1	Greater capacity to exploit warming temperatures in northern
2	populations of European beech is partly driven by delayed leaf
3	senescence
4	Homero Gárate-Escamilla ¹ , Craig C. Brelsford ² , Arndt Hampe ¹ , T. Matthew Robson ² & Marta
5	Benito Garzón ^{1*} .
6	¹ BIOGECO INRA UMR 1202 University of Bordeaux, Pessac, 33400, France
7	² Organismal and Evolutionary Biology (OEB), Viikki Plant Science Centre (ViPS), Faculty of
8	Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Helsinki, P.O. Box 65, Finland 00014.
9	*Corresponding author: marta.benito-garzon@inra.fr
10	BIOGECO UMR 1202, INRA - Université de Bordeaux, Bat B2
11	Allée Geoffroy-St-Hilaire, CS50023
12	33615 Pessac Cedex
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

22 Abstract

One of the most widespread consequences of climate change is the disruption of trees' 23 phenological cycles. The extent to which tree phenology varies with local climate is largely 24 genetically determined, and while a combination of temperature and photoperiodic cues are 25 typically found to trigger bud burst (BB) in spring, it has proven harder to identify the main cues 26 27 driving leaf senescence (LS) in autumn. We used 905 individual field-observations of BB and LS from six Fagus sylvatica populations, covering the range of environmental conditions found across 28 29 the species distribution, to: (i) estimate the dates of BB and LS of these populations; (ii) assess the main drivers of LS; and (iii) predict the likely variation in growing season length (GSL; defined 30 as the period from BB to LS timing) across populations under current and future climate scenarios. 31 To this end, we first calibrated linear mixed-effects models for LS as a function of temperature, 32 insolation and BB date. Secondly, we calculated GSL for each population as the number of days 33 between BB and LS. We found that: i) there were larger differences among populations in the date 34 35 of BB than in the date of LS; ii) the temperature through September, October and November was the main determinant of LS, although covariation of temperature with daily insolation and 36 precipitation-related variables suggests that all three variables may affect LS timing; and iii) GSL 37 38 was predicted to increase in northern populations and to shrink in central and southern populations under climate change. Consequently, the large present-day differences in GSL across the range of 39 40 beech are likely to decrease under future climates where rising temperatures will alter the 41 relationship between BB and LS. Northern populations are likely to increase their productivity as 42 warmer conditions will enable them to extend their growing season.

43 Key words (4-6): Fagus sylvatica, spring phenology, autumn phenology, environmental factors,

44 provenance effect, climate change

45

46 **1 Introduction**

47 Plants are changing their phenological cycles in response to current climate change (Chmura et al. 2018). Generally, these changes involve a combination of advances in spring leaf phenology and 48 delays in autumn leaf phenology (Gallinat et al. 2015; Piao et al. 2015; Yang et al. 2017), resulting 49 50 in a longer growing season (Walther et al. 2002; Estiarte and Peñuelas 2015) and potentially increasing forest net ecosystem productivity (NEP) (Way and Montgomery 2015). Phenological 51 52 responses to environmental cues are to a large extent genetically determined in trees (Liang 2019). 53 Numerous studies along elevational gradients and experiments in common-gardens have found bud burst (BB) in populations of different origin to occur at different dates in many tree species 54 (Vitasse et al. 2013; Dantec et al. 2015; Sampaio et al. 2016; Kramer et al. 2017; Cooper et al. 55 2018). Leaf senescence (LS) has been less widely studied in such settings, but it also differs 56 57 inherently among populations of *Betula pubescens* (Pudas et al. 2008), *Fraxinus americana* (Liang 58 2015), Populus balsamifera (Soolanayakanahally et al. 2013), Populus deltoides (Friedman et al. 2011), Populus tremula (Michelson et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2018) and Populus trichocarpa (Porth 59 et al. 2015). However, it is not yet clear to what extent the genetic determinism and the 60 61 environmental cues of BB match those for LS, and how the interplay of BB and LS drives amongpopulation variation in growing-season length (GSL) (Signarbieux et al. 2017). 62

Extensive research has identified cold winter temperatures (i.e., chilling requirements) and accumulated spring temperatures (i.e., forcing requirements) as the main drivers of BB; sometimes coupled with photoperiod (Basler and Körner 2014; Fu et al. 2015) (Fig. 1). The major drivers of LS have been more difficult to identify (Gallinat et al. 2015; Brelsford et al. 2019). A recent metaanalysis showed that summer and autumn temperatures, precipitation and long photoperiod can all

affect LS (Gill et al. 2015). Generally, temperature tends to be predominant at lower latitudes 68 (Pudas et al. 2008; Lang et al. 2019), whereas photoperiod is more important at higher latitudes 69 (Soolanayakanahally et al. 2013; Lang et al. 2019) (Fig. 1). Yet temperature effects on LS are not 70 straightforward: increasing summer and autumn temperatures and even moderate drought can 71 delay LS (Xie et al. 2015), whereas severe drought tends to promote earlier LS (Chen et al. 2015; 72 73 Estiarte and Peñuelas 2015), (Fig. 1). Finally, high insolation and high photoperiod may also delay LS (Liu et al. 2016a) (Fig. 1). The complex nature of the environmental triggers of LS has to-date 74 hampered attempts to understand the causes of its variation across large geographical scales 75 76 (Chmura et al. 2018). This uncertainty makes it very difficult to estimate GSL across species ranges. Recent studies based on *in-situ* records and satellite data have shown positive correlations 77 between the timing of BB and LS that tend to stabilize GSL across populations (Keenan and 78 Richardson 2015; Liu et al. 2016b). But this is not a universal finding and the extent to which GSL 79 can change depends on the combination of many factors, as explained in Fig. 1. 80

		G	SL			
Reference	EV	BB _R	LS _R	EV	Reference	
(Gárate-Escamilla <i>et al.</i> , 2019; Ibáñez <i>et al.</i> , 2019; Ibáñez <i>et al.</i> ,	Twin/spr			Tsum/aut	(Ibáñez <i>et al.</i> , 2010; Yang <i>et al.</i> , 2017; Fu <i>et al.</i> , 2018)	
<i>al.</i> , 2017; Yang <i>et al.</i> , 2017)	Twin/spr	\rightarrow	-	↓ Tsum/aut		
(Basler & Körner, 2012; Gauzere <i>et al.</i> , 2017;	Phot			Phot/In	(Liu <i>et al.</i> , 2016a)	
Malyshev <i>et al.</i> , 2018)	Phot		-	Phot/In	(Cooke <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	
(Basler & Körner, 2014;	1 Chill			Psum	(Zu <i>et al.</i> , 2018)	
Malyshev <i>et al.</i> , 2017, Malyshev <i>et al.</i> , 2018)	Chill	\rightarrow	-	1 Drou	(Wu <i>et al.</i> , 2018)	

Figure 1. Environmental drivers of growing season length through their effects on bud burst and 82 leaf senescence. GSL: growing season length; EV: environmental variables; BB_R: bud burst 83 84 response; LS_R : leaf senescence response; Twin/spr: winter and spring temperatures; Tsum/aut: summer and autumn temperatures; Phot: photoperiod; In: insolation; Chill: chilling requirements; 85 Psum: summer precipitation; Drou: drought; Columns EV: up arrow: increase in the environmental 86 87 variable; down arrow: decrease in the environmental variable; Columns BB_R and LS_R: left arrow: early bud burst/leaf senescence; right arrow: delayed bud burst/leaf senescence; Green colour and 88 89 green leaf: Reference, EV related to bud burst and BB_R; Orange colour and orange leaf: Reference, EV related to leaf senescence and LS_R. All the combinations of bud burst and leaf senescence 90 responses defining the growing season length are possible. 91

