

Pharmacology and clinical potential of vortioxetine in the treatment of major depressive disorder

Enric Alvarez^{1,2}

Victor Perez^{4,2}

Francesc Artigas^{3,2}

¹Department of Psychiatry, Hospital de Sant Pau, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Institut de Recerca Biomedica Sant Pau, Barcelona, Spain;

²Ministry of Science and Innovation, CIBERSAM, Madrid, Spain; ³Institut d'Investigacions Biomediques de Barcelona, CSIC, Barcelona, Spain;

⁴Institut de Neuropsiquiatria i Adiccions, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Hospital del Mar, Barcelona, Spain

Abstract: Vortioxetine is a new multimodal action antidepressant with two types of action: serotonin transporter (SERT) blockade and a strong affinity for several serotoninergic receptors. It is an antagonist of the 5-HT₃ and 5-HT₇ receptors, a partial agonist of 5-HT_{1B}, and an agonist of 5-HT_{1A}. Its combined action on SERT and four subtypes of serotoninergic receptors increases the extracellular concentration of serotonin, dopamine, and noradrenaline. Twelve clinical trials have been carried out, nine of which had positive results versus placebo. When active comparators were included in the study design, no significant differences were found except in one study in which the efficacy of vortioxetine was superior to the comparator (agomelatine) in depression resistant to selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRI)/serotonin–norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRI) treatment. Tolerability studies indicate that the drug does not cause any important problems on blood tests, vital signs, or on electrocardiography. The lack of weight gain and induction of metabolic syndrome and the lack of significant changes in the QTc are especially important. The incidence rate of sexual dysfunction is low and similar to placebo in various trials. Similarly, cognitive function remains intact with vortioxetine.

Keywords: depression, clinical trial, efficacy

Introduction

Major depressive disorder (MDD) is a disease that most commonly emerges in the third decade of life, with a high relapse rate and risk of chronicity. MDD is undoubtedly the maximum expression of human suffering and is the leading cause of death by suicide.

MDD is a common disease, with lifetime prevalence of around 13% and an incidence rate of 4%.¹ Females are twice as likely to suffer from major depression as males, and it is the main cause of suicide in both sexes. Approximately 15% of patients with depression die as a consequence of the illness² and MDD accounts for at least 90% of all suicides. Suicide is currently the main cause of external death in all age groups in most European countries.

Sufficient evidence is available to support the idea that depression is a neuroprogressive disease, that is, the persistence of the clinical signs and symptoms implies structural changes in the neurons and neural tracts.^{3,4} Due to this neuroprogression, it is even more important to achieve the therapeutic objectives as quickly and effectively as possible.

The total cost of depression in Europe has been estimated at €118 billion, with the majority of this amount (61%) due to indirect costs related to workplace productivity losses.⁵

Correspondence: Enric Alvarez
Department of Psychiatry, Hospital de Sant Pau, Av/Sant Antoni M^a Claret 167, 08025 Barcelona, Spain
Tel +34 935 537 841
Fax +34 935 537 842
Email ealvarezm@santpau.cat

According to the World Health Organization (WHO), depression is the second leading cause of disability – expressed as disability-adjusted life years (DALYs), that is, years of healthy life lost – in patients aged 15–44 years. For 2020, the WHO estimates that depression will become the second leading cause of disability (DALYs) for all age groups. By 2030, depression is expected to become the leading cause of disability in industrialized countries.⁶

Despite the large number of antidepressants on the market, the treatment of depression still has numerous unmet needs, most of which are related to the efficacy and tolerability of the currently available drugs. Specifically, most antidepressants negatively affect sexual and cognitive function and can induce weight gain, and these effects often lead to therapeutic discontinuation. These treatment interruptions, in turn, increase the number of episodes and the risk of chronicity. Consequently, all of these factors taken together, increase the costs of depression at all levels (ie, personal, family, and economic).

The arrival of a new antidepressant on the market – vortioxetine – that could partially mitigate these negative aspects will undoubtedly generate strong interest.

