

# Chapter 11

1

## Microscopic Analysis of Chromatin Localization and Dynamics in *C. elegans*

2

3

Christian Lanctôt and Peter Meister

4

### Abstract

5

During development, the genome undergoes drastic reorganization within the nuclear space. To determine tridimensional genome folding, genome-wide techniques (damID/Hi-C) can be applied using cell populations, but these have to be calibrated using microscopy and single-cell analysis of gene positioning. Moreover, the dynamic behavior of chromatin has to be assessed on living samples. Combining fast stereotypic development with easy genetics and microscopy, the nematode *C. elegans* has become a model of choice in recent years to study changes in nuclear organization during cell fate acquisition. Here we present two complementary techniques to evaluate nuclear positioning of genes either by fluorescence in situ hybridization in fixed samples or in living worm embryos using the GFP-lacI/*lacO* chromatin-tagging system.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

**Key words** Nuclear organization, *C. elegans*, Fluorescence in situ hybridization, Chromatin tagging, GFP-lacI/*lacO*, Microscopy

14

15

---

## 1 Introduction

16

Nuclear architecture has been studied in a wide range of models, including lower eukaryotes such as *S. cerevisiae* [1] and invertebrates such as *D. melanogaster*. In recent years, *Caenorhabditis elegans* has also been used, in particular to investigate the relationships between nuclear architecture and cellular differentiation [2–5]. Genome folding has been analyzed either in fixed worms and embryos using fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) or in live samples with the *lacO*/GFP-lacI system [2, 3, 5, 6]. Both approaches are highly complementary as each overcomes the limits of the other. The *lacO*/GFP-lacI system has the advantage of allowing the in vivo observation of chromatin position and dynamics. However, the creation of tagged chromatin loci is somehow tedious, and no more than a few loci can be observed simultaneously. In contrast, the main advantage of 3D FISH resides in the ability to detect multiple genomic segments in the same nucleus and, in combination with immunolabeling, to relate their positioning to various nuclear

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 compartments. Here we present recently developed methods and  
34 reagents for both techniques and discuss the caveats, advantages,  
35 and problems associated with them.

---

## 36 2 Materials

37 **2.1 3D FISH** 10× NT buffer: 0.5 M Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 50 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.5 mg/  
38 mL BSA. Make 0.1 mL aliquots and store at -20 °C.  
39 100 mM β-mercaptoethanol: 3 μL of 14.3 M β-mercaptoethanol  
40 in 0.4 mL of deionized water. Make 0.1 mL aliquots and store  
41 at -20 °C.  
42 10× dNTP mix (*see Note 1*): 0.5 mM dATP, 0.5 mM dGTP,  
43 0.5 mM dCTP, 0.2 mM dTTP. Make 20 μL aliquots and store  
44 at -20 °C.  
45 1 mM labeled dUTP (*see Note 2*).  
46 2 U/μL DNase I.  
47 10 U/μL *E. coli* DNA polymerase I.  
48 0.5 M EDTA.  
49 16 °C water bath.  
50 PCR primers, forward and reverse, 25 μM each.  
51 2 mM dAGC: 2 mM dATP, 2 mM dGTP, 2 mM dCTP.  
52 1.5 mM dTTP.  
53 5 U/μL Taq DNA polymerase.  
54 10× Taq buffer with MgCl<sub>2</sub>.  
55 PCR cycler.  
56 1.2 % (w/v) agarose in electrophoresis buffer (e.g., TAE 1×).  
57 95 % EtOH, 70 % EtOH (cold).  
58 3 M sodium acetate pH 5.2.  
59 100 % methanol (-20 °C, in a Coplin jar).  
60 1× PBS.  
61 Rubber cement glue.  
62 Deionized formamide.  
63 Coverslips: 18×18 mm #1 thickness; 15×15 mm #1 thickness;  
64 22×22 mm #0 thickness.  
65 Polylysine-treated microscope slides  
66 Metal block in dry ice, -80 °C.  
67 4 % formaldehyde in 1× PBS.  
68 10 mg/mL yeast tRNA stock.  
69 20× saline sodium citrate (SSC), 2× SSC, 0.2× SSC.

50 µg/mL RNase A in 2× SSC (freshly diluted from a 10 mg/mL stock).	70
2×SSC/50 % formamide.	71
Primary antibodies against hapten and secondary antibodies ( <i>see Note 3</i> ).	72
0.5 % Triton X-100 (v/v) in 1× PBS (freshly made).	73
0.1 N and 0.01 N HCl.	74
2× hybridization buffer: 20 % (w/v) dextran sulfate in 4× SSC. Make 0.5 mL aliquots and store at -20 °C.	75
4× SSCT: 80 µL of Tween-20 (cut pipette tip) in 400 mL of 4× SSC.	76
4× SSCT-BSA: 2 g of bovine serum albumin (fraction V or purer) in 50 mL of 4× SSCT.	77
1 µg/mL DAPI in 2×SSC.	78
Vectashield mounting medium (Vector Labs).	79
<b>2.2 Live Chromatin Imaging</b>	
Microscope slides.	80
Coverslips 20×20 mm, #1.	81
Agarose.	82
Laboratory tape.	83
M9 buffer (KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> 3 g/L, Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> 6 g/L, NaCl 5 g/L, MgSO <sub>4</sub> 1 mM).	84
Fine forceps.	85
Scalpel blade.	86
Mouth pipette ( <i>see Note 12</i> ).	87
Hourglass.	88
Molecular biology reagents.	89
Gateway® BP Clonase® II mix.	90
Gateway® LR Clonase® II mix.	91
Competent DH5α.	92
pDONR221 <i>lacO/Cb unc-119</i> middle vector.	93
pDONRP4P1R.	94
pDONRP2RP3.	95
MosSCI plasmids ( <i>see</i> <a href="https://sites.google.com/site/jorgensenmossci/mossci-reagents">https://sites.google.com/site/jorgensenmossci/mossci-reagents</a> ) [7–9].	96
<i>C. elegans</i> strains:	97
GW396/AV696 with fluorescent lacI expression: GW396 [ <i>baf-1p</i> driven somatic expression], AV696 [ <i>pie-1p</i> -driven germline and early embryo expression].	98
HT1593 <i>unc-119</i> mutant.	99

109 Mos insertion strain at locus of interest.  
110 Imaging device:  
111 Laser scanning confocal microscope/spinning disk confocal  
112 microscope.

---

### 113 3 Methods

114 **3.1 3D FISH** The success of 3D FISH experiments depends crucially on the  
115 labeling of the DNA probe, i.e., the probe should be labeled uni-  
116 formly. Probes should be highly specific to the target sequence and  
117 small in size to allow for efficient penetration in the sample. In this  
118 chapter, we describe the two methods of choice for probe synthesis,  
119 namely, nick translation and PCR labeling. Equally important are  
120 the pretreatment of the sample and the detection of labeled probes.

