**Original Article** 





# Label-free proteomics reveals serum proteins whose levels differ between pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma patients with short or long survival

Tumor Biology June 2020: 1–10 © The Author(s) 2020 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/1010428320936410 journals.sagepub.com/home/tub



## Matilda Holm<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, Mayank Saraswat<sup>5,6,7</sup>, Sakari Joenväärä<sup>5,6</sup>, Hanna Seppänen<sup>1,3</sup>, Risto Renkonen<sup>5,6\*</sup> and Caj Haglund<sup>1,3\*</sup>

#### Abstract

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma is the most common and aggressive type of pancreatic cancer, with a 5-year survival rate that is less than 10%. New biomarkers to aid in predicting the prognosis of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma patients are needed. Previous proteomic studies have to a great extent focused on finding proteins of value for the diagnosis of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. There is a lack of studies that have profiled the serum or plasma proteome in order to discover candidates for new prognostic biomarkers. In this study, we have used ultra-performance liquid chromatography–ultra-definition mass spectrometry to analyze the serum samples of 21 pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma patients with short or long survival. Statistical analysis discovered 31 proteins whose expression differed significantly between pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma patients with short or long survival. These with short or long survival. Pathway analysis discovered multiple canonical pathways enriched in this data set, with several pathways having roles in inflammation and lipid metabolism. The serum proteins identified here, which include complement components and several enzymes, could be of value as candidates for new noninvasive prognostic markers.

## **Keywords**

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma, serum, proteomics, prognosis, proteins

Date received: 21 December 2019; accepted: 28 May 2020

## Introduction

Pancreatic cancer comprises several distinct neoplasms arising from the pancreas and is the seventh most common cause of cancer death worldwide. Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) accounts for around 85% of all pancreatic cancer cases and is the most aggressive type of pancreatic cancer, with a very poor prognosis and most patients presenting with metastatic disease. Each year, around 330,000 new cases of PDAC are diagnosed worldwide, with an almost equal number of deaths.<sup>1</sup> The 5-year survival rate for pancreatic cancer patients is under 10%. The only possible way to cure PDAC is through surgery, although less than 20% of patients present with potentially resectable tumors. For these patients, the 5-year survival is only increased to

- <sup>1</sup>Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, University of Helsinki and Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland
- <sup>2</sup>Department of Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Helsinki and Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland
- <sup>3</sup>Translational Cancer Medicine Research Program, Faculty of Medicine, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
- <sup>4</sup>Applied Tumor Genomics Research Program, Faculty of Medicine,
- University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
- <sup>5</sup>Transplantation Laboratory, Haartman Institute, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
- <sup>6</sup>HUSLAB, Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland
- <sup>7</sup>Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA

<sup>\*</sup>R.R. and C.H. contributed equally to this work.

#### **Corresponding author:**

Matilda Holm, Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, University of Helsinki and Helsinki University Hospital, Haartmaninkatu 8, Helsinki, 00290 Finland.

Email: matilda.holm@helsinki.fi

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage). 20%, which leads to treatment for most patients being focused on palliative care.<sup>2,3</sup>

Prognosis remains poor even for patients who undergo radical surgery, with patients being faced with significant morbidity, and up to 80% of patients develop recurrence.<sup>4,5</sup> PDAC presents with nonspecific symptoms, such as weight loss and abdominal pain, making it difficult to diagnose. Patients are often elderly and in poor overall health, and PDAC is also associated with multiple comorbidities, which further complicate treatment.<sup> $\overline{6}$ </sup> New biomarkers to aid in the prediction of prognosis, treatment response, and follow-up of PDAC are needed, as carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA 19-9) is the only routinely used serumbased biomarker for PDAC.7 CA19-9 is an independent prognostic variable and high levels of CA19-9 are correlated with decreased survival in PDAC patients. However, as CA19-9 levels can also be elevated due to other benign and malignant conditions, caution must be used when interpreting results.<sup>8,9</sup>

Mass spectrometry is often used to analyze the proteome for potential biomarker candidates. Proteins detectable in serum can be measured from easily obtained blood samples and are therefore ideal as biomarkers.<sup>10</sup> A peptide panel that would be cost-effective, able to detect early disease, and able to be run even when symptoms are general and non-specific would be ideal. Mass spectrometry-based proteomic analysis of serum gives information about the expression levels of hundreds of proteins simultaneously in a single experiment, and the results can be further analyzed to obtain candidates for diagnostic and prognostic use. Furthermore, information about dysregulated pathways in the disease can also be obtained, and this information can be used to design studies to gain mechanistic insights into disease progression and therapeutic target discovery.