Mechanism of action of vortioxetine

Vortioxetine shows high affinity for the serotonin transporter (SERT) and several serotonin receptors. Thus, *in vitro* assay revealed that vortioxetine is a 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT)₃, 5-HT₇, and 5-HT_{1D} receptor antagonist, a 5-HT_{1B} receptor partial agonist, a 5-HT_{1A} receptor agonist, and SERT inhibitor.^{7–9} Binding affinities and functional activities of vortioxetine are similar in rat and human brain, with the exception of 5-HT₇ and 5-HT_{1A} receptors, which are approximately one order of magnitude weaker in rat brain. In agreement with its higher affinity for 5-HT₃ receptors and SERT, *ex vivo* autoradiography experiments show that vortioxetine occupies these sites at lower doses (0.3–10 mg/kg), whereas higher doses are required to occupy 5-HT_{1B}, 5-HT_{1A}, and 5-HT₇ receptors.

In vivo microdialysis studies showed that vortioxetine increases the extracellular concentration of serotonin (5-HT) more than the serotonin selective reuptake inhibitors (SSRI) in depression-related areas, such as the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) and the hippocampus.^{8,10} Vortioxetine also increases the extracellular concentration of noradrenaline (NA), dopamine (DA), acetylcholine (Ach), and histamine (HA) in rat brain.^{8,10} The greater elevations of extracellular 5-HT evoked by vortioxetine likely result from its multimodal mechanism of action. Indeed, various 5-HT receptors for

which vortioxetine shows high affinity have been implicated in the mechanism of action of antidepressant drugs,¹¹ and several of them participate in negative feedback mechanisms that limit the action of SSRI.^{12,13} In particular, the blockade of 5-HT₃ receptors by vortioxetine may be involved in these neurochemical effects, since the 5-HT₃ receptor antagonist ondansetron augments the increase in extracellular 5-HT produced by SSRI in mPFC and the hippocampus.⁸ Likewise, vortioxetine (but not escitalopram) increased the discharge rate of pyramidal neurons in rat mPFC, an effect that depends on 5-HT₃ receptor blockade.¹⁴

Vortioxetine's actions at 5-HT receptors may be involved in the elevations of extracellular DA, NA, Ach, and HA evoked by the drug in rat brains,⁸ given the multiple and mutual interactions between these neurotransmitter systems. Likewise, this mechanism may also explain the greater elevations in extracellular 5-HT produced by vortioxetine in comparison with the SSRI at a given occupancy of SERT.⁹

Vortioxetine shows good bioavailability after oral dosing (75%) with a t_{max} of 7–8 hours and a half-life ($t_{1/2}$) of 57 hours, and achievement of stable plasma concentrations in <2 weeks. The rate of binding to plasma proteins was 96%. It is metabolized by several hepatic P-450 isoenzymes such as CYP2D6, CYP3A4/5, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, CYP2A6, CYP2C8, and CYP2B6.¹⁵ It does not inhibit nor activate any of them, showing linear kinetics. Its main metabolite is inactive.

Efficacy of vortioxetine

Twelve clinical trials have been carried out to assess the short-term efficacy (6 or 8 weeks) of vortioxetine (see Table 1).^{16–27} Two long-term trials have been conducted to assess its efficacy in preventing relapses.

Of the 12 efficacy trials for new episodes of depression, eight were positive for vortioxetine versus placebo,^{19–21,23–27} while three studies (two of which were carried out only in the US) showed no significant differences versus placebo,^{16,18,22} with one failed study.¹⁷ In one of the negative trials, Jain et al¹⁶ includes a detailed discussion of most of the factors that can affect placebo response.

Six of the positive studies achieved positive results in the treatment arms that received 5–20 mg/day. In contrast, in two other positive studies, vortioxetine was superior to placebo only in the highest dose arms (20 mg/day) but not at 10 or 15 mg/day. One of the trials¹⁷ was considered to be “failed” because neither vortioxetine nor the active comparator (duloxetine) were superior to placebo. Another trial¹⁸ was clearly negative for vortioxetine because the results were

positive for the active comparator (duloxetine). However, it is important to note that one of the inclusion criteria required that subjects not be resistant to duloxetine, and this requirement could have biased the results. In the other two negative trials, vortioxetine was compared only to placebo and the lack of an active comparator makes the results of those trials more uncertain.

Patients who respond to vortioxetine typically do so after approximately 2 weeks of treatment, with the maximum response seen near the fourth week. However, McIntyre et al¹⁹ observed significant differences versus placebo in the first week at doses of 20 mg/day, whereas these differences did not emerge until the second week in the 10 mg/day arm.

