



Platt, D. J., & Trudgian, T. (2016). Zeroes of partial sums of the zeta-function. *LMS Journal of Computation and Mathematics*, 19(1), 37-41. 10.1112/S1461157015000340

Peer reviewed version

Link to published version (if available):  
[10.1112/S1461157015000340](https://doi.org/10.1112/S1461157015000340)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)  
PDF-document

## University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

### General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:  
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/pure/about/ebr-terms.html>

### Take down policy

Explore Bristol Research is a digital archive and the intention is that deposited content should not be removed. However, if you believe that this version of the work breaches copyright law please contact [open-access@bristol.ac.uk](mailto:open-access@bristol.ac.uk) and include the following information in your message:

- Your contact details
- Bibliographic details for the item, including a URL
- An outline of the nature of the complaint

On receipt of your message the Open Access Team will immediately investigate your claim, make an initial judgement of the validity of the claim and, where appropriate, withdraw the item in question from public view.

# ZEROES OF PARTIAL SUMS OF THE ZETA-FUNCTION

D.J. PLATT AND T.S. TRUDGIAN

ABSTRACT. This article considers the positive integers  $N$  for which  $\zeta_N(s) = \sum_{n=1}^N n^{-s}$  has zeroes in the half-plane  $\Re(s) > 1$ . Building on earlier results, we show that there are no zeroes for  $1 \leq N \leq 18$  and for  $N = 20, 21, 28$ . For all other  $N$  there are infinitely many such zeroes.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Riemann zeta-function is defined as  $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$  for  $\Re(s) > 1$ . Throughout this article we write the complex variable  $s$  as  $s = \sigma + it$  with  $\sigma$  and  $t$  real, and consider  $N$  to be a natural number. Truncation of the zeta-function gives the partial sum  $\zeta_N(s) = 1 + 2^{-s} + \dots + N^{-s}$ . One may study these partial sums in the hope of deducing some information about  $\zeta(s)$ . For a comprehensive treatment of these ideas we refer the reader to [5] and [6].

Turán [16] showed that the Riemann hypothesis would follow if for all  $N$  sufficiently large  $\zeta_N(s)$  had no zero in  $\sigma > 1$ . Let  $\psi_N$  be the supremum over all values of  $\sigma$  for which  $\zeta_N(s) = 0$ . Montgomery [9] showed that for all  $N$  sufficiently large,

$$\psi_N = 1 + \left( \frac{4}{\pi} - 1 - o(1) \right) \frac{\log \log N}{\log N},$$

where the constant  $4/\pi - 1$  is best possible. Therefore for  $N$  sufficiently large,  $\zeta_N(s)$  has zeroes in  $\sigma > 1$ .

Monach [8] made this explicit: for all  $N > 30$  there are zeroes in  $\sigma > 1$ . His proof was in two parts: an analytic argument for  $N \geq 549,798$  and a computational proof for  $30 < N < 549,798$ . The latter proof is contained in [8, Lem. 3.14, pp. 134-135]. Monach's work can be combined with the results of Turán and Spira to give the following table.

TABLE 1. Zeroes of  $\zeta_N(s)$  in  $\sigma > 1$  for various values of  $N$ .

Range of $N$	Are there zeroes of $\zeta_N(s)$ in $\Re(s) > 1$ ?
1 – 5	No, [16, pp. 7-8]
6 – 9	No, [13, p. 550] and [15, Table II, §4]
19	Yes, [15, Table III, §4]
22 – 27	Yes, [15, Table III, §4]
29 – 50	Yes, [15, Table III, §4]
$\geq 51$	Yes, [8, Thm. 3.8]

We are grateful to Andrew Booker with whom we discussed several aspects of this problem and to the referees for their careful reading of our manuscript. The second author was supported by Australian Research Council DECRA Grant DE120100173.

Indeed, van de Lune and te Riele [17] actually computed some zeroes of  $\zeta_N(s)$  for  $N = 19, 22 - 27, 29 - 35, 37 - 41, 47$ . Adapting Bohr's theorems on values assumed by Dirichlet series, Spira [14, Thm. 3] (see also, [15, p. 163]) showed that if  $\zeta_N(s)$  has one zero in  $\sigma > 1$  then it has infinitely many such zeroes.

