University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Historical Materials from University of Nebraska-Lincoln Extension

Extension

1990

EC91-102 C Nebraska Spring Wheat, Oats, Barley, Canola and Crambe Variety Tests 1991

Lenis Alton Nelson University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Inelson1@unl.edu

David D. Baltensperger University of Nebraska-Lincoln, dbaltensperger@tamu.edu

Russell S. Moomaw

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/extensionhist

Nelson, Lenis Alton; Baltensperger, David D.; and Moomaw, Russell S., "EC91-102 C Nebraska Spring Wheat, Oats, Barley, Canola and Crambe Variety Tests 1991" (1990). *Historical Materials from University of Nebraska-Lincoln Extension*. 4674.

https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/extensionhist/4674

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Extension at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Historical Materials from University of Nebraska-Lincoln Extension by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Nebraska Cooperative Extension EC 91-102-C



NEBRASKA SPRING WHEAT, OATS, BARLEY, CANOLA AND CRAMBE VARIETY TESTS

1991



CYT 585 E7

Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension work, Acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture. Kenneth R. Bolen, Director of Cooperative Extension, University of Nebraska, Institute of Agriculture and Natural Resources.



Cooperative Extension provides information and educational programs to all people without regard to race, color, national origin, sex or handicap.

EXTENSION CIRCULAR 91-102

NEBRASKA SPRING WHEAT, OATS, BARLEY CANOLA, AND CRAMBE VARIETY TESTS

October 1991

AUTHORS

Lenis A. Nelson David D. Baltensperger Russell S. Moomaw P. Stephen Baenziger Laura E. Oberthur Alan W. Grombacher Department of Agronomy, Lincoln Panhandle Research and Extension Center, Scottsbluff Northeast Research and Extension Center, Concord Department of Agronomy, Lincoln Department of Agronomy, Lincoln Department of Agronomy, Lincoln

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This circular is a progress report of variety trials conducted by personnel of the Agronomy Department and the Northeast and Panhandle Centers and their associated agricultural laboratories.

Conduct of experiments and publication of results is a joint effort of the Agricultural Research Division and Cooperative Extension Service. Special acknowledgment is made to Extension Agents and others who assisted with the tests. Tests were conducted on University owned land or land made available for demonstration purposes. We wish to thank those who made the land available.

The authors wish to acknowledge the technical support given by Glen Frickel, Ray Brentlinger, John Eis, Pat Tenopir, and Tom O'Hare.

We acknowledge the State Climate Program at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln for providing the climate data used in this report. We also want to thank the Nebraska Agricultural Statistics Service for crop data.

METRIC EQUIVALENTS

1 centimeter = 0.394 inches cm = inches x 2.54 1 hectare = 2.471 acres ha = acres x 0.045 1 kilogram = 2.205 pounds kg = pounds x 0.454 1 hectoliter = 2.838 bushels hl = bushels x 0.352 Kilogram/hectare = bu/A x 35.87 (32#bushel) oats Kilogram/hectare = bu/A x 53.81 (48#bushel) barley Kilogram/hectare = bu/A x 67.26 (60#bushel) wheat

EXTENSION CIRCULAR 91-102

CONTENTS

Introduction	4
Suggested oat and barley varieties	7
Characteristics of oat varieties	8
Location of tests	9
Performance data	
Northeast oats, Dixon County 1991 and 5 year	0
West dryland oats, Cheyenne County 1991 and 5 year	.1
West irrigated oats, Scotts Bluff County 1991 and 5 year	.2
Southeast oats, Saunders County 1991 and 5 year	.3
Barley, Saunders and Dixon Counties 1991 and 5 year	4
West dryland and irrigated barley 1991 and 5 year	. 5
Spring wheat, Dixon and Saunders Counties 1991 and 5 year	6
Spring wheat, Cheyenne and Scotts Bluff Counties 1991 and 5 year 1	.7
Spring canola- Lancaster, Dixon, Cuming, Cheyenne and Scotts Bluff	
Counties 1991	8
Spring crambe - Lancaster, Cheyenne, and Scotts Bluff Counties 1991 2	20
Spring crambe - all locations 1988 - 1991	22
Weather data in Lancaster, Cuming, Cheyenne, and Scotts Bluff Counties 2	23

		0	lats	Barley	Y
Year		Harv. acres 000	Yield bu/A	Harv. acres 000	Yield bu/A
1920 1930 1940 1950		2,400 2,485 1,426 2,562	33.0 29.0 24.0 24.0	256 726 1,321 310	25.0 25.5 16.0 15.0
1960 1970 1980 1982		1,213 573 380 460	35.5 42.0 41.0 58.0	225 45 25 22	29.0 36.0 38.0 50.0
1984 1985 1986 1987		320 420 360 360	49.0 61.0 59.0 48.0	78 120 135 75	34.0 32.0 40.0 36.0
1988 1989 1990 1991	1	300 310 280 210	37.0 31.0 48.0 54.0	60 30 22 27	34.0 23.0 40.0 45.0

NEBRASKA OATS AND BARLEY PRODUCTION

¹ 1991 data are preliminary. Comparable data for spring wheat are not available. Data furnished by Nebraska Agricultural Statistics Service.

NEBRASKA SPRING WHEAT, OATS, BARLEY

CANOLA, AND CRAMBE VARIETY TESTS

1991 LOCATIONS

Favorable weather in the spring of 1991 allowed for normal planting of spring grain throughout the state. Most of the state got adequate rains after planting to keep the crop going. Temperatures were cooler than normal for much of the spring and rainfall was normal or above normal. Locations and dates of planting and harvest for spring small grain variety trials are shown in Table B. Soil types for harvested locations were as follows: Saunders - Sharpsburg silty clay loam; Dixon - Nora silty clay loam; Cheyenne - Keith silt loam; and Scotts Bluff - Tripp fine sandy clay loam. The Nora silt loam in Dixon County was eroded.

The Saunders County location summary for spring barley, spring wheat, and spring oat variety trials is listed in Tables 4, 5, and 7. Warm, moist conditions in April allowed good establishment of crop. Severe infestations of chinch bugs during May and June in the spring wheat and spring barley plots limited plant and grain development. Only two replications were used to calculate yield for spring wheat. Heading date for spring barley is listed as early, medium (June 1-5), and late. Rain in May and June flooded fields and caused increased lodging of spring oats. Crown rust was observed on WI X5445-4 at a 20% level on flag leaves. The grain was harvested July 17. Due to low plot yields, test weights were not determined.

The Dixon County location included

oats, barley, wheat, canola, and crambe. Moisture was good at planting and conditions were favorable throughout the spring for good spring grain yields. Some Barley Yellow Dwarf was again present and lowered yields of oats. Russian thistle problems in the canola and crambe resulted in use of a herbicide that decimated the crambe. Spring canola yields were quite respectable but crambe was not harvested.

The plots in Lancaster County were located on the Frampton Demonstration farm and consisted of crambe and canola. Yields of both were above expected levels. The canola was harvested while it was quite green because of shattering problems.

