SCIENTIFIC REPORTS natureresearch

OPEN

Association between maternal periodontal status and ultrasonographic measurement of fetal growth: A longitudinal study

Ayano Taniguchi-Tabata ¹, Noriko Takeuchi ^{1*}, Yoko Uchida¹, Daisuke Ekuni ² & Manabu Morita²

The aim of this prospective cohort study was to investigate the association between intrauterine fetal growth patterns and periodontal status in pregnant women. Fifty-three pregnant women were recruited. Periodontitis was diagnosed based on probing pocket depth and clinical attachment level. Maternal urinary 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine levels and body mass index were recorded. Ultrasonographic measurements of the biparietal diameter (BPD), abdominal circumference (AC), and femur length (FL) were recorded, and estimated fetal weight (EFW) was calculated. In addition, approximation spline curves of BPD, AC, FL, and EFW were obtained throughout the gestation period. Recorded delivery outcomes were gestational age (GA), birth weight and length, sex, placental weight, and umbilical cord length. Forty-four participants (34.1 ± 4.9 years) were analyzed. Mean neonatal birth weight was 2906.0 \pm 544.4 g. On multiple regression analysis, birth weight was related with periodontitis (standardized $\beta = -0.21$, P = 0.001). For EFW and BPD, the curves of the periodontitis group were located lower than those of the non-periodontitis group, with significant differences after 32 weeks and 20 weeks of GA, respectively. In conclusion, periodontal treatment before conception may be recommended and a good periodontal condition in the early stage of pregnancy at the latest is desirable for infant growth.

Low birth weight (LBW) neonates are at higher risk of postnatal mortality and morbidity and lifestyle diseases in adulthood¹⁻⁴. In Japan, birth weight is decreasing each year, although more than 90% of neonates are born during the normal period of gestation (37–41 weeks). In 2016, the average birth weights were 3.05 kg for males and 2.96 kg for females, and 8.3% and 10.5%, respectively, were delivered with an LBW (< 2500 g)⁵. Ethnicity/ race, low socioeconomic status, multiple pregnancy, smoking, alcohol, drug abuse, and microbial infection have been reported as risk factors for LBW^{6,7}. Elucidation of the risk factors that affect fetal growth and birth weight is important.

Periodontal disease is thought to be one of the risk factors for LBW⁸⁻¹⁰. Local inflammation induced by periodontal pathogens including lipopolysaccharide (LPS) plays important roles in the pathophysiological processes of LBW or other adverse outcomes¹⁰⁻¹⁴. Moreover, there are other possible mechanisms through the increase in oxidative stress related to periodontal tissue inflammation^{15,16}. Oxidative stress, which results from a disturbance in the balance between the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and antioxidant defenses, is reported to be associated with LBW^{17,18}. A meta-analysis based on the observational studies concluded that there was evidence of an association between periodontal disease and LBW¹⁹.

Next, it would be useful to clarify whether periodontal treatment actually prevents LBW. However, some interventional studies reported that treatment of periodontal disease during pregnancy did not prevent adverse pregnancy outcomes^{20–23}. A meta-analysis concluded that treatment of periodontal disease during pregnancy had no significant effect in reducing the incidence of LBW. The onset and duration of the periodontal therapy were generally unclear in the previous trials²⁴. One of the possible reasons for the reported lack of effect of periodontal

¹Department of Preventive Dentistry, Okayama University Hospital, Okayama, Japan. ²Department of Preventive Dentistry, Okayama University Graduate School of Medicine, Dentistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Okayama, Japan. *email: takeuti@md.okayama-u.ac.jp



Figure 1. Flow chart of the participants analyzed in the present study.

treatment might be ineffective timing of the intervention. Thus, further studies are needed to determine the appropriate timing of periodontal treatment to avoid the negative effects of periodontal disease on fetal growth.

Most previous studies focused only on delivery outcomes to see any association between periodontal disease and fetal growth. However, cross-sectional studies based on birth weight could not sufficiently assess fetal/neonatal growth, because the delivery outcome data would be biased by possible growth restriction, such as preterm deliveries associated with maternal complications or iatrogenic preterm deliveries²⁵. On the other hand, few studies reported the effect of periodontal disease on longitudinal patterns of intrauterine fetal growth throughout the entire gestational period.