Therefore, all that remains is to investigate whether, for,

$$(1) \quad N \in \{10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 28\},$$

$\zeta_N(s)$  has zeroes in  $\sigma > 1$ . We find that there are no zeroes for each of these values of  $N$ . Combining this with Table 1, one proves the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *For  $1 \leq N \leq 18$  and  $N = 20, 21, 28$  there are no zeroes of  $\zeta_N(s)$  in the region  $\sigma > 1$ ; for all other positive  $N$  there are infinitely many such zeroes.*

## 2. NUMERICAL COMPUTATION

**2.1. Interval Arithmetic.** Almost all real numbers are not exactly representable by any finite-precision, floating-point system such as the 64 bit IEEE implementation available on most modern processors. Thus any computation involving such a floating point system will, unless we are very lucky, only produce an approximation to the true result. One way of managing this is to use interval arithmetic (see, for example, [10] for a good introduction). Instead of storing a floating point number that is an approximation to the value we want, we store an interval bracketed by two floating point numbers that contains the true value.

Interval arithmetic has been used to manage the accumulation of round-off and truncation errors. In this paper, we exploit the technique to get zero free regions rigorously. As an example, consider the function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$f(x) = x^2 - 4x + 3.$$

Suppose we wish to demonstrate that  $f$  has no zeroes for  $x \in [4, 5]$ . Then we can compute

$$f([4, 5]) = [16, 25] - [16, 20] + 3 = [-1, 12].$$

Since this is inconclusive, we try again, but this time with the interval split in two. We have

$$f([4, 4.5]) = [16, 20.25] - [16, 18] + 3 = [1, 7.25]$$

and

$$f([4.5, 5]) = [20.25, 25] - [18, 20] + 3 = [3.25, 10]$$

and we have our result<sup>1</sup>.

**2.2. Description of algorithm.** We first note that we need not search in all of  $\sigma > 1$  to find zeroes of  $\zeta_N(s)$ . Spira [13, Thm. 1] proved that all zeroes of  $\zeta_N(s)$  must have real part less than 1.85; this was sharpened in [3, Theorem 3.1] to 1.73. We therefore need only consider  $\sigma \in (1, 1.73]$ . We can improve this for some values of  $N$ , but, as we shall see in §2.3, this is more than sufficient for our purposes.

Let us consider the case  $N = 28$ . Let  $p$  denote a prime and let  $\theta_p = t \log p$ . Hence we have

$$\zeta(\sigma + it) = 1 + \frac{\exp(-i\theta_2)}{2^\sigma} + \frac{\exp(-i\theta_3)}{3^\sigma} + \frac{\exp(-i2\theta_2)}{4^\sigma} + \dots + \frac{\exp(-i(2\theta_2 + \theta_7))}{28^\sigma}$$

<sup>1</sup>Note that if we had written  $f(x) = (x-1)(x-3)$  then  $f[4, 5] = [3, 4] \cdot [1, 2] = [3, 8]$  which is the "correct" result. This sensitivity is common in expressions involving intervals.

and we will now write  $\zeta_{28}(\sigma, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{23})$  for  $\zeta_{28}(\sigma + it)$  under such a change of variables.

It would appear that we need to examine the space  $\sigma \in (1, 1.73]$ ,  $\theta_p \in [0, 2\pi)$  for  $p \leq 23$ , for zeroes. In fact we can do considerably better. First, we observe that  $\theta_{17}$ ,  $\theta_{19}$  and  $\theta_{23}$  only appear once in the sum. Call the sum without those three terms  $\zeta_{28}'(\sigma, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{13})$ . Then  $\zeta_{28}$  cannot have a zero if there is no  $\sigma, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{13}$  such that

$$|\zeta_{28}'(\sigma, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{13})| \leq 17^{-\sigma} + 19^{-\sigma} + 23^{-\sigma}.$$

