Check for updates

ORIGINAL ARTICLE



The photoreceptor UVR8 mediates the perception of both UV-B and UV-A wavelengths up to 350 nm of sunlight with responsivity moderated by cryptochromes

Neha Rai ¹ 💿 Andrew O'Hara ² Daniel Farkas ² Omid Safronov ¹
Khuanpiroon Ratanasopa ² Fang Wang ¹ Anders V. Lindfors ³
Gareth I. Jenkins ⁴ I Tarja Lehto ⁵ Jarkko Salojärvi ^{1,6} Mikael Brosché ¹
Åke Strid ² 💿 Pedro J. Aphalo ¹ 💿 Luis O. Morales ^{1,2} 💿

¹Organismal and Evolutionary Biology, Faculty of Biological and Environmental Sciences, and Viikki Plant Science Centre, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland ²Örebro Life Science Center, School of Science and Technology, Örebro University, Örebro, Sweden

³Meteorological Research, Finnish Meteorological Institute, Helsinki, Finland

⁴Institute of Molecular, Cell and Systems Biology, College of Medical, Veterinary and Life Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

⁵School of Forest Sciences, University of Eastern Finland, Joensuu, Finland

⁶School of Biological Sciences, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, Singapore

Revised: 3 March 2020

Correspondence

Luis O. Morales, Örebro Life Science Center, School of Science and Technology, Örebro University, Örebro, Sweden. Email: luis.morales@oru.se

Funding information

Academy of Finland, Grant/Award Numbers: 252548, 307335; Doctoral Program in Plant Sciences, University of Helsinki; Knowledge Foundation, Grant/Award Number: 20130164; Örebro Universitet; Suomen Kulttuurirahasto; Svenska Forskningsrådet Formas, Grant/ Award Number: 942-2015-516

Abstract

The photoreceptors UV RESISTANCE LOCUS 8 (UVR8) and CRYPTOCHROMES 1 and 2 (CRYs) play major roles in the perception of UV-B (280–315 nm) and UV-A/blue radiation (315–500 nm), respectively. However, it is poorly understood how they function in sunlight. The roles of UVR8 and CRYs were assessed in a factorial experiment with *Arabidopsis thaliana* wild-type and photoreceptor mutants exposed to sunlight for 6 or 12 hr under five types of filters with cut-offs in UV and blue-light regions. Transcriptome-wide responses triggered by UV-B and UV-A wavelengths shorter than 350 nm (UV-A_{sw}) required UVR8 whereas those induced by blue and UV-A wavelengths longer than 350 nm (UV-A_{lw}) required CRYs. UVR8 modulated gene expression in response to blue light while lack of CRYs drastically enhanced gene expression in response to UV-B and UV-A_{sw}. These results agree with our estimates of photons absorbed by these photoreceptors in sunlight and with in vitro monomerization of UVR8 by wavelengths up to 335 nm. Motif enrichment analysis predicted complex signaling downstream of UVR8 and CRYs. Our results highlight that it is important to use UV waveband definitions specific to plants' photomorphogenesis as is routinely done in the visible region.

KEYWORDS

Arabidopsis thaliana, blue light, cryptochrome, gene expression, photoreceptor interaction, solar radiation, ultraviolet radiation, UVR8

Pedro J. Aphalo and Luis O. Morales contributed equally as senior authors.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2020 The Authors. Plant, Cell & Environment published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Sunlight regulates plant growth, development and acclimation to the environment, while responses to specific wavelengths are regulated by different photoreceptors. The contribution of different wavelengths of sunlight to plant responses depends both on the optical properties of the photoreceptors and on the spectrum and photon irradiance of the incident radiation. Research under controlled conditions has shown that the photoreceptors UV RESISTANCE LOCUS 8 (UVR8) and CRYPTOCHROMES 1 and 2 (CRYs) play major roles in the perception of UV-B (ground level 290-315 nm) and UV-A/blue radiation (315-500 nm), respectively (Ahmad & Cashmore, 1993; Lin, 2000; Rizzini et al., 2011; Yu, Liu, Klejnot, & Lin, 2010). However, in sunlight, the irradiances of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR, 400-700 nm) and UV-A (315-400 nm) relative to UV-B are much higher than those normally used in controlled environments making it necessary to assess which wavelengths are effectively perceived by UVR8 and CRYs in sunlight.

Indoor and outdoor experiments with UV-B irradiances similar to those in sunlight have shown that UVR8 can regulate transcript abundance of hundreds of genes, including those involved in UV protection, photo-repair of UV-B-induced DNA damage, oxidative stress and several transcription factors (TFs) shared with other signaling pathwavs (Brown et al., 2005; Brown, Headland, & Jenkins, 2009; Brown & Jenkins, 2008: Favory et al., 2009: Morales et al., 2013). The first step in this response is the absorption of UV-B photons by UVR8 which triggers a change in conformation from homodimer to monomer enhancing its accumulation in the nucleus (Brown et al., 2005; Kaiserli & Jenkins, 2007; Rizzini et al., 2011). Downstream signaling depends on UVR8 monomers binding to CONSTITUTIVE PHOTO-MORPHOGENIC 1 (COP1) (Favory et al., 2009), thereby inactivating the E3 ubiquitin ligase activity of COP1. The UVR8-COP1 association stabilizes the TF ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL 5 (HY5), a master regulator of photomorphogenesis in plants (Favory et al., 2009; Gangappa & Botto, 2016; Huang et al., 2013). Both HY5 and HY5 HOMOLOG (HYH) have been identified as key TFs regulating the expression of most genes responding to UV-B through UVR8 signaling (Brown & Jenkins, 2008; Favory et al., 2009). Moreover, UVR8 directly interacts with WRKY DNA-BINDING PROTEIN 36 (WRKY36), BRI1-EMS-SUPPRESSOR1 (BES1) and BES1-INTERACTING MYC-LIKE 1 (BIM1) TFs to regulate transcription (Liang et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2018). UV-B radiation induces the expression of genes encoding REPRES-SOR OF UV-B PHOTOMORPHOGENESIS 1 (RUP1) and RUP2 proteins, which interact with UVR8 directly and convert the active monomers into homodimers, thereby decreasing the abundance of UVR8 monomers through negative feedback (Gruber et al., 2010; Heijde & Ulm, 2013).

Our understanding of the molecular mechanisms underpinning CRY-mediated responses to blue light is far better than for those to UV-A. In indoor experiments with blue light, CRYs have been found to regulate photomorphogenesis and expression of genes involved in light signaling, photosynthetic light reaction, the Calvin cycle, phenylpropanoid metabolic pathway and stress response (Kleine, Kindgren, Benedict, Hendrickson, & Strand, 2007; Ohgishi, Saji, Okada, & Sakai, 2004). Upon absorption of blue light photons, CRYs alter conformation from monomers to homodimers and oligomers (Wang et al., 2016). CRYs homodimers or oligomers interact with COP1 and SUPPRESSOR OF PHY A (SPA) proteins through various mechanisms (Lian et al., 2011; Liu, Zuo, Liu, Liu, & Lin, 2011; Podolec & Ulm, 2018; Wang, Ma, Li, Zhao, & Deng, 2001; Yang, Tang, & Cashmore, 2001; Zuo, Liu, Liu, Liu, & Lin, 2011). These interactions stabilize HY5 abundance and consequent transcriptional regulation (Liu, Liu, Zhao, Pepper, & Lin, 2011; Podolec & Ulm, 2018; Yang et al., 2017). CRYs also interact directly with TFs such as BES1, BIM1, CRYPTOCHROME-INTERACTING basic helix-loop-helix 1 (CIB1), PHYTOCHROME-INTERACTING FACTOR 4 (PIF4) and PIF5 regulating transcription (Liu et al., 2008; Pedmale et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2018). Thus, both UVR8 and CRY signaling share some TFs such as HY5, HYH and BES1 suggesting the possibility of crosstalk.

In indoor studies, plants are often grown under low and constant irradiance of white light $(3.6-100 \text{ }\mu\text{mol }m^{-2} \text{ }s^{-1})$ and exposed to monochromatic UV-B, UV-A or blue radiation (Brown et al., 2005; Brown et al., 2009; Brown & Jenkins, 2008; Favory et al., 2009; Kleine et al., 2007; Ohgishi et al., 2004). These light conditions are different from the natural light environment where irradiance varies from day to day, and through each day. Outdoors, also the spectrum varies with solar elevation and weather conditions, and this variation is reflected in the UV-B:PAR, UV-A:PAR, and blue:PAR ratios, which are often constant in indoor experiments (Rai et al., 2019). Even though indoor studies have been very useful for understanding the mechanisms behind the action of UVR8 or CRYs, they cannot be used to conclude about the role played by plant photoreceptors in sunlight. Consequently, the participation of UVR8 in the regulation of the transcriptome in response to UV-B, UV-A and blue wavelengths of solar radiation have remained uncertain.

