

#### INTRODUCTION

The project goal is to develop a Training And Control System (STACS) for human operators to oversee a team of autonomous bridge inspection robots to safely, cheaply, and reliably inspect steel truss bridges. We have developed and iterated prototypes of this software, and are developing training exercises designed to teach human operators to work with the simulated robotic team to accomplish a bridge inspection task. Optimal routing for a team of climbing robots to inspect every member of the bridge is NP-Hard. We show that we can use genetic algorithms to produce near optimal routes in realistic time.



Screenshot from the STACS2 interface with 5 climbing robots and one UAV. Dr. Hung La's climbing robot

# **METHODS – Convert bridge to graph**





#### Run GA with encoding below and find route



# **Simulation Training And Control System for Bridge Inspection** Rahul Dubey, Sushil Louis, University of Nevada, Reno

### **RESULT 1**

We can find routes within 2% of optimal on model bridge for one robot. Speedup with additional robots is close to linear

	1-CPP Optimal	1-CPP GA	2-CPP GA	5-CPP GA
Result	51,268	51,974	26,634	11,682
Speedup	-	-	1.951	4.449

## **RESULT 2**

We are within 7% of a theoretical lower bound in finding routes on benchmarks problems in the literature. Speedup is still close to linear! 5 robots take about 1/5 the time for inspecting a bridge

Problem	V	E	Lower Bound	Best $k = 1$	Percent Above Lower Bound	Best $k=2$	Speedup $k=2$	Best $k = 5$	Spee k =
gdb1	12	22	252	270	7.143 %	130	2.077	53	5.0
gdb2	12	26	291	298	2.405 %	146	2.041	63	4.7
gdb3	12	22	233	246	5.579 %	120	2.05	49	5.0
gdb9	27	51	219	236	7.763 %	119	1.983	53	4,4
gdb10	12	25	252	260	3.175 %	128	2.031	53	4.9
gdb11	22	45	356	374	5.056 %	192	1.948	77	4.8
gdb12	13	23	334	356	6.587 %	173	2.058	70	5.0
gdb17	8	28	84	89	5.952 %	44	2.023	19	4.6
gdb18	9	36	158	164	3.797 %	80	2.05	32	5.13
gdb19	8	11	45	47	4.444 %	23	2.043	10	4.1
val4	41	69	343	381	11.079 %	194	1.964	89	4.2
val5	34	65	367	401	9.264 %	219	1.831	105	3.8
val7	40	66	249	273	9.639 %	146	1.870	78	3.
val8	30	63	347	386	11.239 %	202	1.911	88	4.3
val9	50	92	278	309	11.151 %	169	1.828	97	3.1
Averages	-	-	-	-	6.952%	-	1.981	-	4.5

# **RESULT 3**

Caching intermediate computations speeds up time to find near optimal routing. We can get an order of magnitude improvement. Parallellizing the code to take advantage of the thousands of graphics cores in modern graphics cards also results in much faster runtimes and enables larger population sizes leading to improved solution quality as well as faster speeds



#### CONCLUSIONS

We have developed version two of the STACS prototype with climbing robots and UAVs for eddy current based steel inspection by climbing robtos and visual camera based inspection by flying robots. We have shown that we can get linear speedup as we increase the size of the truss inspection robot team. Assuming a conservative inspection speed of 0.25 m/sec or .82 feet/sec, a team of 10 robots could inspect the 57,044 feet or nearly 11 miles of the roadbed truss of the Golden Gate bridge in less than 2.5 hours!



Software tools have been developed to map the truss structure of an existing bridge like the iconic Golden Gate bridge to the graph representation used by our optimizer for routing



#### REFERENCES

1. Nicholas Harris, Siming Liu, Sushil J. Louis, and Jim Hung La. A Genetic Algorithm or Muti-Robot Routing in Automated Bridge Inspection. In Proceedings of Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference Companion. Prague Czech Republic, 2019.

2. D. Ahr, G. Reinelt, New heuristics and lower bounds for the min-max kchinese postman problem, in: R. Mohring, R. Raman (Eds.), Algorithms ESA 2002, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2002, pp. 64–74. 3. D. Ahr, G. Reinelt, A tabu search algorithm for the minmax k-Chinese postman problem, Computers & Operations Research 33 (12) (2006) 3403 465 – 3422, part Special Issue: Recent Algorithmic Advances for Arc Routing Problems.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Transportation, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Research and Technology (USDOT/OST-R) under Grant No. 69A3551747126 through INSPIRE University Transportation Center (http://inspire-utc.mst.edu) at Missouri University of Science and Technology. The views, opinions, findings and conclusions reflected in this publication are solely those of the authors and do not represent the official policy or position of the USDOT/OST-R, or any State or other entity.