Ultrasonography is the most frequently used tool for assessment of intrauterine fetal growth. Estimated fetal weight (EFW) is calculated using the biparietal diameter (BPD), femur length (FL), and abdominal circumference (AC) measured by ultrasonography. Fetal growth is diagnosed by comparing individual EFW with the standard EFW curve^{26,27}. Ultrasonography could attempt to assess the pattern of increased fetal weight, the period when growth restriction happens, and the growth process of every part or organ of the fetal body selectively^{28,29}. However, few studies have investigated the correlation between EFW and periodontal disease using ultrasonography. We hypothesized that the effect of periodontal disease on fetal growth appears at a certain time. The aim of this prospective cohort study was to investigate the association between intrauterine fetal growth patterns and periodontal status in pregnant women.

Results

Figure 1 shows the flow chart for the present study participants. A total of 53 women agreed to participate in the study and underwent oral examinations. Nine participants who did not deliver in the hospital because of moving to another hospital (n = 5), intrauterine fetal death (n = 3), or who had multiple gestations (n = 1) were excluded. Finally, 44 participants (mean age 34.1 ± 4.9 years) were analyzed. Table 1 shows the characteristics of the participants. There were 13 women (29.5%) with baseline disease or pregnancy complications related to decreased birth weight. The details of maternal systemic conditions were as follows: (1) decreased birth weight: antiphospholipid syndrome, heart disease, mood syndrome, and pregnancy complications (threatened premature delivery, threatened abortion, and pregnancy-induced hypertension); (2) no associations with BW: pregnancy with assisted reproductive technology, hypothyroidism, uterine myoma, and protein S deficiency; (3) increased birth weight: type 1 diabetes mellitus and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. No women smoked or received periodontal

Maternal age (y)		34.1 ± 4.9^a
GA at oral examination (weeks)		14.3 ± 2.7
Urinary 8-OHdG (ng/mg CRE)		25.7 ± 13.0
Number of teeth present		28.7 ± 2.1
Mean PPD (mm)		2.4 ± 0.3
Mean CAL (mm)		2.4 ± 0.3
%BOP		19.8 ± 12.7
GA at delivery (weeks)		38.7±2.6
Birth weight (g)		2906.0 ± 544.4
Birth length (cm)		49.6±3.9
Time of delivery	Premature delivery	5 (11.4) ^b
Classification of birth weight	Low birth weight	4 (9.1)
Maternal parity	Multiparous	10 (22.7)
Neonatal sex	Male	18 (40.9)
Placental weight (g)		542.6 ± 101.1
Umbilical cord length (cm)		50.5 ± 9.7
PlI		0.6 ± 0.4
BMI (kg/m ²)		20.5 ± 4.2
Maternal systemic condition	Decreased BW	13 (29.5)
	Nothing or no associations with BW	29 (65.9)
	Increased BW	2 (4.5)
Periodontal condition	Periodontitis	23 (52.3)

Table 1. Characteristics of participants. GA gestational age, 8-OHdG 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine, PPDprobing pocket depth, CAL clinical attachment level, %BOP percentage of bleeding on probing, PII plaqueindex, BMI body mass index, BW birth weight. "Mean \pm standard deviation." Number (%).

.....

treatment during pregnancy. The incidence of preterm birth (gestational age [GA] < 37 weeks) was 11.4% (n = 5). The mean birth weight of the neonates was 2906.0 \pm 544.4 g. Four (9.1%) were LBW cases (<2500 g).

Table 2 shows the comparison between the non-periodontitis and periodontitis groups. The periodontitis group had lower birth weight than the non-periodontitis group (P = 0.016).

Birth length, GA at delivery, placental weight, umbilical cord length, and maternal systemic condition had positive correlations with birth weight (all P < 0.05). On the other hand, mean probing pocket depth (PPD) and clinical attachment level (CAL) were negatively correlated with birth weight (both P < 0.05). No significant association was observed between 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine (8-OHdG) concentration and birth weight (Table 3), and between maternal parity and sex or birth weight (Table 4).