As photoreceptors have broad peaks of absorption, their absorption spectra partially overlap. Both CRYs and UVR8 absorb in the UV-B region, while CRYs also absorb strongly at longer wavelengths (Banerjee et al., 2007; Christie et al., 2012; Yang, Montano, & Ren, 2015). Given the overlapping spectra of photoreceptors and the fact that plants are simultaneously exposed to all wavelengths of sunlight, only research in sunlight can assess the roles of photoreceptors in nature. The available absorption spectrum for the UVR8 molecule covers the UV-C and UV-B regions, extending only 15 nm into the UV-A (Christie et al., 2012) making even speculations about the possible role of UVR8 in the UV-A region uncertain. Furthermore, our previous studies indicated that different regions within UV-A could trigger different responses to metabolite accumulation and transcript abundance of selected genes (Rai et al., 2019; Siipola et al., 2015). Besides, results from an indoor experiment suggested the participation of UVR8 in flavonoid accumulation in response to UV-A from light-emitting diodes (LEDs) (Brelsford et al., 2018). However, it is not yet clear which UV-A wavelengths are perceived through UVR8 and which ones through CRYs.

To assess the roles of UVR8 and CRYs in the perception of solar UV-B, UV-A and blue radiation, we measured transcriptome-wide responses in Arabidopsis plants exposed to sunlight. We also measured the in vitro absorption spectrum of UVR8 in the UV-C, UV-B, UV-A and visible regions (250–500 nm), the in vitro monomerization of UVR8 by different UV-A wavelengths, and estimated numbers of sunlight photons absorbed by UVR8 and CRYs. We tested four hypotheses: (a) the perception of solar UV-B and UV-A wavelengths up to 350 nm (UV-A_{sw}) is through UVR8, (b) the perception of solar UV-A wavelengths above 350 nm (UV-A_{lw}) and blue light is through CRYs, (c) crosstalk exists between UV-B/UV-A_{sw} and UV-A_{lw}/blue light signaling in plants exposed to sunlight and (d) gene expression responses to different wavelengths of sunlight are coordinated by multiple TFs resulting in multiple patterns of expression.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Plant material and treatments

Arabidopsis thaliana ecotype Landsberg erecta (Ler) and the photoreceptor mutants uvr8-2 (Brown et al., 2005) and cry1cry2 (Neff & Chory, 1998) were used. The uvr8-2 genotype carries a mutation in the C-terminus of the UVR8 protein that impairs signaling in response to UV-B (Cloix et al., 2012). The cry1cry2 genotype carries null mutations for CRY1 and CRY2 protein and consequently is impaired in blue light perception through CRYs (Mazzella, Cerdán, Staneloni, & Casal, 2001). Seeds from different genotypes used in the experiments were previously grown and harvested under the same growth conditions. Seeds were sown in plastic pots (8 cm \times 8 cm) containing a 1:1 mixture of peat and vermiculite and kept in darkness at 4°C for 3 d. Subsequently, the pots were transferred to controlled-environment growth room at 23°C:19°C and 70%:90% relative humidity (light:dark) under 12 hr photoperiod with 280 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ white light irradiance, 12 mol day⁻¹ (Osram T8 L 36 W/865 Lumilux). Rosco filter E-color 226 was used to block the small amount of UV-A radiation emitted by the lamps. Four seedlings of the same genotype were transplanted into each plastic pot (8 cm \times 8 cm). After transplanting, plants were kept for 14 d in the same growth room and conditions.

For exposure to sunlight, plants were moved to the field (Viikki campus, University of Helsinki, 60°13'N, 25°1'E) on 21 August 2014 between 07:30 and 08:15. Five treatments were created with different plastic sheets (3 mm thick) and a film (0.12 mm thick) used as long-pass optical filters to selectively exclude different wavebands of the UV and blue regions (Figure 1, Methods S1). The filters were kept 10–15 cm above the top of the plants, on their south and north edges, respectively. Their transmittance was measured with a spectrophotometer (model 8453, Agilent, Waldbronn, Germany, Figure 1). Treatments were randomly assigned within four blocks (biological replicates). One tray was kept under one filter and there was one filter of each type per block. Each tray contained two pots per genotype, positioned at random within the trays, for sampling after 6 and 12 hr.

2.2 | Light conditions and sampling outdoors

Hourly solar spectra at ground level were modeled for the 12 hr of exposure period using a radiation transfer mode (libradtran, Emde et al., 2016) and cloudiness estimates derived from global radiation measurements (Lindfors, Heikkilä, Kaurola, Koskela, & Lakkala, 2009). Figure S1 shows the solar spectrum at different times of the day when plants were moved outdoors. Figure S2 shows the hourly mean photon irradiance of UV-B (290-315 nm), UV-A_{sw} (315-350 nm), UV-A long-wavelength (UV-A_{lw} 350-400 nm), blue (400-500 nm) and PAR (400–700 nm), for the broader wavebands λ < 350 nm (290–350 nm) and λ > 350 nm (350–500 nm). The hourly solar spectra were convoluted by the spectral transmittance of each filter to estimate the spectrum the plants were exposed to. Then these spectra were convoluted by the in vitro spectral absorptance of UVR8 (see Results) or of light-adapted CRY2 (Banerjee et al., 2007) to estimate the relative numbers of photons absorbed by UVR8 and CRY2 in each treatment. Plotting and calculations on the simulated spectra were done in R (R Core Team, 2018, Aphalo, 2015).

Samples were collected after 6 and 12 hr of exposure to sunlight (13:30–14:15, 19:30–20:20) by block with treatments and genotypes in random order within each block. Each biological sample consisted of leaves from four pooled rosettes from the same pot, which were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and later stored at -80° C. Each pooled sample was ground with mortar and pestle in liquid nitrogen.

2.3 | RNA sequencing

Total RNA was extracted from ground leaf samples with a GeneJET Plant RNA Purification Kit following manufacturer's guidelines (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Vilnius, Lithuania). RNA guality was checked with Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Santa Clara, California) and RNA concentration was measured with ND-1000 Spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts). For RNA-seq measurements, RNA extracts from two pairs of biological replicates were combined into two pooled replicates. Libraries were constructed using TruSeq Stranded mRNA Sample PrepKit (Illumina, San Diego, California) following manufacturer's instructions. The library concentration was measured using Qubit Fluorometer (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, California), and quality and size were checked by Fragment Analyzer (Agilent Technologies). Libraries were sequenced on NextSeq 500 (Illumina) generating single end 75 bp reads. RNA-seq raw data was deposited at Gene Expression Omnibus (accession number GSE117199).

RNA-seq data analysis was done using the JAVA-based clientserver system, Chipster (Kallio et al., 2011) and in R. The quality of raw reads was checked with FastQC (Andrews, 2014). Removal of adapter sequences, trimming and cropping of the reads were done using Trimmomatic-0.33 (Bolger, Lohse, & Usadel, 2014) in single-end mode. The bases with a Phred score < 20 were trimmed from the ends of the reads, and the reads shorter than 30 bases were removed from the analysis (-Phred33, TRAILING:20, MINLEN:30).

MILEY-



FIGURE 1 Transmittance of filters used in the outdoor experiment and the statistical contrasts between pairs of filter treatments used to assess the effects of different ranges of wavelengths in solar radiation [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

Wavelength λ (nm)

502 nm

500

Filtered reads were mapped to the Arabidopsis transcript reference database AtRTD2 (Zhang et al., 2017) using Kallisto V-0.43.0 (CMD:guant) (Bray, Pimentel, Melsted, & Pachter, 2016) with 4,000 bootstrap sets. The raw count tables for the two pooled replicates were obtained as the mean of the bootstrap runs. Genes with less than five counts in all 21 filter-treatment \times genotype combinations were removed. The count tables were analyzed for differential gene expression with edgeR 3.24.3 (Robinson, McCarthy, & Smyth, 2010). The glmLRT (McCarthy, Chen, & Smyth, 2012) method was used to fit the statistical model separately to data from each genotype. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) across treatments and photoreceptor mutants under selected pairwise contrasts were assessed with method decideTestsDGE using Benjamini-Hochberg FDR correction of P-values, with FDR \leq 0.05. In a separate step, $|\log FC| > \log_2(1.5)$ was used as threshold. Effects of wavebands were assessed by comparing responses between pairs of filters as described above (Figure 1). The list of DEGs obtained for each waveband contrast and genotype combinations are presented as Dataset S1.

ШШ

6

300

15

349

nm

400

400

.

4

0.25

0.10 Total

0.00

Function plotMDS from package limma 3.38.3 (Ritchie et al., 2015) was used to carry out dimensionality reduction to test for consistency between replicates. To compare RNA-seq and qRT-PCR estimates of transcript abundance, estimates from RNA-seq were re-expressed relative to Ler UVO to match qRT-PCR data and major axis regression was applied (R package Imodel2 1.7-3, Legendre, 2018).

Enrichment of Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathways was assessed using function topKEGG (edgeR 3.24.3) using pathway definitions downloaded from http://rest.kegg. jp on 10 April 2019. Pathways whose definition included at least 15 but not more than 250 genes were included in the analysis. The enrichments were tested for all lists of DEGs from the contrast tests described above, and all the genes expressed in our experiment were

used as background. Conditions used to assess significance of pathway enrichment were P-value cut-off of .01 and at least 1/3 of pathway genes differentially expressed. All pathways fulfilling both conditions in at least one treatment contrast are reported.