121 **3.1.1 Probe Synthesis** The choice and synthesis of a target-specific probe is arguably the  
122 most crucial step in any 3D FISH experiment. In the case of single-  
123 copy targets, the probe should be of sufficient length to give a clear  
124 signal. For probes against the *C. elegans* genome, we routinely use  
125 fosmids that harbor 30–40 kb of sequence around the chosen  
126 genomic target. Individual fosmid clones are identified in WormBase  
127 (<http://www.wormbase.org>) and ordered from Source BioScience  
128 ([http://www.lifesciences.sourcebioscience.com/clone-products/  
129 genomic-dna-clones/c-elegans-fosmid-library-.aspx](http://www.lifesciences.sourcebioscience.com/clone-products/genomic-dna-clones/c-elegans-fosmid-library-.aspx)). Fosmid DNA  
130 is labeled by nick translation. In the case of arrayed sequences (e.g.,  
131 rDNA), much shorter genomic fragments (0.3–0.5 kb) can serve as  
132 template for probe synthesis, which is most conveniently done by  
133 PCR on genomic DNA. Both methods rely on the incorporation of  
134 a modified deoxynucleotide during DNA synthesis to generate  
135 labeled probes. The label is either a hapten that can be immunode-  
136 tected after hybridization (digoxigenin [DIG] and dinitrophenol  
137 [DNP]) or a fluorophore. In our hands, biotinylated probes give  
138 unacceptably high background in *C. elegans* embryos, and, for this  
139 reason, we do not recommend using them.

140 **Nick Translation** As the name implies, the nick translation technique relies on  
141 DNase I to introduce single-strand breaks in the template DNA  
142 and on the combined 5'–3' exonuclease and polymerase activity of  
143 DNA polymerase I to introduce labeled nucleotides starting from  
144 these pseudo priming sites. The purity of the starting material, the  
145 DNase activity, and the incubation temperature are the most criti-  
146 cal parameters:

147 1. Prepare the following mix, in this order.

Analyzing Nuclear Organization in Nematodes

DNA	1 µg	t1.1
10× NT buffer	5 µL	t1.2
100 mM β-mercaptoethanol	5 µL	t1.3
10× dNTP mix	5 µL	t1.4
1 mM labeled dUTP	1 µL ( <i>see Note 4</i> ), final concentration (20 µM)	t1.5 t1.6
ddH <sub>2</sub> O	to 48.5 µL	t1.7
Mix by pipetting up and down. Put tube on ice. Add the following		t1.8
DNase I (diluted 1:15 in ddH <sub>2</sub> O)	1 µL ( <i>see Note 5</i> )	t1.9
10 U/µL <i>E. coli</i> DNA polymerase I	0.5 µL	t1.10 148

2. Mix well by pipetting up and down after the addition of enzymes. Do not make air bubbles. Incubate for 90 min at 16 °C. 149  
150  
151
3. Transfer the reaction on ice and analyze 1/10 of the reaction (5 µL) on a 1.2 % agarose gel (*see Note 6*). Include 1 kb and 100 bp ladders. 152  
153  
154
4. If the probe size is satisfactory (smear between 300 and 600 bp), proceed to the next step. If not, add 1 µL of diluted DNase I to the reaction, return the tube at 16 °C, and incubate further for 30 min at 16 °C. Repeat **steps 3 and 4**. 155  
156  
157  
158
5. Add 2 µL of 0.5 M EDTA to stop the reaction. Mix well. 159
6. *Optional*. Clean the labeled probe using ion-exchange mini spin columns (e.g., Qiagen or Zymo Research). Elute in 50 µL (*see Note 7*). 160  
161  
162
7. Store the labeled probe at -20 °C. 163

PCR Labeling

1. Prepare the following PCR reaction: 164

Template	200 ng of genomic DNA	t2.1
Forward primer	1 µL	t2.2
Reverse primer	1 µL	t2.3
2 mM dAGC	5 µL	t2.4
1.5 mM dT	4 µL (final concentration 120 µM)	t2.5
1 mM labeled dUTP	3 µL (final concentration 60 µM)	t2.6
10× Taq buffer	5 µL	t2.7
ddH <sub>2</sub> O	to 49.5 µL	t2.8 165

2. Program the following PCR reaction: [94 °C, 3']; [94 °C, 30"; melting temperature, 30"; 72 °C 45"] 25×; [72 °C, 10'] 166  
167

- 168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177
3. Heat the PCR cycler to 94 °C. Incubate the probe synthesis mix for 1 min at this temperature. Add 0.5 µL of Taq DNA polymerase (2.5 U). Mix by pipetting.
  4. Run the PCR program.
  5. Analyze 1/20 of the PCR product (2.5 µL) on a 1.2 % agarose gel.
  6. *Optional*. Clean the labeled probe using ion-exchange mini spin columns (e.g., Qiagen or Zymo Research). Elute in 50 µL (*see Note 7*).
  7. Measure the probe concentration by spectrophotometry. Store at -20 °C.

178 3.1.2 3D FISH

179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199

A few points should be mentioned before detailing our 3D FISH protocol. First, since the goal of the technique is to determine the spatial localization of genomic segments, it is necessary to use fixation conditions that optimally preserve the native structure of the nucleus, i.e., to limit as much as possible the use of dehydrating agents. In the following protocol, a brief incubation in cold methanol is used to wet embryos after freeze cracking of the eggshell before fixing them in cold formaldehyde. Second, we have found it important to perform the hybridization in homemade glass chambers, so as not to compress unduly the relatively thick *C. elegans* samples (~20–30 µm). Third, as mentioned in Subheading 1, 3D FISH can be combined with the immunolabeling of cellular components. To do so, we perform the incubation with antibodies after the Triton X-100 permeabilization step, use a Cy3-labeled secondary antibody, and fix the immunocomplexes with formaldehyde before proceeding with the FISH protocol. Finally, it should be noted that unlike for hybridization to mammalian DNA, it is not necessary to quench the repetitive sequences when performing FISH on *C. elegans* DNA because these make up a much lower proportion of the genome in this organism (the most abundant repeat element in *C. elegans*, the 439 bp long CE000087, covers only 1.32 % of the genome [10]).