The results of multiple regression analysis are shown in Table 5. Birth weight was negatively correlated with periodontitis (standardized $\beta = -0.21$; P = 0.001) and positively correlated with GA at delivery (standardized $\beta = 0.59$; P < 0.001) and weight of the placenta (standardized $\beta = 0.42$; P < 0.001).

Figures 2–5 present the spline curves for EFW, BPD, FL, and AC. For EFW and BPD, the curves of the periodontitis group were located lower than those of the non-periodontitis group throughout the entire gestational period. There were significant differences in EFW and BPD between the non-periodontitis group and the periodontitis group at each week after 32 weeks and 20 weeks, respectively. FL and AC were not significantly different between the two groups in any week throughout the entire gestational period.

Discussion

The comparison of EFW curves between the non-periodontitis group and the periodontitis group showed that a significant difference in EFW began at 32 weeks of GA. The results suggest that the maternal periodontal condition in the first trimester was associated with fetal growth at a later GA. The previous longitudinal study reported that there was a significant difference in EFW between maternal obesity in the first trimester and normal maternal weight after 32 weeks of GA²⁵. These timings were similar to the present study.

There was a significant difference in BPD at 20 weeks and later between the periodontitis and non-periodontitis groups, whereas there were no significant differences in FL and AC. EFW is calculated using BPD, FL, and AC measured by ultrasonography. Thus, the difference in BPD between the two groups may mainly contribute to that in EFW in the present study.

The median of birth weight at delivery was significantly higher in the non-periodontitis group than in the periodontitis group. This finding corresponds to the result of the EFW curve in the present study and is supported by the previous studies^{9,10}. On the other hand, some clinical trials reported that maternal periodontal treatment did not prevent LBW^{20–23}. Therefore, a systematic review did not recommend routine treatment of periodontal disease during pregnancy for decreasing the risk of LBW²⁴. Based on the present results for the EFW and BPD curves, as well as the previous studies, periodontal intervention should be completed in the early stage of pregnancy at the latest, and maintaining a good periodontal condition afterwards is desirable.

		Non-periodontitis	Periodontitis	
		n=21	n=23	P
Maternal age (y)		34.5 ± 4.2^{a}	33.8±5.6	0.645 ^d
GA at oral examination (weeks)		13.0 (12.0, 15.0) ^b	14.0 (12.0, 17.0)	0.379 ^e
Urinary 8-OHdG (ng/mg CRE)		28.8 (16.9, 40.1)	18.9 (14.9, 24.4)	0.069
Mean PPD (mm)		2.2 ± 0.2	2.5 ± 0.3	< 0.001
Mean CAL (mm)		2.2 ± 0.2	2.6±0.3	< 0.001
%BOP		14.4 (10.6, 27.7)	17.7 (12.0, 24.1)	0.511
GA at delivery (weeks)		39.0 (38.2, 40.0)	39.6 (37.3, 40.4)	0.724
Birth weight (g)		3122.0 (2856.0, 3431.0)	2818.0 (2546.0, 3126.0)	0.016
Birth length (cm)		51.0 (49.8, 52.3)	50.0 (47.5, 52.0)	0.093
Time of delivery	Premature delivery	1 (4.8) ^c	4 (17.4)	0.348 ^f
Classification of birth weight	Low birth weight	0 (0)	4 (17.4)	0.109
Maternal parity	Multiparous	6 (28.6)	4 (17.4)	0.481
Neonatal sex	Male	9 (42.9)	9 (39.1)	1.000
Placental weight (g)		568.8 ± 94.8	518.7 ± 102.8	0.101
Umbilical cord length (cm)		52.6 ± 9.0	48.6 ± 10.0	0.172
PlI		0.46 (0.25, 0.59)	0.67 (0.25, 0.96)	0.129
BMI (kg/m ²)		19.7 ± 4.6	21.3 ± 3.7	0.210
Maternal systemic condition				0.267
	Decreased BW	5 (23.8)	8 (34.8)	
	Nothing or no associations with BW	14 (66.7)	15 (65.2)	
	Increased BW	2 (9.5)	0 (0)	

Table 2. Comparison between the non-periodontitis and periodontitis groups. GA gestational age, 8-OHdG8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine, PPD probing pocket depth, CAL clinical attachment level, %BOP percentageof bleeding on probing, PII plaque index, BMI body mass index, BW birth weight. ^aMean \pm standard deviation.^bMedian (25 percentile, 75 percentile). ^cNumber (%). ^dNon-paired *t*-test. ^eMann-Whitney *U* test. ^fChi-squaredtest or Fisher's exact test.