Previous studies aiming to identify biomarkers for PDAC patients have to a large extent focused on finding proteins of use in the diagnosis of PDAC and have investigated the differences in protein expression between serum from PDAC patients and healthy controls or between PDAC tissue and healthy pancreatic tissue.<sup>11-17</sup> Several studies have investigated if specific proteins are linked with prognosis and survival in PDAC patients, although these have mainly utilized tissue samples. A study by Winter et al. hypothesized that tumor biology was the main driver of survival groups separated by a minimum of 1.5 years between shortand long-term survival. The authors used tissue microarrays comprised of tumor samples associated with short- and long-term survival after PDAC resection. They subsequently discovered that the proteins, mesothelin (MSLN) and mucin-1 (MUC1) (which has previously been identified as a protein carrier of the CA 19-9 antigen) were predictors of early cancerspecific mortality.<sup>18,19</sup> Few studies have analyzed serum samples from PDAC patients in order to find proteins linked to survival and prognosis. One study analyzed levels of Dickkopf-1 (DKK1) in the serum of PDAC patients by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and found that DKK1 levels increased with increasing tumor stage. DKK1 expression in tissues correlated with its expression in serum and patient survival was lower in patients with higher DKK1 levels.<sup>20</sup> Another study using 2D electrophoresis found that serum levels of cofilin-1 increased with PDAC progression, indicating that cofilin-1 could be a potential prognostic marker of PDAC.<sup>21</sup>

Prognostic markers for PDAC could help to predict patients' prognosis and subsequently guide treatment decisions. By identifying those patients with a poor prognosis, patients could be selected for more aggressive treatment. Biomarkers associated with poor prognosis also offer the potential to become targets for new therapies.<sup>22</sup> In this pilot study, we have analyzed the serum proteome of a small cohort of PDAC patients by mass spectrometry and compared the protein expression between patients with short- and long-term survival, in order to discover proteins that could be of value as new candidates for prognostic biomarkers. We discovered multiple proteins whose expression differed significantly between the groups, which after further studies and validation could be of clinical utility.

## Materials and methods

#### Patient samples

This study included preoperative serum samples from 22 patients with PDAC who underwent pancreaticoduodenal resection at the Department of Surgery, Helsinki University Hospital, between 2001 and 2011. Bilirubin levels were measured preoperatively and all jaundiced patients underwent an endoscopic procedure and received a biliary stent prior to operation. At the time when the serum samples for this study were collected, the bilirubin levels of all patients had normalized (data not shown). Of the patients in this study, 12 patients were male and 10 were female, and their age ranged from 54 to 79. The patients were chosen according to their postoperative survival, with 11 patients dying within 1 year after surgery (short-term survival) and 11 patients surviving at least 5 years after surgery (long-term survival). Detailed patient characteristics including tumor stage, grade, location, and patient age, adjuvant therapy status, survival, and cause of death are given in Supplementary Table 1. Serum samples were stored at -80°C until further processed as described below. This study was approved by the Surgical Ethics Committee of Helsinki University Hospital (Dnro HUS 226/E6/06, extension TMK02 §66 17.4.2013) and was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients included in this study.

## Sample processing and digestion

The serum samples were processed as previously described.<sup>23,24</sup> Briefly, the samples were thawed before top 12 protein depletion was performed using the TOP12 protein depletion kit (Pierce, ThermoFisher, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The total protein concentration was determined in the depleted serum using the Pierce BCA assay kit (Pierce, ThermoFisher, MA, USA). The amount of serum equivalent to 100 µg protein was aliquoted and dried, after which it was dissolved in Tris buffer containing urea. Dithiothreitol (DTT) was added to the samples, which were shaken for 1 h, after which iodoacetamide was added. Samples were shaken another hour at room temperature, after which additional DTT was added and the samples were shaken again and then diluted using mQ. Trypsin was added at a ratio of 1:50 trypsin to protein. Digestion was carried out at 37°C overnight, and the next day  $30 \,\mu g$  of tryptic peptides were cleaned and dissolved in 0.1% formic acid with 12.5 fmol/µL of Hi3 spike-in standard peptides (Waters, MA, USA).

## Ultra-performance liquid chromatography–ultradefinition mass spectrometry and quantification

UPLC-UDMS<sup>E</sup>. Ultra-performance liquid chromatography-ultra-definition mass spectrometry (UPLC-UDMS<sup>E</sup>) was performed as previously described.<sup>23,24</sup> In summary,  $4 \mu L$  of each sample (around  $1.4 \mu g$  protein) was injected into a nanoACQUITY UPLC system (Waters Corporation, MA, USA). TRIZAIC nanoTile  $85\,\mu\text{m} \times 100\,\text{mm}$  HSS-T3u wTRAP was used for separation. Data were acquired in data-independent acquisition fashion using UDMSE mode with a Synapt G2S HDMS (Waters Corporation, MA, USA). Data were collected in the range of 100-2000 m/z, scan time of 1 s, ion-mobility spectrometry (IMS) wave velocity of 650 m/s, and collision energy was ramped from 20 to 60 V. Calibration was performed using Glu1-Fibrinopeptide B MS2 fragments. Glu1-Fibrinopeptide B precursor ions were used as a lock mass during the runs. All samples were run in triplicates.