200 Preparation  
of the Probe Mix

1. Mix the following in an Eppendorf tube, *in this order*.

t3.1  
t3.2  
t3.3  
t3.4  
t3.5  
t3.6  
t3.7  
t3.8  
t3.9  
201

NT probe 1	xµL (typically corresponding to 400 ng of probe)
NT probe 2	xµL (typically corresponding to 400 ng of probe)
...	
10 mg/mL tRNA	1 µL ( <i>see Note 8</i> )
Deionized water	to 100 µL
3 M NaOAC pH 5.2	10 µL
95 % EtOH (cold)	400 µL

	2. Vortex vigorously. Incubate at $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$ for at least 2 h.	202
	3. Centrifuge at $13,000\times g$ for 20 min at $4^{\circ}\text{C}$ .	203
	4. Decant supernatant. Wash pellet with 0.5 mL of cold 70 % EtOH. Air-dry on the bench for 2–3 min.	204 205
	5. Add 20 $\mu\text{L}$ of deionized formamide to the pellet (the final probe concentration is 20 ng/ $\mu\text{L}$ each). Incubate at $37^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 20 min. Pipette up and down to resuspend pellet.	206 207 208
Fixation and Pretreatment of Embryos	1. Isolate embryos according to standard protocols, e.g., by the “bleaching” method.	209 210
	2. Deposit 12 $\mu\text{L}$ of concentrated embryos in the center of a polylysine-treated slide. Cover gently with an $18\times 18$ mm coverslip.	211 212
	3. Working at low magnification under the stereomicroscope, adsorb liquid using a filter paper until the embryos are slightly compressed between the slide and the coverslip.	213 214 215
	4. Place the slide on a metal block in dry ice. Incubate at $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$ for at least 60 min.	216 217
	5. Using a razor blade, pop up the coverslip (i.e., “cracking” the eggshell). Proceed immediately to next step.	218 219
	6. Immediately fix embryos in cold 100 % methanol, 2 min at $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$ .	220 221
	7. Rinse slide(s) 1 min in $1\times$ PBS at $4^{\circ}\text{C}$ ( <i>see Note 9</i> ).	222
	8. Transfer the slide(s) to precooled ( $4^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) 4 % formaldehyde in $1\times$ PBS. Fix for 10 min at room temperature.	223 224
	9. Wash slides in $1\times$ PBS, two times for 2 min at room temperature.	225 226
	10. Incubate for 5 min in 0.5 % Triton X-100 in $1\times$ PBS.	227
	11. Wash two times for 2 min in $1\times$ PBS.	228
	12. Rinse once in 0.01 N HCl.	229
	13. Incubate for 2 min in 0.1 N HCl at room temperature.	230
	14. Wash once with $1\times$ PBS, 3 min at room temperature. Wash once with $2\times$ SSC, 3 min at room temperature.	231 232
	15. Treat with 50 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ RNase A in $2\times$ SSC, 45 min at $37^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Perform this step by overlaying the sample with 0.5 mL of the RNase solution and incubating in a humidified chamber.	233 234 235
	16. Wash once with $2\times$ SSC, 2 min at room temperature.	236
	17. Incubate in $2\times$ SSC/50 % formamide for at least 2 h at room temperature.	237 238
Hybridization and Post-hybridization Washes	1. Dilute the probe to a concentration of 2–5 ng/ $\mu\text{L}$ in 100 % deionized formamide. Add an equal volume of $2\times$ hybridization buffer. 25 $\mu\text{L}$ of probe solution is needed per sample ( <i>see Note 10</i> ).	239 240 241 242



**Fig. 1** Making homemade hybridization chambers. (a) Using a diamond pen, cut a ~1 mm strip from the side of a 22 mm × 22 mm coverslip (*thickness #0*). (b) Apply nail polish on one side of the glass strip. (c) Immediately glue the glass strip to the side of a 15 mm × 15 mm coverslip (*thickness #1*). (d) Leave to dry and gently break off the protruding pieces of glass

243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268


2. Mix well by pipetting and vortexing. Spin 20 s in a tabletop centrifuge. Keep the probe at room temperature.
3. Take a slide out of the 2× SSC/50 % formamide solution. Gently wipe off excess liquid on either side of the sample using a soft tissue.
4. Prepare a glass chamber: glue with nail polish two small strips of glass of thickness #0 (width of ~1 mm) to the opposite sides of a 15 × 15mm coverslip (*see Fig. 1*).
5. Pipette 25 μL of probe solution on a glass chamber.
6. Using forceps, gently deposit the chamber on the slide, probe facing towards the sample. Let the probe solution spread to the edges of the chamber.
7. Seal the chamber with rubber cement glue. Let dry completely at room temperature.
8. Repeat **steps 3–7** for each slide.
9. Pre-hybridize the slides overnight at 37 °C ~~overnight~~.
10. Denature the probe and target DNA simultaneously by placing the slide for 5 min on a heating block set at 76 °C.
11. Incubate at 37 °C for 2–3 days.
12. Fill four Coplin jars with 2× SSC. Preheat three of those at 37 °C. Fill two other Coplin jars with 0.2× SSC and preheat at 55 °C.
13. Gently remove the rubber cement glue around the chamber. Do not remove chamber.
14. Place the slide(s) in a Coplin jar filled with 2× SSC at room temperature. The chamber should fall off. If it does not, pull it gently alongside the slide.



	15. Wash for 3 × 5 min in 2 × SSC at 37 °C.	269
	16. Wash for 2 × 5 min in 0.2 × SSC at 55 °C.	270
Detection	1. If only fluorophore-labeled probes were used, go directly to <b>step 17</b> .	271 272
	2. Set aside a 1 mL aliquot of 4 × SSCT-BSA for the dilution of antibodies.	273 274
	3. Transfer the slide to 4 × SSCT-BSA. Incubate for 20 min at room temperature.	275 276
	4. Dilute the primary antibodies in 4 × SSCT-BSA. Use only those antibodies that are needed ( <i>see Note 3</i> ).	277 278
	5. Remove the slide from the blocking solution. Gently wipe off excess liquid on either side of the sample.	279 280
	6. Pipette 60 μL of primary antibody solution close to the sample. Overlay with an 18 × 18 mm coverslip and make sure that the antibody solution covers the sample.	281 282 283
	7. Incubate for 2 h at room temperature in a humid chamber, protected from light.	284 285
	8. Place the slide in a Coplin jar filled with 4 × SSCT at room temperature.	286 287
	9. Wash for 3 × 5 min in 4 × SSCT at room temperature.	288
	10. Dilute the secondary antibodies in 4 × SSCT-BSA. Use only those antibodies that are needed.	289 290
	11. Centrifuge the secondary antibody solution for 2 min at 13,000 × <i>g</i> . Transfer the supernatant to another microtube.	291 292
	12. Remove a slide from the washing solution. Gently wipe off excess liquid on either side of the sample.	293 294
	13. Pipette 60 μL of secondary antibody solution close to the sample. Overlay with an 18 × 18 mm and make sure that the antibody solution covers the sample.	295 296 297
	14. Incubate for 1 h at room temperature in a humid chamber, protected from light.	298 299
	15. Place the slide in a Coplin jar filled with 4 × SSCT at room temperature.	300 301
	16. Wash for 2 × 5 min in 4 × SSCT at room temperature.	302
	17. Wash for 1 × 5 min in 4 × SSC at room temperature.	303
	18. Gently pipette 100 μL of DAPI solution (final concentration is 1 μg/mL) on the sample. Incubate for 2 min at room temperature, protected from light.	304 305 306
	19. Rinse in 2 × SSC and mount with Vectashield. Seal with nail polish.	307 308