	Birth weight		
	r	Р	
Birth length (cm)	0.921	< 0.001ª	
Maternal age (y)	0.180	0.242	
Urinary 8-OHdG (ng/mg CRE)	0.057	0.715	
Mean PPD (mm)	-0.409	0.006	
Mean CAL (mm)	-0.394	0.008	
%BOP	-0.160	0.300	
GA at delivery (week)	0.834	< 0.001	
Placental weight (g)	0.791	< 0.001	
Umbilical cord length (cm)	0.373	0.013	
PlI	-0.149	0.334	
BMI (kg/m ²)	-0.028	0.858	
Maternal systemic condition	0.340	0.024 ^b	

Table 3. Correlations between birth weight and selected variables. 8-OHdG 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine, PPD probing pocket depth, CAL clinical attachment level, %BOP percentage of bleeding on probing, GA gestational age, PII plaque index, BMI body mass index. ^aPearson's correlation analysis. ^bSpearman's correlation analysis. Maternal systemic condition was analyzed using ordinal variables: decreased birth weight 1; nothing or no association with birth weight 2; and increased birth weight 3.

6 6

There were no significant associations between concentrations of 8-OHdG as a marker of oxidative stress and neonatal birth weight (r = 0.057, P = 0.715) or between concentrations of 8-OHdG and maternal periodontal condition (P = 0.069). Pregnancy itself can induce oxidative stress because of increasing metabolic activity in the placental mitochondria³⁰. When oxidative stress levels are too high, it could interfere with normal placentation. The 8-OHdG levels in urine from pregnant women were associated with decreased birthweight, decreased head circumference, and increased risk of small-for-gestational-age fetus^{17,18,31-33}. However, the present findings are inconsistent with these data. The possible reason for the inconsistency may depend on maternal age and 8-OHdG levels. A previous study reported that the median urinary 8-OHdG level of healthy pregnant women

		Birth weight		
		Mean ± SD	Р	
Maternal parity	Primiparous	2854.5 ± 594.8	0.252	
	Multiparous	3081.0 ± 273.7	0.232	
Neonatal sex	Female	2810.2 ± 632.8	0.162	
	Male	3044.3 ± 355.9	0.105	

Table 4. Comparison of birth weight by related variables. SD standard deviation. Non-paired t-test.

	Non-standardized		Standardized			
Independent variable	В	SE	β	Р	95% CI	R ²
Maternal age	2.75	6.365	0.02	0.669	-10.22-15.71	0.926
Urinary 8-OHdG	-0.72	2.385	-0.02	0.764	-5.58-4.14	
Multiparous (vs. primiparous)	57.03	66.426	0.04	0.397	-78.27-192.34	
Female neonates (vs. male neonates)	-122.07	66.226	-0.11	0.075	-256.96-12.83	
GA at delivery	125.57	13.274	0.59	< 0.001	98.53-152.60	
Placental weight	2.25	0.344	0.42	< 0.001	1.55-2.95	
Umbilical cord length	-5.34	3.316	-0.09	0.117	-12.10-1.41	
BMI	3.77	6.727	0.03	0.579	-9.93-17.48	
Periodontitis (vs. non-periodontitis)	-228.16	59.232	-0.21	0.001	-384.81107.51	
%BOP	-0.95	2.412	-0.02	0.697	-5.86-3.97	
Maternal systemic condition	46.71	59.905	0.05	0.441	-75.31-168.73	

Table 5. Multiple regression analysis of delivery outcomes. SE standard error, CI confidence interval, 8-OHdG 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine, GA gestational age, BMI body mass index, %BOP percentage of bleeding on probing. Maternal systemic condition was analyzed using ordinal variables: decreased birth weight 1; nothing or no association with birth weight 2; and increased birth weight 3.