Plots of canola and crambe were planted on demonstation land furnished by the First National Bank at West Point in Cuming County. A crop of volunteer canola invaded the crambe plots and made them unusable. Thus only the canola yields are reported. The spring canola yields were better than expected based on previous years experience.

Cheyenne County test plots located at the High Plains Ag Lab consisted of oats, barley, spring wheat, crambe and canola. The spring was well suited to spring grain production because of cooler than average temperatures and higher than average rainfall. The good conditions are demonstrated by oat yields of over 100 bushels per acre. Scotts Bluff County plots were located at the Panhandle Research and Extension Center and consisted of oats, barley, spring wheat, canola, and crambe. Since this plot was irrigated, the lack of rainfall was not detrimental to yield, but warm temperatures held yields from reaching their potential.

SUGGESTED VARIETIES AND NEW RELEASES

Suggested oat and barley varieties for Nebraska are shown on the map (page 6). Characteristics of oat varieties included in recent Nebraska statewide tests are shown in Table A. Recent releases of oat, barley, and spring wheat include the following:

NEWDAK was released in 1990 jointly by North Dakota and New York. It's pedigree is RL3038 /'Goodland' //'Ogle'. It has good rust resistance and tolerance to barley yellow dwarf virus.

DANE oat is a yellow grained variety with good agronomic performance potential and high milling yield. Grain yields are comparable to Don and Ogle. Grain has above average test weight and percent of groat protein. It is an early to medium maturing variety with very good straw strength. Dane is resistant to smut, moderately resistant to barley yellow dwarf virus, stem rust, and crown rust. Dane was developed by the Wisconsin Ag Experiment Station.

PREMIER oat is a moderately short, mid-season maturing yellow oat variety. Yield tests show Premier to be competitive with Hazel and Starter. Grain is very plump with high test weight and high milling yield. Premier is similar to Ogle and Hazel for heading date and plant height. Straw strength is very good. It has moderate resistance to smut, crown rust, stem rust and barley yellow dwarf virus. Premier was developed by the Minnesota Ag Experiment Station.

SETTLER oat is a midseason variety with improved resistance to crown rust and more tolerance to barley yellow dwarf virus. It has white colored kernels with medium-high groat protein, high test weight, and good milling yield. It is a medium height variety with good straw strength, and good yield potential. It was developed by the South Dakota Ag Experiment Station.

STARK is a two-rowed feed barley released in 1991 by North Dakota State University. It is slightly later and taller than Bowman with better yield potential and disease resistance. It has had good yield record under dryland conditions of North and South Dakota.

SHARP hard red spring wheat is an early maturing, conventional height variety which is most comparable to Butte 86 in agronomic characteristics, milling and baking properties, yield, and adaptation. It is moderately resistant to leaf rust and resistant to stem rust. Sharp was developed by the South Dakota Agricultural Experiment Station and released in 1991.

Oats

Results from Dixon County are shown in Table 1. Yields had a wide range with early maturing varieties having the highest yields. Results of 1987-1991 oat tests in this area are shown also in Table 1.

A dryland oat test was conducted in Cheyenne County. Yields were very good because of the above average rainfall amounts and cooler than average temperatures. Yields from that test are shown in Table 2. The 1987 - 1991 data for West dryland oats is shown also in Table 2.

The irrigated oat trial in Scotts Bluff County had lower yields than previous years and had much variability. Results from Scotts Bluff County are shown in Table 3. Irrigated oat variety data for the 1987-1991 period are shown also in Table 3.

The results from the Saunders County test are shown in Table 4. These plots were quite dry and the heat was above normal most of the summer. The results of 1987-1991 oat tests are shown also in Table 4.

Barley

Five spring barley varieties were tested in the eastern tests and six in the western tests. One of these varieties, Stark, is a new entry. Barley yield and other data are shown in Tables 5 and 6.

Spring Wheat

Spring wheat data are shown in Tables 7 and 8. Eight varieties were tested in 1991 and the spring triticales were dropped from the test. Amidon is a recent release from North Dakota tested for the third time. Sharp is a new variety released by North Dakota in 1990.

Spring Canola

Replicated yield trials were planted Lancaster County, Cuming County, Dixon County, Scotts Bluff County, and Cheyenne County. Flea beetles were present in the Cuming County trials around March 1, and false chinch bugs were present during harvest in Cuming and Lancaster Counties. While flea beetles and false chinch bugs were present, they were not a major problem in those tests.

The 1991 yields were better than the 1990 averages due to the cooler than average temperatures and adequate precipitation. Canola yields are reported in Table 9.

Crambe

Meyer exhibited low germination, and no plants survived the season. The Cheyenne County field had an infestation of flea beetles and a spraying of Sevin on June 21 controlled them.

The cooler than average temperatures and adequate precipitation created high yielding conditions at the three locations. These weather conditions were in direct contrast to the hot and dry conditions that contributed to the low 1990 yields. Crambe data are reported in Table 10 and four year average yields are reported in Table 11.



Suggested Oat and Barley varieties for Nebraska 1991

		Year		Plant	Straw	Grain
Variety	Origin	released	Maturity	height	strength	color
Bates	Missouri	1975	Early	Short	Strong	Dark
Burnett	lowa	1957	Medium	Medium	Medium	lvory
Dane	Wisconsin	1990	Medium	Medium	Strong	Yellow
Don	Illinois	1985	Early	Short	Strong	White
Hazel	Illinois	1985	Early	Short	Strong	lvory
Horicon	Wisconsin	1989	Medium	Tall	Strong	Tan
Hytest	South Dakota	1986	Medium	Tall	Medium	Lt. Cream
Newdak	North Dakota	1990	Medium	Medium	Medium	White
Ogle	Illinois	1981	Medium	Short	Strong	Yellow
Premier	Minnesota	1990	Medium	Short	Medium	Yellow
Settler	South Dakota	1989	Medium	Medium	Medium	White
Starter	Minnesota	1986	Early	Short	Strong	Yellow
/alley	North Dakota	1988	Late	Medium	Strong	lvory
Webster	lowa	1984	Early	Short	Strong	Yellow

Grain color varies with environment.