UV-A

UV-Asw (<350 nm)

UV-Alw (>350 nm)

Blue

800

700

Cis-motif enrichment 2.4

>315 vs >400

>315 vs >350

>350 vs >400

>400 vs >500

600

Transcription factor binding motifs were collected in the form of position-specific weight matrices (PSWMs) from JASPAR 2018 (Khan et al., 2018) and Cistrome (O'Malley et al., 2016) databases. In addition to PSWMs we analyzed a previously collected set of binding motifs (Blomster et al., 2011). For each contrast within each genotype, the enrichment analyses were run on the lists of upregulated and downregulated genes from RNA-seg analysis. The promoter sequences 1,000 base pairs upstream of transcription start sites of all Arabidopsis genes were scanned for binding motifs. The regular expressions were matched in R, and PSWM hits were identified using MEME (Ambrosini, Groux, & Bucher, 2018) with default thresholds. The significance of the overlap between the gene lists and motif occurrences was then tested with Fisher exact test, followed by FDR correction using Benjamini-Hochberg correction. Based on the motifs enriched in each of the separate lists of up or downregulated genes for the 12 waveband × genotype combinations, we identified putative TFs which could regulate the expression of those genes. Clustering was done over the obtained lists of TFs, based on the adjusted Pvalues for enrichment in each contrast and genotype combination. For visualization, the P-values were first restricted to the range of 1-10⁻⁴ by converting all smaller values to 10^{-4} , and subsequently applying a log₁₀ transformation. Clustering and plotting of the heatmaps was done with R package heatmap 1.0.12 (Kolde, 2019). The cut point at

MILEY.

12 clusters was subjectively chosen for plotting; cutting introduces visual breaks without changing the cluster tree or the heatmap in any other way. The ordering of the tree was done with R package dendsort 0.3.3 (Sakai, Winand, Verbeiren, Moere, & Aerts, 2014).

2.5 | Quantitative real-time PCR and data analysis

Transcript abundance of selected genes was measured with qRT-PCR from four biological replicates and collected both at 6 and 12 hr. At 6 hr the same RNA extracts were used for qRT-PCR as for RNA-seq, but without pooling. The qRT-PCR was done according to Rai et al. (2019) using primers listed in Table S1. In every run, normalized expression values were scaled to sample Ler λ > 400 nm, log₁₀ transformed, and exported from gbase^{PLUS} for statistical analyses in R. Linear mixed-effect models with block as a random factor were fitted using function lme from package "nlme" 3.1-137 (Pinheiro, Bates, DebRoy, Sarkar, & Core Team, 2018). Factorial analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to assess the significance of the main effects (treatment, genotype, and exposure time) and of the interactions $(treatment \times genotype, treatment \times exposure time, genotype \times expo$ sure time, and treatment \times genotype \times exposure time). Function fit. contrast from package gmodels 2.18.1 (Warnes, Bolker, Lumley, & Johnson, 2018) was used to fit pairwise contrasts defined a priori and P-values adjusted with function p.adjust in R (Holm, 1979). Figures were plotted using R package ggplot2 3.1.0 (Wickham, 2009).

2.6 | In vitro absorption spectra of UVR8 protein

Recombinant UVR8 was produced and isolated from Escherichia coli with small variations from the original procedure described by Wu et al. (2012). The E. coli codon-optimized gene for Arabidopsis UVR8 (see Methods S2 for the gene sequence) was introduced into the pET11a expression vector generating a construct carrying an Nterminal 6 × His-tag (Genscript). The construct was verified by DNAsequencing and transformed into the E. coli expression host strain BL21. For the recombinant production of functional UVR8, the Nterminal 6 × His-tagged UVR8 was overexpressed overnight at 18°C using 0.2 mM β-d-thiogalactopyranoside for induction (Wu et al., 2012). Following the overnight induction, cells were harvested by centrifugation and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen after which cell pellets were stored at -80°C. Upon purification of recombinant UVR8, cells were lysed by sonication and soluble protein was separated from insoluble fractions by centrifugation. Further isolation of His-tagged UVR8 was accomplished by immobilized metal-affinity chromatography using a two segmented linear gradient of imidazole. Eluted fractions containing UVR8 was further purified to homogeneity using size-exclusion chromatography as verified by SDS-PAGE. Functionality was verified by electrophoresis assay and fluorescence quenching as described by Wu et al. (2012). The UV/Vis absorbance spectrum of UVR8 protein was measured by a standard protocol using a spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-1800). To improve the signal-to-noise ratio for spectral regions covering both long and short wavelengths, two separate data sets were recorded for two concentrations of UVR8 protein, 57.0 and 4.5 μ M, respectively. A 25 mM Tris (pH 8), 150 mM NaCl buffer solution supplemented with 1 mM Beta-mercaptoethanol was used. Protein concentrations were determined using a theoretical absorption coefficient of 91,900 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ at 280 nm as determined by the ProtParam data server available through the SIB Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics (Gasteiger et al., 2005).

2.7 | In vitro monomerization of purified UVR8 protein

His-tagged Arabidopsis thaliana full-length UVR8 was produced in Nicotiana benthamiana after Agrobacterium tumefaciens transfection using the pEAQ-HT plasmid (Sainsbury, Thuenemann, & Lomonossoff, 2009) as expression vector. Purification of UVR8 was accomplished using Ni-NTA immobilized metal-affinity and size-exclusion chromatography. Purified UVR8 protein was exposed to UV wavelengths in a 50 µL cuvette using a pulsed Opolette 355 + UV tunable laser (Opotek Inc., Carlsbad, California) with a thermostatic cuvette holder at 4°C, as described in Díaz-Ramos et al. (2018), using doses between 1.9 and 6.2 µmol. Different irradiation times were used for different wavelengths to reach a dose expected to saturate the response (10 min at 300 nm; 30 min at 320, 325, 330 and 335 nm; 60 min at 340, 345 and 350 nm). The doses were calculated as the product of the irradiance by the irradiated area by the duration of irradiation. After exposure, samples were added to $4 \times SDS$ sample buffer (250 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 2% (wt/vol) SDS, 20% (vol/vol) β-mercaptoethanol, 40% (vol/vol) glycerol, 0.5% (wt/vol) bromophenol blue) (O'Hara & Jenkins, 2012) and were subsequently analyzed by SDS-PAGE without boiling (Rizzini et al., 2011). Gels were stained with Coomassie blue to visualize the dimer and monomer bands.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Gene expression mediated by UVR8 and CRYs after 6 hr of sunlight exposure

To get full assessment of changes in transcript abundances induced by sunlight, we performed RNA-seq from samples collected after 6 hr of exposure of plants to filtered sunlight. RNA-seq libraries from the same genotype and treatment clustered together showing consistency among biological replicates (Figure S3). Furthermore, we validated the expression profiles obtained by RNA-seq with qRT-PCR using 11 genes responsive to UV radiation, and/or blue light, or involved in hormone responses (Table S1). The high positive correlation, $R^2 = 0.85$, between the two methods validates the RNA-seq data (Figure S4).

As shown in the Venn diagrams (Figure 2a), in wild type Ler, out of 3,741 DEGs, 2,786 responded to blue light (868 UP, 1,918 ⁶ _____WILEY_₽

DOWN), 960 to UV-A (406 UP, 554 DOWN) and 653 to UV-B (343 UP, 310 DOWN). Only 101 DEGs responded to all three solar wavebands UV-B, UV-A and blue. Moreover, less than half of the DEGs responding to UV-B were specific, as the remaining ones responded also to UV-A, blue or both. In contrast, of the DEGs responding to UV-A or blue, more than half were specific (Figure 2a).

In uvr8-2, out of 2,095 DEGs only 53 (35 UP, 18 DOWN) responded to UV-B (Figure 2b). The number of DEGs responding to UV-A was only 1/3 of that in Ler (356 differentially expressed, DE; 125 UP, 231 DOWN) while also the number of DEGs responding to blue light was only 2/3 of that in Ler (1,849 DE; 763 UP, 1,086 DOWN) (Figure 2a,b). Furthermore, 1/3 of the DEGs responding to blue in uvr8-2 were unique and not shared by Ler and cry1cry2 (Figure S5). Thus, the results confirm our hypothesis that UVR8 plays a role in UV-A perception and also indicate that functional UVR8 modulates gene expression responses to solar blue wavelengths.

In cry1cry2, out of 2,948 DEGs only 139 (87 UP, 52 DOWN) responded to solar blue light (Figure 2c). Surprisingly for this mutant, out of the 2,948 DEGs, 1,272 (425 UP, 847 DOWN) still responded to UV-A (Figure 2c). Also, the number of DEGs responding to UV-B increased from 653 in Ler to 2,040 (784 UP, 1,256 DOWN) in cry1cry2 (Figure 2a,c).