92 Fagus sylvatica L. (European beech, henceforth "beech") is one of the most dominant and widespread broadleaf forest trees in Europe (Preston and Hill 1997), and it is of high ecological 93 and economic importance (Packham et al. 2012). In beech, BB responds to a combination of 94 chilling and forcing temperature requirements (Heide 1993; Falusi and Calamassi 2012; Kramer 95 96 et al. 2017) as well as to photoperiod (Heide 1993; Caffarra and Donnelly 2011; Basler and Körner 97 2012), with the strength of these drivers changing along environmental gradients. For instance, BB is more affected by photoperiod in colder climates, and by chilling requirements in warmer 98 climates (Gárate-Escamilla et al. 2019). Studies of LS in beech suggest that: (i) temperature may 99 100 be a more important cue than photoperiod when nutrients and water are not limiting (Fu et al. 2018); (ii) non-senescent green leaves are prematurely lost as a result of severe drought conditions 101 (Bréda et al. 2006); (iv) early BB correlates with early LS (Fu et al. 2014; Chen et al. 2018; Zohner 102 et al. 2018); (v) leaves first start to change colour in autumn from the upper part of the canopy, 103 104 suggesting that hydraulic conductance or the amount of solar radiation received over the growing

season may play a role in triggering LS (Gressler et al. 2015; Lukasová et al. 2019), although this
could also be related to an hormonal effect (Zhang et al. 2011).

Here, we investigate BB and LS in six different beech provenances (905 trees) planted in two common gardens in central Europe (Robson et al. 2018), and use this information to infer how range-wide patterns of beech GSL might evolve under future climate warming. Specifically, we attempt to: (i) estimate the dates of BB and LS, and how they differ among provenances; (ii) assess the main environmental drivers of LS; and (iii) predict GSL and how it would vary across populations under current and future climate.

113

114 2 Materials and Methods

115 **2.1 Field trials and provenances**

116 Spring and autumn leaf phenological observations came from two common-gardens (i.e. provenance tests, genetic trials; hereafter "trials") located in Schädtbek (54.30°N, 10.28°E), 117 Germany, and Tále, Mláčik, Slovakia (48.62°N, 18.98°E) (henceforth termed "Germany" and 118 "Slovakia" trials, respectively). These two tests belong to a large network of beech common-119 gardens planted to understand the population (i.e. provenance effect including genetics) effects of 120 climate change on fitness-related traits across the distribution range (details given in Robson et al. 121 122 2018). These trials were planted with seeds collected from 38 provenances (32 provenances in Slovakia and six provenances in Germany) that roughly span the entire environmental range of 123 beech (Fig. 2, Map). Seeds were germinated in the greenhouse and planted in the trials when two 124 125 years old, in 1995 (Germany) and 1998 (Slovakia). To maintain a balanced design (same number of provenances per trial), we used only six provenances from each of the two trials (Fig. 2, Map & 126

Table). The six provenances from the Slovakian trial were chosen based on their similar climatic origin to those planted in the German trial (Pearson correlation $r \ge 0.98$). The provenances were ranked from colder (1) to warmer (6) origins (Fig. 2, Map & Table). Trees growing in Germany were measured at an age of 12 and 13 years, those in Slovakia at 11 and 12 years (Fig. 2, Table).



Provenance Information

т	Ρ	Lon	Lat	Elev	N	NT	Age	BIO 14	Ppet Min	P JJA	Tm JJA	Tm SON	DIM JJA	DIM SON	r
G	1	15.47	47.75	1171	99	76	12, 13	62.74	39.08	145.31	10.96	2.94	4.77	2.34	0 99
S	-	14.85	47.53	1223	199	124	11, 12	66.97	45.39	159.62	11.37	3.32	4.77	2.34	0.55
G	2	12.62	50.03	909	97	55	12, 13	53.93	-15.57	96.22	13.09	5.00	4.44	1.87	0 99
S	2	13.25	50.57	795	94	66	11, 12	50.19	-5.59	91.98	13.22	5.23	4.44	1.87	0.55
G	3	10.83	51.67	411	109	94	12, 13	55.73	-23.51	86.16	14.72	7.14	4.31	1.77	0 99
S	5	9.45	48.47	740	180	109	11, 12	50.58	-20.54	105.49	15.42	7.56	5.00	2.21	0.55
G	Д	13.17	53.00	57	78	58	12, 13	30.00	-58.73	64.89	16.96	8.67	4.29	1.67	0 99
S	-	12.42	52.05	154	116	81	11, 12	31.85	-69.49	64.42	17.08	8.77	4.36	1.71	0.55
G	5	-2.75	42.25	943	80	66	12, 13	42.96	-140.38	47.96	16.83	9.56	5.83	3.02	0 99
S	5	2.58	44.15	698	79	52	11, 12	52.14	-111.58	65.79	18.05	11.35	5.79	2.88	0.55
G	6	22.27	46.68	161	73	57	12, 13	39.27	-104.09	69.63	20.76	11.45	5.14	2.52	0 98
S	J	0.77	49.53	14	104	67	11, 12	44.52	-86.53	50.84	16.97	11.04	5.04	2.09	0.90

132

Figure 2. Map: Geographical distribution of beech provenances (coloured circles) and trials 133 (triangles) underlying this study. Beige shading indicates the distribution range of beech. Each 134 circle colour indicates a pair of similar provenances from each trial (the colour gradient depicts the 135 clinal variation from cold [blue] to warm [red] provenances, as defined in Table S1). Table: 136 Climatic and geographic data that were used for merging provenances of similar climatic origin 137 for modeling purposes. As the provenances were not shared between the two sites, we selected 138 139 provenances of similar climatic characteristics. T: trial where the trees were measured (G=Germany, S=Slovakia); P: number of the provenances as shown in Figure 1; Lon: longitude; 140 Lat: latitude; Elev: elevation (m); N: total number of trait measurements (including repeated 141 142 measurements over years); NT: total number of individual trees; Age: age of the trees when measured; BIO14: precipitation of driest month; Ppet Min: minimal annual water balance; P JJA: 143 precipitation of January, July and August; Tm JJA: mean temperature of January, July and August; 144 Tm SON: mean temperature of September, October and November; DIM JJA: mean daily 145 146 insolation of June, July and August; DIM SON: mean daily insolation of September, October and 147 November; r: Pearson correlations per pair of provenances accommodated under the same number.

148

149 2.2 Estimation of bud burst, leaf senescence and growing season length

We transformed the observational stages (phenophases), and score data (qualitative measurements)
for BB and LS to Julian days by fitting the phenophases (Fig. 3 and S1; Table S1 and S2) for each
tree in every trial using the Weibull function (Robson et al. 2011; Gárate-Escamilla et al. 2019).
The Weibull function is non-linear and asymptotic in the upper and lower limits, hence it requires

at least two censuses to obtain a fit of the data: the day of the year (DOY) when BB is attained in
spring (stage 2.5; Fig.3 and S1; Robson et al. 2013) and at the stage at which 50% of the trees'
leaves have changed colour from green to yellow (stage 3; Fig. 3 and S1; (Lang et al. 2019)). We
calculated GSL for each tree as the number of days between the estimated dates of BB and LS
(Estiarte and Peñuelas 2015).