Г

Table B. Location and dates of planting and harvest of Nebraska spring grain tests – 1990

County	Cooperator	Planted	Harvested
	Oats	1	
Saunders Dixon Cheyenne Scotts Bluff (irr.)	Agricultural Res. & Dev. Center Northeast Res. & Ext. Center High Plains Ag. Laboratory Panhandle Res. & Ext. Center	April 14 April 5 April 2 April 3	July 17 July 16 July 30 July 29
	Barley		
Saunders Dixon Cheyenne Scotts Bluff (irr.)	Agricultural Res. & Dev. Center Northeast Res. & Ext. Center High Plains Ag. Laboratory Panhandle Res. & Ext. Center	April 14 April 5 April 2 April 3	July 17 July 11 July 26 July 29
	Spring wheat		
Saunders Dixon Cheyenne Scotts Bluff (irr.)	Agricultural Res. & Dev. Center Northeast Res. & Ext. Center High Plains Ag. Laboratory Panhandle Res. & Ext. Center	April 14 April 5 April 2 April 3	July 17 July 16 July 30 July 29
	Spring Canola		
Lancaster	Frampton Demonstration Farm	April 1	July 12
Dixon	Northeast Res. & Ext. Center	April 5	July 23
Cuming	First National Bank Demo Area	April 4	July 16
Cheyenne Scotts Bluff	High Plains Ag. Laboratory Panhandle Res. & Ext. Center	April 2 April 3	July 30 July 29
	Crambe		
Lancaster Dixon	Frampton Demonstration Farm Northeast Res. & Ext. Center	April 1 April 5	July 8 1/
Cuming	First National Bank Demo Area	April 4	1/
Cheyenne	High Plains Ag. Laboratory	April 2	July 26
Scotts Bluff	Panhandle Res. & Ext. Center	April 3	July 29
1/ Not harvested du	e to weeds or herbicide injury.		

Table 1. Dixon County Oat Variety Test - 1991.

		Bushel			Plant	Straw
Variety	Yield	Weight	BYDMV	Lodging	Height	Weight
	bu/a	lb/bu	%	%	inches	t/a
Horicon	107.7	27.2	1.3	28.8	37.0	9.8
Dane	107.2	24.5	1.8	20.0	36.0	6.3
Don	106.7	27.7	1.5	57.5	33.0	6.3
Ogle	106.2	24.2	1.5	26.3	37.8	9.3
Valley	103.2	25.2	3.0	36.3	38.3	13.5
O-24	102.5	25.7	1.0	56.3	37.5	11.0
Hazel	101.5	27.2	2.0	20.0	36.0	9.0
FL502	101.2	27.7	3.0	12.5	32.8	6.8
O-22	99,7	24.0	1.8	32,5	38.0	9.8
Premier	99.2	29.0	1.5	23.8	38.5	9,5
O-23	98.7	26.2	1.0	13.8	36.0	9.8
O-25	93.2	23.2	1.3	23.8	37.3	14.0
Starter	91.7	27.5	2.0	38.8	36.3	7.0
Settler	91.2	25.5	1.8	62.5	38.5	11.0
Bates	88.0	29.0	1.3	25.0	35.0	6.8
Newdak	87.7	24.7	2.5	21.3	35.8	12.0
FL501	79.2	27.7	3,0	23.8	30.5	6.5
Hytest	67.2	29.2	3.8	28.8	42.0	10.3
Burnett	60.5	26.2	4.0	67.5	39.0	10.8
Average All Entries	94.3	26.4	2.0	32.6	36.6	9.4
Dif. Req. for Sig. 5%	13.2	2.0	0.7	17.9	2.9	1.4
25%	7.7	1.2	0.4	10.4	1.7	0.8

Northeast District Oat Test 1987-1991.

	Two Yr	Ave	Three Y	r Ave	Four Yi	Ave	Five Yr A	ve
Variety	Yield	Bu wt	Yield	Bu wt	Yield	Bu wt	Yield	Bu wt
Bates	81.5	30.7	71.3	31.1	68.8	30.6	70.4	31.2
Burnett	64.8	27.9	57.8	28.8	57.1	28.9	58.5	30.3
Don	95.4	30.0	83.6	31.4	79.4	30.8	78.5	31.4
Hazel	88.3	29.9	74.8	30.4	72.6	29.8	73.5	30.8
Horicon	89.4	27.9	78.9	29.2	74.2	28.7		··
Hytest	57.1	30.0	51.4	31.8	49.8	31.1	46.6	32.7
Newdak	72.9	27.2						
Ogle	94.1	26.7	81.1	28.0	78.1	27.7	79.2	28.8
Premier	90.1	29.7						
Starter	84.9	29.6	73.6	31.5	70.2	31.4	69.5	32.3
Valley	77.1	26.1				Warrante Manadata		
0-22	89.9	26.4	79.6	27.8				
O-23	85.4	29.3	— —	— —				
Average	82.3	28.6	72.5	30.0	68.8	29.9	68.1	31.1
Dif. Req. for Sig. 5%	10.7	1.3	6.6	1.0	5.3	0.8	4.6	0.8
25%	6.0	0.7	3.7	0.6	3	0.5	2.6	0.5

Table 2.	Panhan	dle d	rylan	d oat	test ·	
		Bushel	-	Plant	Flower	
Variety	Yield	weightl	_odging	height	date	
	bu/a	lb/bu	%	inches	June	
Ogle	117.0	31.3	25.0	38.3	15.0	
0-22	111.8	30.9	32.5	38.5	15.3	
FL502	106.5	35.3	5.0	28.8	9.5	
Starter	105.8	35.0	37.5	38.8	10.8	
O-23	103.3	31.2	10.0	35.3	12.0	
Hazel	100.3	31.7	20.0	35.0	11.8	
Horicon	99.0	31.4	45.0	39.8	14.0	
Valley	97.3	32.2	35.0	37.5	16.5	
Dane	96.5	31.2	32.5	37.3	9.5	
Don	95.8	33.5	70.0	35.8	10.8	
Premier	95.0	34.3	50.0	37.5	14.5	
FL501	94.8	33.7	20.0	30.8	9.0	
O-24	94.0	27.9	67.5	36.3	15.8	
O-25	90.8	26.8	52.5	36.5	18.8	
Bates	90.3	34.7	60.0	36.5	10.3	
Newdak	89.8	30,1	70.0	38.5	14.8	
Settler	76.5	32.9	80.0	39.0	15.0	
Hytest	73.5	38.5	72.5	44.3	16.5	
Russell	59.3	27.9	92.5	41.0	18.5	
Average	94.6	32.1	46.2	37.1	13.6	
Dif Req for Sig 5%	6 12.2	1.7	24.1	2.5	1.1	
. 2	5% 7.1	1.0	14.0	1.4	0.6	

Panhandle Dryland Oat Tests 1987 – 1991

	Two Yea	ar Ave	Three Ye	ear Ave	Four Ye	ear Ave	Five Ye	ear Ave
Variety	Yield	Bu wt	Yield	Bu wt	Yield	Bu wt	Yield	Bu wt
Bates	55.2	30.2	50.8	31.2	58.8	32.5	64.3	32.9
Don	55.9	29.5	49.6	30.2	58.0	31.8	63.8	32.4
Hazel	57.2	28.2	51.1	29.9	62.3	31.4	67.1	31.8
Horicon	61.0	27.5	53.0	28.8	64.5	30.5		
Hytest	43.8	33.3	39.2	33.3	48.4	35.0	54.5	35.8
Newdak	53.9	26.3						
Ogle	74.0	27.1	63.3	28.4	75.5	30.2	79.8	30.4
Premier	59.0	31.9						
Starter	60.4	30.7	51.3	31.8	60.2	33.3	64.6	33.7
Valley	55.7	28.8						
0-22	68.9	26.6	58.9	27.9				
O-23	59.2	29.2						
FL501	57.4	30.9	46.3	30.1				
FL502	58.3	32.8	46.2	33.0				
Average	58.5	29.5	51.0	30.5	61.1	32.1	65.7	32.8
Dif Req for Sig 5%	NS	1.4	6.2	1.3	4.8	0.7	4.1	0.7
25%	4.2	0.8	3.5	0.8	2.7	0.4	2.3	0.4

11

Table 3. Panhandle Irrigated Oat Test – 1991.