To explore the UV-A signaling roles of UVR8 and CRYs in more detail, we next assessed the effects of longer and shorter wavelength regions within UV-A by comparing responses between pairs of filters: UV-A_{sw} (>315 nm versus >350 nm) and UV-A_{lw} (>350 nm versus >400 nm) (Figure 1). In Ler, out of 190 DEGs, 166 responded to UV-A_{sw} (113 UP, 53 DOWN) and only 26 to UV-A_{lw} (16 UP, 10 DOWN), with only two DEGs shared between the two treatments (Figure 3a). In uvr8-2, out of 77 DEGs, 7 (3 UP, 4 DOWN) responded to UV-A_{sw}, while 72 (17 UP, 55 DOWN) to UV-AIw (Figure 3b). In cry1cry2, out of 1,057 DEGs, 1,050 (340 UP, 710 DOWN) responded to UV-Asw and only 16 (8 UP, 8 DOWN) to UV-AIw (Figure 3c). The number of DEGs responding to UV-Asw in cry1cry2 was more than six times those responding to UV-Asw in Ler, a similar but stronger effect to that of UV-B (Figure 3a,c). Furthermore, 3/4 and 9/10 of the DEGs responding to UV-B and UV-A_{sw}, respectively, in cry1cry2 were unique and not shared by Ler or uvr8-2 (Figure S5). Here, it should be noted that the individual numbers of DEGs under UV-A_{sw} and UV-A_{lw} add up to a smaller number than the number of DEGs for whole UV-A region (315-400 nm) (cf. Figures 3 and 2). This difference mainly arises from statistically comparing pairs of treatments that correspond to smaller (UV-A_{sw} and UV-A_{lw}) or larger (whole UV-A) amounts of sunlight attenuation.

We next tested whether the requirement of UVR8 versus CRYs observed within the UV-A remained valid when including wavelengths in the UV-B and blue bands in the analysis. For this test, DEGs responding to λ < 350 nm (contrast between >290 nm versus >350 nm) and λ > 350 nm (contrast between >350 nm versus >500 nm) were quantified (Figure 4). The number of DEGs responding to λ < 350 nm in *uvr*8-2 drastically decreased to 1/37 of those in Ler while the number of those responding in cry1cry2



(b) uvr8-2, (c) cry1cry2. The Venn diagrams show the unique genes for each waveband contrast and the overlap of genes between the waveband contrasts in each genotype. The stacked bar plots show total number of genes responding to the waveband contrasts in each genotype. The red bar refers to genes with increased expression and the blue bar refers to genes with decreased expression. See Figure 1 for the contrasts used to assess the effects of UV-B, UV-A and blue radiation [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]



S_WILFY

7



FIGURE 3 Number of DEGs ($|\log FC| > \log_2(1.5)$ and $P_{adjust} < .05$) in response to 6 hr of solar UV-A_{sw} and UV-A_{lw} radiation in (a) Ler, (b) uvr8-2, (c) cry1cry2. The Venn diagrams show the unique genes for each waveband contrast and the overlap of genes between the waveband contrasts in each genotype. The stacked bar plots show total number of genes responding to the waveband contrasts in each genotype. The red bar refers to genes with increased expression and the blue bar refers to genes with decreased expression. See Figure 1 for the contrasts used to assess the effects of UV-A_{sw} and UV-A_{lw} radiation [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

increased to 2.7 times of those in Ler. The number of DEGs responding to $\lambda > 350$ nm in *cry1cry2* decreased to 1/35 of those in Ler, while the number of those responding in *uvr8-2* also decreased but only to 4/5 of those in Ler (Figure 4). This test indicates that in sunlight functional UVR8 is required for transcriptome-wide response to $\lambda < 350$ nm and CRYs are required for those to $\lambda > 350$ nm and that functional CRYs antagonize this transcriptome-wide response to $\lambda < 350$ nm.

Our lists of DEGs for the 12 waveband-contrast \times genotype combinations were enriched for 45 KEGG metabolic pathways in total (Figure S6a-c). The analysis showed that UVR8 mediated the expression of genes involved in all enriched metabolic processes induced by UV-B and UV-A_{sw} in Ler and cry1cry2. Since the flavonoid biosynthesis pathway was still enriched in uvr8-2 by UV-B, dependence on UVR8 was partial (Figure S6a,b). Expression of genes involved in ribosome biogenesis, protein processing, and endocytosis under solar blue required functional UVR8 (Figure S6a,b). CRYs mediated the expression of genes involved in most metabolic pathways regulated by blue light in Ler and uvr8-2, as these responses were missing in cry1cry2. The cases where the response to blue light was not fully dependent on CRYs were flavonoid biosynthesis, diterpenoid biosynthesis, phenylalanine metabolism, circadian rhythm, vitamin B6 metabolism and phenylpropanoid biosynthesis. Strikingly, many pathways including photosynthesis, glucosinolates biosynthesis and plant hormone signal transduction were overrepresented in *cry1cry2* compared to *Ler* in response to UV-B and UV-A_{sw} (Figure S6a,c).

3.2 | Photon absorption and monomerization of UVR8

To evaluate whether the actions of UVR8 and CRYs at $\lambda < 350$ nm and $\lambda > 350$ nm are consistent with their absorption spectra, we estimated the relative number of photons absorbed by both photoreceptors given the solar spectral irradiance on the sampling day under each filter (Figure 5). For UVR8 we used a newly measured absorption spectrum extending into the visible region (Figure S7), while for CRYs we used a published absorption spectrum for CRY2 (Banerjee et al., 2007). As a result of the shape of the solar spectrum, the estimates showed that UV-A_{sw} was the band where UVR8 was predicted to absorb most photons. Relative to these maxima, UVR8 was predicted to be the main UV-A_{sw} photoreceptor. In the UV-A_{tw} our estimates showed that both CRYs and UVR8 absorbed a large number of photons (Figure 5). While UVR8 absorbed a considerable number of blue photons, CRY2 absorbed very few UV-B photons.

As monomerization of UVR8 is required for UV-B signaling (Rizzini et al., 2011), we assessed in vitro if laser radiation of different wavelengths within the UV-B and UV-A bands can monomerize purified UVR8 protein. We found that UVR8 monomerized in response to 8



FIGURE 4 Volcano plots showing DEGs ($|\log FC| > \log_2(1.5)$ and $P_{adjust} < .05$) with significantly increased expression (in red), DEGs with significantly decreased expression (in blue) and not significant (in grey) in response to 6 hr of solar radiation of $\lambda < 350$ nm (290–350 nm) and $\lambda > 350$ nm (350–500 nm), λ refers to wavelength, n refers to number of differentially expressed genes. See Figure 1 for the contrasts used to assess the effects of $\lambda < 350$ nm and $\lambda > 350$ nm [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

UV wavelengths in the range 300–335 nm but not in 340–350 nm (Figure 6).

3.3 | Cis-motif enrichment

As enrichment of DNA binding motifs can inform about the putative involvement of TFs in the observed gene-expression responses (McLeay & Bailey, 2010), we assessed in silico the enrichment of known *cis*-regulatory elements in the promoter regions of the DEGs from each waveband-genotype combination (Figures 7, S8). The analysis identified 187 putative regulatory TFs whose binding motifs were significantly enriched among the DEGs for at least one waveband-genotype combination (Figures 7, S8). The TFs grouped into 12 distinct clusters based on the similarity of their enrichment patterns across four waveband contrasts and three genotypes (Figure 7). Out of these TFs, genes encoding 53 TFs were themselves differentially expressed. Clusters A, C, D, F, H and I were homogeneous with respect to TF family, whereas the rest were heterogeneous. Within homogeneous clusters several promoter motifs were enriched (Figures 7, S8).

In cluster A, several MYB TFs including MYB111 were predicted to regulate the expression of genes with increased transcript

abundance in response to UV-B and blue in all genotypes, while in response to UV-A_{sw} significant enrichment was found only in Ler and cry1cry2 (cluster A, Figure 7). TFs in this group were the only ones enriched for genes responding to UV-B in *uvr*8-2. Out of these seven TFs, four were themselves differentially expressed in response to the treatments.

HY5, PIF1, PIF3, PIF4, PIF7, BES1 were grouped in cluster B, and were predicted to regulate the expression of genes with increased transcript abundance in response to solar UV-B in Ler and cry1cry2 (Figure 7) and of genes with either increased or decreased transcript abundance in response to solar blue in Ler and uvr8-2. Most of these same TFs were enriched for two additional responses only in cry1cry2: decreased transcript abundance by UV-B and increased transcript abundance by UV-A_{sw}. Out of these 15 TFs, seven, including HY5, *PIF1*, *PIF3*, *PIF4* and *BES1*, were themselves differentially expressed. Cluster C which follows a response pattern similar to that of cluster B groups 14 bZIP TFs of which three were differentially expressed.