Data analysis. Data analysis and label-free quantification were performed as previously described.23–26 Progenesis QI for proteomics automatically performs match-between-runs (aligning results from different runs), which results in increased reliability and reproducibility. The parsimony principle was used to group the proteins, and peptides unique to the protein were also reported, meaning that the protein hits were reported as the minimum set that comprises all observed peptides. Due to over-stringency, Progenesis OI for proteomics does not follow a strict parsimonious approach.<sup>27</sup> If two proteins are found with common peptides, the protein with fewer peptides is subsumed into the protein with more peptides. Relevant proteins are listed as a group under the lead protein, which is the one with the highest coverage or score. Quantitation is performed with the lead identity peptide data. For more details, see Nonlinear Dynamics' website (www.nonlinear.com). The mass spectrometry proteomics data generated in this project have been deposited to the Proteome Xchange Consortium via the PRIDE<sup>28,29</sup> partner repository with the data set identifier PXD005144.

Further analysis. The differences between the two groups (short and long survival) were analyzed using the Mann-Whitney U test. Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed using Progenesis QI for proteomics. Data were normalized by Pareto scaling and hierarchical clustering was performed using the program MetaboAnalyst, version 4.0.<sup>30,31</sup> For generating the heatmap, the following parameters were used: distance measure: Pearson, clustering algorithm: Ward, and "autoscale features." Pathway analysis was performed using ingenuity pathway analysis (IPA) (QIAGEN Bioinformatics, Redwood City, CA, USA). Only those proteins with a *p*-value of < 0.05 were used for pathway analysis. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were obtained using SPSS version 25.0 (IBM SPSS Statistics, IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY), and the results are reported as area under the ROC curve (AUROC) values.

## Results

#### Protein identification

In this study, we analyzed serum samples from a total of 21 PDAC patients (after the exclusion of one sample that did not normalize, marked in Supplementary Table 1). The samples were divided into groups depending on patient survival, short (n = 10) or long (n = 11). A total of 140 proteins with two or more unique peptides were quantified. The full list of proteins with relevant data is given in Supplementary Table 2. Proteins with *p*-values greater than 0.05 were not considered to be significantly different between the two groups.

## Differentially expressed proteins

A total of 31 proteins passed the requirement of having a *p*-value of less than 0.05 when analyzed by the Mann– Whitney U test (Table 1) and their expression was

are
дш
ō
Pe
ме
a
, Ź
sur
60
ō
ŗ
Ę
hc
ų,
Ϋ́t
ţ
en
oati
U U
Ă
Ч
E
fro
es
đ
an
n s
'he
3
0
0 4
ihai
ŝ
les
of
ue
val
Å
yər
itr
∕≳
1
anı
Σ
ъfа
с Н
to
CU
he
đ
se
pas
at J
ťĻ
ns
tei
2C
μĿ
rur
se
33
Je
È
<u> </u>
e
lđi
Ĕ