		Bushel		Plant	Flower
Variety	Yield	weight l	_odging	height	date
	bu/a	lb/bu	%	inches	June
Valley	139.0	40.1	0.0	113.0	17.0
0-22	133.2	36.1	0.0	117.0	14.0
O-24	132.5	33.8	0.0	116.0	17.0
Don	130.5	38.4	1.0	107.0	10.0
O-25	130.2	34.0	0.0	111.0	19.0
O-23	125.5	37,1	0.0	104.0	14.0
Hazel	125.2	36.4	0.0	102.0	13.5
Bates	121.7	37.9	17.0	116.0	10.5
Premier	114.0	39.3	0.0	110.0	13.5
Newdak	112.2	34.4	0.0	116.0	14.7
Ogle	111.2	35.6	0.0	115.0	11.5
Starter	106.7	37.2	0.0	111.0	10.0
Dane	106.5	34.2	0.0	108.0	10.0
FL501	102.7	38.2	0.0	90.0	10.0
Horicon	89.5	32,8	0.0	115.0	14.0
Settler	88.0	35.7	17.0	117.0	14.0
FL502	85.7	37.1	0.0	82.0	10.0
Russell	69.0	32.6	10.0	123.0	19.0
Hytest	62.7	34.2	12.0	131.0	17.0
Average all entries	109.8	36.1	3.0	110.7	13.6
Dif. Req. for Sig. 5%	18.2	1.7	NS	5.3	1.8
25%	10.6	1.0	8.2	3.1	1.1

Panhandle Irrigated Oat Tests 1987-1991

	Two Yr	Ave	Three	Yr Ave	Four Y	'r Ave	Five Y	r Ave
Variety	Yield	Bu wt	Yield	Bu wt	Yield	Bu wt	Yield	Bu wt
Bates	87.9	34.0	77.6	33.8	79.4	33.9	85.1	34.1
Don	91.8	34.2	79.5	33.6	83.1	33.7	89.9	34.1
Hazel	83.6	33.1	78.7	33.0	83.8	33.0	87.4	33.8
Horicon	82.3	30.6	70.8	30.6	76.6	30.7	83.7	31.5
Hytest	56,9	31.2	50.2	31,1	57.7	32.1	65.1	33.9
Newdak	89.6	30.4						
Ogle	86.1	32.6	78.1	32.4	82.8	32.0	91.2	32.4
Premier	83.5	34.7	— —	····				
Starter	80.4	31.7	69.2	32.2	74.2	32.6	77.9	33.5
Valley	97.5	34.0						
0-22	94.6	29.7	86.4	30.5				
0-23	96.8	34.1						
FL501	89.9	35.9	74.6	34.2				<u> </u>
FL502	68.9	35.1	60.6	34.7				
Average	85.0	32.9	72.6	32.6	76.8	32.6	82.9	33.3
Dif Req for Sig 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	1.0	7.7	NS
25%	NS	1.2	7.0	0.9	5.4	0.5	4.4	0.6
***************************************							~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	

Table 4. Saunders County Oat Test - 1991.

	YIELD	HDDATE	LODGING
VARIETY	(bu/A)	June	%
0-22	77.7	6	50.0
Ogle	74.6	5	45.0
O-24	71.7	7	35.0
O-23	63.6	6	60.0
Horicon	63.2	6	17.5
Hazel	61.0	5	75.0
Don	60.1	2	65.0
Starter	59.6	3	55.0
FL502	57.4	6	100.0
O-26	56.1	7	27.5
Valley	54.2	9	30.0
Newdak	53.3	7	25.0
Settler	51.4	7	12.5
Premier	48.1	6	37.5
Dane	45.4	1	25.0
Bates	44.0	4	10.0
O-25	43.1	9	17.5
FL501	38.8	5	95.0
Hytest	28.9	7	50.0
Average	55.4	5.6	43.9
Dif. Req. for Sig. 4%	10.7		42.3
25%	6.3		14.7

Southeast Dryland Oat Tests 1987 - 1991

		Two Yr.	Three Yr.	Four Yr.	Five Yr.
Variety			γ	'ield (bu/a)	
Bates		36.9	41.3	46.2	49.2
Don		40.3	42.2	44.7	50.7
Hazel		42.0	41.6	44.0	49.2
Horicon		47.5	48.7	53.3	58.8
Hytest		23.6	32.7	37,0	43.2
Newdak		35.5			
Ogle		70.7	68.4	69.6	72.7
Premier		35.3			
Starter		42.4	43.0	41.0	45.8
Valley		39.5	united therein		
0-22		62.5	59.6		
0-23		50.0			
Average		43.8	47.2	48.0	52.8
Dif. Req. for Sig.	5%	8.3	8.1	6.7	5.5
	25%	4.5	4.5	3.8	3.1

13

Table 5. Dixon and Saunders County Spring Barley Data. 1991.

	Di	xon County	/	Saunders County			
Variety	Yield	Bushel weight	Lodging	Flower date	Yield	Stand	Flower
	bu/a	lb/bu	%	June	bu/a	(%)	date
Hazen	57.7	36.0	5.0	5.7	20.57	97.5	LATE
Robust	51.7	37.9	10.0	5.7	18.54	93.8	MEDIUM
Custer	47.0	35.4	28.8	3.7	14.75	96.2	MEDIUM
Stark	45.0	40.8	11.3	5.7	17.22	92.5	MEDIUM
Bowman	37.0	42.8	12.5	5.7	7.97	88.8	EARLY
Average	47.7	38.5	13.5	5.3	15.8	93.7	
Dif. Req. for Sig. 5%	5.9	2.5	NS	0.8	3.7	4.5	
25%	3.3	1.4	NS	0.4	2.1	2.5	

Dixon County Barley Tests 1987 – 1991.												
	Two Ye	Two Year Ave		ear Ave	Four Ye	Five						
Variety	Yield	Bushel	Yield	Bushel	Yield	Bushel	Yield					
Bowman	44.0	49.4	44.3	48.3	47.5	46.7	49.8					
Custer	49.0	45.0	49.3	45.6	51.3	44.7	52.2					
Hazen	42.5	44.4	42.7	45.9	46.5	45.2	49.0					
Robust	40.0	46.2	41.0	47.8	43.3	46.8	45.0					
Average	43.9	46.2	44.3	46.9	47.1	45.9	49.0					