Table 1 shows the efficacy data and other relevant details of these 12 trials. Six of these 12 studies have been published as articles in various medical journals while the remaining six studies have not yet been published, but were presented as posters in relevant congresses. All these trials involve patients who presented an episode of major depression (*Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* [DSM-IV] criteria) of at least 3 months' duration without any history of treatment resistance. The only exception was the direct comparison trial of vortioxetine and agomelatine, conducted in patients who showed no or inadequate response to SSRI/serotonin–norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRI) for at least 6 weeks at maximal doses.²⁰

These 12 studies were conducted in patient populations ranging 18–75 years, with a mean age of 42–48 years. Females made up the majority of patients (62.5%–78%) in all the samples. Five of the studies were performed only in the US,^{16,18,21–23} while the remaining seven were conducted in numerous other countries.^{17,19,20,24–27}

The main variable assessed in all the studies was the change in the Montgomery–Åsberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS) or in the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAMD). Baseline scores on the MADRS ranged from 29 to 34 depending on the inclusion criteria of the particular study, which varied slightly. The percentage of patients in each trial who completed the full study ranged from 74%–90%. Two trials involved only ambulatory patients while another two included both ambulatory and inpatients (without differential analysis); in the remaining studies, no details regarding this aspect have been provided. There were no significant differences between treatment groups in any of the trials in terms of demographic or clinical variables that could have biased the results.

All the trials were performed on an intention-to-treat basis with the last observation carried forward (LOCF)

but according to the full analysis set (FAS) version, which includes all patients who have been assessed at least once after randomization.

Two medium/long-term efficacy studies of vortioxetine have been performed. One of these was a randomized double-blind controlled clinical trial designed to assess the ability of vortioxetine to prevent new relapses of depression.²⁸ No less interesting is the open-label efficacy extension study carried out by Baldwin et al²⁹ under conditions considered closer to “real life”. Although this was a tolerability study, the complementary efficacy data are relevant.

The first of these long-term trials²⁸ was conducted in a sample of 639 patients recruited in 17 countries. The design consisted of a 12-week period during which patients were treated on an open-label basis with flexible doses of vortioxetine (5–10 mg/day); after the eighth week, the dose was fixed for the remainder of the study period. Patients in remission (MADRS \leq 10) were randomized to receive double-blind treatment with placebo or with the same dose level of vortioxetine that was needed to achieve remission. Final assessment was performed when the last patient recruited had finalized the required 24 weeks of follow-up (the first patients included in the trial were followed for 64 weeks). A significant difference was found in the mean relapse rate between patients in the placebo group and patients who continued with the active drug (26% versus [vs] 13%, $P=0.0035$), thus confirming the ability of vortioxetine to retain its therapeutic activity.

In the second long-term trial,²⁹ all patients received 5 mg/day during the first week; after this time, the open-label design permitted the researchers to administer flexible doses (between 2.5 and 10 mg/day) at their discretion. This approach approximates the real conditions of antidepressant treatment, except that the range of doses was perhaps smaller than it would have been in real conditions. The treatment period was 12 months. Although the main outcomes of that study are related to tolerability, the treatment “effectiveness” outcomes are also of interest: the MADRS decreased by a mean of 8 points, the percentage of responders increased from 63% to 94%, and remissions (MADRS $<$ 10) rose from 42% to 83%. Moreover, among the patients in remission at the start of the study, the relapse rate was only 9.7%.

Few clinical trials have been performed to assess efficacy in switching from one antidepressant to another in resistant depression. For this reason, the trial carried out by Häggström et al²⁰ is particularly noteworthy. Their study was conducted in patients who did not respond (or responded inadequately) to SSRI or SNRI monotherapy drugs (citalopram, escitalopram, paroxetine, sertraline, duloxetine, and

Table 1 Vortioxetine double-blind randomized trials in patients with major depressive disorder