Accession	Peptide count	Unique peptides	Confidence score	Mann Whitney p-value	Fold change (short/long survival)	AUROC	AUROC 95% CI	Protein name	Gene name
O43866	5	5	30.9	I.59E-02	-10.6	0.782	0.585-0.978	CD5 antigen-like	CD5L
P35908	ŝ	5	18.6	I 58E-02	5.7	0.782	0.579-0.985	Keratin type II cytoskeletal 2 epidermal	KRT2
P53602	5	7	E.II	2.64E-02	-3.8	0.755	0.543-0.966	Diphosphomevalonate decarboxylase	MVD
P02741	9	m	44.7	2.24E-02	3.7	0.764	0.550-0.977	C-reactive protein	CRP
Q9BXR6	m	2	17.8	I.33E-02	-3.0	0.791	0.583-0.999	Complement factor H-related protein 5	CFHR5
P80108	12	6	74.1	I.59E-02	-2.9	0.782	0.565-0.999	Phosphatidylinositol-glycan-specific phospholipase D	GPLDI
P35527	8	15	163.6	5.08E-03	2.3	0.836	0.660-1.000	Keratin_ type I cytoskeletal 9	KRT9
P09871	38	34	324.2	3. I 0E-02	2.0	0.745	0.512-0.979	Complement CIs subcomponent	CIS
971709	15	m	107.7	9.16E-03	1.9	0.809	0.617-1.000	Uncharacterized protein (Fragment)	
P02042	0	4	74.0	2.64E-02	-1.9	0.755	0.517-0.993	Hemoglobin subunit delta	HBD
P55058	m	2	17.4	3.63E-02	-1.9	0.736	0.511-0.962	Phospholipid transfer protein	PLTP
P02654	01	6	64.7	I.89E-02	-I.8	0.773	0.556-0.990	Apolipoprotein C-I	APOCI
P04264	30	22	235.5	I.IIE-02	1.7	0.800	0.596-1.000	Keratin_ type II cytoskeletal I	KRTI
POCOL5	167	7	2034.1	4.22E-02	1.6	0.727	0.506-0.948	Complement C4-B	C4B
P02748	51	42	453.5	I.33E-02	1.6	0.791	0.574–1.000	Complement component C9	6 U
P27169	24	20	286.1	4.90E-02	-I.6	0.718	0.493-0.944	Serum paraoxonase/arylesterase l	PONI
P02750	37	31	321.7	I.59E-02	1.5	0.782	0.585-0.979	Leucine-rich alpha-2-glycoprotein	LRGI
PI3796	9	m	38.3	4.90E-02	I.5	0.718	0.494-0.942	Plastin-2	LCPI
P05452	25	21	203.0	I.89E-02	-1.5	0.773	0.570-0.976	Tetranectin	<b>CLEC3B</b>
P05090	16	13	148.4	2.64E-02	<u>+.</u>  -	0.755	0.542-0.967	Apolipoprotein D	APOD
P51884	20	12	193.1	2.24E-02	4.1	0.764	0.534-0.993	Lumican	LUM
Q9NZP8	4	2	32.4	4.22E-02	4.1	0.727	0.492–0.962	Complement C1r subcomponent-like protein	CIRL
P07357	37	33	278.6	4.22E-02	E.I	0.727	0.506-0.948	Complement component C8 alpha chain	C8A
P07360	4	4	I 48.6	I.89E-02	Г.З	0.773	0.542–1.000	Complement component C8 gamma chain	C8G
A0A075B6Z2	4	m	15.4	4.22E-02	-I.3	0.727	0.492-0.963	T cell receptor alpha joining 56 (Fragment)	TRAJ56
P14902	m	m	16.4	3.10E-02	1.2	0.745	0.520-0.971	Indoleamine 2_3-dioxygenase I	IDOI
P07358	47	40	432.5	4.22E-02	1.2	0.727	0.479–0.976	Complement component C8 beta chain	C8B
P01024	536	478	3705.9	2.64E-02	1.2	0.755	0.521-0.988	Complement C3	ប
P02749	57	52	389.6	4.90E-02	1.2	0.718	0.470-0.966	Beta-2-glycoprotein	APOH
Q06033	41	25	342.4	3.63E-02	1.2	0.736	0.512-0.960	Inter-alpha-trypsin inhibitor heavy chain H3	ITIH3
P00450	190	171	1431.8	4.90E-02		0.718	0.476-0.961	Ceruloplasmin	CP



Figure 1. The PCA biplot showing samples from PDAC patients with short and long survival when all 140 proteins with two or more unique peptides were considered.

therefore determined to be significantly different between the two groups. These proteins are given with the normalized abundance per sample in Supplementary Table S3. Of these proteins, 20 had higher levels in patients with long survival, and 11 proteins had higher levels in patients with short survival. The protein with the largest fold change (10.6) was CD5 antigen-like (CD5L), which displayed higher levels in patients with long survival. The proteins with the second and third largest fold changes (5.7 and 3.8, respectively) were keratin, type II cytoskeletal 2 epidermal (KRT2), and diphosphomevalonate decarboxylase (MVD). KRT2 had higher levels in patients with short survival, while MVD had higher levels in patients with long survival. We also found several proteins with roles in inflammation to have higher levels in patients with short survival. These included multiple complement components and C-reactive protein (CRP).

## PCA and hierarchical clustering

PCA is used to determine the principle axes of abundance variation and is useful in identifying outliers. The PCA biplot is used to identify and visualize the relationship between two groups as it captures the differences between the groups. Figure 1 shows the PCA biplot when the samples were divided into groups based on patient survival and when all 140 proteins with two or more unique peptides were considered. The R2X of this PCA biplot was 0.4111.

The samples were also divided into three groups based on the years they were collected (2001–2004, 2006–2008, and 2010–2011) in order to investigate if protein expression could be affected by the time the samples were stored in the freezer. As seen in Supplementary Figure 1, the PCA biplot when all 140 proteins with two or more unique peptides were used shows no separation between the three groups, confirming that storage time did not affect protein expression.

The heatmap generated when only the 31 proteins that passed the cutoff of a Mann–Whitney U test *p*value of less than 0.05 between the two groups is given in Supplementary Figure 2. The figure shows that samples from patients with short or long survival form separate clusters, although several of the samples from patients with long survival clustered together with those from patients with short survival.

#### Pathway analysis

Pathway analysis by IPA found multiple canonical pathways that were enriched in this data set. The top five pathways enriched were the complement system, liver X receptor (LXR)/retinoid X receptor (RXR) activation, farnesoid X receptor (FXR)/RXR activation, acute-phase response signaling, and systemic lupus erythematosus signaling. The significantly different proteins that are part of these pathways are given in Supplementary Table 4 with their relevant information. The full list showing all canonical pathways enriched in this data set is given in Figure 2. Pathway analysis by IPA also generated networks of protein-protein interactions, and the top network is shown in Figure 3. This network was found to be associated with the following functions: developmental disorder, hereditary disorder, and immunological disease.