309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324

The protocols presented here have been applied successfully to perform 3D DNA FISH on embryos up to the 150- to 200-cell stage, after which time the signal decreases from the outside to the inside of the embryo due to poorer probe and antibody penetration. Samples are usually imaged on a laser scanning confocal microscope using a 63× plan apochromat oil objective (numerical aperture of 1.4), with optical sections taken at intervals of 300–700 nm. We have observed that some fosmid probes (about 1 in 5) give a signal that consists of several dots of variable intensities throughout the nucleus, especially when direct-labeled with fluorophores. The reason for this high background remains unknown. In any case, the simplest solution to this problem is to replace the bad fosmid with a neighboring or even overlapping one. Typical 3D DNA FISH results are shown in Fig. 2. In this experiment, we used the centrosomes as extranuclear reference points in order to be able to compare gene positioning in the same blastomere from



**Fig. 2** Analysis of gene positioning in early *C. elegans* embryo using immuno-DNA FISH. Raw data (maximal projections, **a–e**) and 3D reconstruction (**f**) of a 4-cell stage embryo that was hybridized to the following probes. (**b**) Fosmid WRM0634bG05 (chr. X) labeled with DIG and detected with mouse anti-DIG and anti-mouse FITC. (**c**) Fosmid WRM0619aE04 (chr. V) labeled with Cy3, TAC-1 protein labeled with mouse anti-TAC-1 and anti-mouse Cy3 prior to FISH. (**d**) Fosmid WRM0628cE09 (chr. II) labeled with DNP and detected with rabbit anti-DNP and anti-rabbit Texas Red. (**e**) Fosmid WRM0623bE05 (chr. III) labeled with Atto647N. Remnants of polar bodies (*asterisks*) hybridize poorly due to high level of DNA condensation. Centrosomes are labeled weakly but clearly (*white arrows*). Bleeding of strong Cy3 signals in the Texas Red channel is sometimes observed (*circled* in **d**)

different embryos. As expected, the background is somewhat higher when using hapten-labeled probe (DIG and DNP), due to the use of primary/secondary antibodies in the detection protocol, but signals are nonetheless clear.

### 3.2 Live Chromatin Imaging

In *C. elegans*, a number of laboratories have successfully validated the *lacO*/*lacI* system for tagging loci in vivo [2, 3, 5, 11–13]. The technique is a two-step process. The first step involves the expression of a fusion between a DNA-binding protein, a fluorescent protein, and a nuclear localization signal. Second, *lacO* repeats are inserted into the genome either as high-copy injected plasmid arrays, as low-copy bombarded transgenes, or as a single-copy insertion. Strains expressing different *lacI* fusions can be obtained from the laboratories which created them (*see* below). We therefore focus on the creation of *lacO* insertions, in particular at a given locus using a modified MosSCI transposon-mediated homologous recombination procedure [7]. In the last part, we describe how to mount live embryos for microscopy and the imaging setups adapted for *C. elegans*.

#### 3.2.1 Strains for Expression of GFP-*lacI*/*lacI*-GFP

The DNA-binding Lac repressor is expressed as a fusion with green fluorescent protein (GFP). Expression levels of the protein have to be kept low, as overexpression elevates the background fluorescence, enhances nonspecific binding, and can cause slow-growth/sick animals. Two strains expressing GFP-*lacI* from integrated arrays have been published to date. The first one is based on injected integrated arrays, where GFP-*lacI* is expressed from the housekeeping promoter *baf-1* (GW396 [2]). The second is based on a bombarded construct in where GFP-*lacI* is transcriptionally regulated by a *pie-1* promoter (AV696 [5]). In the strain GW396, GFP-*lacI* is visible from about the 20-cell stage to adulthood due to germline silencing (note that this array has a *vit-5::GFP* intestinal marker expressed from the late L4/adulthood, which hinders GFP-*lacI* observation). In the AV696 strain, fluorescent signal decreases rapidly at the beginning of embryogenesis, but is useful to locate chromatin in the germline or in early embryos. The two types of expression constructs cannot be used in conjunction due to germline silencing in *trans* of the *pie-1* promoter construct [14]. Both constructs do not contain *lacO* sites in the plasmids used to create them and therefore do not create a GFP-*lacI* spot.

#### 3.2.2 Creation of *lacO*-Tagged Transgenes and Insertion of *lacO* into the Genome

To allow visualization of a given transgene or locus, a number of binding sites for the bacterial repressor have to be integrated into the genome. Most of the *C. elegans* published arrays obtained by gonadal injection have been created using plasmids that contain a single *lacO* site (the 17 bp consensus sequence recognized by *lacI*; e.g., all the Fire library plasmids contain this sequence as a single copy). Due to the high-plasmid-copy number in the injected arrays

370 (several hundreds of copies), they are readily detected by GFP-lacI  
371 and create a visible spot, usually at the nuclear periphery linked to  
372 their silencing [2, 4]. Smaller transgenes (in the range of 10–50  
373 copies) bound by lacI can be created by co-bombarding plasmids  
374 of interest with *lacO* repeats (256 repeat, pSR1 [2, 15]). Although  
375 the *lacO* repeats do not get integrated each time, this method leads  
376 to about 50 % co-integration rate, with transgenes visible when  
377 GFP-lacI is expressed in *trans*. These low-copy transgenes are not  
378 subject to silencing and display usually a random localization in  
379 early embryos [2, 4]. Finally, single targeted genomic insertions of  
380 *lacO* arrays can be achieved using MosSCI and derivatives [6, 7].  
381 As this method is new, a detailed description is given below.

382 As few as 24 lacI binding sites (*lacO*) are sufficient to allow the  
383 formation of a visible spot, although the signal-to-noise ratio  
384 depends on the expression level of the fluorescently tagged binding  
385 protein lacI. We use 256 *lacO* repeats (about 12 kb in size); how-  
386 ever, the actual number of sites which get integrated is likely to be  
387 lower. Plasmids with binding site repeats are intrinsically recom-  
388 binogenic in bacteria. It is therefore highly recommended to use  
389 *recA* strains (DH5 $\alpha$ /XL1 blue) or *recB recJ* (SURE) for amplifica-  
390 tion/cloning. When defreezing these strains, it is good practice to  
391 isolate single colonies and test the length of *lacO* repeats as the  
392 repeat stretch has a tendency to shrink in size.