Country and authors	Patient setting	Design double-blind; outcome; Number of centers	Primary efficacy (weeks)	Active comparator	Placebo	Dosage	Number of patients	Females, %	P-value (vortioxetine versus placebo)	P-value (active comparator versus placebo)
11 countries Alvarez et al ²⁴	Outpatients	Yes 49	6	Venlafaxine 225 mg	Yes	5 mg 10 mg (fixed dose)	429	62.7	P<0.0001	P<0.0001
20 countries Baldwin et al ¹⁷	Inpatients	Yes	8	Duloxetine 60 mg	Yes	2.5 mg 5 mg 10 mg	766	78	NS	NS
US Mahableshwarkar et al ⁸	Outpatients 18–75 years old	Yes 47	8	Duloxetine 60 mg	Yes	2.5 mg 5 mg (fixed dose)	611	63.52	NS	P<0.005
US Jain et al ¹⁶ (Primary care, psychiatrist, private practice, academic centers, etc)	Outpatients	Yes 47	6	No	Yes	5 mg	600	58.3	NS	–
14 countries Henigsberg et al ²⁵	Outpatients 18–75 years old	Yes Not specified (14 countries)	8	No	Yes	1 mg 5 mg 10 mg (fixed dose)	560	62.5	P<0.001 (dose 10 mg)	–
7 countries Katona et al ²⁶	Elderly (mean age 70.5 years)	Yes 81	8	Duloxetine 60 mg	Yes	5 mg	452	65.7	P=0.0011	P=0.0001
13 countries Boulinger et al ²⁷	In and outpatients 18–75 years old	Yes 72	8	Duloxetine	Yes	15 mg 20 mg	608	65.87	P<0.0001 (doses 15 and 20 mg)	P<0.0001
14 countries (EUR) Hägström et al ²⁰	In and outpatients Non or partial response to 6 weeks SSRI/SRNI 18–75 years old	Yes 71	12	Agomelatine 25 mg 50 mg	No	10 mg 20 mg	495	74.7	VOR >AGO Remission rate: 55.2% vs 38.4% P<0.001	

Study	Country	Not specified	Yes	<u>MADRS</u>	8	No	Yes	10 mg	462	72.5	20 mg	-
Jacobsen et al ²¹	US	18-75	37	HAMA SDS				20 mg (first week 10 mg)	(screened from 792)		<i>P</i> =0.002	
Mahableshwarkar et al ²²	US	18-75	65	<u>MADRS</u> HAMA CGI	8	No	Yes	10 mg 15 mg	469 (screened from 1,111)	70.2	NS	-
Mahableshwarkar et al ²³	US	18-75	58	<u>MADRS</u> HAMA CGI SDS	8	Duloxetine 60 mg	Yes	15 mg 20 mg	614 (screened from 1,141)	73.7	20 mg <i>P</i> =0.023	<i>P</i> <0.001
McIntyre et al ¹⁹	12 countries	18-65	Yes	<u>MADRS</u> DSST RAVLT	8	No	Yes	10 mg 20 mg	602	66.26	<i>P</i> <0.001	

Note: The main variable in each study is underlined in the table.
Abbreviations: AGO, agomelatine; CGI, Clinical Global Impression; DSST, Digit Symbol Substitution Test; EUR, Europe; HAMA, Hamilton Rating Scale for Anxiety; HAMID, Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (24 or 17 items); MADRS, Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating Scale; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; NS, not significant; RAVLT, Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test; SDS, Sheehan Disability Scale; SNRI, serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors; SSRI, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors; VOR, vortioxetine.

venlafaxine) administered at appropriate doses (up to the maximum dose allowed) and for sufficient periods of time (at least 6 weeks). All patients in the study were considered candidates for a monotherapeutic drug-switching strategy. Given the resistant nature of these patients' depression, this trial could be considered a "paradigm shift" in the pharmacological treatment of depression, as the patients were randomized to receive either: a) agomelatine, a melatonergic agonist that induces an increase in the release of NA and DA³⁰ or b) vortioxetine, which, in addition to antagonizing SERT, possesses the multimodal capacities described previously, which promote the release of catecholamines in some regions of the brain.¹⁰ The prescribed doses of both drugs assured maximum therapeutic activity: 25–50 mg/day of agomelatine versus 10–20 mg/day of vortioxetine. After 12 weeks, patients in both groups had improved significantly, with a mean decrease of 16.5 points on the MADRS for the vortioxetine group versus 14.4 points for agomelatine (*P*<0.01). Vortioxetine was shown to be significantly better in therapeutic response (50% improvement in MADRS; *P*<0.01) and in remissions (MADRS ≤10; *P*<0.001). Despite the theoretical limitation of this study (ie, lack of a placebo arm), this was a non-experimental patient population recruited from daily clinical practice after having received conventional treatment. As a result, this population was much closer to "real world" patients.

Vortioxetine tolerability

The safety data from the 12 short-term efficacy studies are shown in Table 2. In general, tolerability was excellent. The most common adverse events (AEs), with an incidence rate >5%, were nausea, vomiting, constipation, and headaches. In some trials, vortioxetine resulted in an incidence rate for these AEs that was similar to that for placebo and better than the active comparators. However, the incidence of AEs for vortioxetine was higher than for placebo only at the highest (20 mg/day) dose arms: Boulenger et al²⁷ 66.2% versus 50.6%; Jacobsen et al²¹ 68.7% versus 62.4%; and Mahableshwarkar et al²³ 81.2% versus 70.4%.