Figure 2. Distribution of estimated fetal weight in the non-periodontitis and periodontitis groups. *EFW* estimated fetal weight, *GA* gestational week. Asterisks show a significant difference at each gestational week (*t*-test, P < 0.05).

(mean maternal age, 25 years) was 5.51 ng/mg CRE at 12 weeks of GA³¹. The 8-OHdG level is correlated with age³⁴. In the present study, the mean maternal age was 34 years, and the mean 8-OHdG level was 25.7 ng/mg CRE (mean assay date, 14 weeks of GA). Therefore, it is conceivable that the relatively older age of the participants in the present study obscured the difference in 8-OHdG between the two groups.

There were some limitations of this study. First, all participants were recruited from Okayama University Hospital. This may limit the ability to extrapolate these findings to Japanese women in general. Furthermore, the participants might represent a specific subgroup; only two women were completely healthy. However, the women with systemic diseases received appropriate medical care. Multicenter studies are needed for external validity. Second, the periodontal condition could not be investigated in the second and third trimesters. Finally, previous studies confirmed that systemic inflammation and LPS can affect fetal growth^{11–13}. However, these factors could not be investigated in the present study. Further studies are needed to explore the mechanism of the relationship between periodontitis and fetal growth.



Figure 3. Distribution of biparietal diameter by group (non-periodontitis and periodontitis groups). *BPD* biparietal diameter, *GA* gestational week. Asterisks show a significant difference at each gestational week (*t*-test, P < 0.05).



Figure 4. Distribution of femur length by group (non-periodontitis and periodontitis groups). *FL* femur length, *GA* gestational week.



Figure 5. Distribution of abdominal circumference by group (non-periodontitis and periodontitis groups). *AC* abdominal circumference, *GA* gestational week.

In conclusion, after 20 weeks of gestation, BPD was significantly smaller in pregnant women with periodontitis than in those without periodontitis. After 32 weeks of gestation, EFW was significantly smaller. These findings suggest that periodontal treatment before conception may be recommended and a good periodontal condition in the early stage of pregnancy at the latest is desirable for infant growth.

Methods

Study population. The present study was a longitudinal observational study. The sample size was calculated by G^*Power . The effect size (f^2) was set at 0.2, alpha error at 0.05, and power (1 – beta) at 0.8 for linear multiple regression (number of predictors was 10), and the minimum sample size was estimated to be 42 participants.

Pregnant women in the first and early second trimester were recruited between May 2014 and October 2016 at the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Okayama University Hospital. Included were those who agreed to participate in the present study and were planning to deliver at the hospital. Exclusion criteria were multiple pregnancy, intrauterine fetal death, and incomplete data on delivery outcome because of unexpected transfer to another hospital. Written, informed consent was obtained from each participant who agreed to participate. The protocol of the present study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Okayama University Graduate School of Medicine, Dentistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences (No. d11002). It was confirmed that all procedures were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations. The present study was performed in accordance with the STROBE Statement.

Oral examination. Five trained dentists carried out the periodontal examination in the first or early second trimester. PPD and CAL were assessed at six sites (mesio-buccal, mid-buccal, disto-buccal, mesio-lingual, mid-lingual, and disto-lingual) for all teeth present with a color-coded probe (Periodontal Probe #5, YDM Co., Tokyo, Japan). Bleeding on probing (BOP) was recorded as present or absent after probing. Mean PPD, mean CAL, and percentage of BOP-positive sites (%BOP) were calculated for each subject. The Plaque Index (PII)³⁵ was also obtained. For PPD and CAL, agreement ± 1 mm was 100% on preliminary calibration.

Participants were divided into two groups according to periodontal status, the non-periodontitis group and the periodontitis group. The presence of 4 or more teeth showing at least one site with PPD \geq 4 mm and CAL \geq 3 mm at the same site was diagnosed as periodontitis^{10,36}.