393 To insert *lacO* repeats at a site of interest, one needs a *Mos*  
394 insertion located next to the site of interest. Due to the resolution  
395 of optical microscopes, “near” means in the next 20–40 kb [16].  
396 Many *Mos* insertions (about 13,000) have been created by the  
397 NemaGENETAG project (<http://elegans.imbb.forth.gr/nemagenetag/>). These are available as invalidated or validated insertions.  
398 All available insertions can be browsed at <http://pbil.univ-lyon1.fr/segalat/data/index.php> and ordered at <http://ums3421.univ-lyon1.fr/spip.php?article14>. This is the most cost-effective manner  
400 to create *lacO*-tagged loci, as compared to ZFN/TALEN methods  
401 (Transcription Activator-Like Effector Nuclease [17]), in which the  
402 nuclease is engineered to create a double-strand break at a given  
403 sequence, providing a template for homologous recombination. [AU1]  
404 *MosSCI* however requires a *Mos* insertion to be available in the  
405 region of interest. However, given that 20 kb genomic distance  
406 cannot be resolved using light microscopy, several kilobases can  
407 separate the region of interest and the *Mos* insertion.

410 Insertion of the *lacO* repeats is achieved using Mos Single-  
411 Copy Insertion (*MosSCI* [7]) and requires homology between the [AU2]  
412 insertion site and the plasmid that serves as a template for homolo-  
413 gous recombination. To efficiently achieve the creation of tem-  
414 plates with homology surrounding *lacO* repeats, we designed a  
415 triple-plasmid Gateway system with a middle *lacO/Cb unc-119*  
416 plasmid. This is used to create a plasmid where the *lacO* repeats are  
417 flanked with homology on both sides.

1. Prepare the *C. elegans* recipient strain by crossing it to an *unc-119(ed3)* mutant and selecting for *unc* worms homozygous for the *Mos* insertion of interest. At this point, it is good to cross in the transgene for the expression of GFP-lacI to have a visual confirmation of *lacO* integration later (*see* above for strain names).
  - (a) GGGG ACA ACT TTG TAT AGA AAA GTT G—locus-specific sequence
  - (b) GGGG AC TGC TTT TTT GTA CAA ACT TG—locus-specific sequence (reverse complement)
  - (c) GGGG ACA GCT TTC TTG TAC AAA GTG G—locus-specific sequence
  - (d) GGGG AC AAC TTT GTA TAA TAA AGT TG—locus-specific sequence (reverse complement)

To minimize amplification errors, we use Phusion polymerase (NEB) according to the manufacturer's instruction with the following cycling conditions: [98 °C, 30"]; [98 °C, 10"; 45 °C, 30"; 72 °C 30"/kb] 5x; [98 °C, 10"; 50 °C, 30"; 72 °C, 30"/kb] 20x; 72 °C, 10' 12 °C ∞.


Test amplicon length and perform BP cloning according to the manufacturer's manual (Invitrogen), except that it is preferable to transform and plate at least half of the cloning reaction to get a sufficient number of colonies. In our hands, BP cloning has been highly efficient, with at least seven out of eight colonies tested positive for the desired insertion.
2. Design primers to amplify left and right sequences located 5' and 3' of the *Mos* insertion site (Fig. 3a). A size of 1.5 kb is sufficient for efficient recombination [18]. Primers are designed for Gateway BP cloning.
  - (a) GGGG ACA ACT TTG TAT AGA AAA GTT G—locus-specific sequence
  - (b) GGGG AC TGC TTT TTT GTA CAA ACT TG—locus-specific sequence (reverse complement)
  - (c) GGGG ACA GCT TTC TTG TAC AAA GTG G—locus-specific sequence
  - (d) GGGG AC AAC TTT GTA TAA TAA AGT TG—locus-specific sequence (reverse complement)

To minimize amplification errors, we use Phusion polymerase (NEB) according to the manufacturer's instruction with the following cycling conditions: [98 °C, 30"]; [98 °C, 10"; 45 °C, 30"; 72 °C 30"/kb] 5x; [98 °C, 10"; 50 °C, 30"; 72 °C, 30"/kb] 20x; 72 °C, 10' 12 °C ∞.


Test amplicon length and perform BP cloning according to the manufacturer's manual (Invitrogen), except that it is preferable to transform and plate at least half of the cloning reaction to get a sufficient number of colonies. In our hands, BP cloning has been highly efficient, with at least seven out of eight colonies tested positive for the desired insertion.
3. Perform the Gateway LR reaction with the 5', middle [see note above about *lacO* repeats plasmid], and 3' clones (Fig. 3b). Transform at least half of the LR reaction to get a sufficient number of colonies. Test the plasmids by PCR/miniprep.
4. Test the length of *lacO* sites on the destination vector. These should be about 10 kb in size to make a visible spot in vivo. Normally the *lacO* repeats length does not change drastically during the LR recombination, as recombination uses the Clonase mix and transformation is carried in DH5α strains.
5. Carry on MosSCI according to the original protocol [7, 8] (Fig. 3c). In our hands, integration at certain loci was more difficult to achieve than at others. The indirect, heat-shock procedure was preferred in such cases.

[AU3]


**a Amplify Mos insertion flanking regions for Gateway cloning**



**b Prepare template for MosSCI homologous recombination**



**c Perform MosSCI**




**d Test insertion by PCR/check spot presence by microscopy**



**Fig. 3** Method for insertion of *lacO* repeats at a given *Mos* insertion site. **(a)** Regions flanking the *Mos* insertions are amplified by PCR using primers a, b, c, and d with Gateway-specific overhangs (red, see sequence in the text). Amplified homology stretch is recombined in the Gateway 5' and 3' vectors using BP. **(b)** The template for recombination is created by LR recombination of the vectors created in **(a)** with the middle *lacO/Cb unc-119*. **(c)** *Mos*-mediated single-copy integration is carried out in the strain carrying the *Mos* insertion of interest in an *unc-119(ed3)* background, which leads to the integration of the *lacO/Cb unc-119* template at the *Mos* locus. **(d)** Insertion is tested by PCR using primers located in the *lacO/Cb unc-119* insertion and outside of the homology stretch

463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468

6. Check insertion by PCR using primers outside of the homology region and in the inserted transgene (Fig. 3d). Also, check for the presence of a visible spot under the microscope. During the homologous recombination procedure, some *lacO* repeats might get lost, which therefore makes the GFP-lacI spot difficult or impossible to see.