In most of the studies, at doses of 10 mg or more, AE-related withdrawals were greater in the vortioxetine arms versus placebo and lower than the active comparator, except in the Mahableshwarkar et al study.²³ However, at doses <10 mg, vortioxetine showed no differences versus placebo in terms of withdrawals. Detailed data on withdrawals due to AEs are shown in Table 3.

No relevant differences between vortioxetine and placebo have been observed in terms of vital signs, including

Table 2 Tolerability and adverse effects. Vortioxetine clinical trials

Country and authors	ECG	Vital signs	Laboratory test	Sexual dysfunction	Suicide behavior	>5% reported	Severe AEs	Other relevant data
11 countries Alvarez et al ²⁴	Not relevant	Not relevant	No difference versus [vs] placebo	PLC: 1.9% VOR 5 mg: 1.9% VOR 10 mg: 1% VLFX: 12.4%	Item 10 MADRS: decrease in active treatment groups vs placebo from week 2 No suicidal behavior	PLC: 61% VOR 5 mg: 67.6% VOR 10 mg: 74.0% VLFX: 75.2	PLC: 4.6% VOR 5 mg: 6% VOR 10 mg: 6% VLFX: 1.2%	Rater training was undertaken to increase inter-rater reliability
20 countries Baldwin et al ¹⁷	No difference vs placebo	No difference vs placebo	No difference vs placebo	PLC: 0 VOR 2.5 mg: 2% VOR 5 mg: 4% VOR 10 mg: 4% DLX: 14% (vs PLC, P<0.01)	One patient in every VOR group had potentially suicidal behavior These events were considered not related to VOR by the treating investigators	PLC: 62.2% VOR 2.5 mg: 59.4% VOR 5 mg: 63.7% VOR 10 mg: 65.6% DLX: 71.0%	No difference between groups. No more than one patient in every group	
US Mahableshwarkar et al ¹⁸	No difference vs placebo	No difference vs placebo	No difference vs placebo	ASEX No significant differences vs placebo (more cases in VOR 2.5 mg and DLX vs PLC)	C-SSRS No difference between groups (PLC, 2, 3, 5, and DLX)	PLC: 63.6% VOR 2.5 mg: 64.4% VOR 5 mg: 70.6% DLX: 85.3%	PLC: 9.3% VOR 2.5 mg: 6.0% VOR 5 mg: 7.2% DLX: 10.7%	
US Jain et al ¹⁶ (Primary care, private psychiatrist, private practice, academic centers, etc)	No difference vs placebo	No difference vs placebo	No difference vs placebo	PLC: 0.3% VOR: 1.3%	C-SSRS No difference vs placebo	PLC: 64.4% VOR: 69.9%	PLC: 1.3% VOR: 2.3%	Fewer screening failures and slower patient enrollment resulted in less difference vs placebo in participating centers
14 countries Henigsberg et al ²⁵	No difference vs placebo	No difference vs placebo	No difference vs placebo	No reports in PLC, 1 and 5 mg of VOR One decreased libido in 10 mg group	C-SSRS No difference vs placebo	PLC: 42.9% VOR 1 mg: 39.3% VOR 5 mg: 56.4% VOR 10 mg: 41.7%	No differences between groups (1.1% of sample)	
7 countries Katona et al ²⁶	No difference vs placebo	No difference vs placebo	No difference vs placebo	PLC: 0 VOR 5 mg: 0 DLX: 6%	C-SSRS No difference vs placebo	PLC: 61% VOR 5 mg: 62% DLX: 79%	PLC: 4.4% VOR 5 mg: 0.93% DLX: 1%	Cognitive function assessed. Significant differences vs PLC
13 countries Boulinger et al ²⁷	No difference vs placebo	No difference vs placebo	No difference vs placebo	Not assessed	Suicide ideation PLC: 11.5% VOR 15 mg: 9.9% VOR 20 mg: 9.3% DLX: 6.1%	PLC: 50.6% VOR 15 mg: 57.0% VOR 20 mg: 66.2% DLX: 65.3%	Unspecified	
14 countries (EUR) Hägström et al ²⁰	No differences between groups	No differences between groups	No differences between groups	Not assessed	Not assessed	VOR 10 and 20 mg: 54.2% AGO 25 and 50 mg: 52.5%	VOR: 1% AGO: 1.45%	Patients partially resistant to SSRI/SNRI