Evaluation of oxidative stress. The urinary 8-OHdG level was measured to assess oxidative stress on the same day as the oral examination, because 8-OHdG has some advantages as a marker. 8-OHdG is present in the extracellular compartment following DNA damage caused by ROS, and it can be noninvasively obtained from urine, as well as serum. 8-OHdG is stable after sample collection, so that it has been used universally to assess oxidative stress^{37,38}. The urinary 8-OHdG concentration was determined by an immunochromatographic assay using an automatic analyzer, the ICR-001 (Techno Medica Co., Ltd. Yokohama, Japan)³⁹⁻⁴¹. The urine sample was diluted 2 times by distilled water, and 100 μ l of the diluted sample were dropped on the testing card. After 5 minutes, the adjusted 8-OHdG concentration was expressed as a ratio to the urinary creatinine concentration (ng/mg CRE).

Medical examination. Maternal height (cm) and weight (kg) were recorded at the first prenatal visit, as well as pre-pregnancy weight, and body mass index (BMI) was calculated (kg/m²). Information on maternal age, parity, baseline disease, complications during pregnancy, and medication use were obtained from the medical records. Maternal systemic conditions were decided based on the maternal baseline diseases and pregnancy complications, and divided into 3 groups by the risk to fetal growth of these abnormalities: 1) decreased birth weight, 2) no associations with BW, and 3) increased birth weight.

Ultrasonographic measurements of the BPD, AC, and FL were recorded by an obstetrician at each visit until delivery. They were blind to the results of the oral examinations. EFW was calculated according to the formula of the Japan Society of Ultrasound in Medicine²⁶, which incorporates BPD, AC, and FL:

 $EFW = 1.07 \times BPD^3 + 3.00 \times 10^{-1}AC^2 \times FL.$

Using the ultrasonographic measurements provided, the time-course regression curves for each participant were computed by a statistical software package. For modeling regression curves, exponential functions were adopted for BPD, FL, and AC, and a logistic curve was adopted for EFW. From the regression curves, the mean fetal measurements were obtained for each GA, and these measurements were splined. Estimated curves were created for the non-periodontitis group and the periodontitis group.

Delivery outcomes. At the time of delivery, GA, birth weight, birth length, sex, placental weight, and umbilical cord length were recorded by a gynecologist or a nurse.

Statistical analysis. The distributions of this data were assessed by using Shapiro-Wilk test. After that, non-paired *t*-tests, Mann-Whitney *U* test, and chi-squared tests or Fisher's exact tests were performed to compare variables between the non-periodontitis and periodontitis groups. Correlations between birth weight and variables were analyzed by Pearson's or Spearman's correlation coefficients. Comparisons of birth weight were performed using non-paired *t*-tests in two sets of two groups divided by maternal parity (primipara/multipara) and neonatal sex (male/female), respectively.

Multiple regression analysis was performed to analyze the effects of the following independent variables on birth weight as a dependent variable: maternal age, 8-OHdG level, parity, neonatal sex, GA at delivery, placental weight, umbilical cord length, BMI, periodontal group (non-periodontitis/periodontitis), %BOP, and maternal systemic condition (decreased BW/nothing or no associations with BW/increased BW).

Estimated EFW, BPD, FL, and AC values were compared between the non-periodontitis and periodontitis groups at each GA using non-paired *t*-tests.

All data were analyzed and all estimated curves were created using SPSS version 22 (IBM Japan, Tokyo, Japan) with the level of significance set at P < 0.05.

Received: 3 September 2019; Accepted: 10 January 2020; Published online: 29 January 2020