**Fig. 4** Imaging live embryos carrying *lacO* inserts. **(a)** Preparation of the agarose pad, using three microscope slides. The outer two slides are taped to the bench using thick laboratory tape. Additional layers of tape will determine the thickness of the agarose pad. A drop of molten 2 % agarose is placed in the center of the middle slide and immediately covered with a perpendicular slide, creating a flat pad. **(b)** Embryos dissected in an hourglass are transferred to the agarose pad using a mouth pipette. Excess buffer is removed with the pipette, and embryos are grouped with an eyelash (bar = 20  $\mu$ m). After covering the embryos with a coverslip, the space around the pad is filled with injection oil, and the coverslip is sealed to the slide using Vaseline/lanoline/paraffin or Vaseline alone. **(c)** Axial z projection of a stack of optical slices of an embryo expressing GFP-*lacI* from an integrated large array with *lacO* (GW76, *gwIs4[baf-1::GFP-lacI; myo-3::RFP]X* [2]). Two large spots corresponding to the homologous chromosomes are visible in each nucleus (bar = 5  $\mu$ m). **(d)** Axial z projection of a stack of optical slices of an embryo expressing GFP-*lacI* from an integrated large array without *lacO* and an insertion of *lacO* repeats created using the technique described in Fig. 1 (GW392, *gwIs39[baf-1::GFP-lacI; vit-5::GFP]III gwSi13[lacO/Cb unc-119@ttT9115]V* [4]). The GFP-*lacI*-expressing array is not visible as it carries no *lacO* sites. The single-copy insertion forms very small spots (arrows)

3.2.3 Preparing Worms and Embryos for Imaging

Preparing Agarose Pads for Microscopy

1. Prepare the molding bench (Fig. 4a). 469  
 Put three microscope slides next to each other on a flat 470  
 surface. Stick both outer slides with tape to the surface, put- 471  
 ting thick laboratory tape on top of the slides. The thickness of 472  
 the pad will depend on the thickness of the tape glued on the 473  
 outer slides. Put two to three additional layers of tape on the 474  
 slides to increase the thickness of the pad. 475
2. Prepare 2 % agarose in water and melt at 95 °C. Agarose can 476  
 be aliquoted in 0.5–1 mL tubes for future use and stored at 477  
 room temperature. Remelting of aliquoted agarose is achieved 478  
 by putting the solution at 95 °C for 5 min followed by brief 479  
 vortexing. The molten agarose can be kept longer at 70 °C 480  
 instead of 95 °C. At high temperatures, agarose hydrolyzes 481  
 and does not harden anymore. To image (moving) worms 482  
 instead of embryos, add 0.5  $\mu$ L 10 % NaN<sub>3</sub> to the agarose to 483

- 484 inhibit movement. Alternatively, anesthetizing worms with  
485 400 mM ethanol has been recently shown to deliver similar  
486 results [19].
- 487 3. Prepare the agarose pad (Fig. 4a). Put a drop (50–100  $\mu$ L) of  
488 molten agarose on a slide placed in the middle of the two taped  
489 slides. Immediately place a second slide, perpendicularly to the  
490 first one, creating a flat surface. This can be kept for several  
491 hours if the upper slide is not removed (otherwise the pad dries  
492 out and becomes unusable).
- 493 Preparing Embryos  
494 for Live Imaging
- 495 1. Pick gravid adults with fine forceps, and move them to an  
496 hourglass filled with M9 (*see Note 11*). Try to avoid taking too  
497 much bacteria as *E. coli* will stick to embryos once dissected  
498 and usually has high autofluorescence. If needed, move the  
499 adults with a platinum loop to bacteria-free plates prior to put-  
500 ting them in the M9.
- 501 2. Cut adults in two in the middle of the worm (approximately  
502 where the vulva is). Squeeze delicately the head and tail parts  
503 to release the embryos from the adult carcasses.
- 504 3. Using a mouth pipette, aspirate the embryos (*see Note 12*).
- 505 4. Transfer the embryos to the agarose pad (Fig. 4b). Make sure  
506 to hold the tip of the mouth pipette vertically during the trans-  
507 fer as failure to do so will lead to dripping of embryos out of  
508 the capillary. Once over the agarose pad, blow in the mouth  
509 pipette to expel the embryos, allow them to settle on the  
510 agarose (20 s), and aspirate delicately excess M9 with the  
511 mouth pipette. The M9 layer should be at a minimum height,  
512 so that embryos do not move anymore when an eyelash is put  
513 on the pad.
- 514 5. Group embryos together using an eyelash tip (a flexible eyelash  
515 fixed on a tip/toothpick with nail polish). This is very helpful  
516 for finding the embryos under the microscope and minimizes  
517 the search time at high magnification.
- 518 6. Put a coverslip on top of the pad/embryos, making sure to  
519 avoid trapping too many bubbles, in particular close to the  
520 embryos as they diffract light. The coverslip should be laid at an  
521 angle on one side of the pad and then slowly lowered on the  
522 embryos, pushing away bubbles.
- 523 7. For longer acquisition times, it is recommended to minimize  
524 evaporation (which would flatten the pad, damage embryos,  
525 and shift focus). We use injection oil (mineral oil, Sigma M5904)  
526 to hinder water exchange between the pad and the exterior.  
527 Injection oil has the advantage to allow oxygenation, and  
528 embryos can develop and hatch if kept overnight in such a  
chamber. Put enough oil to fill the gap between the slide and  
the coverslip around the agarose pad.



8. In order to avoid movement of embryos and sliding of the coverslip/pad, glue the coverslip to the slide using a 1:1:1 mixture of Vaseline/lanoline/paraffin (on two sides only to avoid impairing gas exchanges). Alternatively, Vaseline can be used alone but is less solid. Both types of glue have to be melted.

### 3.2.4 Imaging Living Embryos

As for any live imaging, it is good practice to evaluate whether the development of the worms was not disturbed by the laser exposure. This is especially important when studying chromatin dynamics, as animals will experience repeated illumination over an extended period of time. Evaluating whether the movements observed correspond to normal behavior or to DNA repair-linked activities is important. An easy way to check this is to compare illuminated and control embryos at approximately the same stage at time of fluorescent imaging and follow their development over time using DIC imaging.

Imaging *lacO*-tagged chromatin from embryos is challenging: embryos are relatively thick (about 20  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and diffract light; the *lacO*/GFP-*lacI* spot can be very small and highly dynamic; moreover, the fluorescent signal is low as overexpression of *lacI* is deleterious for the animals and *C. elegans* embryos are highly sensitive to focused light. Several imaging systems were assayed and the best system for this application was a spinning disk confocal microscope. Confocality is essential as for single-copy *lacO* repeats, the observed structure is small (Fig. 4d); out-of-focus haze would make it invisible. Two systems can achieve confocal images: point scanning and spinning disk confocal microscopes. In the first system a focused laser beam is moving over the sample at high speed; emitted light is filtered for out-of-focus photons by passing through a pinhole and acquired by a photomultiplier. In these systems, maximum laser power as well as laser damage is concentrated on a single point. An alternative solution which minimizes excitation/damage is spinning disk confocal devices. In spinning microscopy, the laser beam is split in hundreds of focused sub-beams, rotating on the sample at high speed. The laser power on each point as well as the time spent by the laser on each point of the sample is minimized but repeated several hundred times per second. Emitted light is filtered through the pinholes, and photons are acquired using a highly sensitive CCD camera. The whole field of view can be acquired in as little as 30 ms; acquisition speed is limited by the frame reading rate of the camera. Using a correct combination of lenses/objective/camera, sampling will meet the Nyquist rate (about 90 nm/pixel). The thickness of the embryos (about 20  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and the small size of *lacO* transgenes make it very difficult to obtain images of entire embryos while keeping them alive (in the *z*-axis). Emitted light from objects far from the objective is diffracted by the structures above. Hence, when acquiring image stacks of embryos, we limit our acquisition to the 10  $\mu\text{m}$  closer to the

576 objective if embryos have to be imaged repeatedly for a longer  
577 period of time. Alternatively, if only one time point is to be  
578 acquired, we apply high laser power to be able to record light emitted  
579 from nuclei located far away from the objective.