Eleven members of HD-ZIP TFs including HAT5 grouped in cluster D and were enriched for decreased transcript abundance only in response to blue light in Ler and uvr8-2 but in response to UV-B and UV-A_{sw} only in *cry1cry2* (Figure 7). Out of these 11 TFs, four including HAT5 were differentially expressed. Cluster E which follows a

UV-B UV-Asw UV-Alw Blue 1.00 Absorbed photons, hourly mean (rel. u.) 0.75 CRY2 0.50 0.25 0.00 12 h 61 12 h 6 h 12 h 0 h 6 h 12 h 1.00 0.75 UVR8 0.50 0.25 0.00 6 h 12 h 0 h 6 h 12 h 6 h 12 h 0 h 6 h 12 h 0 h 19:00 07:00 13:00 19:00 -19:00 07:00 19:00 07:00 11:00 13:00 17:00 11:00 15:00 00:70 13:00 15:00 13:00 00:60 15:00 21:00 00:60 17:00 21:00 00:60 11:00 17:00 21:00 00:00 11:00 15:00 17:00 21:00 Time of day, EET (hh:mm)

Filter cut-off wavelength (nm) - >290 - >315 -- >350 -- >400 - >500

FIGURE 5 Estimates of solar UV-B, UV-A_{sw}, UV-A_{lw} and blue photons absorbed by UVR8 and CRYs proteins throughout the day under the different filters used, expressed relative to their respective daily maximum. The red horizontal lines show when the plants were moved outdoors (0 hr) or sampled (6 and 12 hr) [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]



FIGURE 6 In vitro monomerization of purified UVR8 protein from *Nicotiana benthamiana* exposed to UV radiation from a tunable laser. The time of exposure and photon doses at different wavelengths were: 10 min and 1.9 µmol at 300 nm, 30 min and 5.1 µmol at 320 nm, 30 min and 4.5 µmol at 325 nm, 30 min and 3.8 µmol at 330 nm, 30 min and 3.4 µmol at 335 nm, 60 min and 6.2 µmol at 340 nm, 60 min and 5.8 µmol at 345 nm, 60 min and 5.3 µmol at 350 nm. The picture shows the Coomassie stained gel. UVR8D refers to the dimer and UVR8M the monomer. The figure is representative of gels from three irradiation sessions [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

response pattern similar to that of cluster D contains TFs from a mix of families of which eight were differentially expressed.

Five GATA TFs were enriched for DEGs repressed by blue only in Ler whereas in the other two mutants these TFs were not enriched for any response (cluster F, Figure 7). WRKY TFs were enriched only for DEGs with decreased expression in response to UV-A_{sw} in

cry1cry2 (cluster G). The number of differentially expressed TFs were one and nine in clusters F and G, respectively. The remaining clusters (H–L) showed multiple but poorly defined patterns of enrichment.

3.4 | Transcript abundance after 6 and 12 hr

We used qRT-PCR to determine changes in transcript abundance after 6 hr (mid-day) and after 12 hr (before sunset) of exposure to filtered solar radiation, allowing the assessment of transcript abundance at two times of the day when solar UV-B:UV-A photon ratio was very different. We tested 11 genes (Figures 8, S9). The three-way interaction, treatment × genotype × exposure time, was significant for four genes: *RUP2* (involved in UVR8 signaling); *CHALCONE SYNTHASE* (*CHS*) and *CHALCONE ISOMERASE* (*CHI*) (flavonoid biosynthesis); and *SOLANESYL DIPHOSPHATE SYNTHASE* 1 (*SPS1*) (ubiquinone biosynthesis) (Figure 8, Table S2). This indicates that in sunlight the role of UVR8 and CRYs in the regulation of transcript abundance of these genes changed in time. For these four genes across all genotypes, the response to filter treatments at 6 hr was stronger than at 12 hr.

Solar UV-B at 6 hr increased transcript abundance of *CHI* and *CHS* in all genotypes and of *RUP2* in *Ler* and *cry1cry2* but not in *uvr8-2* (Figure 8). This response to UV-B was stronger in *cry1cry2* than in *Ler* for all these genes. Solar UV-A_{sw} at 6 hr increased transcript abundance of *CHI*, *CHS*, *RUP2* and *SPS1* in *Ler* and *cry1cry2* but not in

9

-WILEY_



10

FIGURE 7 The in silico enrichment of DNA-binding motifs in our RNA-seq data showing 187 putative regulatory transcription factors (TFs). See Figure S8 for the position weight matrix of the enriched motifs. The enrichments were done in 1,000 base pairs upstream of the coding regions of the DEGs from each waveband contrast and genotype combination. TFs with P_{adjust} < .01 in at least one contrast and genotype combination were included in the figure. Of the 187 enriched TFs, those for which genes were differentially expressed in our experiment are presented in bold letters and shown as "DE" in the figure [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

uvr8-2. As for UV-B, the transcript abundance response to 6 hr of UV-A_{sw} was stronger in cry1cry2 than in Ler for these four genes. Both solar UV-B and UV-A_{sw} at 12 hr increased the abundance of CHS, but only in cry1cry2. These results support regulation of transcript abundance by UVR8 in both UV-B and UV-A_{sw}, antagonized by CRYs.

Solar UV-A_{lw} at both 6 and 12 hr decreased the transcript abundance of CHS in cry1cry2 (Figure 8). UV-A_{lw} at 12 hr increased the transcript abundance of RUP2 in Ler and of SPS1 in both Ler and uvr8-2 but not in cry1cry2. Overall, transcript abundance was less responsive to UV-A_{lw} than to UV-A_{sw}. Solar blue light at 6 hr increased transcript abundance of CHI, CHS and RUP2 in all genotypes whereas of SPS1 in Ler and uvr8-2 but not in cry1cry2. Blue light at 12 hr increased the abundance of CHI and RUP2 only in Ler and uvr8-2, and of CHS in all three genotypes, but less in cry1cry2. These results support regulation of transcript abundance by CRYs in UV-A_{lw} and blue light.

4 DISCUSSION

4.1 Effective range of wavelengths for action of UVR8 and CRYs in sunlight

In plant photobiology the consensus has been that UVR8 and CRYs function as UV-B and blue/UV-A photoreceptors, respectively (Ahmad & Cashmore, 1993; Rizzini et al., 2011). However, here we show that UVR8 mediates transcriptome-wide changes in response to both solar UV-A_{sw} and UV-B (Figures 2–4). Assuming that UVR8 monomers are required for signaling and response (Rizzini et al., 2011), for UVR8 to mediate responses to UV-Asw it must absorb enough photons at these longer wavelengths and monomerize. Our in silico estimates based on spectral absorbance predict that UVR8 absorbs more UV-A $_{sw}$ photons than UV-B photons in sunlight (Figure 5), because sunlight contains at least 30 times more UV-A_{sw} photons than UV-B photons (Aphalo, 2018). This explains why the role of UVR8 in UV-A_{sw} perception has not been observed in earlier studies using artificial light with unrealistically high UV-B:PAR or high UV-B:UV-A ratios. We also show that in vitro UVR8 dimers convert to monomers when exposed to radiation of wavelengths between 300 and 335 nm but not in response to longer wavelengths (Figure 6). As the UVR8 protein does absorb photons at wavelengths longer than 335 nm, a possible explanation for this transition between 335 and 340 nm is a threshold in the energy per photon required for monomerization. The dose we used at 335 nm was more than 3,000 times the maximum used by Díaz-Ramos et al. (2018), who observed in vitro almost complete monomerization at 310 nm, the longest wavelength they investigated. Other steps required for UVR8 signaling are relocation of UVR8 from cytosol to nucleus (Kaiserli & Jenkins, 2007), UVR8-COP1 interaction, and consequent stabilization of HY5 (Favory et al., 2009). Therefore, for future studies it would be interesting to investigate if this same mechanism of UVR8 signaling mediates gene expression in response to UV-Asw.

11



FIGURE 8 Transcript abundance (\log_{10} FC) of four genes *CHI*, *CHS*, *RUP2* and *SPS1* after 6 and 12 hr of treatment outdoors. These genes showed a significant triple interaction (genotype × radiation treatment × exposure time). Mean ± 1SE from four biological replicates. The horizontal bars show P_{adjust} values for pair-wise comparisons between treatments within each genotype. P_{adjust} values for pair-wise contrasts are shown only in those panels where the overall effect of filter treatment within a genotype and time point was significant (see Table S2)

Although UVR8 is the primary receptor of UV-B, our transcriptomic data showed that very few genes (9%), some of them related to flavonoid biosynthesis, still responded to solar UV-B in uvr8-2 (Figures 2, S6a,b). It is known that UVR8 interacts with COP1 through both the C-terminal C27 domain and the β -propeller domain; however, it is the interaction between C27 domain and COP1 that is required for UVR8 signaling in UV-B (Yin, Arongaus, Binkert, & Ulm, 2015). The uvr8-2 mutant used in our experiment is truncated in its C27 domain, and it is impaired in UV-B responses, despite the remaining UV-B dependent interaction of the β-propeller domain with COP1 (Brown et al., 2005; Cloix et al., 2012; Yin et al., 2015). These raises the question whether some gene expression and consequently other photomorphogenic response could be triggered by the weak UVR8 β -propeller and COP1 interaction that has been reported in planta (Yin et al., 2015). A recent study also showed that UV-B induced the expression of genes independently of UVR8, stress signaling and other known photoreceptors (O'Hara et al., 2019). Thus, the UVR8-independent responses observed in uvr8-2 could also be mediated through a yet unknown photoreceptor.

