

New Insight into the Chemical Nature of the Plasmonic Nanostructures Synthesized by Reduction of Au(III) with Sulfide Species

Maria Ana Huergo, Lisandro J. Giovanetti, Mario Sergio Moreno, Christoph M. Maier, Felix G Requejo, Roberto C. Salvarezza, and Carolina Vericat

Langmuir, **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/acs.langmuir.7b01168 • Publication Date (Web): 18 Jun 2017

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on June 23, 2017

Just Accepted

“Just Accepted” manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides “Just Accepted” as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. “Just Accepted” manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. “Just Accepted” manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). “Just Accepted” is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the “Just Accepted” Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the “Just Accepted” Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these “Just Accepted” manuscripts.



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

New Insight into the Chemical Nature of the Plasmonic Nanostructures Synthesized by Reduction of Au(III) with Sulfide Species

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

M. A. Huergo¹, L. Giovanetti¹, M. S. Moreno², C. M. Maier³, F. G. Requejo¹,

R. C. Salvarezza¹, C. Vericat^{1,}*

¹ Instituto de Investigaciones Fisicoquímicas Teóricas y Aplicadas (INIFTA), Universidad Nacional de La Plata – CONICET, Sucursal 4 Casilla de Correo 16, 1900 La Plata, Argentina.

² Centro Atómico Bariloche - S.C. de Bariloche, Argentina.

³ Chair for Photonics and Optoelectronics, Department of Physics and Center for Nanoscience (CeNS), Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Amalienstraße 54, 80799 Munich, Germany.

***Corresponding author**

Dr. Carolina Vericat

Email: cvericat@inifta.unlp.edu.ar

Phone: +54 221 4257430 ext. 194

ABSTRACT

We have studied the products of the controversial synthesis of HAuCl_4 with Na_2S , which include gold nanostructures (Au NSs) that absorb in the near-infrared (NIR) and are highly promising for photothermal therapies and other nanomedical applications. From high resolution transmission electron microscopy, X-ray absorption spectroscopy and small angle X-ray scattering we have found that only metallic gold nanostructures are formed as a result of this synthesis, with no detectable amount of gold sulfide or other oxidized gold species that could account for the NIR absorption. Different sulfur species are adsorbed on the Au NSs, mainly sulfides (monomeric sulfur) and polysulfides, similarly to what is found on planar gold surfaces, therefore precluding the idea that thiosulfate or other oxidized species are the actual reducing agents for Au(III) ions. The presence of strongly adsorbed S species, which are difficult to remove from the gold surface, is of great importance for their applications as regards toxicity and the use of post-functionalization strategies to anchor biomolecules and/or to increase circulation time after administration.

INTRODUCTION

Over the last few decades gold nanostructures (Au NSs) have attracted increasing interest because of the phenomenon of localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR), which can decay either radiatively by light scattering, a process that finds multiple applications in the fields of optics and imaging, or non-radiatively as a result of the conversion of absorbed light to heat, a mechanism of dissipation of importance in several growing areas in nanomedicine, mostly for photothermal therapies and controlled drug release.¹⁻² For many nanomedical applications of Au NSs, especially *in vivo* studies, it is desirable to work in the near-infrared (NIR) region of the spectrum (650-900 nm), as this light has small interference with tissue and interacts strongly with NSs that scatter and/or absorb in this region.³

Therefore, the development of synthetic methods of Au NSs, such as nanorods, nanoshells, nanotriangles and other anisotropic nanostructures of more complex shape, like nanostars, all of whom have LSPR in the NIR region, is currently an area of increasing interest.⁴⁻⁵

Moreover, some anisotropic Au NSs are excellent substrates for surface-enhanced Raman scattering,⁶ as they provide “hot spots” with no need to induce NS aggregation.⁷⁻⁸ However, most syntheses are seed-mediated and as such involve multiple steps and use reagents and surfactants that are difficult to remove and are very toxic for cells, like CTAB.⁶ Thus, the development of alternative methods to produce anisotropic NSs with LSPR in the NIR in a straightforward way and in the absence of surfactants is an important goal to achieve.²

In particular, the synthesis of Au NSs based on the reaction between Au(III) species (mostly AuCl_4^- ions) and sulfide ions has attracted considerable interest because it yields in an easy way a variety of structures from spherical particles to triangular nanoplates, some of which absorb in the NIR region.⁹⁻¹³ Different variations of the synthesis have been tried

1
2
3 and in all cases the same intriguing behavior has been observed: the peak in the NIR first
4 shifts to higher wavelengths, while rapidly increasing in intensity, and then shifts to lower
5
6 wavelengths.^{9, 13}
7
8

9
10 Despite the interest in the synthesis, the nature of the NIR-absorbing nanostructures, in
11 particular concerning their shape and chemistry, has been a matter of debate. Indeed, while
12 some authors have proposed that they have a spherical core-shell structure, with a thin gold
13 shell of variable thickness that surrounds a Au₂S core,^{3, 9-10} this model has been challenged
14
15 by others. In fact, Norman *et al.* support the idea that the origin of the redshift is the
16 formation of aggregates of gold nanoparticles, even though this model eventually fails to
17 describe the blueshift.^{11,14} Also, it has been suggested that the NIR-absorbing
18 nanostructures are made of amorphous Au₂S well mixed with crystalline Au.¹⁵ A more
19 plausible interpretation, however, is that non-spherical nanostructures (nanorods,
20 nanotriangles, nanoplates, etc) are responsible for the NIR peak.^{12, 16}
21
22

23
24 Very recently we have demonstrated - by single particle spectroscopy correlated with
25 scanning electron microscopy of laser printed nanostructures - that nanotriangles are indeed
26 responsible for the observed NIR absorption, with no evidence of absorption by spherical
27 structures in that wavelength range.¹³ Changes in the size and in the degree of truncation of
28 the triangles can explain both their redshift and blueshift dynamics.¹³ Although several
29 authors presently accept that anisotropic Au NSs do contribute to the extinction peak in the
30 NIR, some authors still believe that other NIR absorbing species, like the mentioned core-
31 shell nanoparticles, can be formed from this synthesis or similar.¹⁷⁻¹⁸ Moreover, the
32 formation of a Au₂S phase has been reported by the same authors that supported the idea
33 that nanotriangles were responsible for NIR absorption.¹⁹
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 It is thus clear that some unsolved questions remain in relation to this system. First, is gold
4 sulfide really present in any of the structures produced in this synthesis, and if so, is it on
5 the surface or in the bulk of the nanomaterials? Also, what are the sulfur species present on
6 the surface of the Au NSs? Although some of these issues have been addressed in the past,
7 we believe that some of the findings by other groups are not accurate. In particular, the
8 possible formation of a Au₂S phase, either crystalline⁹⁻¹⁰ or amorphous¹⁵ and the real
9 chemical nature of the sulfur species in the NSs¹⁹ need to be further addressed.