We can go further. The  $\theta_{11}$  and  $\theta_{13}$  terms only appear on their own or in conjunction with  $\theta_2$ . We write  $a = 11^{-\sigma}$ ,  $b = 22^{-\sigma}$ ,  $c = 13^{-\sigma}$  and  $d = 26^{-\sigma}$ . Then a little high school geometry (the cosine rule to be precise) tells us that

$$\left| \frac{\exp(-i\theta_{11})}{11^\sigma} + \frac{\exp(-i(\theta_{11} + \theta_2))}{22^{-\sigma}} \right| \leq \sqrt{a^2 + b^2 + 2ab \cos \theta_2},$$

and

$$\left| \frac{\exp(-i\theta_{13})}{13^\sigma} + \frac{\exp(-i(\theta_{13} + \theta_2))}{26^{-\sigma}} \right| \leq \sqrt{c^2 + d^2 + 2cd \cos \theta_2}.$$

Call  $\zeta_{28}''(\sigma, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_5, \theta_7)$  the result obtained by removing the  $n = 11, 13, 22$  and  $26$  terms from  $\zeta_{28}'$ . With  $a, b, c$  and  $d$  as above, define

$$f(\sigma, \theta_2) = 17^{-\sigma} + 19^{-\sigma} + 23^{-\sigma} + \sqrt{a^2 + b^2 + 2ab \cos \theta_2} + \sqrt{c^2 + d^2 + 2cd \cos \theta_2}.$$

Then  $\zeta_{28}$  cannot have a zero if there is no  $\sigma, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_7$  such that

$$|\zeta_{28}''(\sigma, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_5, \theta_7)| \leq f(\sigma, \theta_2).$$

Our algorithm is as follows. Divide  $\sigma$ ,  $\theta_2$ ,  $\theta_3$ ,  $\theta_5$  and  $\theta_7$  into small intervals that cover  $[1, 1.73]$  and  $[0, 2\pi]^4$  respectively. We refer to any choice of five such intervals as a “box”. Push all possible boxes onto the stack. While the stack is not empty, pop off a box and compute an interval  $z$  containing  $|\zeta_{28}''|$  for that box. Compute an interval containing  $f(\sigma, \theta_2)$ . If the interval  $z - f(\sigma, \theta_2)$  is wholly positive, then that box did not contain any zeroes, so discard it. If the interval is wholly negative, then terminate with failure.<sup>2</sup> If the interval straddles zero, then divide the box into 16 smaller boxes by halving the intervals for the  $\theta_p$ , and push these new boxes onto the stack.

**2.3. Details of the implementation.** We implemented this algorithm in “C++” using our own double precision interval package written in assembler. This exploits an idea of Lambov [7] to make efficient use of the SSE instruction set of modern processors and uses CRMLIB [11] to implement the transcendental functions.

We divided the interval for  $\sigma$  into 16 sub-intervals  $[1 + (2^n - 1) \cdot 2^{-16}, 1 + (2^{n+1} - 1) \cdot 2^{-16}]$  for  $0 \leq n \leq 15$ . Therefore, the first interval checked was  $\sigma = [1, 1 + 2^{-16}]$  and the last<sup>3</sup> was  $\sigma = [\frac{3}{2} - 2^{-16}, 2 - 2^{-16}]$ . Each of these intervals for  $\sigma$  was handled by a single core of a compute node of the University of Bristol’s Bluecrystal Phase III cluster [1]<sup>4</sup>. Within a single core, the intervals for  $\theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_5$  and  $\theta_7$  were initially

<sup>2</sup>We believe that this condition indicates the presence of infinitely many zeroes. We are grateful to the referee for suggesting a means by which one might seek to establish this, based on [2],[4] and [12]. However, the weaker statement is sufficient for our purposes and we do not pursue this line of thought further.

<sup>3</sup>Note that this covered a wider interval than was strictly necessary.

<sup>4</sup>A single node of Phase III contains two 8-core Intel E5-2670 Sandybridge processors running at 2.6GHz.

divided into 16, 8, 4 and 2 sub-intervals respectively, for a total of 1,024 boxes. Since  $\theta_2$  contributed to more terms than the other variables, it made sense to start with a narrower search here: this seemed to work well in practice.