1.9

1.0

NS

NS

2.4

1.2

Saunders County Barley Tests 1987 – 1991

1.5

0.7

3.7

1.7

Dif Req. for Sig. 5%

25%

	Yield in bu/a											
Variety ==	2 Year	3 Year	4 Year	5 Year								
Bowman	18.2	18.8	24.4	26.9								
Custer	26.5	26.7	31.7	32.2								
Hazen	27.1	25.7	31.3	32.4								
Robust	28.4	27.2	31.7	32.7								
Average	25.0	24.6	29.8	31.1								
Dif. Req. for Sig. 5%	NS	2.8	2.0	2.0								
25%	2.2	1.4	1.1	1.1								

Year Ave

2.1

1.2

NS

NS

Bushel 47.0 45.3

45.8

47.3

46.4

NS

NS

Table 6. Pa	anhand	dle Dr	yland	& Irrig	ated	Spring	Barle	y Test	- 19	91.
		Dryland						rrigated	<u></u>	
		Bushel		Plant	Flower		Bushel		Plant	Flower
Variety	Yield	weight	Lodging	height	date	Yield	weight	Lodging	height	date
	bu/a	lb/bu	%	inches	June	bu/a	lb/bu	%	inches	June
Bowman	90.7	48.5	55.0	39.0	9.7	85.0	51.0	30.0	116.7	10.0
Stark	89.0	48.3	50.0	40.5	10.2	83.0	50.3	10.0	112.2	11.5
Steptoe	85.5	40.2	67.5	37.5	11.5	64.5	41.5	65.0	114.0	10.0
Custer	77.7	44.0	88.7	39.0	10.0	54.5	44.4	95.0	111.7	7.0
Hazen	69.2	46.3	62.5	41.8	12.0	76.0	46.8	1.2	121.7	12.0
Robust	61.2	43.7	60.0	40.3	11.0	70.0	46.8	7.5	116.2	10.0
Average all entries	78.9	45.2	64.0	39.7	10.7	72.2	46.8	34.8	115.4	10.1
Dif. Req. for Sig. 5%	8.7	1.8	22.0	2.0	0.7	NS	2.3	17.9	2.9	0.6
25%	4.9	1.0	12.4	1.2	0.4	14.1	1.3	10.1	1.7	0.3

Panhandle Dr	vland	Barley	Tests	1987-	1991.
--------------	-------	--------	-------	-------	-------

	Two Yr	Ave	ُ Three ۱	r Ave	Four Yı	Ave	Five Yr	Ave
Variety	Yield	Bu wt	Yield	Bu wt	Yield	Bu wt	Yield	Bu wt
Bowman	56.4	43.6	55.6	44.7	56.4	45.2	55.1	45.0
Custer	49.4	37.5	50.6	38.8	51.7	40.9	53.3	41.7
Hazen	42.6	38.5	42.4	39.9	44.8	41.9	45.0	42.6
Robust	38.1	38.1	37.1	39.3	39.6	41.6	39.6	42.5
Steptoe	54.8	36.8	54.5	37.9	57.1	39.7	55.3	40.3
Average	48.2	38.9	48.0	40.1	49.9	41.9	49.7	42.4
Dif. Req. for Sig. 5%	8.3	3.3	4.2	1.6	3.1	1.5	3.1	1.3
25%	4.0	1.6	2,3	0.8	1.7	0.8	1.8	0.7

Panhandle Irrigated Barley Tests 1987-1991.

	Two Yr Ave		Three Yr Ave		Four Yr	Four Yr Ave		Ave
Variety	Yield	Bu wt	Yield	Bu wt	Yield	Bu wt	Yield	Bu wt
Bowman	80.0	49.7	84.3	50.9	75.5	45.7	74.4	46.0
Custer	55.8	44.8	63.5	45.8	63.1	41.9	64.5	43.1
Hazen	75.0	46.3	80.3	47.4	72.8	43.3	71.2	44.5
Robust	65.5	46.7	67.0	46.9	61.5	42.9	60.4	44.1
Steptoe	71.8	42.3	81.8	44.1	75.9	40.3	76.7	41.5
Average	69.6	45.9	75.4	47.0	69.8	42.8	69.4	43.8
Dif. Req. for Sig. 5%	9.8	1.6	7.1	1.2	6,9	1.2	5.6	1.1
25%	4.7	0.8	3.8	0.7	3.2	0.7	3.1	0.6

Table 7. Dixon & Saunders Co Spring Wheat Variety Tests – 1991.

• •												
	Ľ	Dixon Coun	ty		Saund	lers Count	ty					
			Plant	Flower		Flower						
	Yield	Lodging	height	date	Yield	date						
Variety	bu/a	%	inches	June	bu/a	June						
Shield	32.7	3.2	34.0	5.0	12.7	2						
Butte 86	30.5	1.5	35.5	4.5	9.6	3						
Sharp	29.7	3.2	34.2	4.5	7.6	2						
Prospect	27.2	2.0	33.2	5.3	7.0	4						
Guard	26.5	2,0	30,5	6.3	11.3	4						
Stoa	24.2	4.2	38.2	9.5	7.6	8						
Oslo	22.2	1.5	30.5	4.0	4.0	2						
Amidon	17.7	3.5	38.0	9.8	6.8	5						
Average All Entries	26.3	2.6	34.3	6.1	8.3	4						
Dif Req for Sig 5%	2.6	1.9	2.9	1.0	2.5	0.9						
25%	1.5	1.1	1.6	0.6	1.4	0.5						

Northeast Spring Wheat Tests 1987-1991

	2 Yr Ave 3 Y	rAve 4 Y	r Ave 5 Y	'r Ave	
Variety		Yie	ld (bu/a)		
Amidon	12.0				anna dalam takin kalenda dalam kalenda dalam kalenda dalam kalenda dalam kalenda dalam kalenda dalam kalenda d
Butte 86	19.5	18.7	23.5		
Guard	17.0	17.7	21.5	23.6	
Oslo	18.5	18.7	21.8	22.6	
Prospect	15.0	16.0			
Shield	22.0	21.3			
Stoa	13.5	14.3	21.3	24.0	
Average	16.8	17.8	22.0	23.4	
Dif Req for Sig 5%	3.6	3.0	2.0	NS	
25%	1.9	1.7	1.2	NS	

Southeast Spring Wheat Tests 1987-1991

	2 yr ave 3 y	rave 4y	rave 5y	r ave	
Variety		Yie	ld (bu/a)		
Amidon	9.8	9.9		strand advan	
Butte 86	9.4	10.6	14.4	16.1	
Guard	12.0	11.0	14.2	16.0	
Oslo	4.6	6.4	11.6	12.6	
Prospect	10.5	10.0	14.0		
Shield	13.3	13.2	17.1		
Stoa	8.4	9.2	12.9	14.9	
Average	9.7	10.0	14.0	14.9	
Dif Req for Sig 5%	2.4	NS	NS	NS	
25%	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.9	