10
11 In this work we have studied Au NS dispersions whose NIR peak lies at ≈ 850 nm, *viz.*, the
12 optimal region for hyperthermia applications, by using complementary techniques: high
13 resolution transmission electron microscopy, X-ray absorption spectroscopy and small-
14 angle X-ray scattering. We have found that only metallic gold nanostructures are formed as
15 a result of the synthesis, with no detectable amount of Au₂S or other oxidized gold species.
16 The S species appear only at the nanoparticle surface and consist of a mixture of adsorbed
17 sulfides (monomeric S), polysulfides and some elemental sulfur, similarly to what is found
18 on planar gold surfaces upon sulfide adsorption.²⁰ The presence of strongly adsorbed
19 reduced S species is of importance for nanomedical applications of these Au NSs as regards
20 their toxicity^{3, 21-22} and also for the use of post-functionalization strategies to anchor
21 biomolecules and/or to increase circulation time after administration.²³⁻²⁵

22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

49 50 Synthesis of NIR-absorbing gold nanostructures:

51
52 Nanostructures were synthesized by reduction of HAuCl₄ with Na₂S following the two-step
53 synthesis proposed by Zhou *et al.*⁹ Briefly, 10 mL of 2 mM HAuCl₄ solution were quickly
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 mixed with 12 mL of freshly prepared 1mM Na₂S solution (pH = 10) at room temperature
4 and without stirring. Ten minutes later, 2 mL of the sulfide solution were added, giving a
5 total S/Au molar ratio equal to 0.7. The reaction was allowed to evolve, typically for 30-60
6 min, and was then arrested at different positions of the NIR peak by fast addition of 14 mL
7 of the sulfide solution. In particular, for TEM, SAXS and XAS measurements this was
8 done when the peak maximum reached a wavelength \approx 850 nm during the blueshift. In
9 some cases the arrested nanostructures were subsequently purified by centrifugation (10-30
10 min at 7000 rpm), followed by the replacement of the supernatant with ultrapure water. The
11 evolution of the synthesis and the stability of the nanostructures were studied by UV-vis
12 spectroscopy by means of a Lambda 35 spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer).
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 Synthesis of S-capped gold nanoparticles:

30
31 Gold nanoparticles about 20 nm in diameter were synthesized following the method of Ref.
32 26. Briefly, 10 mL of a 38.8 mM sodium citrate solution were added to 100 mL of a boiling
33 1 mM HAuCl₄ solution and stirred for 30 minutes. The as-synthesized Au NPs were capped
34 with S by addition of 8.4 mL of 6 mM Na₂S solution, giving a S/Au molar ratio of 0.5.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41 Purification was carried out as described for the gold nanostructures.
42
43
44
45

46 Transmission electron microscopy:

47
48 TEM specimens were prepared by dropping the samples on an ultrathin carbon film (Ted
49 Pella, Inc). Selected area electron diffraction patterns and high resolution transmission
50 electron microscopy (HRTEM) images were acquired using a Tecnai F20 G² transmission
51 electron microscope operated at room temperature and 200 kV. Images were analyzed
52 using the Digital Micrograph program (Gatan Microscopy Suite®). We have used the Fast
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Fourier Transform of windowed regions for the local measurement of the spacings
4
5 observed in the image. Spacings measured in the FFT are the reciprocal of those in the
6
7 image.
8
9

10 11 12 SAXS experiments:

13
14
15 SAXS measurements were performed using a XEUSS 1.0 from XENOCES equipment
16
17 equipped with a 2D photon counting pixel X-ray detector Pilatus 100k (DECTRIS,
18
19 Switzerland). The scattering intensity, $I(q)$, was recorded in the range of the momentum
20
21 transfer $0.04 < q < 1.4 \text{ nm}^{-1}$, where $q = 4\pi/\lambda \sin(\theta)$, 2θ is the scattering angle and $\lambda =$
22
23 0.15419 nm is the weighted average of X-ray wavelength of the $\text{Cu-K}_{\alpha 12}$ emission lines. All
24
25 measurements were carried out using glass capillary as sample holder and all reported data
26
27 were corrected for the solvent scattering and processed using standard procedures.
28
29
30
31
32

33 34 35 Au-L₃ edge XANES experiments:

36
37 XAFS experiments at the Au-L₃ edge (11919 eV) were performed at the XAFS-2 beamline
38
39 of the LNLS (Campinas, Brazil) which is equipped with a Si(111) double crystal
40
41 monochromator. The X-ray beam is vertically collimated giving a spot at the sample of
42
43 about $0.2 \text{ mm} \times 3 \text{ mm}$. All experiments were performed in transmission mode adjusting
44
45 the gas mixtures in the chambers for the required energy range. The energy calibration was
46
47 monitored by measuring a thin Au film simultaneously with the sample, through a third
48
49 ionization chamber. XAFS data were processed by standard methods by using ATHENA
50
51 software, which is part of the IFFEFIT package.²⁷ Samples were measured in solution using
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 a sample holder consisting of plastic pieces, about 1 cm thick, sealed with Kapton®
4
5 windows.
6
7
8
9

10 S-K edge XANES experiments:

11
12
13 S-K edge XANES experiments were performed at the SXS beamline at the LNLS
14 (Campinas, Brazil),²⁸ which is equipped with a InSb(111) double crystal monochromator
15 with slit aperture of 1 mm, giving an energy resolution of about 0.6 eV. Either sodium
16 thiosulfate in solution or as a solid was used as reference, since both spectra are identical.
17
18 The photon energy was calibrated by assigning the value 2481.5 eV to the highest
19 maximum of Na₂S₂O₃ (corresponding to the so-called inner sphere), in accordance with the
20 criteria previously reported by Vairavamurthy.²⁹ All liquid samples were prepared by drop
21 casting on carbon disks (Ted Pella Inc). All reported experiments in the S K-edge were
22 measured in ultra-high vacuum (10⁻⁷ torr). Absorption spectra for each sample was recorded
23 in fluorescence mode following the intensity of S-K_{α1,2} emission lines (2309.5 and 2308.4
24 eV, respectively).
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

44
45 Typical sequential UV-vis spectra of the reaction mixture (Figure 1a), acquired as the
46 synthesis originally proposed by Zhou *et al.*⁹ (S/Au molar ratio = 0.7) proceeds, show two
47 absorption peaks whose intensities increase with time, one that remains fixed at λ ≈ 525 nm
48 and a second one in the near-infrared (NIR) region, which first shifts to higher wavelengths
49 (up to approximately 1000 nm) and then shifts to lower wavelengths. Sulfide/gold ratios >
50 1 give spectra which lack completely from both absorption peaks.¹² The evolution of the
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 synthesis can be arrested at any time by quick incorporation of an additional aliquot of
4
5 Na_2S solution,³⁰ as already described.¹³ These arrested samples are stable for months, in
6
7 contrast to non-arrested ones, which generally aggregate irreversibly within a few days.
8
9 Unless otherwise noted, all dispersions in this work were arrested at about 850 nm during
10
11 the blueshift, as this is the wavelength region of interest for hyperthermal applications.
12
13

14
15 Figure 1b shows a typical transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image of the arrested
16
17 as-synthesized dispersion that contains all the observed nanostructures: nanotriangles with
18
19 different degree of truncation (most with side length about 80 nm, see Fig. S1a) in the
20
21 Supporting Information), nanoplates, large “spherical-like” nanoparticles (NPs) with typical
22
23 sizes 15-40 nm (Figure S1b)) and small nanoparticles (diameter < 10 nm) which can be
24
25 largely removed by purification. From the analysis of many TEM and atomic force
26
27 microscopy (AFM) images it can be concluded that nanotriangles and nanoplates represent
28
29 about 20% of the large nanostructure population (*i.e.*, all the nanostructures excluding the
30
31 small nanoparticles) (Figure S1c) and Ref.13). AFM height analysis (see Figure S2 in the
32
33 Supporting Information) confirms that these Au NSs are plate-like (average height is ≈ 9
34
35 nm) and that the large “spherical-like” nanoparticles termed are isotropic. Other authors
36
37 have obtained similar structures and proportions with the same two-step synthesis⁹ and with
38
39 a similar synthesis in one step.^{12,15} Also, we have recently shown that nanotriangles whose
40
41 NIR peak appears at 800-850 nm have a higher degree of edge truncation compared to
42
43 those at 1000-1100 nm.¹³
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 1. (a) Sequential UV visible spectra showing the evolution of the two absorption peaks. (b) TEM image of the as-synthesized dispersion arrested at 850 nm. The scale bar corresponds to 50 nm. (c) SAXS curves of an arrested Au NS sample (black) and of the supernatant obtained after centrifugation (blue).