Table 2 shows the data for  $N = 28$  and  $\sigma \in [1, 1 + 2^{-16}]$ . At each iteration, a box could result in 16 new boxes; at first this is what we see. We see that after the second iteration, the remaining search space decreases dramatically.

TABLE 2. Number of boxes at each iteration for  $N = 28$  and  $\sigma \in [1, 1 + 2^{-16}]$

Iteration	Number of Boxes	Coverage (%)
1	1,024	100
2	16,256	99.2
3	45,920	17.5
4	118,560	2.83
5	170,048	0.25
6	195,920	0.018
7	212,960	0.0012
8	82,016	0.000030

We ran this algorithm for those  $N$  in (1) and in every case confirmed that  $\zeta_N(s)$  has no zeroes for  $\sigma \geq 1$ . Checking each  $N$  took much less than a minute elapsed time using 16 cores, with  $N = 21$  taking the longest at 30 seconds.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] ACRC *BlueCrystal User Guide*, 2015. <https://www.acrc.bris.ac.uk/pdf/bc-user-guide.pdf>.
- [2] C. E. Avellar and J. K. Hale. On the zeros of exponential polynomials. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 73:434–452, 1980.
- [3] P. Borwein, G. Fee, R. Ferguson, and A. van der Waall. Zeros of partial sums of the Riemann zeta function. *Experiment. Math.*, 16(1):21–39, 2007.
- [4] E. Dubon, G. Mora, J. M. Sepulcre, J. I. Ubeda and T. Vidal. A note on the real projection of partial sums of Riemann zeta function. *RACSAM*, 108:317–333, 2014.
- [5] S. M. Gonek and A. H. Ledoan. Zeros of partial sums of the Riemann zeta-function. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (10):1775–1791, 2010.
- [6] S. M. Gonek and H. L. Montgomery. Zeros of a family of approximations of the Riemann zeta-function. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (20):4712–4733, 2013.
- [7] B. Lambov. Interval Arithmetic Using SSE-2. In P. Hertling et al., editors, *Reliable Implementation of Real Number Algorithms: Theory and Practice*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [8] W. R. Monach. *Numerical Investigation of Several Problems in Number Theory*. PhD thesis, University of Michigan, 1980.
- [9] H. L. Montgomery. Zeros of approximations to the zeta function. In P. Erdős, editor, *Studies in Pure Mathematics: to the Memory of Paul Turán*, 497–506. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1983.
- [10] R. E. Moore. *Interval Analysis*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1966.
- [11] J. M. Muller. *Correctly Rounded Mathematical Library*. <http://lipforge.ens-lyon.fr/www/crlibm/>.
- [12] J. M. Sepulcre and T. Vidal. A new approach to obtain points of the closure of the real parts of the zeros of the partial sums  $1 + 2^z + \dots + n^z$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . *Kybernetes*, 41(1):96–107, 2012.
- [13] R. Spira. Zeros of sections of the zeta function I. *Math. Comp.*, 20:542–550, 1966.
- [14] R. Spira. Sets of values of general Dirichlet series. *Duke Math. J.*, 35:79–82, 1968.
- [15] R. Spira. Zeros of sections of the zeta function II. *Math. Comp.*, 22:168–173, 1968.

- [16] P. Turán. On some approximative Dirichlet-polynomials in the theory of the zeta-function of Riemann. *Danske Vid. Selsk. Math.-Fys. Medd.*, 24(17):1–36, 1948.
- [17] J. van de Lune and H. J. J. te Riele. Numerical computation of special zeros of partial sums of Riemann's zeta function. In *Computational methods in number theory, Part II*, vol. 155 of *Math. Centre Tracts*, 371–387, Amsterdam, 2010. Math. Centrum.

HEILBRONN INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH, UNIVERSITY OF BRISTOL, BRISTOL, UK  
*E-mail address:* `dave.platt@bris.ac.uk`

MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES INSTITUTE, THE AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, ACT 0200,  
AUSTRALIA  
*E-mail address:* `timothy.trudgian@anu.edu.au`