US Jacobsen et al. ²¹	No difference vs placebo	No difference vs placebo	No difference vs placebo	PLC: 26% VOR 10 mg: 46.9% VOR 20 mg: 41.7%	C-SSRS No differences in PLC vs 20 mg One suicide attempt in 10 mg group	PLC: 62.4% VOR 10 mg: 73.5% VOR 20 mg: 68.7%	No severe AEs 3.7 dropouts due to AEs
US Mahableshwarkar et al. ²²	Not relevant	Not relevant	Not relevant	ASEX No significant differences vs placebo (10 and 15 mg)	C-SSRS Suicide ideation: PLC 3 and VOR 2 No suicide attempts	PLC: 71.3% VOR 10 mg: 77.3% VOR 15 mg: 78.1%	469 patients included from 1,111 screened. Evaluated by video conferencing
US Mahableshwarkar et al. ²³	No difference vs placebo	No difference vs placebo	No difference vs placebo	ASEX No significant differences vs placebo in 15 and 20 mg VOR (36%) DLX group 53%	C-SSRS No differences in VOR groups vs PLC One subject in 15 and 20 mg group had suicidal ideation No suicide attempts	PLC: 70.4% VOR 15 mg: 73.5% VOR 20 mg: 81.2% DLX: 81.3%	Only the two subjects with suicidal ideation were considered as serious AEs

Abbreviations: AEs, adverse events; AGO, agomelatine; ASEX, Arizona Sexual Experience Scale; C-SSRS, Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale; DLX, duloxetine; ECG, electrocardiography; EUR, Europe; MADRS, Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating Scale; PLC, placebo; SNRI, serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors; SSRI, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors; VLPX, venlafaxine; VOR, vortioxetine.

arterial blood pressure and heart rate. Similarly, no alterations in any cardiac parameters – including the QT segments – have been seen on electrocardiographic examinations, with no significant differences between placebo and vortioxetine.

One particularly interesting characteristic of vortioxetine is that it appears to have no effect on weight, in contrast to other antidepressants in which the association between induction of weight gain and the presence of other metabolic alterations has been established. This is important given that weight gain and sexual dysfunction are the main AEs responsible for poor therapeutic adherence.

Sexual dysfunction

Deterioration in sexual function is the AE that most concerns both patients and clinicians alike. A recent meta-analysis on this topic³¹ assessed the incidence of emerging sexual dysfunction reported in studies of antidepressant medications. That meta-analysis found that only antidepressant drugs without serotonergic activity were able to maintain low rates of sexual dysfunction similar to placebo. However, to achieve remission, most patients require an antidepressant with serotonergic activity, which is why SSRI/SNRI are used in most monotherapy treatments. In contrast, catecholaminergic drugs are used mainly in combined therapies, as occurs with bupropion or mirtazapine.

The proportion of patients who develop treatment-related emerging sexual dysfunction will depend on the specific medication. A few notable examples include sertraline (80% of patients), venlafaxine (79.8%), duloxetine (41%), and escitalopram (37%).³¹

Clinical trials of vortioxetine performed in acute patients indicate that the percentage of patients who develop emerging sexual dysfunction is considerably lower for this drug than for other antidepressants, even though vortioxetine is essentially a serotonergic agonist. In the studies that have reported spontaneous sexual dysfunction, the proportion of patients with sexual dysfunction was the same or close to placebo and significantly lower than that for the active comparators venlafaxine²⁴ and duloxetine.^{17,26} However, it should be noted that when a specific instrument, such as the Arizona Sexual Experience Scale (ASEX) was used, the percentage of sexual dysfunction increased in all groups treated with 20 mg/day of vortioxetine. However, this increase was only significant versus placebo in one study.²¹

The data from the two long-term studies of vortioxetine merit special attention, especially considering the relevance of sexual dysfunction in the general population: premature ejaculation and erectile dysfunction in 14% and 10% of

Table 3 Withdrawal due to AEs in VOR double-blind, randomized trials in patients with major depressive disorder