## Discussion

In this study, we have used label-free proteomics to analyze the serum protein profiles of a cohort of PDAC patients with no apparent clinicopathological reasons for the differences seen in their survival (short or long). We discovered a total of 31 serum proteins whose levels



**Figure 2.** Pathway analysis showing the canonical pathways enriched in samples from PDAC patients with short or long survival. Only proteins that passed the cutoff of a Mann–Whitney *p*-value of less than 0.05 were used for pathway analysis.

were significantly different between patients with short or long survival (Table 1) and that could be candidates for new noninvasive prognostic markers. Patients with short-term survival can be said to have had a poor prognosis and patients with long-term survival a good prognosis, since there were no apparent reasons for the discrepancies seen in survival. These 31 proteins were subsequently used for pathway analysis and generation of protein networks by IPA. Twenty proteins showed higher levels in patients with short survival, including all complement proteins except for complement factor H-related protein 5 (CFHR5), while the remaining 11 proteins showed higher levels in patients with long survival, associating them with a good prognosis. These included tetranectin and APOC1, two serum proteins also detected in our previous study that analyzed serum samples from colorectal cancer (CRC) patients with high versus low CRP levels and different survival times. Similar to this study, higher levels of serum tetranectin were seen in PDAC patients with long 5-year survival. However, in PDAC patients, higher levels of APOC1 were seen in patients with long survival, whereas in the CRC study APOC1 levels were higher in patients with short survival.<sup>32</sup> These 31 proteins are therefore of interest for further studies investigating if they could be of future clinical use.

A previous study aiming to discover serum biomarkers for pancreatic cancer patients using electrospray ionization (ESI) MS studied serum samples from PDAC patients and healthy controls. The authors discovered that three acute-phase proteins,  $\alpha$ -2-macroglobulin, ceruloplasmin, and complement C3, were increased in the serum of PDAC patients.<sup>33</sup> We also identified both ceruloplasmin and complement C3 in



**Figure 3.** Pathway analysis showing the top network of protein–protein interactions in samples from PDAC patients with short or long survival. Proteins in red are proteins that were identified in our study and which displayed higher levels in patients with short survival. Proteins in green are proteins that displayed lower levels in patients with short survival. Proteins in green are proteins in white are proteins that, although not identified in our study, play roles in this network and interact with the proteins identified. Only proteins that passed the cutoff of a Mann–Whitney *p*-value of less than 0.05 were used for pathway analysis.

our patient samples, and both had higher levels in the serum of patients with short survival. This further supports the use of ceruloplasmin and complement C3 as possible diagnostic and prognostic markers for PDAC. Levels of ceruloplasmin, a protein that transports serum copper, have previously been found to be significantly elevated in the serum of patients with various disseminated solid malignant tumors including lung, breast, head and neck, and gastrointestinal cancers.<sup>34</sup> Both ceruloplasmin and complement C3, as well as multiple other proteins detected in our study, including CRP and complement components, are acute-phase proteins whose concentrations change during inflammation.<sup>35</sup> As mentioned earlier, previous proteomic studies of PDAC have mainly focused on finding proteins of value for the diagnosis of PDAC. There is a paucity of studies that have profiled the serum or plasma proteome in order to discover candidates for new prognostic biomarkers.

Cancer has been linked to inflammation for many decades based on observations that tumors often arose at sites of chronic inflammation and the presence of inflammatory cells in biopsies. The inflammatory tumor microenvironment directly contributes to neoplastic progression, and tumor cells also co-opt signaling molecules of the innate immune system for their own use.<sup>36,37</sup> PDAC has been recognized as an inflammation-driven cancer, with inflammation constituting a critical component of PDAC initiation and progression. The importance of inflammation is further supported by the fact that conditions such as chronic pancreatitis, which is characterized by chronic inflammation, significantly increases the risk of developing PDAC.<sup>38,39</sup> The complement cascade contributes to both acute and chronic inflammation and has mostly been considered an effector of innate immunity. Since it is an important component of the inflammatory response and inflammation is involved in tumorigenesis and cancer progression, activation of the complement system in the tumor microenvironment contributes to pro-tumor processes. It has been shown to facilitate various aspects of cancer, including sustained proliferation, angiogenesis, invasion, and metastasis.<sup>40,41</sup> A recent study by Law et al.42 used quantitative proteomics to analyze PDAC liver metastases and identified four distinct PDAC microenvironment subtypes, including an inflammatory subtype that did not respond to FOLFIRINOX treatment. This subtype was enriched for proteins related to complement activation and adaptive immune response, among others. The results from their study and our current study indicate that it would be of interest to identify a panel of serum and/or tumor tissue proteins that could be routinely used to classify inflammatory tumors, as well as other PDAC subtypes, as patients with these tumors may benefit from a more aggressive treatment regimen not including FOLFIRINOX. As we also identified multiple complement components whose levels were higher in PDAC patients with short survival (Table 1),

this further indicates that patients with inflammatory tumors have a very poor prognosis.