159

160 2.3 Environmental data

161 To separate the effects of the provenance (genetic effects) from those of the trial (environmental effects), we used the average climate from 1901 to 1990 for each provenance and the average 162 163 climate during the years of measurement for the trials (Leites et al. 2012) in our models. We used 164 the following precipitation- and temperature-related variables from EuMedClim (Fréjaville and Benito Garzón 2018): precipitation in the driest month (BIO14, mm), precipitation (P, mm) in 165 June, July and August (JJA), minimal (Min) monthly water balance (PPET, mm), and mean 166 temperature (Tm, °C) in June, July and August (JJA) and September, October and November 167 (SON). In addition, we used latitude as a proxy of photoperiod as well as daily insolation, a 168 function of day length and solar irradiance (Yeang 2007). We downloaded daily insolation data 169 from the NASA Atmospheric Science Data Center (https://power.larc.nasa.gov/data-access-170 viewer/), and we calculated solar radiation (direct and diffuse) over the wavelength range 400-171 172 2700 nm incoming on a horizontal surface for a given location. We used insolation including NIR and SWIR, as well as PAR, because the mode of action is still unknown, so a direct heating effect 173 174 from NIR and SWIR may be important. We calculated the mean daily insolation (DIM, kWh m⁻ ² d⁻¹) between the months of June, July and August (JJA) and September, October and November 175 (SON), respectively. As with the climatic variables, we characterized the DIM of the trial as the 176

average between the planting year and the year of measurement. Because the insolation data series
from the NASA Atmospheric Science Data Center begins in July 1983, we characterized the DIM
of the population as the average between 1984 and 1990 for JJA, and between 1983 and 1990 for
SON.

We used the 2070 Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 GISS-E2-R (<u>http://www.worldclim.org/cmip5_30s</u>) scenario for GSL predictions under future climate. We deliberately chose only this pessimistic scenario because, for long-lived organisms such as forest trees, it makes little difference whether the projected situation will be reached in 2070 or some decades later.

186

187 **2.4 Statistical analysis**

We used a model of BB already calibrated for the same set of trials and provenances (Gárate 188 Escamilla et al. 2019). We then performed a linear mixed-effects model for LS as a function of the 189 combination of environmental variables with BB date as a co-variate. Environmental variables 190 were selected individually to account for separate trial and provenance effects. Our model allowed 191 us to: (i) estimate the date of LS for each of the six pairs of provenances; (ii) compare the date of 192 LS with the date of BB that was already modelled following a similar methodology (Gárate 193 Escamilla et al. 2019); (iii) calculate GSL for each provenance; and (iv) perform spatial predictions 194 of BB, LS and GSL under current and future climate scenarios. 195

196

197 2.4.1 Environmental variable selection

To avoid co-linearity and reduce the number of variables in our models, we only retained moderately correlated variables (-0.5 < r < 0.5) for modelling purposes. The full correlation matrix between all variables is provided in Fig. S2.

201

202 2.4.2 Linear mixed-effects model of leaf senescence

We performed a series of linear mixed-effects models of LS as a function of environmental variables from the trial and the provenances, with BB as a co-variable (Equation 1). Each model included one environmental variable from the provenance, one environmental variable from the trial site and BB as fixed effects. The trial, blocks nested within the trial, individual trees and provenances were included as random effects to control for differences among sites and for repeated measurements of the same tree. The general form of the LS model was:

$$209 \qquad log(LS_{ijk}) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1(EP_{ij}) + \alpha_2(ET_{ik}) + \alpha_3(BB_{ik}) + \alpha_4(EP_{ij} \times ET_{ik}) + \alpha_5(EP_{ij} \times BB_{ik})$$

 $+ \alpha_6 (ET_{ik} \times BB_{ik}) + \beta + \varepsilon$

211

(Equation 1)

Where LS = leaf senescence of the *i*th individual of the *j*th provenance in the *k*th trial; EP = environmental variable that characterizes the provenance site of the *i*th individual of the *j*th provenance; ET = environmental variable that characterizes the trial site of the *i*th individual in the *k*th trial; BB = bud burst of the *i*th individual in the *k*th trial; β = random effects and ε = residuals. In addition, the model included the following interaction terms: EP × ET, EP × BB, and ET × BB. EP × ET. Interactions represent differences in LS values that can be attributed to the interactions between genetic (provenance) and environmental (site) effects. EP × BB and ET × BB interactions represent the effects of the provenance on LS related to BB and the effects of the site related toBB.

221 LS models were fitted with the 'lmer' function of the package 'lme4' (Bates et al. 2018), 222 within R statistical framework version 3.2.0 (R Development Core Team 2015). To choose the best supported model, we followed a stepwise procedure: (i) to minimize model complexity and 223 224 collinearly among environmental variables, we selected the most important variable related to the trial by comparing a series of models that included one environmental variable for the trial and 225 226 BB, and then selected the best model using the Akaike information criterion (AIC) with criterion 227 delta < 2 (Mazerolle 2006), and the variance explained by the fixed effects (marginal R^2) (Supplementary Table S3); (ii) we chose the optimal random component of the model by 228 229 comparing the set of models that included different combinations of random effects, the previously selected environmental variable from the trial and BB using restricted maximum likelihood 230 (REML), and selected the best model among them using the AIC criterion; (iii) we retained the 231 232 best environmental variable related to the provenance comparing the models that included one environmental variable from the provenance, the selected variable from the trial, the BB, the 233 interaction between the three variables and the random terms using maximum likelihood (ML) 234 235 using the AIC criterion (Supplementary Table S4); (iv) we combined the best optimal random and fixed components (previously selected) and adjusted them using REML to obtain the best 236 237 performing model.

The goodness of fit of the final models was assessed using two approaches. First, we quantified the percentage variance explained by the model attributed to the fixed effects (marginal R^2) and attributed to the fixed and random effects (conditional R^2). Second, we measured the generalisation capacity of the model using cross-validation with independent data. To this end, we calibrated the model with 66% of the data and performed an independent validation (using Pearsoncorrelations) with the remaining 34% of the data.

244

245 2.4.3 Interactions of leaf senescence with bud burst and environmental variables

For the best supported LS model, we analysed the significant interactions (EP \times ET, EP \times BB, and 246 247 $ET \times BB$ in Equation 1) between LS and the environment (ET; represented by the environmental 248 variable from the trial selected by the best supported LS model) and according to provenances 249 showing early, mean and late BB. We also inspected gradients of GSL for the six provenances by 250 plotting GSL against the environmental variable of the trial selected in the model (ET) and 251 population under current conditions. We predicted the date of LS for the future climate scenario 252 RCP 8.5 using our LS model and the date of BB for the same provenances according to our BB 253 model (Gárate-Escamilla et al. 2019), and plotted the predicted future GSL against ET for each of the provenances. 254

255

256 2.4.4 Spatial predictions

Spatial projections of LS were calculated using our LS model for current and future climatic conditions with predictions of BB from Gárate Escamilla et al. (2019). Predictions of GSL were calculated by subtracting the predicted BB from LS for both current and future climatic conditions across the species range. For both current and future predictions, the climate for provenances was represented by the average of the period from 1900 to 1990. The climate of the trials was represented by the average of the period from 2000 to 2014 for current predictions, and by the mean value for the year 2070 according to RCP 8.5 for future predictions. The current and future spatial predictions of BB and LS include a non-extrapolated area (i.e., predictions including exclusively the climatic range of the two trials, 7.5 to 10°C) and an extrapolated area (i.e., predictions outside the climatic range of the trials) delimited within the distribution range of the species (EUFORGEN 2009). Spatial analyses were performed with the 'raster' package in R (Hijmans et al. 2017).