In order to analyze the proportion of large Au NSs (nanotriangles, nanoplates and large isotropic NPs) compared to that of small NPs we have performed small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) experiments over samples of arrested Au NSs and also of the corresponding supernatants obtained after centrifugation. TEM images and UV-vis spectra (data not shown) suggested that most large NSs remain in the pellet, while the supernatant is mainly composed of small Au NPs.


Figure 1c shows a significant difference between both samples (the “whole” sample and the supernatant), as evidenced by the intensity and the slope at smaller q values ($q \rightarrow 0$). Keeping in mind that the intensity extrapolated at $q = 0$ is proportional to the number of objects, and to the square of the volume of the illuminated objects, it is possible to conclude that the population of larger particles is notoriously diminished in the supernatant due to the smaller intensity at $q = 0$ in the original solution compared to the supernatant. Additionally, the decrease in the slope value at smaller q values ($q \rightarrow 0$), reveals the presence of a

1
2
3 population of much smaller particles in average size in the supernatant and confirms that
4 most large Au NSs (nanotriangles, nanoplates and large isotropic nanoparticles) are
5 retained in the pellet. To obtain a quantitative estimation of the average size of the particles,
6 we have analyzed the SAXS scattering curve at very small scattering angles following a
7 standard formalism derived by Guinier,³¹ which gives an estimation of the average radius of
8 gyration (R_g) (see Figure 1c and Figure S3 and Table S1 in the Supporting Information).
9 Moreover, the Gaussian shape of the curves in the small q region precludes the possibility
10 of agglomeration for all the studied samples under analysis, further discarding nanoparticle
11 agglomerates as responsible for the NIR LSPR peak, in contrast to the model proposed by
12 some authors.^{11,14}

13
14
15
16
17 In order to determine the relative fraction of small nanoparticles in each sample, we have
18 made use of the Q invariant that can be obtained from a SAXS curve and which gives an
19 estimation of the volume fraction occupied by the nano-object in solution (see the
20 Supporting Information). From the comparison of the Q values of both samples we can
21 conclude that the fraction in volume of the small Au NPs is below 5% (see Figure S4 and
22 Table S2 in SI). As it is possible to see from TEM and SAXS results, these small
23 nanoparticles contribute in a negligible fraction in volume thus assuring that the analyses of
24 gold and sulfur species from X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) experiments (see
25 below) are representative of their chemical state in large Au NSs (at least 95% of the atoms
26 in all the analyzed samples correspond to these NSs).

27
28
29 To get more insight into the structure of the different NSs obtained in the synthesis, and to
30 avoid average results, we have made an extensive analysis of the HRTEM images by means
31 of the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) of each whole single particle and smaller regions of
32 each particle, as indicated in Figure 2. This local analysis, in contrast to average diffraction
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 techniques, is particularly convenient in the case of nanotriangles because of their scale. In
4
5 this way we attempt to detect if a crystalline Au₂S phase (or any other oxidized phase) is
6
7 present in any of the different nanostructures, as previously reported by some authors.^{9, 32}
8
9
10 The images in Figure 2a and 2b show two triangular nanoplates with different degree of
11
12 truncation. In both cases the FFT showed the allowed {220} reflections and the forbidden
13
14 $1/3\{422\}$ reflections of metallic gold already reported for this type of structures in a $\langle 111 \rangle$
15
16 incidence (see corresponding panels in Figure 2),¹⁶ the latter arising from the formation of
17
18 stacking faults of the fcc lattice.³³
19
20
21



41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

Figure 2. High resolution TEM images of the different typical Au NSs obtained in the synthesis with the corresponding FFT pattern for the region indicated by the box: (a) nanoplate; (b) truncated nanotriangle; (c) typical icosahedral nanoparticle; (d) typical decahedral nanoparticle. In all the images the scale bar corresponds to 20 nm.

51
52
53 On the other hand, large isotropic nanoparticles show multiple twinning (Figure 2c and 2d).
54
55 Some of them have a close to hexagonal shape, presumably because of an icosahedral
56
57 morphology, and exhibit six twin planes (Fig 2c). Also, a small number of the large
58
59
60

1
2
3 nanoparticles have a 5-fold axis and can be regarded as decahedra (Figure 2d).³⁴ A similar
4
5 analysis based on the FFT of regions indicated by dash squares in Figure 2c and 2d show a
6
7 quasi-hexagonal array of spots that corresponds to the (111) and (200) plane distances for a
8
9 gold fcc structure. Although these particles have been considered as the candidates to Au₂S
10
11 core-Au shell nanoparticles by those authors who claim that these are the structures
12
13 responsible for NIR peak,⁹⁻¹⁰ the spots in the FFT can be accounted for only in terms of
14
15 reflections of metallic gold, with no contribution from Au₂S or other phases.³⁵
16
17

18
19 Finally, small nanoparticles also show typical Au reflections (see Figure S5 in the
20
21 Supporting Information). Thus, for all the analyzed nanostructures we have found
22
23 reflections that correspond only to gold and some additional forbidden reflections due to
24
25 growth defects (twin planes). In other words, HRTEM results indicate that all the structures
26
27 formed are composed of metallic gold, with no significant traces of crystalline Au₂S or
28
29 other oxidized gold species, which in fact would be thermodynamically unstable in water at
30
31 the low pH of the synthesis (between 2 and 3).
32
33

34
35 For a more detailed understanding on the bulk structure of the synthesized species, we have
36
37 performed XAS experiments, which yield chemically selective information (in our case for
38
39 Au and S) not only from the surface of the material but also from the bulk. Au-L₃ X-ray
40
41 near edge structure (XANES) measurements of the arrested Au NS dispersions were
42
43 performed in a liquid cell in order to analyze the oxidation state(s) of gold in the
44
45 nanomaterials. A general inspection of the main features of the spectrum in Figure 3a (blue
46
47 line) indicates the predominant metallic state of Au in the gold nanostructures. Indeed, it
48
49 clearly shows the similarity between the Au NS sample and a gold metallic foil used as
50
51 Au(0) reference (black line) and the remarkable differences with the Au(I) (red line) and
52
53 Au(III) (green line) reference compounds. A more detailed comparison of both spectra
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

(Figure 3b) confirms the complete agreement between the spectrum of the sample and that of the metallic reference. Additionally, Au(I) or Au(III) oxidation states can be ruled out based on the absence of the white line at about 11923 eV (see red and green lines in Figure 3a). Only a slight variation in the difference spectrum can be observed around 11496 eV (see inset in Figure 3b) that corresponds to the maximum resonance peak at the region of multiple scattering process and which can be attributed to size and/or surface effects due to the interaction between Au and S atoms, the latter belonging to the capping molecules. This is in good agreement with our TEM results, further confirming the absence of a Au₂S phase, either crystalline⁹ or amorphous,^{15, 36} and thus precluding the existence of core-shell nanoparticles. Moreover, it confirms that no Au₂O₃ or any other Au(III) species are formed, as expected from their low thermodynamic stability in aqueous solutions.³⁷⁻³⁸




