



# Woolston, P., & Van Duijneveldt, J. S. (2015). Isotropic-nematic phase transition of polydisperse clay rods. The Journal Chemical Physics, 142, [184901]. 10.1063/1.4919887

Peer reviewed version

Link to published version (if available): 10.1063/1.4919887

Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research PDF-document

# **University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research**

## **General rights**

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available: http://www.bristol.ac.uk/pure/about/ebr-terms.html

## Take down policy

Explore Bristol Research is a digital archive and the intention is that deposited content should not be removed. However, if you believe that this version of the work breaches copyright law please contact open-access@bristol.ac.uk and include the following information in your message:

- Your contact details
- Bibliographic details for the item, including a URL
- An outline of the nature of the complaint

On receipt of your message the Open Access Team will immediately investigate your claim, make an initial judgement of the validity of the claim and, where appropriate, withdraw the item in question from public view.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

#### Isotropic - nematic phase transition of polydisperse clay rods

Phillip Woolston and Jeroen S. van Duijneveldt

#### STANDARD DEVIATION IN ASPECT RATIO

As the length and diameter are independent Eq. 1 can be used to calculate the standard deviation in the aspect ratio.

$$\sigma_{L^*/D^*} = \left(\frac{\langle L^* \rangle}{\langle D^* \rangle}\right) \times \left[ \left(\frac{\sigma_{L^*}}{\langle L^* \rangle}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\sigma_{D^*}}{\langle D^* \rangle}\right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \tag{1}$$

The standard deviation in the average  $\langle L^*/D^* \rangle$  then follows from Eq. 2, where N is the number of particles counted in analysing the TEM images.

$$\sigma_{\langle L^*/D^*\rangle} = \frac{\sigma_{L^*/D^*}}{\sqrt{N}} \tag{2}$$

#### PHASE DIAGRAM - ERROR ANALYSIS

As the value of  $\phi_I/\phi_N$  is taken from extrapolations of the linear trend line for the nematic fraction as a function of rod volume fraction it is possible to rewrite this in terms of the gradient and intercept of the trend lines as seen in Equations 3 to 5 where *a* is the intercept of the line and *b* is the gradient, listed in Table I. This allows evaluating the standard deviation in these parameters.

$$0 = a + b\phi_I \text{ and } 1 = a + b\phi_N \tag{3}$$

which rearranges to

$$\phi_I = \frac{-a}{b}, \phi_N = \frac{1-a}{b} \tag{4}$$

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$\frac{\phi_I}{\phi_N} = \frac{\frac{-a}{b}}{\frac{1-a}{b}} = \frac{-a}{1-a} \tag{5}$$

The standard deviation of  $\phi_I/\phi_N$  then follows as

$$\sigma_{\frac{\phi_I}{\phi_N}} = \sigma_a \times \left| \frac{\partial \frac{\phi_I}{\phi_N}}{\partial a} \right| \tag{6}$$

$$\sigma_{\frac{\phi_I}{\phi_N}} = \left| \frac{(-1) \times (1-a) - (-a) \times (-1)}{(1-a)^2} \right| \times \sigma_a = \left| \frac{a-1-a}{(1-a)^2} \right| \times \sigma_a = \frac{\sigma_a}{(1-a)^2}$$
(7)

#### REFERENCES

- <sup>1</sup>N. Yasarawan and J. S. van Duijneveldt, *Langmuir*, 2008, **24**, 7184–92.
- <sup>2</sup>Z. X. Zhang and J. S. van Duijneveldt, *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 2006, 124, 154910.
- <sup>3</sup>P. A. Buining and H. N. W. Lekkerkerker, *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 1993, **97**, 11510–11516.
- <sup>4</sup>M. P. B. van Bruggen, J. K. G. Dhont and H. N. W. Lekkerkerker, *Macro*molecules, 1999, **32**, 2256–2264.

TABLE I. Data obtained by linear regression applied to the nematic fraction as a function of the core volume fraction.

	Clay	b	$\sigma_b$	a	$\sigma_a$	$\langle D^*/L^* \rangle$	$c_{50}$	RSD	$\Phi_I/\Phi_N$
Ref. 1	S9	10.99	0.63	-0.138	0.037	0.035	2.75	0.419	0.121
Ref. 1	S9	10.04	0.75	-0.225	0.053	0.046	2.69	0.428	0.184
Ref. 1	S9	6.57	0.15	-0.217	0.018	0.087	2.10	0.397	0.178
Ref. 2	2 B20	8.92	0.39	-0.534	0.042	0.043	3.17	0.398	0.348
Ref. 2	2 B20	11.68	0.59	-0.496	0.05	0.032	3.06	0.306	0.332
2	B20	8.4	0.3	-0.704	0.043	0.061	2.57	0.244	0.413
1	B20	8.9	0.8	-0.105	0.049	0.057	2.36	0.547	0.095
2	B20	8.61	0.54	-0.093	0.039	0.060	2.34	0.642	0.085
3	B20	8.97	0.65	-0.162	0.037	0.058	2.46	0.631	0.139
4	B20	10.95	1.56	-0.154	0.075	0.048	2.52	0.632	0.133
5	B20	9.22	0.36	-0.078	0.015	0.050	2.65	0.622	0.072
6	B20	13.34	1.58	-0.335	0.099	0.048	2.63	0.570	0.251
7	B20	18.62	0.41	-0.278	0.011	0.054	1.65	0.630	0.218
Ref. 3	Boehmite	-	-	-	-	0.101	2.91	0.469	0.297
Ref. 4	4 Boehmite	-	-	-	-	0.071	2.73	0.261	0.345

3