269

270 **3 Results**

271 **3.1 Estimation of bud burst and autumn leaf senescence dates from field observations**

In both trials, differences among provenances were larger for spring leaf flush stages (including 272 bud burst; Fig. 3a & b and S1a & b) than for autumn leaf senescence stages (including 50% yellow 273 leaves; Fig. 3c & d and S1c & d). Although these differences were always statistically significant, 274 they were larger in the Slovakian trial than in the German one (Fig. 3 and S1, Table S1 and S2). 275 Differences in the predicted DOY of spring leaf flush and autumn leaf senescence stages were 276 found for the two years of measurement in both trials (Fig. 3 and S1). We used the fitted data to 277 extract the DOY for the flushing stage 2.5 (bud burst, BB) and the senescence stage 3 (= 50% of 278 279 leaves yellow, LS) for each provenance (Tables S1 and S2).





Figure 3. Predicted spring bud burst and autumn leaf senescence phenology, days of the year (DOY) against the observational stages recorded in the field for the two trials. SP: spring bud burst phenology; AP: autumn leaf senescence phenology. Provenance colours range from dark blue

(cold origin) to dark red (warm origin) for the provenances in the two trials (Fig. 2, Map & Table).
The spring leaf flushing and autumn leaf senescence stages are described in the lower part of the
figure. The phenology stages were recorded in the year 2006 in Germany and 2008 in Slovakia.

287

288 **3.2 Variable selection and best model selection**

Our inspection of climate variables revealed that: (i) provenance and trial variables were not correlated with each other; (ii) temperature (Tm JJA and Tm SON)- and precipitation (BIO14, Ppet Min and Prec JJA)-related variables for the provenances were correlated, whilst daily insolation (DIM JJA and DIM SON) variables for the provenances were only correlated with the latitude (Lat) of the provenances; (iii) all the trial variables were correlated among themselves; and (iv) the co-variable BB was not correlated with the rest of variables (Fig. S2).

In view of these results, we retained daily insolation (DIM JJA and DIM SON) and temperature-(Tm JJA and Tm SON)-related variables for the provenances, all climate variables from the trials, and BB as predictors for our models of LS. The best model according to AIC criteria (Tables S3 and S4) used the mean temperature in September, October and November (Tm SON) of the trial and of the provenance, and BB as a co-variable (Table 1 and Table S3).

Table 1. Statistics from linear mixed-effects models of leaf senescence. Obs: number of trait measurements; Variance: variance explained by the random effects; SD: standard deviation of each level of random effects; Estimate: coefficient of the regression, shown on a logarithmic scale; SE: standard error of each fixed variable; *t*: Wald statistical test that measures the point estimate divided by the estimate of its SE, assuming a Gaussian distribution of observations conditional on fixed and random effects. Fixed effects: coefficients of the fixed effects of the model; BB: bud burst; Tm SON_T: mean temperature of September, October and November of the trial; Tm SON_P: mean temperature of September, October and November of the provenance. Coefficients of the interactions: BB x Tm SON_T and BB x Tm SON_P. *r*: Pearson correlation; R^2 M: percentage of the variance explained by the fixed effects (Marginal variance); R^2 C: percentage of the variance explained by the random and fixed effects (Conditional variance).

311

	Leaf senescence					
Model	Linear Mixed Effect					
	Random Effects					
	Obs	Variance	SD			
Population	12	3.33E-05	5.77E-03			
Trial	2	2.39E-02	1.55E-01			
Trial:Block	6	9.73E-06	3.10E-03			
Tree	925	1.88E-04	1.37E-02			
Residuals		2.34E-04	1.53E-02			
	Fixed Effects					
	Estimate	SE	t			
Intercept	5.62E+00	1.10E-01	51.16			
BB	-8.18E-04	9.91E-05	-8.25			
Tm SON_T	2.88E-02	1.43E-02	2.02			
Tm SON_P	2.61E-02	8.10E-03	3.23			
BB x Tm SON_T	5.97E-04	9.61E-05	6.21			
BB x Tm SON_P	-1.96E-04	6.60E-05	-2.97			
	r	R^2M	$R^{2}C$			
	0.92	0.52	0.99			

312

313

314 **3.3 Leaf senescence model**

LS differed among the provenances and between the two trials. These differences were explained 315 by the Tm SON of the trial and provenance, as well as by BB (Table 1). Interactions between BB 316 and Tm SON of the trial and provenance were also significant (Table 1). Late LS timing was 317 related to higher Tm SON of the trial and provenances (Fig. 4). Late LS was related to late BB at 318 high Tm SON of the trial, whilst at low trial Tm SON the opposite effect occurred (Fig. 4a). Late 319 LS was related to early BB irrespective of Tm SON of the population (Fig. 4b). The marginal R^2 320 was 52%, while the conditional R^2 was 99% (Table 1). The capacity for generalisation from the 321 model was r = 0.92 (Table 1). 322



Figure 4. Mathematical interaction between leaf senescence and the mean temperature in September, October and November (Tm SON) for the trial (a) and for the provenance (b). The mathematical interaction is estimated from the LS linear mixed-effects model (equation 1), where BB is considered as a co-variable. Leaf senescence is given in Julian days, and Tm SON in °C. The black line represents delayed bud burst, the dark-grey mean bud-burst and the light-grey early bud-burst. The error bars represent the 95% confidence intervals.

330

331 3.4 Determinants of growing season length under current and future climates

GSL greatly increased with higher temperatures in September, October and November in the trials, 332 333 although the strength of this effect depended on the origin of the provenances (Fig. 5). The increase 334 in GSL was greatest for cold provenances (3.2-5.2 C°), which had their longest GSL under cold conditions (7.5-8.5 C°) at the trials in the current climate (Fig. 5a). In our two trials, GSL differed 335 336 more among provenances under future than under current autumn temperatures (Fig. 5b). The longest GSL under future conditions was predicted at high trial temperatures (11.5-12 C°) for the 337 warm (10.5-11.3 C°) and cold (3.2-5.2 C°) provenances, whilst at low trial temperatures (10.5-11 338 339 C°), the longest GSL was predicted for warmer (10.5-11.3 C°) populations (Fig. 5b). When we extrapolate our models for the examined 2070 climate scenario, GSL is predicted to 340 increase up to 9 days in the north-east of the range (Fig. 6). Decreases of GSL up to 8 days are 341 predicted for much of the range including the central, southern, western and eastern areas; little or 342

no change in GSL is predicted for the south-eastern-most range (Fig. 6).



Figure 5. Interaction between growing season length and the mean temperature of September,
October and November (Tm SON) of the trial, for (a) current climatic conditions (year of
measurement minus year of plantation) and (b) the future climate scenario (RCP 8.5 for 2070).
The colour gradient depicts the clinal variation from cold (blue) to warm (red) provenances (Tm
SON). Growing season length is represented in days. The error bars represent the 95% confidence
intervals.