Figure 3. (a) Au-L₃ XANES spectra of as-prepared, arrested sample recorded in solution (blue line); metallic Au foil (black line). Reference compounds were also measured for comparison: Au(III) chloride (green line) and Au(I) chloride (red line). (b) Detail of the Au-L₃ XANES spectra corresponding to metallic Au foil (black line) and Au NS sample (blue circles). The inset shows the difference spectrum. The small deviation can be attributed to the S-Au interaction at the Au NS surface. (c) Experimental Fourier

1
2
3 *Transform of EXAFS oscillation of the arrested Au NS sample (dotted line) and the*
4 *corresponding fitting (full grey line). Blue and red full lines represent the Au-Au and Au-S*
5 *contributions, respectively.*
6
7
8
9

10
11
12 In order to analyze the interaction between Au and S elements, we have performed
13 extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) experiments at the Au L₃-edge. Figure
14 3c shows the fitted Fourier Transform corresponding to the EXAFS signal of the same Au
15 NS sample considered for XANES. Even if there is high polydispersity of the sample, both
16 in size and in shape, and the S content is very low in comparison to Au, this experiment
17 allows a tentative quantification of the Au/S ratio and the determination of possible Au-S
18 species. Thus, from the fitted average coordination values N (Table 1), we can infer that
19 only 3% of the atoms around Au, in average, are S atoms (*i.e.* N_{Au-Au}/N_{Au-S}). This percentage
20 indicates that, if any Au_xS_y species were present, they would represent a negligible
21 proportion. A more reasonable hypothesis is that S atoms are only located at the surface of
22 the Au NSs and do not form any type of gold sulfide, in agreement with Au-L₃ XANES
23 results. The Au-S fitted distance of 0.224(5) nm (Table 1) is somewhat lower than the 0.233
24 nm value reported, for instance, for adsorbed alkanethiols on Au NPs.³⁹ The origin of this
25 lower value is probably due to the effect of the variety of Au-S bond lengths present in the
26 different sulfur species adsorbed on Au, as it will be shown below.
27
28

29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48 Samples with similar S/Au ratio (0.6-0.7) had already been studied by EXAFS by others,¹⁹
49 although the fitting strategy did not include Au-S coordination in their analysis. Because
50 they did not indicate the anti-transformed region, it was not possible to compare both
51 experimental results and the probable inclusion of the corresponding Au-S coordination
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

sphere in their results (that could be observed also in their experimental data as a small contribution in the Fourier Transform below 2 Å).

Coordination shell	N	D / nm	σ^2 / nm^2	E_0 / eV
Au-Au	8.5 (9)	0.284 (4)	0.000072 (9)	4.2 (6)
Au-S	0.3 (2)	0.224 (5)	0.00001 (5)	4.2 (6)

Table 1: Fitted parameters for EXAFS signal detailing each of the coordination shells showed in Figure 3c. N is the average coordination number, D the interatomic distance, σ^2 the Debye-Waller factor and E_0 the energy shift. Errors are between brackets.

Because EXAFS fitted parameters represent an average signal over all illuminated atoms that may be part of different species, it is difficult to assign univocally the origin of the obtained values. Previous studies of similar Au NS samples were not conclusive as regards the origin of Au-S bonds. The absence of Au-S distances characteristic of a Au₂S phase (0.231 nm) (see for example Ref. 40) is in agreement with previous data,¹¹ although these authors concluded that gold nanoparticle aggregates were responsible for NIR absorption, a model that is not consistent with the observed shifts in UV-vis spectra and which can be further discarded from our SAXS results. Another work proposed the existence of Au₂S into Au particles;¹⁵ however their analysis was based on an incorrect comparison with reference samples, as their S-K XANES reference spectra do not agree with those reported in the literature for the different S species present in the sample.

1
2
3 In summary, the existence of a Au₂S phase, either crystalline or amorphous, can be ruled
4 out in our samples from Au-L₃ XANES and EXAFS experiments, and it is clear that S
5 species are located on the surface of the nanostructures. This result is also of relevance in
6 relation to the discussion of whether a gold sulfide phase is feasible as a result of the
7 reaction of sulfur species with Au(111) surfaces.⁴¹ The fact that, even if we put sulfide
8 /polysulfide ions in contact with Au(III) ions, only metallic gold nanostructures are formed
9 clearly shows the thermodynamic instability of oxidized gold in contact with reduced S
10 species, as already reported.²⁰

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22 The next point to address is the chemical nature of these adsorbed S species, an issue that
23 has received relatively little attention in the past. It has been pointed out by some authors
24 that the actual reducing agent of the Au(III) ions in the present synthesis are S-O species,
25 most probably thiosulfate ions, which are formed as a result of polysulfide ion oxidation in
26 air, as sulfide ions easily form polysulfides in aqueous solutions.⁴² This statement was
27 supported by the fact that the synthesis is improved, *i.e.*, the ratio of the NIR to 520 nm
28 LSPR peaks is enhanced, when aged Na₂S solutions are used.¹⁴ However, in our case we
29 have found that replacing freshly prepared sulfide solutions by aged ones does not enhance
30 the NIR peak. In line with the model of Ref. 14, several groups have performed the
31 synthesis directly using Na₂S₂O₃ as the reducer and have obtained similar nanostructures.^{14,}
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
17-18 The oxidation product of thiosulfate upon Au(III) reduction would be either sulfate or
sulfite species.^{2, 17}

51 In order to shed light on the nature of the adsorbed S species on the gold nanostructures
52 produced in the present synthesis, we have performed XANES spectroscopy experiments at
53 the S K-edge on different Au NS samples (Figure 4). We have studied the Au NSs
54 produced by the synthesis in different conditions: (1) non-arrested; (2) arrested without

1
2
3 purification by centrifugation and (3) arrested and then purified. We have also included for
4 comparison the spectrum of a sample consisting of purified S-capped Au NPs, *viz.*
5 nanoparticles that were post-functionalized with sulfide.²⁶ As mentioned above, SAXS
6 results imply that about 95% of the XAFS signal corresponds to nanotriangles and large Au
7 NPs. In all cases the samples were prepared by drop casting and then rinsed with water to
8 remove free S species present in the reaction mixture.
9

10
11 We have chosen sodium thiosulfate as reference compound because it has two well-defined
12 peaks at 2472.4 eV and 2481.5 eV (see Figure 4) that correspond to the outer and inner S
13 atoms of the molecule, respectively.⁴³ All the samples present two main features: a broad
14 peak in the region of low oxidation states centered about 2473 eV, and another one in the
15 region corresponding to oxidized S species, centered about 2483 eV. Taking into account
16 the spectrum of thiosulfate used as reference and different S-K XANES studies reported
17 elsewhere,^{29, 43-44} we can assign the region at 2470-2475 eV to different reduced S species
18 (sulfide, polysulfide species and elemental sulfur) and that at $E > 2482$ eV to sulfates.
19

20
21 As regards the presence of sulfate in the samples, this could in principle be generated either
22 as a result of the oxidation of sulfide upon reduction of the Au(III) species, as proposed for
23 a very similar synthesis,¹⁹ or as the product of thiosulfate oxidation.^{2, 17} However, sulfate is
24 also present in the S-capped Au NP samples, where no reaction between sulfide and gold
25 ions takes place. Therefore, we propose that in our case sulfate species are produced by
26 oxidation of the reduced sulfur species during the long time exposure of the Au NS samples
27 to the ambient conditions as a result of the drop casting procedure. Interestingly, the sulfate
28 peak markedly decreases as Au NS dispersions are successively purified, thus indicating
29 that sulfate ions are mostly present in solution and also possibly physisorbed on the gold
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 nanostructures. In contrast, the intensity of the reduced S region remains almost constant
4
5 after purification, suggesting that most of these species are chemisorbed on gold.
6
7