In our study, we also discovered that levels of CRP were higher in patients with short survival compared to those with long survival (Table 1). Elevated levels of CRP, an acute-phase protein, have previously been linked with poor prognosis in pancreatic cancer patients. In one study, the median survival of patients with an acute-phase response (CRP > 10 mg/L) was 66 days, while the median survival for patients without an acute-phase response was 222 days. The acute-phase response is also associated with metabolic disturbances, something that could account for the dysregulated levels of certain proteins involved in metabolism, such as several apolipoproteins, which were also seen in our study.<sup>43,44</sup> We observed differences in serum levels of proteins such as CRP and other acute-phase proteins between PDAC patients with short and long survival, but levels of these proteins increase and decrease in general during inflammation. Elevated serum levels can therefore also be seen in the serum of patients with other diseases, meaning that these proteins are nonspecific for PDAC. While they could be used as prognostic markers for patients already diagnosed with PDAC, they most likely would not be helpful in the diagnosis of PDAC. However, we have previously shown that elevated levels of CRP predict worse survival in patients with resectable PDAC.45 As CRP was found to have significantly higher levels in patients with short survival in our current study, this finding validates our results by indicating that we have accurately identified proteins whose levels differ between patients with short- and long-term survival.

Pathway analysis by IPA also revealed canonical pathways that were enriched in our data set. The top pathways were several involved in inflammation, such as the complement system and acute-phase response signaling (discussed above), as well as LXR/RXR and FXR/RXR activation, which are involved in metabolism. LXRs are nuclear receptors that function as cholesterol sensors, while FXRs are nuclear bile acid receptors. Both form heterodimers with RXRs and play important roles in regulating various aspects of metabolism. LXRs have also been established as modulators of not only lipid metabolism but also inflammation and immunity.<sup>46,47</sup> Metabolic reprogramming and dysfunctional lipid metabolism are known to occur in cancer, which may explain the dysregulation of LXR/ FXR and RXR/FXR activation seen in these PDAC patients.48

One strength of this study is that the patients were carefully chosen and the only substantial difference between the patients in this study was in survival. In addition, the PCA biplot given in Supplementary Figure 1 confirms that the differences in protein expression seen between the short and long survival group are actually due to the primary variable studied (survival time) and were not affected by factors such as time in storage. This study was limited by the fact that it did not include any samples from patients with inflammation due to other causes, such as pancreatitis, which could have helped remove inflammation-related proteins nonspecific for PDAC. If these had been removed, it may have been possible to discover proteins specific for PDAC that were present in low concentrations. We have, however, previously compared the proteome between patients with chronic pancreatitis and PDAC. Similar to here, levels of inflammation-related proteins were found to differ between the serum of these patients, and similar pathways were enriched in this data set as in our current study.<sup>23</sup> Our current study was limited by the small cohort of PDAC patients it utilized, due to the costly and tedious nature of sample preparation and analysis. While it identifies several possible candidates for prognostic markers, this is only the initial step, as candidate proteins would have to be validated in a larger cohort of patients before being of clinical use.<sup>49</sup> One way to do this is through the use of ELISAs, as ELISAs for many of the identified proteins are available. A validation project using ELISAs and aiming to analyze the top candidate proteins, including CD5L, MVD, CFHR5, and CRP as a control in a larger cohort of PDAC patients is currently underway.

In this pilot study, we identified 31 serum proteins whose levels differed significantly between samples from PDAC patients with short or long survival. These proteins displayed good AUROC values and could therefore be of value as prognostic markers for patients already diagnosed with PDAC. These types of prognostic markers could help to give more accurate prognostic information and subsequently aid in treatment decisions. By predicting the prognosis of PDAC patients, it would be possible to identify patients with good or poor prognosis. Patients tentatively predicted to have a good prognosis may be able to be treated with less aggressive chemotherapy regimens, which would spare them from undergoing unnecessarily harsh treatment, although the overall prognosis of PDAC is still poor. For the group of patients predicted to have a poor prognosis, more aggressive therapies may be needed if their general health allows it. Depending on the situation and outlook, patients predicted to have a poor prognosis may potentially benefit more from palliative care than radical surgery and aggressive treatment, as recovery time is often long after surgery. The findings of this pilot study therefore may help to improve the treatment and care of PDAC patients, although further validation of the proteins identified here is still needed.

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank the funders mentioned.

#### **Author contributions**

M.H., M.S., S.J., H.S., R.R., and C.H. conceived and designed the study. M.H., M.S., and S.J. acquired the data. M.H., M.S., and S.J. analyzed and interpreted the data. M.H. wrote the article. All authors read and approved the final version of the article.

