.C., & Bali, A. 2003. Scientometrics of the international journal Scientometrics.Scientometrics, Vol. 56, no.1: 81-93.
- 2. Sethi, B B and Panda, K. C. 2014. Measuring Research Excellence with two journals in Social Sciences: A Scientometric sketch. Library Philosophy and Practice, paper 1051. (Available at: http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/libphilprac/1051)

- Lipetz, B-A. 1999. Aspects of JASIS authorship through five decades. Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology, Vol.50, no. 11: 994-1003.
- 4. Mukherjee, B. 2008. Scholarly literature from selected universities of Delhi and Uttar Pradesh: A pilot study. LIBRES, Vol.18, no. 1. Retrieved from http://libres.curtin.edu.au/libres18n1/Mukherjee Final rev.pdf
- Subramanyam, K. 1982. Bibliometric Study of Research Collaboration: A Review. Journal of Information Science, Vol.6, no.1: 33-38.
- Thanuskodi, S.2010. Journal of Social Science: A Bibliometric Study. Journal of Social Science, Vol.24, no. 2: 77-80.
- 7. Tian, Y., Wen, C., & Hong, S. 2008. Global scientific production on GIS research by bibliometric analysis from 1997 to 2006. Journal of Informetrics, Vol. 2: 65-74. Available online at www.sciencedirect.com
- 8. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bibliometrics
- 9. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scientometrics/