580 Typical images acquired with a spinning disk confocal (TILL  
581 Photonics) of embryos carrying two different types of *lacO*-tagged  
582 chromatin are shown in Fig. 4. Large arrays obtained by gonadal  
583 injection and chromosomal integration (about 300–500 copies of  
584 the injected plasmids, 3–5 Mb in size) create large heterochrom-  
585 atic structures, which are located at the nuclear periphery in rela-  
586 tion with their epigenetic silencing (Fig. 4c [4]). In Fig. 4d, an  
587 embryo with 256 *lacO* repeats integrated as a single copy using the  
588 technique described above is shown (Subheading 3.2.2). In each  
589 nucleus, the GFP-lacI spot is smaller and emerges from back-  
590 ground nuclear fluorescence created by unbound GFP-lacI. Finally,  
591 it has to be noted that chromatin inside the nucleus is dynamic;  
592 hence precise positioning of the GFP-lacI/*lacO* spots necessitates  
593 a fast acquisition device. Typically, imaging should not be more  
594 than 100 ms per optical slice.

---

## 595 4 Notes

- 596 1. Due to the AT richness of the *C. elegans* genome (66 %), we  
597 increase the final concentration of unlabeled dTTP in the nick  
598 translation reaction to 20  $\mu$ M. The molar ratio of labeled  
599 dUTP to unlabeled dTTP is 1:1 (compared to 2:1 or higher in  
600 most protocols).
- 601 2. Our preferred labeled nucleotides for a 4-color 3D DNA FISH  
602 experiment are DIG-dUTP, Cy3-dUTP, DNP-dUTP, and  
603 Atto647N-dUTP. We have also used successfully FITC-dUTP  
604 and Cy5-dUTP. However, labeling with Atto594-dUTP and  
605 Atto488-dUTP proved to be inefficient.
- 606 3. We use the following primary antibodies at a 1:200 dilution to  
607 detect hapten-labeled probes: mouse anti-digoxygenin  
608 (Jackson ImmunoResearch) and rabbit anti-DNP (Sigma).  
609 The secondary antibodies (1:400 dilution) are highly adsorbed  
610 FITC-conjugated goat anti-mouse and Texas Red-conjugated  
611 goat anti-rabbit, both from Jackson ImmunoResearch.
- 612 4. While the quantity of labeled dUTP given here works well in  
613 most cases, we found it had to be increased to 3  $\mu$ L for efficient  
614 labeling with FITC-dUTP.
- 615 5. The optimal dilution of DNase I has to be determined empiri-  
616 cally and will result in the generation of fragments of 300–  
617 600 bp in length after a 90 min incubation. The activity is mainly  
618 influenced by the purity of the template DNA. Initial experi-  
619 ments should include a range of dilutions, from 1:500 to 1:10.

6. DIG-labeled fragments migrate slower than unlabeled ones of similar length. DNP- and Cy3-labeled probes are poorly stained by DNA-intercalating agents (e.g., ethidium bromide, SYBR Green). Note that the reaction can be stored at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$  at this point. If the reaction needs to be resumed after storage, a fresh aliquot of *E. coli* DNA polymerase I should be added to the reaction.
7. The cleaning of labeled probes (e.g., on ion-exchange spin columns) is optional. However, we have found that performing this step leads to an improvement in signal-to-noise ratio in experiments involving *C. elegans*, and we recommend doing it.
8. We use tRNA as a carrier during probe precipitation instead of ssDNA, which sticks to the eggshell and thus gives undesirable background upon DAPI staining.
9. We have found that slides have to be transferred from cold methanol ( $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) to cold PBS and then cold formaldehyde ( $4^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) in order to minimize the loss of embryos. By doing so, we also found that subsequent incubations and washes could be performed in Coplin jars without significant loss of embryos from the slide.
10. The final probe concentration is  $1\text{--}2.5\text{ ng}/\mu\text{L}$ . In our hands, this range of concentration gives excellent results. The *C. elegans* probes are repeat poor and can therefore be used at a lower concentration than the ones that are used in FISH experiments on mammalian samples.
11. In our hands, GFP fluorescence is always higher when worms have been grown at temperature above  $20^{\circ}\text{C}$ . This is likely due to GFP variants optimized for mammalian expression at  $37^{\circ}\text{C}$ , as we also observed that fluorescence is higher after heat shock at  $34^{\circ}\text{C}$ .
12. Mouth pipettes are made using capillaries. (a) A  $10\ \mu\text{L}$  pipette is heated in the flame of an ethanol burner. (b) Once the glass is soft, remove it from the flame and quickly pull apart the ends. (c) Break the ends apart to create a pipette with an end with a diameter of  $\sim 40\ \mu\text{m}$ . (d) Place the pipette in a mouth pipette aspirator (Sigma A5177 or building plans are available upon request).

---

## Acknowledgements

We thank Darina Korčėková for expert help in developing 3D DNA FISH protocols, the Meister laboratory, Susan Gasser, and the Gasser laboratory for continuous support and helpful discussions. This work was funded in part by programs of the Charles University in Prague (UNCE 204022 and Prvoug/1LF/1) as well as by the Czech Science Foundation (grants P302/11/1262 and

664 P302/12/G157), the Swiss National Foundation (SNF assistant  
 665 professor grant PP00P3\_133744), and the Fondation Suisse pour  
 666 le Recherche sur les Maladies Musculaires.