References

- Baker, D. J. & Osmond, C. Infant mortality, childhood nutrition, and ischaemic heart disease in England and Wales. *Lancet.* 1, 1077–1081 (1986).
- Osmond, C., Barker, D. J., Winter, P. D., Fall, C. H. & Simmonds, S. J. Early growth and death from cardiovascular disease in women. BMJ. 307, 1519–1524 (1993).
- 3. Godfrey, K. M. & Barker, D. J. Fetal nutrition and adult disease. J. Am. Clin. Nutr. 71, 1344S-1352S (2000).
- 4. Baker, D. J. The Developmental Origins of Adult Disease. J. Am. Coll. Nutr. 23, 588S-595S (2004).
- 5. Ministry of health, labour and welfare. Vital statistics in Japan, Trends up to 2016. (Tokyo, 2018).
- 6. Kramer, M. S. Determinants of low birth weight: methodological assessment and meta-analysis. Bull. World Health Organ. 65, 663-737 (1987).
- 7. Gibbs, R. S. The relationship between infections and adverse pregnancy outcomes: an overview. Ann. Periodontol. 6, 153-163 (2001).
- 8. Dasanayake, A. P. Poor periodontal health of the pregnant woman as a risk factor for low birth weight. Ann. Periodontol. 3, 206–212 (1998).
- 9. Offenbacher, S. et al. Maternal periodontitis and prematurity. Part I: Obstetric outcome of prematurity and growth restriction. Ann. Periodontol. 6, 164–174 (2001).
- López, N. J., Smith, P. C. & Gutierrez, J. Higher risk of preterm birth and low birth weight in women with periodontal disease. J. Dent. Res. 81, 58–63 (2002).
- Offenbacher, S. et al. Potential pathogenic mechanisms of periodontitis-associated pregnancy complications. Ann. Periodontol. 3, 233–250 (1998).
- 12. Champage, C. M. et al. Periodontal medicine: emerging concepts in pregnancy outcomes. J. Int. Acad. Periodontol. 2, 9–13 (2000).
- Garcia, R. I., Henshaw, M. M. & Krall, E. A. Relationship between periodontal disease and systemic health. Periodontol. 2000. 25, 21-36 (2001).
- Saini, R., Saini, S. & Saini, S. R. Periodontitis: A risk for delivery of premature labor and low birth weight infants. J. Nat. Sci. Biol. Med. 2, 50–52 (2011).
- Canakci, C. F., Cicek, Y., Yildirim, A., Sezer, U. & Canakci, V. Increased levels of 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine and malondialdehyde and its relationship with antioxidant enzymes in saliva of periodontitis patients. *Eur. J. Dent.* 3, 100–106 (2009).
- Liu, Z. et al. Systemic oxidative stress biomarkers in chronic periodontitis: a meta-analysis. Dis. Markers. 2014, 931083, https://doi. org/10.1155/2014/931083 (2014).
- 17. Scholl, T. O. & Stein, T. P. Oxidant damage to DNA and pregnancy outcome. J. Matern. Fetal Med. 10, 182-185 (2001).
- 18. Hsieh, T. T. et al. The association between maternal oxidative stress at mid-gestation and subsequent pregnancy complications. Reprod. Sci. 19, 505-512 (2012).
- 19. Xiong, X., Buekens, P., Fraser, W. D., Beck, J. & Offenbacher, S. Periodontal disease and adverse pregnancy outcomes: a systematic review. *BJOG.* 113, 135–143 (2005).
- 20. Michalowicz, B. S. et al. Treatment of periodontal disease and the risk of preterm birth. N. Engl. J. Med. 355, 1885-1894 (2006).
- Newnham, J. P. et al. Treatment of periodontal disease during pregnancy: a randomized controlled trial. Obstet. Gynecol. 114, 1239–1248 (2009).
- Offenbacher, S. et al. Effects of periodontal therapy on rate of preterm delivery: a randomized controlled trial. Obstet. Gynecol. 114, 551–559 (2009).
- 23. Macones, G. A. *et al.* Treatment of localized periodontal disease in pregnancy does not reduce the occurrence of preterm birth: results from the Periodontal Infections and Prematurity Study (PIPS). *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* **202**, 147.e1–147.e8 (2010).
- Polyzos, N. P. *et al.* Obstetric outcomes after treatment of periodontal disease during pregnancy: systematic review and metaanalysis. *BMJ*. 341, c7017, https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.c7017 (2010).
- Grantz, K. L. et al. Dichorionic twin trajectories: the NICHD Fetal Growth Studies. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. 215, 221.e1–221.e16 (2016).