Country and authors	Placebo	1–2.5 mg/day of VOR	5 mg/day of VOR	10–15 mg/day of VOR	20 mg/day of VOR	60 mg/day of DLX	225 mg/day of VLFX	25–50 mg/day of AGO
11 countries Alvarez et al ²⁴	3.8		2.7	7			14.2	
20 countries Baldwin et al ¹⁷	8	6	11	9		12		
US Mahableshwarkar et al ¹⁸	4.57	4.57	7.84			11.18		
US Jain et al ¹⁶ (Primary care, psychiatrist, private practice, academic centers, etc)	3.66		3					
14 countries Henigsberg et al ²⁵	1.42	2.14	0.71	3.57				
7 countries Katona et al ²⁶	4.13		6.41			9.93		
13 countries Boulenger et al ²⁷	3.75			6.71	11.2	4.79		
14 countries (EUR) Häggsström et al ²⁰					5.9			9.5
US Jacobsen et al ²¹	1.27			5.81	4.66			
US Mahableshwarkar et al ²²	3.75			5.09/7.89				
US Mahableshwarkar et al ²³	2.48			9.52	9	6.57		
12 countries McIntyre et al ¹⁹	4.1			3.6	5.3			

Abbreviations: AEs, adverse effects; AGO, agomelatine; DLX, duloxetine; EUR, Europe; VLFX, venlafaxine; VOR, vortioxetine.

men, respectively, and hypoactive sexual desire disorder in 16%–46% of premenopausal women.³²

In the randomized, double-blind, long-term efficacy study,²⁸ sexual dysfunction was observed in two subjects in the placebo group (erectile dysfunction and anorgasmia, respectively) and three in the vortioxetine (5–10 mg/day) group (erectile dysfunction, libido reduction, and anorgasmia, respectively) during the controlled phase of the study. Additionally, it is worth pointing out that four patients became pregnant during this treatment period and of those patients, three elected to interrupt the pregnancy while one gave birth to a healthy boy.

In the open-label extension study,²⁹ six patients (out of a total of 336) – four men and two women – developed emerging sexual dysfunction. Four of these patients experienced reduced sexual impulse: two experienced a total loss of sexual drive while the remaining two developed, respectively, erectile dysfunction and anorgasmia. These cases of sexual dysfunction are understood to be “emergent”, that is, arising after treatment initiation, without regard to prior sexual satisfaction status.

Available data on the pharmacological mechanism of action that could explain the scant induction of sexual

dysfunction by vortioxetine compared to other antidepressants are scarce. Vortioxetine, in contrast to SSRI/SNRI, has an affinity for various serotonergic receptors as discussed earlier. However, we do not know what role if any these receptors have in modulating sexual impulse. However, one study that could shed light on this question is the one carried out by Amstislavskaya and Popova,³³ who showed that plasma testosterone levels in male rats treated with SSRI and in proximity to a female recovered when the rats were treated with a potent antagonist of the 5-HT₃ receptor, such as ondansetron. Although this is only a scientific speculation, the role of this receptor and of other receptors for which vortioxetine has an affinity could present an interesting line of research for further investigation into the relationship between increased serotonergic activity and decreased sexual impulse.

Cognitive function

Since tricyclic antidepressants were excluded as first-line treatments for depression, the devastating effects on cognitive function that these drugs can cause in older or elderly adult patients have practically disappeared from daily

clinical practice. However, it is not unusual for patients treated with SSRI/SNRI to complain about impaired cognitive performance (especially older people), which is probably due to excessive neuromodulation of the release of catecholamines, which occurs with increased 5-HT function.

The excellent study by Katona et al²⁶ on the efficacy of vortioxetine (with duloxetine as the active comparator) in the treatment of depression in the elderly population appropriately included an assessment of cognitive function. The fact that age is a determining factor in “natural cognitive decline” as well as in drug-induced cognitive dysfunction implies that it is reasonable to extrapolate the results to younger populations. In their study, the inclusion criteria excluded patients with a mini-mental state examination (MMSE) score of <24 points, thus eliminating all cases with neurodegenerative disease. To evaluate the effects of treatment on cognitive function, subjects underwent an examination at baseline and then at the end of the study. Verbal learning, memory, and processing speed were all assessed using the following tests (administered in this order): the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (RAVLT) and the digit symbol substitution test (DSST).

Patients randomized to the active drug showed significantly greater improvement versus placebo and versus duloxetine. The effect size compared to placebo was assessed via path analysis, with the results showing that vortioxetine had an 83% direct effect on the DSST score versus 26% for duloxetine. Similarly, in the RAVLT, vortioxetine had an effect size of 71% versus 65% and in re-memorization, 72% versus 66%.