## 667 References

- 668 1. Taddei A, Schober H, Gasser SM (2010) The budding yeast nucleus. *Cold Spring Harb*  
 669 *Perspect Biol* 2(8):000612, doi:cshperspect.  
 670 a000612 [pii] [10.1101/cshperspect.a000612](https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a000612)  
 671
- 672 2. Meister P, Towbin BD, Pike BL, Ponti A,  
 673 Gasser SM (2010) The spatial dynamics of  
 674 tissue-specific promoters during *C. elegans*  
 675 development. *Genes Dev* 24(8):766–782,  
 676 doi:24/8/766 [pii] [10.1101/gad.559610](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.559610)  
 677
- 678 3. Yuzyuk T, Fakhouri TH, Kiefer J, Mango SE  
 679 (2009) The polycomb complex protein mes-  
 680 2/E(z) promotes the transition from develop-  
 681 mental plasticity to differentiation in *C. elegans*  
 682 embryos. *Dev Cell* 16(5):699–710, doi:S1534-  
 683 5807(09)00127-0 [pii] [10.1016/j.devcel.  
 2009.03.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2009.03.008)  
 684
- 685 4. Towbin BD, Meister P, Pike BL, Gasser SM  
 686 (2010) Repetitive transgenes in *C. elegans*  
 687 accumulate heterochromatic marks and are  
 688 sequestered at the nuclear envelope in a copy-  
 689 number- and lamin-dependent manner. *Cold  
 690 Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol* 75:555–565.  
 691 doi:[10.1101/sqb.2010.75.041](https://doi.org/10.1101/sqb.2010.75.041)  
 692
- 693 5. Yuen KW, Nabeshima K, Oegema K, Desai A  
 694 (2011) Rapid de novo centromere forma-  
 695 tion occurs independently of heterochroma-  
 696 tin protein 1 in *C. elegans* embryos. *Curr  
 697 Biol* 21(21):1800–1807. doi:[10.1016/j.cub.  
 2011.09.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2011.09.016)  
 698
- 699 6. Towbin BD, Gonzalez-Aguilera C, Sack R,  
 700 Gaidatzis D, Kalck V, Meister P, Askjaer P,  
 701 Gasser SM (2012) Step-wise methylation of  
 702 histone H3K9 positions heterochromatin at  
 703 the nuclear periphery. *Cell* 150(5):934–947.  
 704 doi:[10.1016/j.cell.2012.06.051](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.06.051)  
 705
- 706 7. Frokjaer-Jensen C, Davis MW, Hopkins CE,  
 707 Newman BJ, Thummel JM, Olesen SP,  
 708 Grunnet M, Jorgensen EM (2008) Single-  
 709 copy insertion of transgenes in *Caenorhabditis  
 710 elegans*. *Nat Genet* 40(11):1375–1383,  
 711 doi:ng.248 [pii] [10.1038/ng.248](https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.248)  
 712
- 713 8. Frokjaer-Jensen C, Davis MW, Ailion M,  
 714 Jorgensen EM (2012) Improved Mos1-  
 715 mediated transgenesis in *C. elegans*. *Nat  
 716 Methods* 9(2):117–118. doi:[10.1038/nmeth.  
 1865](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1865)  
 717
- 718 9. Zeiser E, Frokjaer-Jensen C, Jorgensen E,  
 719 Ahringer J (2011) MosSCI and gateway com-  
 720 patible plasmid toolkit for constitutive and  
 721 inducible expression of transgenes in the *C.  
 722 elegans* germline. *PLoS One* 6(5):e20082  
 723
- 724 10. Stein LD, Bao Z, Blasiar D, Blumenthal T,  
 725 Brent MR, Chen N, Chinwalla A, Clarke L,  
 726 Clee C, Coghlan A, Coulson A, D'Eustachio  
 727 P, Fitch DH, Fulton LA, Fulton RE, Griffiths-  
 728 Jones S, Harris TW, Hillier LW, Kamath R,  
 729 Kuwabara PE, Mardis ER, Marra MA, Miner  
 730 TL, Minx P, Mullikin JC, Plumb RW, Rogers  
 731 J, Schein JE, Sohrmann M, Spieth J, Stajich  
 732 JE, Wei C, Willey D, Wilson RK, Durbin R,  
 733 Waterston RH (2003) The genome sequence  
 734 of *Caenorhabditis briggsae*: a platform for  
 735 comparative genomics. *PLoS Biol* 1(2):E45.  
 736 doi:[10.1371/journal.pbio.0000045](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0000045)  
 737
- 738 11. Carmi I, Kopczynski JB, Meyer BJ (1998) The  
 739 nuclear hormone receptor SEX-1 is an  
 740 X-chromosome signal that determines nema-  
 741 tode sex. *Nature* 396(6707):168–173  
 742
- 743 12. Kaltenbach LS, Updike DL, Mango SE (2005)  
 744 Contribution of the amino and carboxyl ter-  
 745 mini for PHA-4/FoxA function in  
 746 *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Dev Dyn* 234(2):346–  
 747 354. doi:[10.1002/dvdy.20550](https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.20550)  
 748
- 749 13. Gonzalez-Serricchio AS, Sternberg PW (2006)  
 750 Visualization of *C. elegans* transgenic arrays by  
 751 GFP. *BMC Genet* 7:36  
 752
- 753 14. Robert VJ, Sijen T, van Wolfswinkel J, Plasterk  
 754 RH (2005) Chromatin and RNAi factors pro-  
 755 tect the *C. elegans* germline against repetitive  
 756 sequences. *Genes Dev* 19(7):782–787  
 757
- 758 15. Rohner S, Gasser SM, Meister P (2008)  
 759 Modules for cloning-free chromatin tagging in  
 760 *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Yeast* 25(3):235–239  
 761
- 762 16. Meister P, Gehlen L, Varela E, Kalck V, Gasser  
 763 SM (2010) Visualizing yeast chromosomes  
 764 and nuclear architecture. *Methods Enzymol*  
 765 470:537–569. doi:[10.1016/  
 S0076-6879\(10\)70021-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879(10)70021-5)  
 766
- 767 17. Wood AJ, Lo TW, Zeitler B, Pickle CS, Ralston  
 768 EJ, Lee AH, Amora R, Miller JC, Leung E,  
 769 Meng X, Zhang L, Rebar EJ, Gregory PD,  
 770 Urnov FD, Meyer BJ (2011) Targeted genome  
 771 editing across species using ZFNs and  
 772 TALENs. *Science* 333(6040):307.  
 773 doi:[10.1126/science.1207773](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1207773)  
 774
- 775 18. Robert V, Bessereau JL (2007) Targeted engi-  
 776 neering of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* genome  
 777 following Mos1-triggered chromosomal  
 778 breaks. *EMBO J* 26(1):170–183  
 779
- 780 19. Wock AE, Cecile JP (2011) Inhibiting *C.  
 781 elegans* movement with ethanol for live micros-  
 782 copy imaging. *Worm Breeder's Gazette* 19(1):5  
 783

# Author Queries

Chapter No.: 11      0001992714

Queries	Details Required	Author's Response
AU1	Please check if "Transcription Activator-Like Effector Nuclease" should be lowercased.	
AU2	Please check if "Mos Single-Copy Insertion" should be lowercased.	
AU3	Please check if edit to sentence starting "A size of..." is okay.	

Uncorrected Proof