8 In order to gain more insight into the chemical nature of the S species present in the
9
10 different samples, we have fitted the region of the XANES spectra that corresponds to the
11
12 reduced S species (with a maximum at about 2473 eV). Figure 5 shows this region for the
13
14 different samples considered in Figure 4. In all cases the background was fitted by an arctg
15
16 function and the peaks were represented by Gaussian functions (details of the results of the
17
18 fitting are shown in Table S3 in the Supporting Information); spectra were adjusted with
19
20 three components. The peak of the sodium thiosulfate sample, that appears at lower energy
21
22 (Figure 5a), was used as a reference for the component assigned to sulfide species (peak 1),
23
24 which are adsorbed on Au as monomeric S. The remainder of the area corresponds to two
25
26 additional curves at higher energies (peaks 2 and 3), which we have assigned to polysulfide
27
28 species and elemental sulfur, respectively.^{29, 45} It is worth to mention that this assignation is
29
30 in agreement with the components in X-ray photoelectron spectra for the same S-capped
31
32 Au NPs used as reference⁴⁶ and, in turn, with S self-assembled monolayers on Au(111)
33
34 prepared from sulfide solutions.²⁰
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 In this perspective, we can also better understand the somehow low value for the Au-S
42
43 distance obtained from EXAFS data for the arrested sample (Figure 3c) from the presence
44
45 of the different reduced S species on the gold surface, mostly monomeric S and adsorbed
46
47 polysulfides, in addition to some elemental S, as found on planar Au substrates.²⁰ The Au-S
48
49 bond length distances for monomeric S adsorbed at 3-fold hollow sites is about 2.28 Å,⁴⁷⁻⁴⁸
50
51 close to the average value obtained from the fitting of the EXAFS curves.
52
53
54


55 From the fitting and the analysis of the peak area ratio of sulfide (peak 1) to the total
56
57 reduced S species (sum of the areas of peaks 1, 2 and 3) we can estimate the relative
58
59
60

1
2
3 concentration of sulfide species for the different samples (Figure 5f). In the first place, the
4 spectrum of the S-capped Au NP sample used for comparison has about 40% of sulfide
5 species (Figure 5b and 5f) adsorbed as monomeric S, in line with previous XPS results for
6 these nanoparticles,⁴⁶ which also coincide with those for S SAMs on Au(111) (although in
7 the case of planar surfaces the elemental S component can be generally removed by
8 thorough rinsing).²⁰ This is in agreement with the fact that the adsorption of sulfides is
9 stronger than that of polysulfides on gold surfaces.^{20, 49}

10 For non-arrested Au NS dispersions both peaks 2 and 3 increase with respect to peak 1,
11 giving a lower proportion of sulfides (about 20%) (see Figures 5c and 5f). This fact can be
12 explained by considering that elemental S is formed through the reaction already proposed
13 for the same synthesis¹⁰



14 Then, some of the elemental S can react with sulfide ions to yield polysulfides on the Au
15 NS surface.⁵⁰⁻⁵¹ On the other hand, for sulfide-arrested, non-purified Au NS samples there
16 is about 50% of sulfide (Figures 5d and 5f): this can be accounted for by the higher affinity
17 of sulfide for metallic gold, therefore possibly displacing some of the polysulfides and
18 elemental S from the nanostructure surface. Also, it is possible that some of the sulfide ions
19 are not adsorbed on the gold surface. Finally, for purified arrested samples (Figures 5e and
20 5f) the polysulfide peak has increased while the other two decrease (the proportion of
21 sulfide is about 25%), thus confirming that some sulfide species in the non-purified sample
22 were not adsorbed. More important, this spectrum is very similar to that for S-capped
23 AuNPs, and leads us to conclude that practically all reduced S species in the purified Au
24 NS samples are chemisorbed.



34 *Figure 4: S-K XANES spectra of the different samples: reference thiosulfate solution*
35 *(black); non-arrested Au NSs (green); non-purified arrested Au NSs (red); purified*
36 *arrested Au NSs (blue) and purified S-covered Au NPs used as a reference (violet).*

40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 5. (a)-(e) XANES fitting of the region of reduced S species of the samples in Figure 4: a) thiosulfate solution; b) purified S-capped Au NPs; c) non-arrested Au NSs; d) non-purified arrested Au NSs, e) purified arrested Au NSs. Peak 1: sulfides (red); peak 2: polysulfides (blue); peak 3: elemental S (green). f) Sulfide proportion calculated as the area of peak 1 divided by the sum of the areas of peaks 1, 2 and 3 for samples in b), c), d) and e).

The knowledge of the nature of the species that are formed and which remain adsorbed on the gold nanostructures is relevant for several reasons.⁵² First, for any *in vitro* or *in vivo* biomedical applications it is essential that the surface coating of the nanostructures is biocompatible and non-toxic.^{21-22, 53} In addition, for *in vivo* applications it is necessary that Au NSs are not easily eliminated from the systemic circulation by the mononuclear phagocyte system and this is achieved by the use of hydrophilic coatings, like polyethylene

1
2
3 glycol.⁵⁴ Functionalization with PEG is usually performed by addition of thiolated PEG, as
4
5 thiols chemisorb on gold through the S atoms. Also, the same strategy is used to anchor a
6
7 drug, a vector biomolecule, or a dye label on the gold surface.^{23, 55-56} Thus, it is important to
8
9 know if the initial surface coating of the nanostructures can be effectively exchanged by
10
11 thiol molecules.
12
13

14
15 In this sense, it is important to assess the role of the S coating on the extinction spectra of
16
17 the nanotriangles if this has to be removed for biocompatibility or postfunctionalization
18
19 purposes. The quantitative effect of a particular coating, or adsorbed species, on the
20
21 intensity and position of the LSPR peaks of nanostructures is not easy to predict because it
22
23 depends on the refractive index of the coating, its thickness and also on the LSPR strength
24
25 and its wavelength.⁵⁷⁻⁵⁹ In order to address this point we performed electrodynamic
26
27 simulations using a finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) method (see details and Figure
28
29 S6 in the Supporting Information). Our results clearly show that the absorption, scattering
30
31 and extinction cross sections are not affected by a S monolayer, and thus the plasmonic
32
33 properties of the nanotriangles will not be significantly modified as a result of the removal
34
35 of the S coating.
36
37
38
39

40
41 Finally, for hyperthermia and other applications that require illumination with laser light, it
42
43 is important that the chosen Au NS coating resists the temperature increase upon heating, as
44
45 this can cause the undesired release of the species of interest, or can even induce changes in
46
47 nanostructure shape as a result of surface diffusion.^{13, 60-62}
48
49
50

51 52 **SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

53
54
55 Our combined HRTEM, XAS and SAXS studies shows that the synthesis of Au NSs by
56
57 reaction of Au(III) with sulfide produces only metallic gold nanostructures, with no
58
59
60