We also found that UVR8 affected blue light-induced gene expression, as fewer and in part different genes responded to blue

light in *uvr8-2* when compared to Ler (Figures 2a,b, S5). This effect was unexpected, as the effect of blue light was assessed in a background of strongly attenuated UV-B and UV-A. Furthermore, KEGG pathway analysis indicates that functional UVR8 might be required for blue-light-dependent expression of genes involved in ribosome biogenesis, protein processing and endocytosis (Figure S6a-c). Our estimates also predict that photon absorption by UVR8 in sunlight extends as far as the blue region (Figure S7), where we observed UVR8-dependent modulation of these specific responses to solar blue instead of a clear-cut requirement as at shorter wavelengths. Although evidence for perception of blue light by UVR8 is weak, the lack of monomerization in response to wavelengths longer than 335 nm suggests that photoreception by UVR8 at longer wavelengths would have to depend on a different mechanism.

Our transcriptomic data at 6 hr (solar noon) indicate that CRYs are the main photoreceptors mediating gene expression responses to solar blue and UV- A_{lw} , but not to UV- A_{sw} . This contrasts with the currently accepted role of CRYs in perception of the whole UV-A waveband (Yu et al., 2010). Yet, the absence of CRYs increased the number of DEGs up to three times in response to UV-B and up to six times in response to UV- A_{sw} (Figures 2a,c and 3a,c), an unexpectedly

WILFY_

large effect affecting many metabolic processes (Figure S6). However, while CRYs absorb comparatively fewer photons at these shorter wavelengths than in the blue (Figure 5), the effect of UV-B and UV-A_{sw} exposure was assessed in a background of UV-A_{lw} and blue radiation, a condition under which CRY signaling was activated in the WT but not in cry1cry2. Thus, the previously described negative regulation of four UVR8-mediated genes by CRYs in UV-B and UV-A_{sw} (Rai et al., 2019) was now expanded to the whole transcriptome indicating an interaction between UVR8 and CRYs leading to wide-ranging regulation of primary and secondary metabolism (Figures 2-4, S6). In Rai et al. (2019), the induction of gene transcripts in response to UV-A_{sw} was not detected in Ler but only in the cry1cry2 mutant, while in the current study, the induction of gene transcripts was observed in both Ler and cry1cry2, albeit stronger response in cry1cry2 (Figures 3 and 8). This suggests that environmental factors including temporal variation in solar radiation outdoors could also modulate the regulation of UVR8 signaling by CRYs. We also show that this negative regulation was observed using gRT-PCR at both 6 and 12 hr for CHS (Figure 8). indicating that this effect can persist until the end of the photoperiod even though UV-B irradiance was very low at this time, suggesting a carry-over effect. The transcript abundance of RUP1 and RUP2 was increased in response to UV-Asw in cry1cry2 compared to Ler (Figure 8), indicating that the crosstalk between UV-B/UV-A_{sw} and UV-A_{lw}/blue signaling pathways may involve RUPs. In addition, a recent study demonstrated that both UVR8 and CRYs use VP-peptide motifs to compete for binding the WD40 domain of COP1 (Lau, Podolec, Chappuis, Ulm, & Hothorn, 2019). Therefore, crosstalk between the two signaling pathways could involve COP1. These earlier results together with our new observations provide a good starting point for future studies on the molecular mechanism of interaction between UVR8 and CRYs in sunlight and its relevance to plant adaptation and acclimation to diurnal and seasonal variation in the solar spectrum.

4.2 | Putative TFs behind different patterns of gene expression response

Our promoter enrichment analysis highlights the possible roles of several TFs in controlling the observed gene expression responses downstream of UVR8 and CRYs. The analysis predicted that MYB TFs, known regulators of flavonol accumulation (Stracke et al., 2007), regulate the expression of genes responding to UV-B partially independent of UVR8 and of those responding to UV-A_{sw} through UVR8 (Figure 7, cluster A). The data also show that HAT5 and PIF5 (clusters D, E) are predicted to specifically regulate gene expression in response to solar blue through CRYs. These results in sunlight agree with a previous report where PIF5 is shown to function downstream of CRYs to mediate hypocotyl elongation in response to artificial blue light (Pedmale et al., 2016).

Although earlier work has emphasized the role of HY5 as a master TF central to responses to UV radiation and blue light (Brown & Jenkins, 2008; Favory et al., 2009; Gangappa & Botto, 2016), the array of response patterns of transcript abundance and motif enrichment observed here indicate that several TFs play key roles in downstream signaling leading to gene expression. In addition to HY5, the known regulators of UV and blue light signaling and photomorphogenesis including PIF1, PIF3, PIF4, PIF7 and BES1 (Gangappa & Botto, 2016; Hayes, Velanis, Jenkins, & Franklin, 2014; Liang et al., 2018; Pedmale et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2018) were predicted to regulate gene expression in response to solar UV-B through UVR8, and in response to solar blue through CRYs (Figure 7, cluster B). Our data also indicate that HY5, PIFs, BES1, HAT5, WRKYs, and many other TFs could regulate the expression of genes responsive to UV-B or UV-A_{sw} and require both UVR8 and CRYs (Figure 7, clusters B, C, D, and G). This shows that both UVR8 and CRY signaling employ some of the same TFs for gene expression. However, the multiple points of interaction for crosstalk between UV-B, UV-A_{sw}, UV-A_{lw} and blue light signaling pathways downstream of UVR8, CRYs and other photoreceptors remain to be explored.

4.3 | Implications and conclusions

With few exceptions, gene expression in response to solar UV-B and UV-A_{sw} depended on UVR8, while that in response to UV-A_{lw} and blue light depended on CRYs. Why the "UV-B photoreceptor" UVR8 played a role in the perception of solar UV-A_{sw} can be explained by the numbers of solar UV-B and UV-A_{sw} photons predicted to be absorbed by UVR8, a physico-chemical mechanism. Our prediction of photons absorbed by UVR8 was made possible by the new spectral absorbance data we report, demonstrating the usefulness of extending such measurements far along the tails of absorption spectra. We also observed in vitro monomerization of UVR8 dimers exposed to wavelengths between 300 and 335 nm but not when exposed to longer ones, extending previous knowledge into longer wavelengths. This lack of monomerization may explain why UVR8 does not play an important role in the perception of UV-A_{lw}. Thus, we describe the mechanism by which the steep slope of the solar spectrum in the UV region shifts perception of solar radiation by UVR8 towards longer wavelengths than frequently assumed.

When considering both UVR8 and CRYs, we observed that the transcriptome-wide response triggered by UV-B and UV-A_{sw} exposure was very strongly and negatively regulated by CRYs. The reverse effect, modulation by UVR8 of gene expression in response to blue light was also observed although it was much weaker. These results demonstrate for the first time the extent of the effect of interactions downstream of UVR8 and CRYs on the transcriptome. These data also allowed us to putatively identify several metabolic pathways affected by the interaction.

Specific groups of TFs were predicted in silico to control cascades of gene expression corresponding to different patterns of transcriptome response to wavelengths across genotypes, patterns which can only arise as the result of a complex signaling network including multiple points of interaction downstream of UVR8 and CRYs. This prediction highlights that current models of signaling downstream of UVR8 and CRYs, rather unsurprisingly, describe only the top portion of a much deeper and ramified signaling network. As our study demonstrates, experiments combining the use of multiple light treatments and multiple mutants in a factorial design allow teasing out some of the signaling complexity that is missing from current models. The in silico predictions we report can guide the development of hypotheses about the mechanisms and players involved in signaling, hypotheses that will need to be tested in future experiments.

As the wavelength boundaries for effective sensitivity of UVR8and CRY-mediated perception of sunlight do not coincide with the definitions of UV-B and UV-A radiation in common use (Björn, 2015), we consider that quantification of solar radiation based on these definitions is only marginally useful when studying sunlight perception by plants, that is, photomorphogenesis rather than stress damage. Even more important is that in both irradiation- and waveband-attenuation experiments different regions within UV-A will trigger responses through different photoreceptors, possibly resulting in contradictory or confusing results. In the present study, splitting the UV-A waveband at 350 nm into UV-A_{sw} and UV-A_{lw} was the key to revealing the effective roles of UVR8 and CRYs in the perception of UV radiation in sunlight. Thus, as we routinely do for red and far-red light in the visible, it is also very profitable to use plant-photomorphogenesis-specific waveband definitions to characterize radiation in the UV-A region.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We acknowledge Petri Auvinen (University of Helsinki) for RNA-seq. Funding by Academy of Finland (252548) to P.J.A., and (307335) to M.B. and J.S.; EDUFI Fellowship, Finnish Cultural Foundation, and Doctoral Program in Plant Sciences funding (University of Helsinki) to N.R.; Knowledge foundation (20130164) and Swedish Research Council Formas (942-2015-516) to Å.S.; Strategic Young Researchers Recruitment Programme (Örebro Universitet) to L.O.M.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interests.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

Pedro J. Aphalo and Luis O. Morales planned the research. Neha Rai, Mikael Brosché, Åke Strid, Pedro J. Aphalo and Luis O. Morales designed experiments. Neha Rai, Andrew O'Hara, Daniel Farkas, Khuanpiroon Ratanasopa, Fang Wang, Anders V. Lindfors and Luis O. Morales performed experiments. Neha Rai, Omid Safronov, Jarkko Salojärvi, Pedro J. Aphalo and Luis O. Morales analyzed data. Neha Rai, Pedro J. Aphalo and Luis O. Morales wrote the paper with contributions from Mikael Brosché, Jarkko Salojärvi, Åke Strid, Gareth I. Jenkins and Tarja Lehto. All authors commented and approved the manuscript.