353

Figure 6. Spatial projections for (a) bud burst under current climatic conditions, (b) bud-burst differences between current and future conditions, (c) leaf senescence under current climatic conditions, (d) leaf-senescence differences between current and future conditions, (e) growing-

season length under current climatic conditions and (e) growing-season-length differences 357 between current and future conditions. The growing-season length represents the difference 358 between leaf flushing and leaf senescence. The colour gradient depicts the clinal variation from 359 low (red) to high (blue) values of bud burst, leaf senescence and growing-season length. Growing-360 season length is represented in days, and leaf senescence and bud burst in Julian days. Solid colours 361 362 represent the predicted geographic area without extrapolation from the climatic area covered by the trials (TmSON = 7.5 to 10° C), the soft colours represent the extrapolated area (that is, outside 363 364 the range of the calibration) predicted by the models. Current climate refers to the average climate 365 calculated from 2000-2014, and difference in bud burst/leaf senescence/growing season represents the differences between the model predictions for future (2070, RCP 8.5) and contemporary 366 climate conditions for bud burst/leaf senescence/growing season. 367

368

369 4 Discussion

4.1 Provenance differences in bud burst and autumn leaf senescence

The origin of beech provenances is a major determinant of the timing of their leaf spring and 371 autumn phenology (Table 1), which confirms their genetic differentiation in the control of 372 phenology (Chmura and Rozkowski 2002; Petkova et al. 2017, Alberto et al. 2013). This 373 differentiation has often been reported to be stronger for spring phenology than for autumn 374 phenology (Vitasse et al. 2009; Weih 2009; Firmat et al. 2017; Petkova et al. 2017), which is in 375 agreement with what we found in our provenances. For instance, in the Slovakian trial the 376 377 difference in the date of budburst between colder and warmer provenances was more than 20 days (Fig. 3 and S1). The duration of autumn leaf senescence is longer than that of leaf flushing in beech 378

(Fig. 3 and S1, Table S1 and S2) (Gömöry and Paule 2011; Petkova et al. 2017), whereas other 379 temperate broadleaf species such as *Salix* spp. and *Quercus petraea* have a relatively long period 380 of leaf-out and relatively abrupt autumn leaf senescence (Weih 2009; Firmat et al. 2017). Although 381 the dates of spring and autumn leaf phenological stages varied between the two years of our study, 382 the same response patterns persisted in both years (Fig. 3 and S1), suggesting a consistent effect 383 384 of environmental conditions on the trials (Weih 2009; Friedman et al. 2011; Petkova et al. 2017). Our results also revealed larger differences among provenances for both BB and LS in the 385 Slovakian trial than in the German one (Fig. 3 and S1), confirming that, in addition to genetic 386 387 effects, the environment plays an important role in the phenological response of beech (Vitasse et al. 2013; Gárate-Escamilla et al. 2019). 388

389

390 4.2 Environmental variables defining leaf senescence

Overall, our results support the assertions that (1) high autumn temperatures, both at the site of 391 population origin and at the planting site, delay LS in beech, and (2) early BB tends to be followed 392 by early LS (Table 1). The delayed LS promoted by warmer temperatures that we obtained by 393 394 manipulating both genetic and site factors using common-garden trials (Fig. 4), is consistent with previous studies based on *in-situ* LS records (Delpierre et al. 2009; Vitasse et al. 2011), satellite 395 396 data (Yang et al. 2015; Liu et al. 2016a) and climate-controlled chambers (Gunderson et al. 2012; 397 Fu et al. 2018). While the convergence of these studies is reassuring, the extent to which warmer 398 temperatures promote delayed LS still remains elusive (Estiarte and Peñuelas 2015): warmer 399 temperatures accompanied by moderate drought appear to delay LS until a certain threshold (Xie 400 et al. 2015); but beyond this drought threshold LS is accelerated (Chen et al. 2015; Estiarte and 401 Peñuelas 2015). The roles of temperature and drought in LS have several broader implications

because the delay in LS induced by warm temperatures is associated with: delayed degradation of
chlorophyll (Fracheboud et al. 2009), maintenance of photosynthetic enzyme activity (Shi et al.
2014), prolonged leaf life span (Liu et al. 2018a), an increased risk of early-autumn frost damage
that might kill leaves before nutrient reabsorption is complete (Estiarte and Peñuelas 2015),
(Hartman et al. 2013) and a possible increase in photosynthetic carbon assimilation related to a
longer growing season (Liu et al. 2016b).

Our findings do not necessarily imply that LS timing in beech only depends on the 408 temperature of the provenance, because this parameter co-varied with daily insolation, latitude and 409 precipitation measured at the origin of the provenance (Fig. S2). These factors explained a low 410 411 proportion of the overall variance (higher insolation and latitude promoting delayed LS and higher precipitation promoting earlier LS, although delayed LS might be temperature-related due to cold 412 temperatures experienced at high latitudes; see Table S3), yet we cannot exclude the possibility 413 that they may have affected LS timing to some extent. For instance, photoperiod and insolation 414 415 can have a strong effect on LS at high latitudes (Liu et al. 2016a, b) where photosynthesis at the end of the growing season can be increased by high insolation (which implies high 416 417 photosynthetically active radiation; Bonan 2002) and by long photoperiods before the autumn equinox. This benefit feeds back, potentially producing a delay in LS as a result of persistent 418 chlorophyll retention under sustained high irradiance (Kim et al. 2008). 419

420

421 4.3 The effect of bud burst on leaf senescence

422

The significant carry-over effect of BB on LS timing that we found when considering the climate 423 of the trial (Table 1; Fig. 4a) is consistent with other recent studies on beech (Fu et al. 2014; 424 Signarbieux et al. 2017; Chen et al. 2018; Zohner and Renner 2019) and other deciduous trees 425 across the Northern Hemisphere (Keenan and Richardson 2015; Liu et al. 2016b). The relationship 426 between BB and LS is complex and various different mechanisms have been proposed to explain 427 428 carry-over effects of BB on LS, according to the particular conditions in each study: (i) leaf structural and morphological traits constrain leaf life span (Reich et al. 1992) and programmed cell 429 430 death (Lam 2004; Lim et al. 2007); (ii) once a plant's carbohydrate storage capacities are saturated, 431 growth is inhibited ("sink limitation") and LS is promoted (Fatichi et al. 2013; Keenan and Richardson 2015; Körner 2015; Signarbieux et al. 2017); (iii) LS is itself affected by the preceding 432 winter/spring temperature (Fu et al. 2014; Signarbieux et al. 2017; Zohner and Renner 2019); (iv) 433 early BB could lead to soil water depletion through increased transpiration, resulting in drought 434 435 stress and producing earlier LS (Buermann et al. 2013); (v) early BB might increase pest attack 436 (Jepsen et al. 2011) and increase the probability of spring frost damage (Hufkens et al. 2012), leading to an earlier LS. Our use of multiple provenances of different climatic origin enabled us 437 to isolate the genetic component of these carry-over effects of BB on LS from the temperature 438 439 response. We only found this pattern among cold provenances (3.2-5.2 C°) (Fig. S3) and in regions with high autumn temperature (11.5-12 C°) (Fig. 4a). Yet, we can not rule out the mechanisms 440 441 listed above, and more experimental testing is needed to further elucidate the relationship between 442 BB and LF across large environmental gradients.

The significant interaction effect of BB and the autumn temperature of the provenances on LS is notable (Table 1), as it suggests that the relationship between BB and LS is moderated by the temperature at the site of provenance origin in a population-specific manner. Contrarily to the 446 carry-over effect that we found between delayed LS and late BB when the autumn temperature of 447 the trial was warm (Fig. 4a), there was an interaction effect between delayed LS and early BB only 448 when the autumn temperatures of the populations were low (Fig. 4b), suggesting that early BB is 449 correlated with delayed senescence only when provenances have cold origins (e.g. from the 450 northern range).