1
2
3 detectable amount of Au₂S or any other oxidized gold species. As previously
4 demonstrated,¹³ nanotriangles with different degree of truncation and nanoplates (even if
5 present in a lesser proportion) are the only structures responsible for the LSPR peak in the
6 NIR. More importantly, we have found that the S species adsorbed on all Au NSs are
7 mostly polysulfides and monomeric S, with some elemental S that is formed in the
8 reduction of the Au(III) species. Thiosulfate species are not formed on the nanostructure
9 surface, and some sulfate species appear as a result of the preparation of the samples, but
10 mostly correspond to the oxidation of reduced S species in the solution. Thus, the interface
11 in these Au NSs is similar to that in S self-assembled monolayers of S on planar gold
12 surfaces.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 These findings have implications for the use of these NIR-absorbing Au NSs for
27 nanomedical applications if post-functionalization of their surface is to be carried out in
28 order to increase their biocompatibility and/or to anchor a drug, a vector biomolecule or a
29 dye label. Certainly, the presence of strongly adsorbed S species, like sulfides and
30 polysulfides, should be carefully considered as they are difficult to remove by simple ligand
31 exchange methods.⁶³⁻⁶⁴ Also, this knowledge can contribute to shed light on some
32 controversial aspects about the nature of the interface of self-assembled monolayers of S
33 and S-bearing molecules (especially thiols), both on gold planar surfaces and gold
34 nanoparticles.^{41, 46, 65}
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 ASSOCIATED CONTENT

51
52
53
54 **Supporting Information.** The following material is included: size and shape histograms of
55 the nanostructures; AFM image of the Au nanostructures; Guinier and Kratky plots of the
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 SAXS data, together with details on the analysis and the obtained data; HRTEM image of
4 small Au nanoparticles; S K-edge XANES data for the different samples; FDTD
5 calculations for a gold nanotriangle with and without S capping. This material is available
6 free of charge *via* the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.
7
8
9
10
11

12 13 14 **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

15 16 **Corresponding Author**

17
18
19 * CV: cvericat@inifta.unlp.edu.ar
20
21

22 23 **Author Contributions**

24
25 The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given
26 approval to the final version of the manuscript.
27
28
29

30 31 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

32
33
34 This work has been financially supported by ANPCyT (PICT 2012-0836, 2010-2554, 2012-
35 1136 and 2015-2285) and CONICET (PIP 112-201201-00093 and 112-201101-01035)
36 from Argentina and DFG (grant SFB1032) from Germany. Partial support by Laboratório
37 Nacional de Luz Síncrotron (LNLS) under proposals SXS 20150180 and XAFS2 20160225
38 is acknowledged. Authors would also like to thank Dr. Theobald Lomueller and Prof.
39 Joachim Rädler from Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Germany.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 **REFERENCES**
4
5
6