ORCID

Neha Rai D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4972-9332 Gareth I. Jenkins D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1855-4875 Åke Strid D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3315-8835 Pedro J. Aphalo https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3385-972X Luis O. Morales https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9233-7254

REFERENCES

- Ahmad, M., & Cashmore, A. R. (1993). HY4 gene of A. thaliana encodes a protein with characteristics of a blue-light photoreceptor. Nature, 366, 162–166.
- Ambrosini, G., Groux, R., & Bucher, P. (2018). PWMScan: A fast tool for scanning entire genomes with a position-specific weight matrix. *Bioinformatics*, 34, 2483–2484.
- Andrews S. (2014). FastQC: A quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. Babraham Bioinformatics. Retrieved June 12, 2019 from https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/
- Aphalo, P. J. (2015). The r4photobiology suite: Spectral irradiance. UV4Plants Bulletin, 2015, 21–29.
- Aphalo, P. J. (2018). Exploring temporal and latitudinal variation in the solar spectrum at ground level with the TUV model. UV4Plants Bulletin, 2018, 45–56.
- Banerjee, R., Schleicher, E., Meier, S., Viana, R. M., Pokorny, R., Ahmad, M., ... Batschauer, A. (2007). The signaling state of Arabidopsis cryptochrome 2 contains flavin semiquinone. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 282, 14916–14922.
- Björn, L. O. (2015). History ultraviolet-A, B, and C. UV4Plants Bulletin, 2015, 17-18.
- Blomster, T., Salojärvi, J., Sipari, N., Brosché, M., Ahlfors, R., Keinänen, M., ... Kangasjärvi, J. (2011). Apoplastic reactive oxygen species transiently decrease auxin signaling and cause stress-induced morphogenic response in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiology*, 157, 1866–1883.
- Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., & Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics*, 30, 2114–2120.
- Bray, N. L., Pimentel, H., Melsted, P., & Pachter, L. (2016). Near-optimal probabilistic RNA-seq quantification. *Nature Biotechnology*, 34, 525–527.
- Brelsford, C. C., Morales, L. O., Nezval, J., Kotilainen, T. K., Hartikainen, S. M., Aphalo, P. J., & Robson, T. M. (2018). Do UV-A radiation and blue light during growth prime leaves to cope with acute high light in photoreceptor mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana? Physiologia Plantarum*, 165, 537–554.
- Brown, B. A., Cloix, C., Jiang, G. H., Kaiserli, E., Herzyk, P., Kliebenstein, D. J., & Jenkins, G. I. (2005). A UV-B-specific signaling component orchestrates plant UV protection. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 102, 18225–18230.
- Brown, B. A., Headland, L. R., & Jenkins, G. I. (2009). UV-B action spectrum for UVR8-mediated HY5 transcript accumulation in Arabidopsis. *Photochemistry and Photobiology*, 85, 1147–1155.
- Brown, B. A., & Jenkins, G. I. (2008). UV-B signaling pathways with different fluence-rate response profiles are distinguished in mature Arabidopsis leaf tissue by requirement for UVR8, HY5, and HYH. *Plant Physiology*, 146, 576–588.
- Christie, J. M., Arvai, A. S., Baxter, K. J., Heilmann, M., Pratt, A. J., O'Hara, A., et al. (2012). Plant UVR8 photoreceptor senses UV-B by tryptophan-mediated disruption of cross-dimer salt bridges. *Science*, 335, 1492–1496.
- Cloix, C., Kaiserli, E., Heilmann, M., Baxter, K. J., Brown, B. A., O'Hara, A., ... Jenkins, G. I. (2012). C-terminal region of the UV-B photoreceptor UVR8 initiates signaling through interaction with the COP1 protein. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 109, 16366–16370.
- Díaz-Ramos, L. A., O'Hara, A., Kanagarajan, S., Farkas, D., Strid, Å., & Jenkins, G. I. (2018). Difference in the action spectra for UVR8 monomerisation and HY5 transcript accumulation in Arabidopsis. *Photochemical and Photobiological Sciences*, 17, 1108–1117.
- Emde, C., Buras-Schnell, R., Kylling, A., Mayer, B., Gasteiger, J., Hamann, U., ... Bugliaro, L. (2016). The libRadtran software package

S-WILEY

for radiative transfer calculations (version 2.0.1). *Geoscientific Model Development*, *9*, 1647–1672.

- Favory, J.-J., Stec, A., Gruber, H., Rizzini, L., Oravecz, A., Funk, M., ... Ulm, R. (2009). Interaction of COP1 and UVR8 regulates UV-Binduced photomorphogenesis and stress acclimation in Arabidopsis. *The EMBO Journal*, 28, 591–601.
- Gangappa, S. N., & Botto, J. F. (2016). The multifaceted roles of HY5 in plant growth and development. *Molecular Plant*, *9*, 1353–1365.
- Gasteiger, E., Hoogland, C., Gattiker, A., Duvaud, S., Wilkins, M. R., Appel, R. D., & Bairoch, A. (2005). Protein identification and analysis tools on the ExPASy server. In *The proteomics protocols handbook* (pp. 571–607). Totowa, New Jersey: Humana Press.
- Gruber, H., Heijde, M., Heller, W., Albert, A., Seidlitz, H. K., & Ulm, R. (2010). Negative feedback regulation of UV-B-induced photomorphogenesis and stress acclimation in Arabidopsis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 107, 20132–20137.
- Hayes, S., Velanis, C. N., Jenkins, G. I., & Franklin, K. A. (2014). UV-B detected by the UVR8 photoreceptor antagonizes auxin signaling and plant shade avoidance. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* of the United States of America, 111, 11894–11899.
- Heijde, M., & Ulm, R. (2013). Reversion of the Arabidopsis UV-B photoreceptor UVR8 to the homodimeric ground state. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 110, 1113-1118.
- Holm, S. (1979). A simple sequentially rejective multiple test procedure. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics*, *6*, 65–70.
- Huang, X., Ouyang, X., Yang, P., Lau, O. S., Chen, L., Wei, N., & Deng, X. W. (2013). Conversion from CUL4-based COP1-SPA E3 apparatus to UVR8-COP1-SPA complexes underlies a distinct biochemical function of COP1 under UV-B. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 110, 16669–16674.
- Kaiserli, E., & Jenkins, G. I. (2007). UV-B promotes rapid nuclear translocation of the Arabidopsis UV-B specific signaling component UVR8 and activates its function in the nucleus. *The Plant Cell*, 19, 2662–2673.
- Kallio, M. A., Tuimala, J. T., Hupponen, T., Klemelä, P., Gentile, M., Scheinin, I., ... Korpelainen, E. I. (2011). Chipster: User-friendly analysis software for microarray and other high-throughput data. BMC Genomics, 12, 507.
- Khan, A., Fornes, O., Stigliani, A., Gheorghe, M., Castro-Mondragon, J. A., van der Lee, R., ... Mathelier, A. (2018). JASPAR 2018: Update of the open-access database of transcription factor binding profiles and its web framework. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 46, D260–D266.
- Kleine, T., Kindgren, P., Benedict, C., Hendrickson, L., & Strand, Å. (2007). Genome-wide gene expression analysis reveals a critical role for CRYPTOCHROME1 in the response of Arabidopsis to high irradiance. *Plant Physiology*, 144, 1391–1406.
- Kolde R. (2019). pheatmap: Pretty Heatmaps. R package version 1.0.12. Retrieved June 12, 2019 from https://cran.r-project.org/web/ packages/pheatmap/index.html
- Lau, K., Podolec, R., Chappuis, R., Ulm, R., & Hothorn, M. (2019). Plant photoreceptors and their signaling components compete for binding to the ubiquitin ligase COP1 using their VP-peptide motifs. *The EMBO Journal*, 38, e102140.
- Legendre P. (2018). Imodel2: Model II Regression. R package version 1.7-3. Retrieved June 12, 2019 from https://CRAN.R-project.org/ package=Imodel2
- Lian, H. L., He, S. B., Zhang, Y. C., Zhu, D. M., Zhang, J. Y., Jia, K. P., ... Yang, H. Q. (2011). Blue-light-dependent interaction of cryptochrome 1 with SPA1 defines a dynamic signaling mechanism. *Genes and Devel*opment, 25, 1023–1028.
- Liang, T., Mei, S., Shi, C., Yang, Y., Peng, Y., Ma, L., ... Liu, H. (2018). UVR8 interacts with BES1 and BIM1 to regulate transcription and photomorphogenesis in Arabidopsis. *Developmental Cell*, 44, 512–523.
- Lin, C. (2000). Plant blue-light receptors. Trends in Plant Science, 5, 337-342.

