University of Nebraska - Lincoln DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

UCARE Research Products

UCARE: Undergraduate Creative Activities & Research Experiences

Spring 4-7-2016

Modeling of Methane Tank Depressurization in Cold Weather

Brittney Bridger-Burton bbridgerburton@gmail.com

Kevin D. Cole University of Nebraska - Lincoln, kcole1@unl.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/ucareresearch Part of the <u>Heat Transfer, Combustion Commons</u>

Bridger-Burton, Brittney and Cole, Kevin D., "Modeling of Methane Tank Depressurization in Cold Weather" (2016). UCARE Research Products. 20.

http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/ucareresearch/20

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by the UCARE: Undergraduate Creative Activities & Research Experiences at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in UCARE Research Products by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.



Modeling of Methane Tank Depressurization in Cold Weather

and the UNL McNair Scholars Program.

becomes low enough to endanger the polymer tank (200 K).

- Vessel Heat Transfer:
- Vessel Geometry:
 - Head Type: Hemispherical
- Aspen Plus Dynamics utilized for dynamic simulation

Full Tank				
1	emperature:	288 K P	ressure: 250 Bar	
Temperatures Examined (K)				
263	253	243	233	223
Mass Flows Examined (kg/s)				
	0.3	0.119	0.064	
Table 1 Dreve entire of full we could used to reve eventure of a single				

Table 1. Properties of full vessel and temperatures examined.



Figure 1. Flowsheet used in Aspen Plus Dynamics.

Brittney Bridger-Burton, Kevin Cole Department of Mechanical and Materials Engineering, University of Nebraska–Lincoln



PROCESS INFORMATION When the vessel valve opens, the temperature and pressure of the methane is initially at the starting conditions. As time progresses, the pressure in the vessel decreases, causing a decrease in the pressure of the methane. Additionally, the decrease in pressure causes a decrease in the temperature of the methane. This can be seen by following any starting temperature line. • At approx. 1.35 hours, the pressure inside the vessel reaches the outlet pressure of the valve, indicated by the horizontal pressure lines. At this point, mass can no longer leave the vessel and the valve is shut. After closing the valve, the vessel remains at the outlet pressure. In Figure 3, at approx. 1.35 hours, the lowest temperature is reached. After the valve is closed, the vessel continues to exchange heat with its surroundings and the temperature within the vessel increases. CONCLUSIONS For all starting temperatures and all mass flow rates, the temperature and pressure of the methane follow the same trend. Namely, the pressure and temperature of the methane decrease until the pressure reaches the outlet pressure. For all starting temperatures and all mass flow rates, when the valve is closed, the vessel remains at the outlet pressure and the temperature of the methane increases until it reaches the temperature of its surroundings. For the mass flow rate of 0.300 kg/s, the methane liquefied at the starting condition of 223 K. Additionally, the starting condition of 233 K brought the methane close to liquification. At mass flow rates below 0.300 kg/s, the methane did not reach saturation conditions. At the mass flow rate of 0.300 kg/s, the methane reached and exceeded the danger temperature (200 K) for the tank wall at the starting conditions of 243, 233, and 223 K. At high mass flow rates, the methane will become a mixture. Therefore, the vessel valve should be closed before this time to maintain vapor state.





Nebraska McNair