- 7 1. Jain, P. K.; Huang, X.; El-Sayed, I. H.; El-Sayed, M. A. Noble Metals on the
8 Nanoscale: Optical and Photothermal Properties and Some Applications in Imaging,
9 Sensing, Biology, and Medicine. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2008**, *41*, 1578-1586.
10
11
12
13
14
15 2. Pelaz, B.; Grazu, V.; Ibarra, A.; Magen, C.; del Pino, P.; de la Fuente, J. M. Tailoring
16 the Synthesis and Heating Ability of Gold Nanoprisms for Bioapplications. *Langmuir* **2012**,
17 *28*, 8965-8970.
18
19
20
21
22
23 3. Gobin, A. M.; Watkins, E. M.; Quevedo, E.; Colvin, V. L.; West, J. L. Near-Infrared-
24 Resonant Gold/Gold Sulfide Nanoparticles as a Photothermal Cancer Therapeutic Agent.
25 *Small* **2010**, *6*, 745-752.
26
27
28
29
30
31 4. Tréguer-Delapierre, M.; Majimel, J.; Mornet, S.; Duguet, E.; Ravaine, S. Synthesis of
32 Non-spherical Gold Nanoparticles. *Gold Bull.* **2008**, *41*, 195-207.
33
34
35
36
37 5. Li, N.; Zhao, P.; Astruc, D., Anisotropic Gold Nanoparticles: Synthesis, Properties,
38 Applications, and Toxicity. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2014**, *53* (7), 1756-
39 1789.
40
41
42
43
44
45 6. Scarabelli, L.; Coronado-Puchau, M.; Giner-Casares, J. J.; Langer, J.; Liz-Marzán, L.
46 M. Monodisperse Gold Nanotriangles: Size Control, Large-Scale Self-Assembly, and
47 Performance in Surface-Enhanced Raman Scattering. *ACS Nano* **2014**, *8*, 5833-5842.
48
49
50
51
52
53 7. Shiohara, A.; Wang, Y.; Liz-Marzán, L. M. Recent Approaches Toward Creation of
54 Hot Spots for SERS Detection. *J. Photoch. Photobio. C* **2014**, *21*, 2-25.
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 8. Tian, F.; Bonnier, F.; Casey, A.; Shanahan, A. E.; Byrne, H. J. Surface Enhanced
4 Raman Scattering with Gold Nanoparticles: Effect of Particle Shape. *Anal. Methods* **2014**,
5 *6*, 9116-9123.
6
7
8
9
10
11 9. Zhou, H. S.; Honma, I.; Komiyama, H.; Haus, J. W. Controlled Synthesis and
12 Quantum-size Effect in Gold-coated Nanoparticles. *Phys. Rev. B* **1994**, *50*, 12052-12056.
13
14
15
16
17 10. Averitt, R. D.; Sarkar, D.; Halas, N. J. Plasmon Resonance Shifts of Au-Coated Au₂S
18 Nanoshells: Insight into Multicomponent Nanoparticle Growth. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1997**, *78*,
19 4217-4220.
20
21
22
23
24
25 11. Norman, T. J.; Grant, C. D.; Magana, D.; Zhang, J. Z.; Liu, J.; Cao, D.; Bridges, F.;
26 Van Buuren, A. Near Infrared Optical Absorption of Gold Nanoparticle Aggregates. *J.*
27 *Phys. Chem. B* **2002**, *106*, 7005-7012.
28
29
30
31
32
33 12. Mikhlin, Y.; Likhatski, M.; Karacharov, A.; Zaikovski, V.; Krylov, A. Formation of
34 Gold and Gold Sulfide Nanoparticles and Mesoscale Intermediate Structures in the
35 Reactions of Aqueous H₂AuCl₄ with Sulfide and Citrate Ions. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*
36 **2009**, *11*, 5445-5454.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44 13. Huergo, M. A.; Maier, C. M.; Castez, M. F.; Vericat, C.; Nedev, S.; Salvarezza, R. C.;
45 Urban, A. S.; Feldmann, J. Optical Nanoparticle Sorting Elucidates Synthesis of Plasmonic
46 Nanotriangles. *ACS Nano* **2016**, *10*, 3614-3621.
47
48
49
50
51
52 14. Schwartzberg, A. M.; Grant, C. D.; van Buuren, T.; Zhang, J. Z. Reduction of H₂AuCl₄
53 by Na₂S Revisited: The Case for Au Nanoparticle Aggregates and Against Au₂S/Au
54 Core/Shell Particles. *J. Phys. Chem C* **2007**, *111*, 8892-8901.
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 15. Tan, M. C.; Ying, J. Y.; Chow, G. M. Structure and Microstructure of Near Infrared-
4 absorbing Au–Au₂S Nanoparticles. *J. Mater. Res.* **2007**, *22*, 2531-2538.
5
6
7
8
9 16. Diao, J. J.; Chen, H. Near Infrared Surface Plasmon Resonance of Gold Tabular
10 Nanostructures in the H₂AuCl₄–Na₂S Reaction. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2006**, *124*, 116103.
11
12
13
14
15 17. Zhang, G.; Jasinski, J. B.; Howell, J. L.; Patel, D.; Stephens, D. P.; Gobin, A. M.
16 Tunability and Stability of Gold Nanoparticles Obtained from Chloroauric Acid and
17 Sodium Thiosulfate Reaction. *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* **2012**, *7*, 337.
18
19
20
21
22
23 18. Patel, D.; James, K. T.; O’Toole, M.; Zhang, G.; Keynton, R. S.; Gobin, A. M. A High
24 Yield, One-pot Dialysis-based Process for Self-assembly of Near Infrared Absorbing Gold
25 Nanoparticles. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2015**, *441*, 10-16.
26
27
28
29
30
31 19. Mikhlin, Y.; Likhatski, M.; Tomashevich, Y.; Romanchenko, A.; Erenburg, S.;
32 Trubina, S. XAS and XPS Examination of the Au–S Nanostructures Produced via the
33 Reduction of Aqueous Gold(III) by Sulfide Ions. *J. Electron Spectros. Relat. Phenomena*
34 **2010**, *177*, 24-29.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42 20. Lustemberg, P. G.; Vericat, C.; Benitez, G. A.; Vela, M. E.; Tognalli, N.; Fainstein,
43 A.; Martiarena, M. L.; Salvarezza, R. C. Spontaneously Formed Sulfur Adlayers on Gold in
44 Electrolyte Solutions: Adsorbed Sulfur or Gold Sulfide? *J. Phys. Chem C* **2008**, *112*,
45 11394-11402.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53 21. Kim, S. T.; Saha, K.; Kim, C.; Rotello, V. M. The Role of Surface Functionality in
54 Determining Nanoparticle Cytotoxicity. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2013**, *46*, 681-691.
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 22. Fratoddi, I.; Venditti, I.; Cametti, C.; Russo, M. V. How Toxic are Gold
4 Nanoparticles? The State-of-the-art. *Nano Res.* **2015**, *8*, 1771-1799.
5
6
7
8
9 23. Jiao, P. F.; Zhou, H. Y.; Chen, L. X.; Yan, B. Cancer-Targeting Multifunctionalized
10 Gold Nanoparticles in Imaging and Therapy. *Curr. Med. Chem.* **2011**, *18*, 2086-2102.
11
12
13
14
15 24. Yang, S.-T.; Liu, Y.; Wang, Y.-W.; Cao, A. Biosafety and Bioapplication of
16 Nanomaterials by Designing Protein–Nanoparticle Interactions. *Small* **2013**, *9*, 1635-1653.
17
18
19
20
21 25. Bogdanov, A. A.; Gupta, S.; Koshkina, N.; Corr, S. J.; Zhang, S.; Curley, S. A.; Han,
22 G. Gold Nanoparticles Stabilized with MPEG-Grafted Poly(l-lysine): in Vitro and in Vivo
23 Evaluation of a Potential Theranostic Agent. *Bioconj. Chem.* **2015**, *26*, 39-50.
24
25
26
27
28
29 26. Grabar, K. C.; Freeman, R. G.; Hommer, M. B.; Natan, M. J. Preparation and
30 Characterization of Au Colloid Monolayers. *Anal. Chem.* **1995**, *67*, 735-743.
31
32
33
34
35 27. Ravel, B.; Newville, M. ATHENA, ARTEMIS, HEPHAESTUS: Data Analysis for X-
36 ray Absorption Spectroscopy Using IFEFFIT. *J. Synchrotron Radiat.* **2005**, *12*, 537-541.
37
38
39
40
41 28. Abbate, M.; Vicentin, F. C.; Compagnon-Cailhol, V.; Rocha, M. C.; Tolentino, H. The
42 Soft X-ray Spectroscopy Beamline at the LNLS: Technical Description and
43 Commissioning Results. *J. Synchrotron Radiat.* **1999**, *6*, 964-972.
44
45
46
47
48
49 29. Vairavamurthy, A. Using X-ray Absorption to Probe Sulfur Oxidation States in
50 Complex Molecules. *Spectrochim. Acta: Molec. Biomolec. Spectrosc.* **1998**, *54*, 2009-2017.
51
52
53
54
55 30. Zweifel, D. A.; Wei, A. Sulfide-Arrested Growth of Gold Nanorods. *Chem. Mater.s*
56 **2005**, *17*, 4256-4261.
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
31. Guinier, A.; Fournet, G. *Small-Angle Scattering of X-rays*. John Wiley: New York, 1955.
32. Averitt, R. D.; Westcott, S. L.; Halas, N. J. Linear Optical Properties of Gold Nanoshells. *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **1999**, *16*, 1824-1832.
33. Kirkland, A. I.; Jefferson, D. A.; Duff, D. G.; Edwards, P. P.; Gameson, I.; Johnson, B. F. G.; Smith, D. J. Structural Studies of Trigonal Lamellar Particles of Gold and Silver. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Series A: Math. Phys. Sci.* **1993**, *440*, 589.
34. Sánchez-Iglesias, A.; Pastoriza-Santos, I.; Pérez-Juste, J.; Rodríguez-González, B.; García de Abajo, F. J.; Liz-Marzán, L. M. Synthesis and Optical Properties of Gold Nanodecahedra with Size Control. *Adv. Mater.* **2006**, *18*, 2529-2534.
35. Ishikawa, K.; Isonaga, T.; Wakita, S.; Suzuki, Y. Structure and Electrical Properties of Au₂S. *Solid State Ionics* **1995**, *79*, 60-66.
36. Tan, M. C.; Ying, J. Y.; Chow, G. M. Composition, Particle Size, and Near-infrared Irradiation Effects on Optical Properties of Au–Au₂S Nanoparticles. *J. Mater. Res.* **2008**, *23*, 281-293.
37. Finkelstein, N. P.; Hancock, R. D. A New Approach to the Chemistry of Gold. *Gold Bull.* **1974**, *7*, 72-77.
38. Mikhlin, Y.; Romanchenko, A.; Likhatski, M.; Karacharov, A.; Erenburg, S.; Trubina, S. Understanding the Initial Stages of Precious Metals Precipitation: Nanoscale Metallic and Sulfidic Species of Gold and Silver on Pyrite Surfaces. *Ore Geol. Rev.* **2011**, *42*, 47-54.