- Lindfors, A., Heikkilä, A., Kaurola, J., Koskela, T., & Lakkala, K. (2009). Reconstruction of solar spectral surface UV irradiances using radiative transfer simulations. *Photochemistry and Photobiology*, 85, 1233–1239.
- Liu, B., Zuo, Z., Liu, H., Liu, X., & Lin, C. (2011). Arabidopsis cryptochrome 1 interacts with SPA1 to suppress COP1 activity in response to blue light. *Genes and Development*, 25, 1029–1034.
- Liu, H., Liu, B., Zhao, C., Pepper, M., & Lin, C. (2011). The action mechanisms of plant cryptochromes. *Trends in Plant Science*, 16, 684–691.
- Liu, H., Yu, X., Li, K., Klejnot, J., Yang, H., Lisiero, D., & Lin, C. (2008). Photoexcited CRY2 interacts with CIB1 to regulate transcription and floral initiation in Arabidopsis. *Science*, 322, 1535–1539.
- Mazzella, M. A., Cerdán, P. D., Staneloni, R. J., & Casal, J. J. (2001). Hierarchical coupling of phytochromes and cryptochromes reconciles stability and light modulation of Arabidopsis development. *Development*, 128, 2291–2299.
- McCarthy, D. J., Chen, Y., & Smyth, G. K. (2012). Differential expression analysis of multifactor RNA-Seq experiments with respect to biological variation. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 40, 4288–4297.
- McLeay, R. C., & Bailey, T. L. (2010). Motif enrichment analysis: A unified framework and an evaluation on ChIP data. BMC Bioinformatics, 11, 165.
- Morales, L. O., Brosché, M., Vainonen, J., Jenkins, G. I., Wargent, J. J., Sipari, N., ... Aphalo, P. J. (2013). Multiple roles for UV RESISTANCE LOCUS8 in regulating gene expression and metabolite accumulation in Arabidopsis under solar ultraviolet radiation. *Plant Physiology*, 161, 744–759.
- Neff, M. M., & Chory, J. (1998). Genetic interactions between phytochrome A, phytochrome B, and cryptochrome 1 during Arabidopsis development. *Plant Physiology*, 118, 27–36.
- O'Hara, A., Headland, L. R., Díaz-Ramos, L. A., Morales, L. O., Strid, Å., & Jenkins, G. I. (2019). Regulation of Arabidopsis gene expression by low fluence rate UV-B independently of UVR8 and stress signaling. *Photochemical and Photobiological Sciences*, 18, 1675–1684.
- O'Hara, A., & Jenkins, G. I. (2012). *In vivo* function of tryptophans in the Arabidopsis UV-B photoreceptor UVR8. *The Plant Cell*, 24, 3755–3766.
- Ohgishi, M., Saji, K., Okada, K., & Sakai, T. (2004). Functional analysis of each blue light receptor, cry1, cry2, phot1, and phot2, by using combinatorial multiple mutants in Arabidopsis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 101, 2223–2228.
- O'Malley, R. C., Huang, S.-S. C., Song, L., Lewsey, M. G., Bartlett, A., Nery, J. R., ... Ecker, J. R. (2016). Cistrome and epicistrome features shape the regulatory DNA landscape. *Cell*, 165, 1280–1292.
- Pedmale, U. V., Huang, S. C., Zander, M., Cole, B. J., Hetzel, J., Ljung, K., ... Chory, J. (2016). Cryptochromes interact directly with PIFs to control plant growth in limiting blue light. *Cell*, 164, 233–245.
- Pinheiro J., Bates D., DebRoy S., Sarkar D., R Core Team. (2018). nlme: Linear and Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models. Retrieved June 12, 2019 from https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/nlme/index.html
- Podolec, R., & Ulm, R. (2018). Photoreceptor-mediated regulation of the COP1/SPA E3 ubiquitin ligase. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 45, 18–25.
- R Core Team. (2018). A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved June 12, 2019 from https://www.r-project.org/
- Rai, N., Neugart, S., Yan, Y., Wang, F., Siipola, S. M., Lindfors, A. V., ... Aphalo, P. J. (2019). How do cryptochromes and UVR8 interact in natural and simulated sunlight? *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 70, 4975–4990.
- Ritchie, M. E., Phipson, B., Wu, D., Hu, Y., Law, C. W., Shi, W., & Smyth, G. K. (2015). Limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 43, e47–e47.

- Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J., & Smyth, G. K. (2010). edgeR: A Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics*, 26, 139–140.
- Sainsbury, F., Thuenemann, E. C., & Lomonossoff, G. P. (2009). pEAQ: Versatile expression vectors for easy and quick transient expression of heterologous proteins in plants. *Plant Biotechnology Journal*, 7, 682–693.
- Sakai, R., Winand, R., Verbeiren, T., Moere, A. V., & Aerts, J. (2014). dendsort: Modular leaf ordering methods for dendrogram representations in R. F1000Research, 3, 177.
- Siipola, S. M., Kotilainen, T., Sipari, N., Morales, L. O., Lindfors, A. V., Robson, T. M., & Aphalo, P. J. (2015). Epidermal UV-A absorbance and whole-leaf flavonoid composition in pea respond more to solar blue light than to solar UV radiation. *Plant, Cell and Environment, 38*, 941–952.
- Stracke, R., Ishihara, H., Huep, G., Barsch, A., Mehrtens, F., Niehaus, K., & Weisshaar, B. (2007). Differential regulation of closely related R2R3-MYB transcription factors controls flavonol accumulation in different parts of the Arabidopsis thaliana seedling. The Plant Journal, 50, 660–677.
- Wang, H., Ma, L. G., Li, J. M., Zhao, H. Y., & Deng, X. W. (2001). Direct interaction of Arabidopsis cryptochromes with COP1 in light control development. *Science*, 294, 154–158.
- Wang, Q., Zuo, Z., Wang, X., Gu, L., Yoshizumi, T., Yang, Z., ... Lin, C. (2016). Photoactivation and inactivation of *Arabidopsis* cryptochrome 2. *Science*, 354, 343–347.
- Wang, W., Lu, X., Li, L., Lian, H., Mao, Z., Xu, P., et al. (2018). Photoexcited CRYPTOCHROME1 interacts with dephosphorylated BES1 to regulate brassinosteroid signaling and photomorphogenesis in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Cell*, 30, 1989–2005.
- Warnes G.R., Bolker B., Lumley T., Johnson R.C. (2018). gmodels: Various R programming tools for model fitting version 2.18.1 from CRAN. Retrieved June 12, 2019 from https://cran.r-project.org/web/ packages/gmodels/index.html
- Wickham, H. (2009). Ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis. New York: Springer.
- Wu, D., Hu, Q., Yan, Z., Chen, W., Yan, C., Huang, X., ... Shi, Y. (2012). Structural basis of ultraviolet-B perception by UVR8. *Nature*, 484, 214–219.

- Yang, H.-Q., Tang, R.-H., & Cashmore, A. R. (2001). The signaling mechanism of Arabidopsis CRY1 involves direct interaction with COP1. *The Plant Cell*, 13, 2573–2587.
- Yang, X., Montano, S., & Ren, Z. (2015). How does photoreceptor UVR8 perceive a UV-B signal? *Photochemistry and Photobiology*, 91, 993–1003.
- Yang, Y., Liang, T., Zhang, L., Shao, K., Gu, X., Shang, R., ... Liu, H. (2018). UVR8 interacts with WRKY36 to regulate HY5 transcription and hypocotyl elongation in Arabidopsis. *Nature Plants*, 4, 98-107.
- Yang, Z., Liu, B., Su, J., Liao, J., Lin, C., & Oka, Y. (2017). Cryptochromes orchestrate transcription regulation of diverse blue light responses in plants. Photochemistry and Photobiology, 93, 112–127.
- Yin, R., Arongaus, A. B., Binkert, M., & Ulm, R. (2015). Two distinct domains of the UVR8 photoreceptor interact with COP1 to initiate UV-B signaling in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Cell*, 27, 202–213.
- Yu, X., Liu, H., Klejnot, J., & Lin, C. (2010). The Cryptochrome blue light receptors. The Arabidopsis Book, 8, e0135.
- Zhang, R., Calixto, C. P. G., Marquez, Y., Venhuizen, P., Tzioutziou, N. A., Guo, W., et al. (2017). A high quality Arabidopsis transcriptome for accurate transcript-level analysis of alternative splicing. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 45, 5061–5073.
- Zuo, Z., Liu, H., Liu, B., Liu, X., & Lin, C. (2011). Blue light-dependent interaction of CRY2 with SPA1 regulates COP1 activity and floral initiation in Arabidopsis. *Current Biology*, 21, 841–847.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Rai N, O'Hara A, Farkas D, et al. The photoreceptor UVR8 mediates the perception of both UV-B and UV-A wavelengths up to 350 nm of sunlight with responsivity moderated by cryptochromes. *Plant Cell Environ*. 2020;1–15. https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.13752

WILEN