- 26. The Japan Society of Ultrasonic in Medicine https://www.jsum.or.jp/committee/diagnostic/pdf/taiji.pdf (2019).
- 27. Japan Society of Obsterics and Gynecology http://fa.kyorin.co.jp/jsog/readPDE.php?file=to63/57/1/KJ00003303294.pdf (2019).
- Jeanty, P., Cousaert, E., de Maertelaer, V. & Cantraine, F. Sonographic detection of smoking-related decreased fetal growth. J. Ultrasound Med. 6, 13-18 (1987).
- Newnham, J. P., Patterson, L., James, I. & Reid, S. E. Effects of maternal cigarette smoking on ultrasonic measurements of fetal growth and on Doppler flow velocity waveforms. *Early Hum. Dev.* 24, 23–36 (1990).
- Wisdom, S. J., Wilson, R., McKillop, J. H. & Walker, J. J. Antioxidant systems in normal pregnancy and in pregnancy-induced hypertension. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. 165, 1701–1704 (1991).
- 31. Potdar., N. et al. First-trimester increase in oxidative stress and risk of small-for-gestational-age fetus. BJOG. 116, 637-642 (2009).
- 32. Lindström, E. *et al.* Associations between oxidative parameters in pregnancy and birth anthropometry in a cohort of women and children in rural Bangladesh: the MINIMat-cohort. *Free Radic. Res.* **46**, 253–264 (2012).
- Ferguson, K. K. et al. Associations between repeated ultrasound measures of fetal growth and biomarkers of maternal oxidative stress and inflammation in pregnancy. Am. J. Reprod. Immunol. 80, e13017, https://doi.org/10.1111/aji.13017 (2018).
- Miwa, R., Matsumaru, H., Akimoto, Y., Naito, S. & Ochi, H. Quantitative determination of urinary 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine level in healthy Japanese volunteers. *Biofactors.* 22, 249–253 (2004).
- Silness, J. & Loe, H. Periodontal disease in pregnancy II. Correlation between oral hygiene and periodontal condition. Acta Odontol. Scand. 22, 121–135 (1964).
- Vogt, M., Sallum, A. W., Cecatti, J. G. & Morais, S. S. Factors associated with the prevalence of periodontal disease in low-risk pregnant women. *Reprod. Health.* 9, 3, https://doi.org/10.1186/1742-4755-9-3 (2012).
- Kasai, H. Analysis of a form of oxidative DNA damage, 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine, as a marker of cellular oxidative stress during carcinogenesis. *Mutat. Res.* 387, 147–163 (1997).
- Cooke, M. S., Olinski, R. & Evans, M. D. Does measurement of oxidative damage to DNA have clinical significance? *Clin. Chim. Acta.* 365, 30–49 (2006).
- 39. Kaneko, K. et al. Measurement of urinary 8-oxo-7,8-dihydro-2-deoxyguanosine in a novel point-of-care testing device to assess oxidative stress in children. Clin. Chim. Acta. 413, 1822–1826 (2012).
- 40. Masugata, H. et al. Association between urinary 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine, an indicator of oxidative stress, and the cardio-ankle vascular index in hypertensive patients. J. Atheroscler. Thromb. 19, 747–755 (2012).
- 41. Masugata, H. et al. Association between oxidative stress assessed by urinary 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine and the cardiac function in hypertensive patients without overt heart disease. Clin. Exp. Hypertens. **35**, 308–312 (2013).

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Dr. Hisashi Masuyama (Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Okayama University Hospital, Okayama, Japan), Dr. Masami Sakumoto-Kataoka, and Dr. Muneyoshi Kunitomo (Apple Dental Clinic, Okayama, Japan) for helping with data collection. The study was funded by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 25463241) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Tokyo, Japan.

Author contributions

A. Taniguchi-Tabata: Protocol development, Data collection, Data analysis, Manuscript writing. N. Takeuchi: Protocol/project development, Data collection, Data analysis, Manuscript editing. Y. Uchida: Data collection, Data analysis, Manuscript editing. D. Ekuni: Project development, Manuscript editing. M. Morita: Project development, Manuscript editing.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to N.T.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2020