- 1
2
3 39. Ramallo-López, J. M.; Giovanetti, L. J.; Requejo, F. G.; Isaacs, S. R.; Shon, Y. S.;
4
5 Salmeron, M. Molecular Conformation Changes in Alkylthiol Ligands as a Function of
6
7 Size in Gold Nanoparticles: X-ray Absorption Studies. *Phys. Rev. B* **2006**, *74*, 073410.
8
9
10
11 40. Guerrero, E.; Rojas, T. C.; Multigner, M.; Crespo, P.; Muñoz-Márquez, M. A.; García,
12
13 M. A.; Hernando, A.; Fernández, A. Evolution of the Microstructure, Chemical
14
15 Composition and Magnetic Behaviour During the Synthesis of Alkanethiol-capped Gold
16
17 Nanoparticles. *Acta Mater.* **2007**, *55*, 1723-1730.
18
19
20
21
22 41. Biener, M. M.; Biener, J.; Friend, C. M. Revisiting the S–Au(111) Interaction: Static
23
24 or Dynamic? *Langmuir* **2005**, *21*, 1668-1671.
25
26
27
28 42. Kleinjan, W. E.; Keizer, A. d.; Janssen, A. J. H. Kinetics of the Chemical Oxidation of
29
30 Polysulfide Anions in Aqueous Solution. *Water Res.* **2005**, *39*, 4093-4100.
31
32
33
34 43. Vairavamurthy, A.; Manowitz, B.; Luther, G. W.; Jeon, Y. Oxidation State of Sulfur in
35
36 Thiosulfate and Implications for Anaerobic Energy Metabolism. *Geochim. Cosmochim.*
37
38 *Acta* **1993**, *57*, 1619-1623.
39
40
41
42 44. Almkvist, G.; Boye, K.; Persson, I. K-edge XANES Analysis of Sulfur Compounds: an
43
44 Investigation of the Relative Intensities Using Internal Calibration. *J. Synchrotron Radiat.*
45
46 **2010**, *17*, 683-688.
47
48
49
50 45. Jalilehvand, F. Sulfur: Not a "Silent" Element Any More. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2006**, *35*,
51
52 1256-1268.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



- 1
2
3 46. Pensa, E.; Cortés, E.; Corthey, G.; Carro, P.; Vericat, C.; Fonticelli, M. H.; Benítez,
4 G.; Rubert, A. A.; Salvarezza, R. C. The Chemistry of the Sulfur–Gold Interface: In Search
5 of a Unified Model. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2012**, *45*, 1183-1192.
6
7
8
9
10
11 47. Yu, M.; Ascolani, H.; Zampieri, G.; Woodruff, D. P.; Satterley, C. J.; Jones, R. G.;
12 Dhanak, V. R. The Structure of Atomic Sulfur Phases on Au(111). *J. Phys. Chem C* **2007**,
13 *111*, 10904-10914.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20 48. Abufager, P. N.; Zampieri, G.; Reuter, K.; Martiarena, M. L.; Busnengo, H. F. Long-
21 Range Periodicity of S/Au(111) Structures at Low and Intermediate Coverages. *J. Phys.*
22 *Chem C* **2014**, *118*, 290-297.
23
24
25
26
27
28 49. Rodriguez, J. A.; Dvorak, J.; Jirsak, T.; Liu, G.; Hrbek, J.; Aray, Y.; González, C.
29 Coverage Effects and the Nature of the Metal–Sulfur Bond in S/Au(111): High-Resolution
30 Photoemission and Density-Functional Studies. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 276-285.
31
32
33
34
35
36 50. Cotton, F. A.; Wilkinson, G.; Murillo, C. A.; Bochmann, M. *Advanced Inorganic*
37 *Chemistry: A Comprehensive Text*. 6th ed.; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1999.
38
39
40
41
42 51. Buckley, A. N.; Hamilton, I. C.; Woods, R. An Investigation of the
43 Sulphur(–II)/Sulphur(0) System on Gold Electrodes. *J. Electroanal. Chem. Interfacial*
44 *Electrochem.* **1987**, *216*, 213-227.
45
46
47
48
49
50 52. Charchar, P.; Christofferson, A. J.; Todorova, N.; Yarovsky, I. Understanding and
51 Designing the Gold–Bio Interface: Insights from Simulations. *Small* **2016**, *12*, 2395-2418.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 53. Nel, A.; Xia, T.; Meng, H.; Wang, X.; Lin, S.; Ji, Z.; Zhang, H. Nanomaterial Toxicity
4
5 Testing in the 21st Century: Use of a Predictive Toxicological Approach and High-
6
7 Throughput Screening. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2013**, *46*, 607-621.
8
9
10
11 54. Hak, S.; Helgesen, E.; Hektoen, H. H.; Huuse, E. M.; Jarzyna, P. A.; Mulder, W. J. M.;
12
13 Haraldseth, O.; Davies, C. d. L. The Effect of Nanoparticle Polyethylene Glycol Surface
14
15 Density on Ligand-Directed Tumor Targeting Studied in Vivo by Dual Modality Imaging.
16
17 *ACS Nano* **2012**, *6*, 5648-5658.
18
19
20
21 55. Vigderman, L.; Zubarev, E. R. Therapeutic Platforms Based on Gold Nanoparticles
22
23 and their Covalent Conjugates with Drug Molecules. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* **2013**, *65*, 663-
24
25 676.
26
27
28
29
30 56. Pissuwan, D.; Niidome, T.; Cortie, M. B. The Forthcoming Applications of Gold
31
32 Nanoparticles in Drug and Gene Delivery Systems. *J. Control. Release* **2011**, *149*, 65-71.
33
34
35
36 57. Link, S.; Mohamed, M. B.; El-Sayed, M. A. Simulation of the Optical Absorption
37
38 Spectra of Gold Nanorods as a Function of Their Aspect Ratio and the Effect of the
39
40 Medium Dielectric Constant. *J. Phys. Chem B* **1999**, *103*, 3073-3077.
41
42
43
44 58. Liu, X.; Atwater, M.; Wang, J.; Huo, Q. Extinction Coefficient of Gold Nanoparticles
45
46 with Different Sizes and Different Capping Ligands. *Colloids Surf. B* **2007**, *58*, 3-7.
47
48
49
50 59. Liu, W.-L.; Lin, F.-C.; Yang, Y.-C.; Huang, C.-H.; Gwo, S.; Huang, M. H.; Huang, J.-
51
52 S. The Influence of Shell Thickness of Au@TiO₂ Core-shell Nanoparticles on the
53
54 Plasmonic Enhancement Effect in Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells. *Nanoscale* **2013**, *5*, 7953-
55
56 7962.
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 60. Petrova, H.; Perez Juste, J.; Pastoriza-Santos, I.; Hartland, G. V.; Liz-Marzan, L. M.;
4
5 Mulvaney, P. On the Temperature Stability of Gold Nanorods: Comparison Between
6
7 Thermal and Ultrafast Laser-induced Heating. *Phy. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2006**, *8*, 814-821.
8
9
10
11 61. Li, F.; Zhang, H.; Dever, B.; Li, X.-F.; Le, X. C. Thermal Stability of DNA
12
13 Functionalized Gold Nanoparticles. *Bioconj. Chem.* **2013**, *24*, 1790-1797.
14
15
16
17 62. Osinkina, L.; Carretero-Palacios, S.; Stehr, J.; Lutich, A. A.; Jäckel, F.; Feldmann, J.
18
19 Tuning DNA Binding Kinetics in an Optical Trap by Plasmonic Nanoparticle Heating.
20
21 *Nano Lett.* **2013**, *13*, 3140-3144.
22
23
24
25 63. Templeton, A. C.; Hostetler, M. J.; Kraft, C. T.; Murray, R. W. Reactivity of
26
27 Monolayer-Protected Gold Cluster Molecules: Steric Effects. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*,
28
29 1906-1911.
30
31
32
33 64. Hostetler, M. J.; Templeton, A. C.; Murray, R. W. Dynamics of Place-Exchange
34
35 Reactions on Monolayer-Protected Gold Cluster Molecules. *Langmuir* **1999**, *15*, 3782-
36
37 3789.
38
39
40
41 65. Reimers, J. R.; Ford, M. J.; Halder, A.; Ulstrup, J.; Hush, N. S. Gold Surfaces and
42
43 Nanoparticles are Protected by Au(0)-thiyl Species and are Destroyed when Au(I)-
44
45 thiolates Form. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2016**, *113*, E1424-E1433.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

TABLE OF CONTENTS





ACS Paragon Plus Environment