#### **Declaration of conflicting interests**

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### Ethical approval

This study was approved by the Surgical Ethics Committee of Helsinki University Hospital (Dnro HUS 226/E6/06, extension TMK02 §66 17.4.2013) and was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients included in this study.

#### Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: H.S. and C.H. received grants from Helsinki University Hospital, the Sigrid Jusélius Foundation, and the Finnish Cancer Foundation. C.H. received a grant from Finska Läkaresällskapet. H.S. received a grant from the Georg C. and Mary Ehrnrooth Foundation. The funders had no role in the design of the study, data collection and analysis, interpretation of the data, manuscript writing, or in the decision to submit this article for publication.

## **ORCID** iD

Matilda Holm in https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8453-3936

#### Supplemental material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

#### References

- 1. Stewart BW and Wild CP. *World cancer report 2014*. Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer, 2014.
- Hidalgo M. Pancreatic cancer. N Engl J Med 2010; 362(17): 1605–1617.
- Bardeesy N and DePinho RA. Pancreatic cancer biology and genetics. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2002; 2(12): 897–909.
- Groot VP, Rezaee N, Wu W, et al. Patterns, timing, and predictors of recurrence following pancreatectomy for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Ann Surg* 2018; 267(5): 936–945.
- Kleeff J, Reiser C, Hinz U, et al. Surgery for recurrent pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Ann Surg* 2007; 245(4): 566–572.
- Oberstein PE and Olive KP. Pancreatic cancer: why is it so hard to treat. *Therap Adv Gastroenterol* 2013; 6(4): 321–337.
- 7. Ballehaninna UK and Chamberlain RS. The clinical utility of serum CA 19-9 in the diagnosis, prognosis and

management of pancreatic adenocarcinoma: an evidence based appraisal. *J Gastrointest Oncol* 2012; 3(2): 105–119.

- Montgomery RC, Hoffman JP, Riley LB, et al. Prediction of recurrence and survival by post-resection CA 19-9 values in patients with adenocarcinoma of the pancreas. *Ann Surg Oncol* 1997; 4(7): 551–556.
- Ferrone CR, Finkelstein DM, Thayer SP, et al. Perioperative CA19-9 levels can predict stage and survival in patients with resectable pancreatic adenocarcinoma. J Clin Oncol 2006; 24(18): 2897–2902.
- Hanash SM, Pitteri SJ and Faca VM. Mining the plasma proteome for cancer biomarkers. *Nature* 2008; 452(7187): 571–579.
- Sun ZL, Zhu Y, Wang FQ, et al. Serum proteomic-based analysis of pancreatic carcinoma for the identification of potential cancer biomarkers. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2007; 1774(6): 764–771.
- Ehmann M, Felix K, Hartmann D, et al. Identification of potential markers for the detection of pancreatic cancer through comparative serum protein expression profiling. *Pancreas* 2007; 34(2): 205–214.
- Bloomston M, Zhou JX, Rosemurgy AS, et al. Fibrinogen gamma overexpression in pancreatic cancer identified by large-scale proteomic analysis of serum samples. *Cancer Res* 2006; 66(5): 2592–2599.
- Koopmann J, Zhang Z, White N, et al. Serum diagnosis of pancreatic adenocarcinoma using surface-enhanced laser desorption and ionization mass spectrometry. *Clin Cancer Res* 2004; 10(3): 860–868.
- Qi T, Han J, Cui Y, et al. Comparative proteomic analysis for the detection of biomarkers in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinomas. *J Clin Pathol* 2008; 61(1): 49–58.
- Chung JC, Oh MJ, Choi SH, et al. Proteomic analysis to identify biomarker proteins in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. ANZ J Surg 2008; 78(4): 245–251.
- Iuga C, Seicean A, Iancu C, et al. Proteomic identification of potential prognostic biomarkers in resectable pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Proteomics* 2014; 14(7-8): 945–955.
- Winter JM, Tang LH, Klimstra DS, et al. A novel survival-based tissue microarray of pancreatic cancer validates MUC1 and mesothelin as biomarkers. *PLoS ONE* 2012; 7(7): e40157.
- Yue T, Maupin KA, Fallon B, et al. Enhanced discrimination of malignant from benign pancreatic disease by measuring the CA 19-9 antigen on specific protein carriers. *PLoS ONE* 2011; 6(12): e29180.
- Han SX, Zhou X, Sui X, et al. Serum dickkopf-1 is a novel serological biomarker for the diagnosis and prognosis of pancreatic cancer. *Oncotarget* 2015; 6(23): 19907–19917.
- Satoh M, Takano S, Sogawa K, et al. Immune-complex level of cofilin-1 in sera is associated with cancer progression and poor prognosis in pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Sci* 2017; 108(4): 795–803.
- Garcea G, Neal CP, Pattenden CJ, et al. Molecular prognostic markers in pancreatic cancer: a systematic review. *Eur J Cancer* 2005; 41(15): 2213–2236.
- 23. Saraswat M, Joenväärä S, Seppanen H, et al. Comparative proteomic profiling of the serum differentiates

pancreatic cancer from chronic pancreatitis. *Cancer Med* 2017; 6(7): 1738–1751.