451

4.4 Variation in growing season length based on bud burst, leaf senescence and the environmentunder present and future climates

Our results, based on two trials located in the core of the distribution range, predict that almost all 454 455 the provenancess monitored (except number 3 with an average autumn temperature of 7.4°C) 456 would extend their GSL by up to 10 days under future climatic conditions with increased autumn temperatures (11.5-12 C°) (Fig. 5b). However, caution is required when scaling this result up over 457 large geographical areas with our models based on only two trials. When the models predict 458 phenology for locations within the climatic range of the trials, only trees in northern regions are 459 expected to increase their GSL up-to 9 days. This trend can be attributed to the positive relationship 460 461 between early BB and delayed LS in cold provenances (Figure 4b), which would extend to northeastern regions of the species distribution when we extrapolate our results outside the climatic 462 range of the trials (Fig. 6f). The GSL of trees in the rest of the range is predicted by our model to 463 464 decrease by at least 8 days without extrapolation (Fig. 6). Several recent studies based on field or satellite data have also predict an increase in GSL (Barnard et al. 2018; Liu et al. 2018b; Gaertner 465 466 et al. 2019) at high latitudes, coincident with cold beech populations. Yet Chen et al. (2018), a study including cold southern beech populations like those considerd here, did not detect increases 467 468 in the GSL of southern populations of four temperate European tree species (Quercus robur, F.

sylvatica, Betula pendula and Aesculus hippocastanum) over the last two decades; a study 469 including cold southern populations of beech like those we consider here. These two trends are 470 both consistent with our spatial projection of GSL (Fig. 6). The predicted larger GSL differences 471 in the central and southern range are mostly the result of later leaf senescence predicted for these 472 regions (Fig. 6), which is likely due to an expected increase in autumn temperatures in these 473 474 regions. We should however note that our spatial modelling results, although covering a wide climatic range, should be interpreted with caution since they are based on empirical data from only 475 476 two trials, which can limit their scope.

477

478 **5** Conclusions

479 European beech is characterised by extensive plasticity in many of its life history traits (Gárate-Escamilla et al. 2019) compared to other tree species (Benito Garzón et al. 2019). Yet, strong 480 genetic control over beech phenology, particularly in spring (Kramer et al. 2017), can constrain 481 the acclimative response of populations to climatic changes and hence potentially compromise 482 483 their future performance. Our analyses provide important insights into the complex relationships driving spring and autumn phenology across the species range. Although our extrapolations are 484 only based on two trials, and hence they do not represent the entire climate conditions that 485 populations encounter across the species range, we found large range-wide differences in GSL (as 486 487 inferred from BB and LS) under present climate conditions. However, these differences are likely 488 to diminish in the future, because the GSL of southern and core populations (i.e. those with a 489 relatively long current GSL) is predicted to decrease, whilst that of northern and north-eastern 490 populations (i.e. those with a relatively short current GSL) is predicted to increase. These trends are largely driven by an increase in temperatures that would modify phenology. Taken together, 491

492 our results suggest that northern populations should increase productivity in the coming years,

493 extending their growing season to take advantage of warmer conditions in the northern part of the

494 range.

495 Funding

- 496 This study was funded by the Investments for the Future programme (IdEx) Bordeaux (ANR-10-
- 497 IDEX-03-02). HGE was funded by the Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnologia (CONACYT-
- 498 Mexico; grant number: 636246) and by the Institute of Innovation and Technology Transfer of
- 499 Nuevo Leon, Mexico. CCB and TMR were funded by the Academy of Finland (decision 304519).
- 500 We have no conflicts of interest to declare.
- 501

502 **References**

- Alberto FJ, Aitken SN, Alía R, et al (2013) Potential for evolutionary responses to climate
 change evidence from tree populations. Global Change Biology 19:1645–1661. doi:
 10.1111/gcb.12181
- Barnard DM, Knowles JF, Barnard HR, et al (2018) Reevaluating growing season length
 controls on net ecosystem production in evergreen conifer forests. Scientific Reports 8:1–
 10. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-36065-0
- Basler D, Körner C (2014) Photoperiod and temperature responses of bud swelling and bud burst
 in four temperate forest tree species. Tree Physiology 34:377–388. doi:
 10.1093/treephys/tpu021
- Basler D, Körner C (2012) Photoperiod sensitivity of bud burst in 14 temperate forest tree
 species. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 165:73–81. doi:
 10.1016/j.agrformet.2012.06.001
- Bates D, Maechler M, Bolker B, et al (2018) lme4: Linear mixed-effects models using Eigen and
 S4. R package version 1.1-18-1. Available at: http://CRAN.R-project. org/package=lme4.
 In: Available at: http://CRAN.R-project. org/package=lme4
- Benito Garzón M, Robson TM, Hampe A (2019) ΔTraitSDM: Species distribution models that
 account for local adaptation and phenotypic plasticity. New Phytologist 222:1757–1765
- 520 Bonan GB (2002) Ecological Climatology: Concepts and Applications
- Bréda N, Huc R, Granier A, Dreyer E (2006) Temperate forest trees and stands under severe
 drought : a review of ecophysiological responses , adaptation processes and long-term

consequences. Annals of Forest Science 63:625-644. doi: 10.1051/forest 523 524 Brelsford CC, Nybakken L, Kotilainen TK, Robson TM (2019) The influence of spectral 525 composition on spring and autumn phenology in trees. Tree Physiology 1–26. doi: 10.1093/treephys/tpz026 526 Buermann W, Bikash PR, Jung M, et al (2013) Earlier springs decrease peak summer 527 528 productivity in North American boreal forests. Environmental Research Letters 8:. doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/8/2/024027 529 Caffarra A, Donnelly A (2011) The ecological significance of phenology in four different tree 530 531 species: Effects of light and temperature on bud burst. International Journal of Biometeorology 55:711-721. doi: 10.1007/s00484-010-0386-1 532 Chen D, Wang S, Xiong B, et al (2015) Carbon/nitrogen imbalance associated with drought-533 534 induced leaf senescence in sorghum bicolor. PLoS ONE 10:1–17. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0137026 535 Chen L, Huang JG, Ma Q, et al (2018) Long-term changes in the impacts of global warming on 536 537 leaf phenology of four temperate tree species. Global Change Biology 997–1004. doi: 10.1111/gcb.14496 538 Chmura DJ, Rozkowski R (2002) Variability of beech provenances in spring and autumn 539 540 phenology. Silvae Genetica 51:123–127 Chmura HE, Kharouba HM, Ashander J, et al (2018) The mechanisms of phenology: the patterns 541 and processes of phenological shifts. Ecological Monographs 0-2. doi: 10.1002/ecm.1337 542 Cooper HF, Grady KC, Cowan JA, et al (2018) Genotypic variation in phenological plasticity: 543 Reciprocal common gardens reveal adaptive responses to warmer springs but not to fall 544 frost. Global Change Biology 187–200. doi: 10.1111/gcb.14494 545 Dantec C ecile F oise, Ducasse H, Capdevielle X, et al (2015) Escape of spring frost and disease 546 through phenological variations in oak populations along elevation gradients. Journal of 547 Ecology 103:1044–1056. doi: 10.1111/1365-2745.12403 548 Delpierre N, Dufrêne E, Soudani K, et al (2009) Modelling interannual and spatial variability of 549 leaf senescence for three deciduous tree species in France. Agricultural and Forest 550 Meteorology 149:938–948. doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2008.11.014 551 552 Estiarte M, Peñuelas J (2015) Alteration of the phenology of leaf senescence and fall in winter 553 deciduous species by climate change: Efects on nutrient proficiency. Global Change Biology 21:1005–1017. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12804 554 EUFORGEN (2009) Distribution map of Beech (Fagus sylvatica). Available at: 555 www.euforgen.org. In: www.euforgen.org 556 557 Falusi M, Calamassi R (2012) Bud dormancy in beech (Fagus sylvatica L.). Effect of chilling and photoperiod on dormancy release of beech seedlings. Tree Physiology 6:429–438. doi: 558 10.1093/treephys/6.4.429 559 Fatichi S, Luezinger S, Korner C, Ecosystem T (2013) Moving beyond photosynthesis : from 560