Table 8. Pa	nhand	dle sp	bring	whea	it test	- 19	91.	
		Irrigated Dryland						
		Bushel	Plant	Flower		Bushel	Plant	Flower
Variety	Yield	weight	height	date	Yield	weight	height	date
	bu/a	lb/bu	inches	June	bu/a	lb/bu	inches	June
Shield	58.5	61.0	114.0	12.0	42.5	56.7	47.7	13.2
Prospect	56.7	58.6	96.0	12.0	44.0	56.5	33.7	15.2
Sharp	56.5	61.1	108.0	11.0	45.5	60.0	38.2	13.2
Stoa	55.5	58.8	120.0	16.0	35.2	53.8	42.5	17.7
Amidon	51.7	58.4	119.0	17.0	38.7	55,9	44.0	18.0
Oslo	51.2	56.6	88.0	12.0	40.2	53.3	30.2	15.2
Butte 86	50.7	59.3	107.0	11.0	39.2	56.6	36.5	14.2
Guard	49.5	59.9	93.0	14.0	44.5	57.8	35.0	16.0
Average all entries	53.8	59.2	105.6	13.1	41.2	56.3	38.5	15.3
Dif Req for Sig 5%	8.9	1.4	5.8	1.1	6.2	1.2	8.1	0.7
25%	5.0	0.8	3.3	0.7	3.6	0.7	4.6	0.4

Irrigated spring wheat tests 1987-1991

	Two Yr	Ave	Three	Yr Ave	Four Y	'r Ave	Five Y	r Ave
Variety	Yield	Bu wt	Yield	Bu wt	Yield	Bu wt	Yield	Bu wt
Amidon	38.9	54.3	45.2	55.1		Bourse Antone		
Butte 86	40.4	56.1	43.9	56.6	41.2	55.5	43.1	56.0
Guard	35.3	54.8	41.8	55.1	38.9	54. 1	40.9	55.0
Oslo	36,1	52.3	40.1	52.9	37.3	51.8	40.2	52.5
Prospect	40.9	55.6	46.9	55.9	43.2	54.6		
Shield	45.3	56.6	47.5	57.6	43.1	55.9		
Stoa	38.3	55.3	42.2	55.4	38.9	53.9	39.9	54.3
Average all entries	39.3	55.0	43.9	55.5	40.4	54.3	41.0	54.5
Dif. Req. for Sig. 5%	NS	NS	NS	0.8	NS	0.7	NS	0.6
25%	2.0	0.7	1.8	0.5	1.4	0.4	NS	0.4

Dryland spring wheat tests 1987-1991

	Two Y	r Ave	Three	Yr Ave	Four	/r Ave	Five Y	r Ave
Variety	Yield	Bu wt	Yield	Bu wt	Yield	Bu wt	Yield	Bu wt
Amidon	22.9	51.5	21.2	52.4				
Butte 86	23.6	51.8	21.1	52.6	18.6	53.8		
Guard	26.3	51.9	23.2	52.8	19.6	53.4	22.3	54.0
Oslo	24.1	48.9	21.4	50.8	19.6	51.5	22.4	52.0
Prospect	27.0	52.8	23.7	53.6				
Shield	25.8	52.1	23.8	52.7				
Stoa	20.1	50.4	18.4	51.0	16.3	51.7	18.4	51.9
Average all estrice	04.0	E1 0	01.0	E0 0	10 5	50.0	01 4	<u> </u>
Average all entries	24.2	51.3	21.0	52.3	18.5	52.6	21.1	52.6
Dif. Req. for Sig. 5%	1.8	1.4	1.4	1.0	3.2	1.0	1.7	1.0
25%	0.9	0.7	0.8	0.6	1.7	0.6	0.9	0.5

17

18

Table 9. Sp	oring Ca	anola T	ests – 1	991.	
Lancaster (County	Spring	Canola	Test	****
Variety	Yield	Height	Lodging	Bushelwt	
	lb/a	inches	%	lb/bu	
Legend	1299.6	35.8	71.3	42.7	
Westar	1071.1	35.8	96.3	39.5	
Global	989.0	40.3	98.8	39.8	
Tobin	858.1	34.0	16.3	51.0	
Alto	834,1	37.0	96.3	41.8	
Bingo	766.7	43.3	66.3	38,9	
A-114	656,4	38,8	73.8	40.5	
Topas	644.7	39.8	17.5	41.1	
A-112	635.7	37.3	76.0	40.4	
Average	861.7	38.0	68.1	41.7	
Dif Req for Sig 5%	NS	3.3	27.4	2.7	
25%	261.9	1.9	15.7	1.6	
Cuming Co	unty S	pring C	anola To	est	
Variety	Yield	Height	Lodging	Bushelwt	
	lb/a	inches	%	lb/bu	
Legend	1077.8	41.3	76.3	47.1	
A-112	974.6	40.0	71.3	46.8	
Westar	956.2	40.3	35.0	48.3	
Alto	946.7	41.5	60.0	46.9	
Global	943.2	45.8	88.8	46.3	
A-114	870.7	41.5	89.5	48.4	
Topas	838.8	41.3	82.5	46.4	

ropas		030.0	41.3	62.5	40.4	
Tobin		816.7	45.5	62.5	48.0	
Bingo		638.8	46.5	77.3	41.6	
Average		895.9	42.6	71.5	46.6	
Dif Req for S	Sig 5%	223.5	3.7	25.6	NS	
	25%	128.0	2.1	14.7	2.5	

Dixon County Spring Canola Test

1			
Variety	Yield	Flower	
	ID/a	Julie	
A-114	1397.5	7.0	
Global	1367.3	9.8	
A-112	1361.3	8.0	
Bingo	1200.0	10.0	
Alto	1124.5	3,8	
Legend	1074.3	4.0	
Topas	971.0	8.3	
Westar			
Tobin			
Average	1014.1	5.7	
Dif Reg for Sig 5%	264.8	2.7	
25%	150.0	1.5	

Cheyenne	County	Spring	Canola	Test	
Variety	Yield	Height	Lodging	Flower	
Dinas	<u>Ib/a</u>	Inches	%	June	
Bingo	1222.3	51.3	0.0	9.3	
	1200.0	49.3	15.0	6.8	
A-112	1144.2	40.0	0.0	8.5	
Topas	1119.4	46.0	10.0	9.0	
A-114	1066.1	40.3	0.0	11.0	
Legend	868.6	42,3	35.0	5.3	
Allo	803.1	42.3	50.0	5.0	
Tobin	//8.2	40.3 34.0	40.0	7.8 4.8	
Δνετασο	1025.2	129	20.0	75	
Dif Reg for Sig 5%	020.2 005 1	-τ <u>ζ</u> ,υ 2 Λ	20.0	1.0	
25%	127.7	1.7	11.3	0.7	
Scotts Blu	ff Count	v Sprin	a Canol	a Test	
Variety	Yield	Height	Flower	<u>a</u>	
	lb/a	inches	June		
Topas	1488.1	49.1	11.0		<u></u>
A-114	1428.9	49.4	14.0		
A-112	1376.0	46.3	11.5		
Tobin	1236.7	41.4	6.0		
Global	1173.0	53,1	12.0		
Leaend	1063.4	48.3	7.0		
Westar	1006.7	45.2	14.0		
Bingo	997.9	53,5	12.0		
Alto	669.4	50.2	7.0		
Average	1160.0	48.5	10.5		
Dif Req for Sig 5%	NS	2.5	0.8		
25%	207.0	1.5	0.4		
Average of	f five Sp	ring Ca	nola Te	sts	
Variety	Yield Ib/a	Height	Lodging	Bushelwt	Flower
Global	1140.4	47.1	78.0	43.1	9.5
A-112	1108.6	40.9	58.9	44.1	9.3
Legend	1076.7	41.9	66.0	44.9	5.4
A-114	1065.8	42.5	65.3	45.0	10.7
Topas	1012.4	44.0	42.0	44.1	9.4
Bingo	961.5	48.6	57.4	40.5	10.4
Tobin	946.3	38.7	39.5	49.5	, o. 5 4
Westar	945.4	40.4	58.5	43.9	10 0
Alto	911.9	42.7	72.5	44.7	, e. e 5.3
Average	1018.8	43.0	59.8	44.4	8.5
Dif Reg for Sig 5%	NS	1.8	15.5	1.9	0.9
25%	NS	1.0	8.2	1.1	0.5