- Holm M, Joenväärä S, Saraswat M, et al. Differences and overlap in plasma protein expression during colorectal cancer progression. *Trans Med Commun* 2019; 4(1): 14.
- Saraswat M, Joenväärä S, Jain T, et al. Human spermatozoa quantitative proteomic signature classifies normoand asthenozoospermia. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 2017; 16(1): 57–72.
- Silva JC, Gorenstein MV, Li GZ, et al. Absolute quantification of proteins by LCMSE: a virtue of parallel MS acquisition. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 2006; 5(1): 144–156.
- Serang O, Moruz L, Hoopmann MR, et al. Recognizing uncertainty increases robustness and reproducibility of mass spectrometry-based protein inferences. *J Proteome Res* 2012; 11(12): 5586–5591.
- 28. Vizcaino JA, Csordas A, del-Toro N, et al. 2016 update of the PRIDE database and its related tools. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2016; 44(D1): D447–D456.
- 29. Deutsch EW, Csordas A, Sun Z, et al. The ProteomeXchange consortium in 2017: supporting the cultural change in proteomics public data deposition. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2017; 45(D1): D1100–D1106.
- Xia J, Psychogios N, Young N, et al. MetaboAnalyst: a web server for metabolomic data analysis and interpretation. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2009; 37: W652–W660.
- Chong J and Xia J. MetaboAnalystR: an R package for flexible and reproducible analysis of metabolomics data. *Bioinformatics* 2018; 34(24): 4313–4314.
- 32. Holm M, Saraswat M, Joenväärä S, et al. Colorectal cancer patients with different C-reactive protein levels and 5-year survival times can be differentiated with quantitative serum proteomics. *PLoS ONE* 2018; 13(4): e0195354.
- Hanas JS, Hocker JR, Cheung JY, et al. Biomarker identification in human pancreatic cancer sera. *Pancreas* 2008; 36(1): 61–69.
- Senra Varela A, Lopez Saez JJ and Quintela Senra D. Serum ceruloplasmin as a diagnostic marker of cancer. *Cancer Lett* 1997; 121(2): 139–145.
- Gabay C and Kushner I. Acute-phase proteins and other systemic responses to inflammation. N Engl J Med 1999; 340(6): 448–454.
- Mantovani A, Allavena P, Sica A, et al. Cancer-related inflammation. *Nature* 2008; 454(7203): 436–444.
- Coussens LM and Werb Z. Inflammation and cancer. *Nature* 2002; 420(6917): 860–867.
- Khalafalla FG and Khan MW. Inflammation and epithelial-mesenchymal transition in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: fighting against multiple opponents. *Cancer Growth Metastasis* 2017; 10: 1179064417709287.
- Greer JB and Whitcomb DC. Inflammation and pancreatic cancer: an evidence-based review. *Curr Opin Pharma*col 2009; 9(4): 411–418.
- Rutkowski MJ, Sughrue ME, Kane AJ, et al. Cancer and the complement cascade. *Mol Cancer Res* 2010; 8(11): 1453–1465.
- Afshar-Kharghan V. The role of the complement system in cancer. J Clin Invest 2017; 127(3): 780–789.
- 42. Law HC, Lagundzin D, Clement EJ, et al. The proteomic landscape of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma liver

metastases identifies molecular subtypes and associations with clinical response. *Clin Cancer Res* 2020; 26(5): 1065–1076.

- Szkandera J, Stotz M, Absenger G, et al. Validation of C-reactive protein levels as a prognostic indicator for survival in a large cohort of pancreatic cancer patients. *Br J Cancer* 2014; 110(1): 183–188.
- 44. Falconer JS, Fearon KC, Ross JA, et al. Acute-phase protein response and survival duration of patients with pancreatic cancer. *Cancer* 1995; 75(8): 2077–2082.
- 45. Salmiheimo A, Mustonen H, Stenman UH, et al. Systemic inflammatory response and elevated tumour markers predict worse survival in resectable pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *PLoS ONE* 2016; 11(9): e0163064.
- Calkin AC and Tontonoz P. Transcriptional integration of metabolism by the nuclear sterol-activated receptors LXR and FXR. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2012; 13(4): 213–224.
- Zelcer N and Tontonoz P. Liver X receptors as integrators of metabolic and inflammatory signaling. J Clin Invest 2006; 116(3): 607–614.
- Beloribi-Djefaflia S, Vasseur S and Guillaumond F. Lipid metabolic reprogramming in cancer cells. *Oncogenesis* 2016; 5: e189.
- Chen R, Pan S, Brentnall TA, et al. Proteomic profiling of pancreatic cancer for biomarker discovery. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 2005; 4(4): 523–533.