561	carbon source to sink-driven vegetation modeling. New Phytologist
562 563 564	Firmat C, Delzon S, Louvet JM, et al (2017) Evolutionary dynamics of the leaf phenological cycle in an oak metapopulation along an elevation gradient. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 30:2116–2131. doi: 10.1111/jeb.13185
565 566	Fracheboud Y, Luquez V, Bjorken L, et al (2009) The Control of Autumn Senescence in European Aspen. Plant Physiology 149:1982–1991. doi: 10.1104/pp.108.133249
567 568 569	Fréjaville T, Benito Garzón M (2018) The EuMedClim Database : Yearly Climate Data (1901 – 2014) of 1 km Resolution Grids for Europe and the Mediterranean Basin. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution 6:1–5. doi: 10.3389/fevo.2018.00031
570 571 572	Friedman JM, Roelle JE, Cade BS (2011) Genetic and environmental influences on leaf phenology and cold hardiness of native and introduced riparian trees. International Journal of Biometeorology 55:775–787. doi: 10.1007/s00484-011-0494-6
573 574 575	Fu YH, Piao S, Delpierre N, et al (2018) Larger temperature response of autumn leaf senescence than spring leaf-out phenology. Global Change Biology 24:2159–2168. doi: 10.1111/gcb.14021
576 577 578	Fu YH, Piao S, Vitasse Y, et al (2015) Increased heat requirement for leaf flushing in temperate woody species over 1980-2012: Effects of chilling, precipitation and insolation. Global Change Biology 21:2687–2697. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12863
579 580 581	Fu YSH, Campioli M, Vitasse Y, et al (2014) Variation in leaf flushing date influences autumnal senescence and next year's flushing date in two temperate tree species. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 111:7355–7360. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1321727111
582 583 584	Gaertner BA, Zegre N, Warner T, et al (2019) Climate, forest growing season, and evapotranspiration changes in the central Appalachian Mountains, USA. Science of the Total Environment 650:1371–1381. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.09.129
585 586	Gallinat AS, Primack RB, Wagner DL (2015a) Autumn, the neglected season in climate change research. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 30:169–176. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2015.01.004
587 588	Gallinat AS, Primack RB, Wagner DL (2015b) Autumn , the neglected season in climate change research. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 30:169–176. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2015.01.004
589 590 591	Gárate-Escamilla H, Hampe A, Vizcaíno-Palomar N, et al (2019) Range-wide variation in local adaptation and phenotypic plasticity of fitness-related traits in Fagus sylvatica and their implications under climate change. Global Ecology and Biogeography. doi: 10.1101/513515
592 593	Ghelardini L, Berlin S, Weih M, et al (2014) Genetic architecture of spring and autumn phenology in Salix. BMC Plant Biology 14:1–18. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-14-31
594 595 596	Gill AL, Gallinat AS, Sanders-DeMott R, et al (2015) Changes in autumn senescence in northern hemisphere deciduous trees: A meta-analysis of autumn phenology studies. Annals of Botany 116:875–888. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcv055
597 598	Gömöry D, Paule L (2011) Trade-off between height growth and spring flushing in common beech (Fagus sylvatica L.). Annals of Forest Science 68:975–984. doi: 10.1007/s13595-011-

- 599 0103-1
- Gressler E, Jochner S, Capdevielle-Vargas RM, et al (2015) Vertical variation in autumn leaf
 phenology of Fagus sylvatica L. in southern Germany. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology
 201:176–186. doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2014.10.013
- Gunderson CA, Edwards NT, Walker A V., et al (2012) Forest phenology and a warmer climate
 growing season extension in relation to climatic provenance. Global Change Biology
 18:2008–2025. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02632.x
- Hartman DL, Klein Tank AMG, Rusicucci M, et al (2013) Observations: atmosphere and
 Observations: atmosphere and surface. In: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science
 Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the
 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. In: Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,
 UK and New York, NY, USA. pp 159–254
- Heide OM (1993) Dormancy release in beech buds (Fagus sylvatica) requires both chilling and
 long days. Physiologia Plantarum 89:187–191. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-3054.1993.tb01804.x
- Hijmans RJ, Van Etten J, Cheng J, et al (2017) Package ' raster ': Geographic Data Analysis and
 Modeling. Available at: https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/raster/raster.pdf.
- Hufkens K, Friedl MA, Keenan TF, et al (2012) Ecological impacts of a widespread frost event
 following early spring leaf-out. Global Change Biology 18:2365–2377. doi: 10.1111/j.13652486.2012.02712.x
- Jepsen JU, Kapari L, Hagen SB, et al (2011) Rapid northwards expansion of a forest insect pest
 attributed to spring phenology matching with sub-Arctic birch. Global Change Biology
 17:2071–2083. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02370.x
- Keenan TF, Richardson AD (2015) The timing of autumn senescence is affected by the timing of
 spring phenology: Implications for predictive models. Global Change Biology 21:2634–
 2641. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12890
- Kim J-H, Moon YR, Wi SG, et al (2008) Differential Radiation Sensitivities of Arabidopsis
 Plants at Various Developmental Stages. Photosynthesis Energy from the Sun 1491–1495.
 doi: 10.1007/978-1-4020-6709-9_320
- Körner C (2015) Paradigm shift in plant growth control. Current Opinion in Plant Biology
 25:107–114. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2015.05.003
- Kramer K, Ducousso A, Gomory D, et al (2017) Chilling and forcing requirements for foliage
 bud burst of European beech (Fagus sylvatica L.) differ between provenances and are
 phenotypically plastic. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 234–235:172–181. doi:
 10.1016/j.agrformet.2016.12.002
- Lam E (2004) Controlled cell death, plant survival and development. Nature Reviews Molecular
 Cell Biology 5:305–315. doi: 10.1038/nrm1358
- Lang W, Chen X, Qian S, et al (2019) A new process-based model for predicting autumn
 phenology: How is leaf senescence controlled by photoperiod and temperature coupling?
 Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 268:124–135. doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2019.01.006