Table 10	. Crambe	e Tests	- 1991	•	
Lancaste	er County	Cramb	e Test		
		Plant		Bushel	Flower
	Yield	height	Lodging	weight	date
Variety	lb/a	inches	%	lb/bu	June
NIN4400	15400	00.0	0.0	00.4	00 0

				***************************************	eta presidente de la companya de la
NM#89	1546.3	33.8	0.3	20.4	28.0
NM#98	1544.2	32.5	0.0	21.4	26.8
NM#28	1527.0	33.5	0.8	19.1	28.3
NM#2	1493.7	31.8	0.5	20.4	28.0
NM#100	1460.2	32.3	2.0	19.5	21.3
NM#61	1437.0	32.8	2.3	20.3	28.0
NM#65	1427.5	33.5	1.0	20.0	27.3
NM#33	1386.0	32.8	1.3	19.8	22.3
NM#41	1339.2	32.3	0.0	19.1	28.0
NM#97	1305.5	33.5	3.5	17.9	28.8
NM#1	1198.3	31.8	1.0	16.9	28.5
NM#55	1151.3	31.0	0.5	17.0	28.0
Average	1401.4	32.6	1.1	19.3	26.9
Dif Req for Sig 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	N.S.
25%	173.2	NS	1.4	1.7	NS

Cheyenne	County	Cramb	e Test	
-	Yield	Plant height	Flower date	
Variety	lb/a	inches	June	
NM #2	1815.2	31.3	5.8	
NM #89	1810.4	30.5	5.3	
NM #28	1776.2	31.0	7.8	
NM #98	1707.9	32.3	6.3	
NM #100	1674.9	28.8	6.5	
NM #41	1625.1	31.3	5.3	
Meyer	1596.9	30.5	8.0	
NM #97	1549.6	32.0	8.5	
NM #61	1518.9	28.5	5.5	
NM #33	1508.2	32.0	9.0	
NM #65	1489.6	30.8	6.0	
NM #1	1423.0	29.5	7.5	
NM #55	955.3	28.5	9.0	
Average	1573.2	30.5	6.9	
Dif Reg for Sig 5%	257.9	NS	1.4	
25%	148.8	1.7	0.8	