- Leites LP, Robinson AP, Rehfeldt GE, et al (2012) Height-growth response to changes in climate
 differ among populations of interior Douglas-fir: a novel analysis of provenance-test data.
 Ecological Applications 22:154–165. doi: 10.1890/11-0150.1
- Liang L (2019) A spatially explicit modeling analysis of adaptive variation in temperate tree
 phenology. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 266–267:73–86. doi:
- 643 10.1016/j.agrformet.2018.12.004
- Liang L (2015) Geographic variations in spring and autumn phenology of white ash in a
 common garden. Physical Geography 36:489–509. doi: 10.1080/02723646.2015.1123538
- Lim P, Kim H, Gil Nam H (2007) Leaf Senescence. Annual Review of Plant Physiology 58:115–
 136. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-394807-6.00081-2
- Liu G, Chen X, Zhang Q, et al (2018a) Antagonistic effects of growing season and autumn
 temperatures on the timing of leaf coloration in winter deciduous trees. Global Change
 Biology 24:3537–3545. doi: 10.1111/gcb.14095
- Liu Q, Fu YH, Zeng Z, et al (2016a) Temperature, precipitation, and insolation effects on
 autumn vegetation phenology in temperate China. Global Change Biology 22:644–655. doi:
 10.1111/gcb.13081
- Liu Q, Fu YH, Zhu Z, et al (2016b) Delayed autumn phenology in the Northern Hemisphere is
 related to change in both climate and spring phenology. Global Change Biology 22:3702–
 3711. doi: 10.1111/gcb.13311
- Liu Q, Piao S, Janssens IA, et al (2018b) Extension of the growing season increases vegetation
 exposure to frost. Nature Communications 9:. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-02690-y
- Lukasová V, Bucha T, Škvareninová J, Škvarenina J (2019) Validation and Application of
 European Beech Phenological Metrics Derived from MODIS Data along an Altitudinal
 Gradient. Forests 10:60. doi: 10.3390/f10010060
- Luquez V, Hall D, Albrectsen BR, et al (2008) Natural phenological variation in aspen (Populus tremula): The SwAsp collection. Tree Genetics and Genomes 4:279–292. doi: 10.1007/s11295-007-0108-y
- Mazerolle MJ (2006) Improving data analysis in herpetology: Using Akaike's information
 criterion (AIC) to assess the strength of biological hypotheses. Amphibia Reptilia 27:169–
 180. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2013.10.062
- Michelson IH, Eriksson ME, Nilsson O, et al (2018) Autumn senescence in aspen is not triggered
 by day length. Physiologia Plantarum 162:123–134. doi: 10.1111/ppl.12593
- Packham JR, Thomas PA, Atkinson MD, Degen T (2012) Biological Flora of the British Isles:
 Fagus sylvatica. Journal of Ecology 100:1557–1608. doi: 10.1111/j.13652745.2012.02017.x
- Petkova K, Molle E, Huber G, et al (2017) Spring and autumn phenology of Bulgarian and
 German provenances of Common beech (Fagus sylvatica L.) under similar climatic
 conditions. Silvae Genetica 66:24–32. doi: 10.1515/sg-2017-0004

Piao S, Tan J, Chen A, et al (2015) Leaf onset in the northern hemisphere triggered by daytime 676 677 temperature. Nature Communications 6:. doi: 10.1038/ncomms7911 Porth I, Klápště J, McKown AD, et al (2015) Evolutionary quantitative genomics of Populus 678 trichocarpa. PLoS ONE 10:1–25. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0142864 679 Preston CD, Hill MO (1997) The geographical relationships of British and Irish vascular plants. 680 Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society 124:1-120. doi: 10.1006/bojl.1996.0084 681 Pudas E, Leppälä M, Tolvanen A, et al (2008) Trends in phenology of Betula pubescens across 682 the boreal zone in Finland. International Journal of Biometeorology 52:251–259. doi: 683 684 10.1007/s00484-007-0126-3 685 R Development Core Team R (2015) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Avaliable at: 686 687 http://www.Rproject. org. 688 Reich PB, Walters MB, Ellsworth DS (1992) Leaf life-span in relation to leaf, plant, and stand characteristics among diverse ecosystems. Ecological monographs 62:365-392. doi: 689 690 10.2307/2937116 Robson M, Alia R, Bozic G, et al (2011) The timing of leaf flush in European beech (Fagus 691 sylvatica L.) saplings. Genetic Resources of European Beech (Fagus sylvatica L) for 692 Sustainable Forestry : Proceedings of the COST E52 Final Meeting SERIE FORESTAL 693 22:61-80 694 Robson M, Benito Garzón M, BeechCOSTe52 database consortium (2018) Data Descriptor : 695 Phenotypic trait variation measured on European genetic trials of Fagus sylvatica L. 696 Scientific Data 5:1–7. doi: 10.1038/sdata.2018.149 697 698 Robson TM, Rasztovits E, Aphalo PJ, et al (2013) Flushing phenology and fitness of European beech (Fagus sylvatica L.) provenances from a trial in La Rioja, Spain, segregate according 699 to their climate of origin. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 180:76-85. doi: 700 10.1016/j.agrformet.2013.05.008 701 702 Sampaio T, Branco M, Guichoux E, et al (2016) Does the geography of cork oak origin influence budburst and leaf pest damage? Forest Ecology and Management 373:33–43. doi: 703 704 10.1016/j.foreco.2016.04.019 Shi C, Sun G, Zhang H, et al (2014) Effects of warming on chlorophyll degradation and 705 carbohydrate accumulation of alpine herbaceous species during plant senescence on the 706 tibetan plateau. PLoS ONE 9:. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0107874 707 Signarbieux C, Toledano E, Sanginés de Carcer P, et al (2017) Asymmetric effects of cooler and 708 warmer winters on beech phenology last beyond spring. Global Change Biology 23:4569-709 4580. doi: 10.1111/gcb.13740 710 Soolanayakanahally RY, Guy RD, Silim SN, Song M (2013) Timing of photoperiodic 711 competency causes phenological mismatch in balsam poplar (Populus balsamifera L.). 712 Plant, Cell and Environment 36:116-127. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2012.02560.x 713 Vitasse Y, Delzon S, Bresson CC, et al (2009) Altitudinal differentiation in growth and 714

- phenology among populations of temperate-zone tree species growing in a common garden.
 Canadian Journal of Forest Research 39:1259–1269. doi: 10.1139/X09-054
- Vitasse Y, François C, Delpierre N, et al (2011) Assessing the effects of climate change on the
 phenology of European temperate trees. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 151:969–980.
 doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2011.03.003
- Vitasse Y, Hoch G, Randin CF, et al (2013) Elevational adaptation and plasticity in seedling
 phenology of temperate deciduous tree species. Oecologia 171:663–678. doi:
 10.1007/s00442-012-2580-9
- Walther GR, Post E, Convey P, et al (2002) Ecological responses to recent climate change.
 Nature 416:389–395. doi: 10.1038/416389a
- Wang J, Ding J, Tan B, et al (2018) A major locus controls local adaptation and adaptive life
 history variation in a perennial plant. Genome Biology 19:1–17. doi: 10.1186/s13059-018 1444-y
- Way DA, Montgomery RA (2015) Photoperiod constraints on tree phenology, performance and
 migration in a warming world. Plant, Cell & Environment 38:1725–1736. doi:
 10.1111/pce.12431
- Weih M (2009) Genetic and environmental variation in spring and autumn phenology of biomass
 willows (Salix spp.): Effects on shoot growth and nitrogen economy. Tree Physiology
 29:1479–1490. doi: 10.1093/treephys/tpp081
- Xie Y, Wang X, Silander JA (2015) Deciduous forest responses to temperature, precipitation,
 and drought imply complex climate change impacts. Proceedings of the National Academy
 of Sciences 112:13585–13590. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1509991112
- Yang B, He M, Shishov V, et al (2017) New perspective on spring vegetation phenology and
 global climate change based on Tibetan Plateau tree-ring data. Proceedings of the National
 Academy of Sciences 114:6966–6971. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1616608114
- Yang Y, Guan H, Shen M, et al (2015) Changes in autumn vegetation dormancy onset date and
 the climate controls across temperate ecosystems in China from 1982 to 2010. Global
 Change Biology 21:652–665. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12778
- Yeang H (2007) Synchronous flowering of the rubber tree (Hevea brasiliensis) induced by high
 solar radiation intensity. New Phytol 175:283–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02089.x
- Zhang S wu, Wang C fa, Yao Y hua (2011) Inverse Leaf Aging Sequence (ILAS) and Its
 Significance of Wheat. Agricultural Sciences in China 10:207–219. doi: 10.1016/S16712927(09)60307-2
- Zohner CM, Renner SS (2019) Ongoing seasonally uneven climate warming leads to earlier
 autumn growth cessation in deciduous trees. Oecologia. doi: 10.1007/s00442-019-04339-7

Zohner CM, Rockinger A, Renner SS (2018) Increased autumn productivity permits temperate trees to compensate for spring frost damage. New Phytologist 221:789–795. doi: 10.1111/nph.15445