Scotts Blut	ff County	Cram	be Test	
		Plant	Flower	
	Yield	height	date	
Variety	lb/a	inches	June	
NM #98	2419.7	46.1	12.5	
NM #100	2395.1	42.3	13.5	
Meyer	2310.5	41.4	13.5	
NM #33	2302.7	46.0	13.5	
NM #97	2294.4	52.8	13.5	
NM #28	2286.5	43.7	12.5	
NM #89	2250.6	47.1	13.0	
NM #2	2221.2	46.5	12.5	
NM #65	2101.2	41.4	11.5	
NM #41	2015.5	44.8	13.0	
NM #61	1974.7	41.5	12.5	
NM #55	1848.2	42.1	13.3	
NM #1	1785.2	43.3	13.5	
Average	2169.7	44.5	12.9	
Dif Req for Sig 5%	NS	3.3	NS	
25%	NS	1.9	NS	
Combined	Crambe	Tests-	-3 location	S.
Combined	Crambe	Tests - Plant	-3 location	S.
Combined	Crambe Yield	Tests - Plant height	-3 location	<u>S.</u>
Combined Variety	Yield Ib/a	Tests - Plant height inches	-3 location Flower date June	<u>S.</u>
Combined Variety Meyer	Yield Ib/a 1953.7	Tests - Plant height inches 36.0	-3 location Flower date June 5.8	S .
Combined Variety Meyer NM #98	Crambe Yield Ib/a 1953.7 1890.6	Tests - Plant height inches 36.0 36.9	-3 location Flower date June 5.8 5.3	S .
Combined Variety Meyer NM #98 NM #89	Crambe Yield Ib/a 1953.7 1890.6 1869.1	Tests - Plant height inches 36.0 36.9 37.1	-3 location Flower date June 5.8 5.3 5.3 5.4	S .
Combined Variety Meyer NM #98 NM #89 NM #28	Crambe Yield Ib/a 1953.7 1890.6 1869.1 1863.3	Tests - Plant height inches 36.0 36.9 37.1 36.1	-3 location Flower date June 5.8 5.3 5.4 6.2	S .
Combined Variety Meyer NM #98 NM #89 NM #28 NM #100	Crambe Yield Ib/a 1953.7 1890.6 1869.1 1863.3 1843.4	Tests - Plant height inches 36.0 36.9 37.1 36.1 34.4	-3 location Flower date June 5.8 5.3 5.4 6.2 3.8	<u>S.</u>
Combined Variety Meyer NM #98 NM #89 NM #28 NM #100 NM #2	Crambe Yield Ib/a 1953.7 1890.6 1869.1 1863.3 1843.4 1843.4	Tests - Plant height inches 36.0 36.9 37.1 36.1 34.4 36.5	-3 location Flower date June 5.8 5.3 5.4 6.2 3.8 5.4 6.2	<u>S.</u>
Combined Variety Meyer NM #98 NM #89 NM #28 NM #100 NM #100 NM #2 NM #33	Crambe Yield Ib/a 1953.7 1890.6 1869.1 1863.3 1843.4 1843.3 1732.3	Tests - Plant height inches 36.0 36.9 37.1 36.1 34.4 36.5 39.9	-3 location Flower date June 5.8 5.3 5.4 6.2 3.8 5.4 5.4 4.9	<u>S.</u>
Combined Variety Meyer NM #98 NM #98 NM #89 NM #28 NM #100 NM #28 NM #100 NM #2 NM #33 NM #97	Yield lb/a 1953.7 1890.6 1869.1 1863.3 1843.4 1843.3 1732.3 1716.5	Tests - Plant height inches 36.0 36.9 37.1 36.1 34.4 36.5 39.9 39.4	-3 location Flower date June 5.8 5.3 5.4 6.2 3.8 5.4 6.2 3.8 5.4 4.9 6.9	S.
Combined Variety Meyer NM #98 NM #98 NM #28 NM #28 NM #100 NM #28 NM #100 NM #27 NM #65 NM #65	Yield Ib/a 1953.7 1890.6 1869.1 1863.3 1843.4 1843.3 1732.3 1716.5 1672.8	Tests - Plant height inches 36.0 36.9 37.1 36.1 34.4 36.5 39.9 39.4 35.2	-3 location Flower date June 5.8 5.3 5.4 6.2 3.8 5.4 4.9 6.9 4.9 5.4	S.
Combined Variety Meyer NM #98 NM #89 NM #28 NM #100 NM #2 NM #33 NM #97 NM #65 NM #41 NM #01	Yield Ib/a 1953.7 1890.6 1869.1 1863.3 1843.4 1843.3 1732.3 1716.5 1659.9 10010.0	Tests - Plant height inches 36.0 36.9 37.1 36.1 34.4 36.5 39.9 39.4 35.2 36.1 24.2	-3 location Flower date June 5.8 5.3 5.4 6.2 3.8 5.4 4.9 6.9 4.9 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.2 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.5 5.4 5.4 5.5 5.5	S .
Combined Variety Meyer NM #98 NM #98 NM #28 NM #28 NM #100 NM #28 NM #100 NM #2 NM #33 NM #97 NM #65 NM #41 NM #61	Yield lb/a 1953.7 1890.6 1869.1 1863.3 1843.4 1843.3 1732.3 1716.5 1659.9 1643.6 100.0	Tests - Plant height inches 36.0 36.9 37.1 36.1 34.4 36.5 39.9 39.4 35.2 36.1 34.3 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	-3 location Flower date June 5.8 5.3 5.4 6.2 3.8 5.4 4.9 6.9 4.9 5.4 5.4 4.9 6.9 4.9 5.4 5.3 5.4 6.2 3.8 5.4 6.2 5.4 6.5 5.4 6.2 5.4 6.5 5.4 6.5 5.4 6.5 5.4 6.5 5.4 6.5 5.4 6.5 5.4 6.5 5.4 6.5 5.4 6.5 5.4 6.5 5.4 6.5 5.4 6.5 5.4 6.5 5.4 6.5 5.4 6.5 5.4 6.5 5.4 6.5 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5	S .
Combined Variety Meyer NM #98 NM #98 NM #28 NM #28 NM #100 NM #2 NM #33 NM #97 NM #65 NM #41 NM #61 NM #1	Yield Ib/a 1953.7 1890.6 1869.1 1863.3 1843.4 1843.3 1732.3 1716.5 1672.8 1659.9 1643.6 1468.9	Tests - Plant height inches 36.0 36.9 37.1 36.1 34.4 36.5 39.9 39.4 35.2 36.1 34.3 34.9	-3 location Flower date June 5.8 5.3 5.4 6.2 3.8 5.4 4.9 6.9 4.9 6.9 4.9 5.4 5.3 6.5	S .
Combined Variety Meyer NM #98 NM #98 NM #28 NM #28 NM #100 NM #2 NM #33 NM #97 NM #65 NM #41 NM #61 NM #1 NM #55	Yield Ib/a 1953.7 1890.6 1869.1 1863.3 1843.4 1843.3 1732.3 1716.5 1672.8 1659.9 1643.6 1468.9 1318.3	Tests - Plant height inches 36.0 36.9 37.1 36.1 34.4 36.5 39.9 39.4 35.2 36.1 34.3 34.9 33.1	-3 location Flower date June 5.8 5.3 5.4 6.2 3.8 5.4 4.9 6.9 4.9 6.9 4.9 5.4 5.3 6.5 7.1	S .
Combined Variety Meyer NM #98 NM #98 NM #28 NM #28 NM #100 NM #2 NM #33 NM #97 NM #65 NM #41 NM #61 NM #1 NM #55 Average	Yield Ib/a 1953.7 1890.6 1869.1 1863.3 1843.4 1843.3 1732.3 1716.5 1672.8 1659.9 1643.6 1468.9 1318.3 1728.9	Tests - Plant height inches 36.0 36.9 37.1 36.1 34.4 36.5 39.9 39.4 35.2 36.1 34.3 34.9 33.1 36.1	-3 location Flower date June 5.8 5.3 5.4 6.2 3.8 5.4 4.9 6.9 4.9 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.3 6.5 7.1 5.6	S .
Variety Meyer NM #98 NM #98 NM #28 NM #100 NM #28 NM #33 NM #33 NM #65 NM #61 NM #10 NM #55	Yield Ib/a 1953.7 1890.6 1869.1 1863.3 1843.4 1843.3 1732.3 1716.5 1659.9 1643.6 1468.9 1318.3	Tests - Plant height inches 36.0 36.9 37.1 36.1 34.4 36.5 39.9 39.4 35.2 36.1 34.3 34.9 33.1 36.1 1.7	-3 location Flower date June 5.8 5.3 5.4 6.2 3.8 5.4 4.9 6.9 4.9 5.4 5.3 6.5 7.1 5.6 NS	S .

Table 11. Crambe yields at all Nebraska locations.						
1988 - 1991.						
Variety	Two-Year 1990-1991 Seven locations	Three-Year 1989-1991 Eight locations	Four-Year 1988-1991 Nine locations			
NM#1	904.9	933.5	984.9			
NM#2	1117.9	1120.6	1173.5			
NM#28	1863.2	1678.1	1620.1			
NM#33	1732.3					
NM#41	1030.1		—			
NM#55	832.4	—	—			
NM#61	993.2	—	—			
NM#65	934.1	— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	—			
NM#89	1173.4	1142.2	1184.5			
NM#97	1001.6	991.9	1036.8			
NM#98	1023.5	1014.9	1057.2			
NM#100	1162.4	_				
Meyer	1053.9	1037.7	1068.4			
Average	1140.2	1131.3	1160.8			
Dif Req for Sig 5%	62.6	95.2	84.1			
25%	38.1	52.4	47.4			

Temperature and Rainfall Data in 1991.

Deviation from 30 year average

Lancaster County











AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND EXTENSION FOR ALL OF NEBRASKA

Panhandle Research and Extension Center, Scottsbluff



The Agricultural Research Division of the Institute of Agriculture and Natural Resources is responsible for studies to broaden our basis of knowledge for agricultural production. Research centers and field laboratories provide applied information for development of Nebraska's largest industry — agriculture.

.

The Cooperative Extension Service transmits data and provides interpretation to users through Extension Agents and Specialists. Extension Agents may be contacted through 85 local Extension offices for additional information and more specific recommendations. Nebraska is a large state and has great variation due to topography and the continental type of climate. The elevation ranges from 1,000 feet to near a mile high in the northwest portion of the state, rainfall varies from less than 15 to more than 35 inches per year, and the soil types vary from sands to heavy clays. The research and extension programs thus are broad in subject matter and geography, resulting in the need for various centers, satellite locations, and local offices.