These data suggest that vortioxetine does not induce cognitive problems but may actually improve the baseline condition. This makes this drug especially recommendable in older patients and in patients who complain of cognitive impairment during treatment.

Discussion

The proportion of negative clinical trials for a new drug varies greatly. In some instances, up to 50% of trials were negative in antidepressants that ultimately achieved final regulatory approval.³⁴ In the case of vortioxetine, with the exception of the “failed” study by Baldwin et al¹⁷ only three trials failed to show significant differences between the active drug and placebo. In one of those trials,¹⁶ the authors discuss in detail the possible reasons that might explain the negative results of a clinical trial and they analyze their own results in this context. Among the most important reasons they give is that researchers might inadvertently “inflate” the baseline severity of patients included in the study as a consequence

of the inclusion criteria, with subsequent overestimation of the therapeutic response.³⁵ In the same study, a “post-hoc” analysis showed that this exaggeration of baseline severity likely occurred in the recruitment centers with the fastest enrollment or in those with fewer screen failures. The expectations of subjects who have previously participated in other similar clinical trials could also have an effect on therapeutic response.³⁶

The three negative vortioxetine studies were carried out entirely in the US (see Table 1). The well-known professionalization of the evaluators and patients in clinical trials that are conducted in the US supports the arguments given above with regards to inflation of the response to placebo. This is an issue that the regulatory agencies (US Food and Drug Administration and European Medicines Agency) need to address as soon as possible. An impeccable study design with follow-up according to “Good Practices” is not enough. The factors and motivations surrounding sample selection are important aspects that must be considered.

Notwithstanding those three negative studies, it is important to note that vortioxetine has been proven superior to placebo and/or active comparators in eight other studies (see Table 1). However, for reasons that have already been discussed in the present review, even though some of the studies found significant differences versus placebo, these differences do not necessarily imply a large difference between the active drug and placebo. The efficacy/registration studies of a new drug may not show an important size effect. To gain a better understanding of these differences, we recommend that readers consult the excellent systematic review of vortioxetine carried out by Citrome, in which these differences are discussed in depth.³⁷

Vortioxetine is undoubtedly a good first-line therapeutic option in patients with depression. Vortioxetine also presents some remarkable differences when compared to the drugs currently on the market, and these characteristics indicate that it could also be used as a second-line therapy when the results of first-line treatments are unsatisfactory. Clinicians may wish to consider switching from the treatment in course to vortioxetine when one of the three conditions following arise, even if the current treatment is considered successful in terms of therapeutic response.

First, in cases in which the patient complains about cognitive function after the depression has improved: the decrease in catecholamine release triggered by the increase of serotonergic activity during treatment with SSRI explains why attention and cognitive performance are lower than expected. The two studies that assessed the influence of vortioxetine on cognitive function have shown that it preserves

cognitive function in elderly patients²⁶ and even improves it in nonresponders. McIntyre et al very recently reported, at the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology (ACNP), the results of a clinical trial that supports the positive effect of vortioxetine on cognitive function in addition to its efficacy versus placebo.¹⁹ In their study (no active comparator), the authors showed improved cognition even in patients who did not meet the criteria for therapeutic response.

Secondly, in patients who experience a treatment-related decline in sexual functioning, vortioxetine may offer an alternative. Deterioration in preexisting sexual function is the AE that causes the greatest concern among both patients and clinicians. In patients who show an adequate therapeutic response to SSRI/SNRI but in whom treatment compliance is compromised by the presence of emerging sexual dysfunction, the switch to vortioxetine can improve the situation. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that only the 20 mg/day dose of vortioxetine is associated with greater sexual dysfunction than placebo. The frequency of emerging sexual dysfunction has been widely discussed by other authors.³¹

Finally, in patients with an inadequate therapeutic response – even at maximum dosage – switching to vortioxetine may improve the response. The scant information available about the value of switching to another antidepressant gives special relevance to the clinical trial that directly compared vortioxetine to agomelatine.²⁰ Maintaining a patient in monotherapy is a good alternative to the usual strategy of combined treatments with other antidepressants or to the addition of lithium – common strategies in cases with poor therapeutic response – but these strategies can increase the number of AEs. It is particularly relevant that the sample selection in that trial was much closer to “real world” patients than in the other studies of vortioxetine, which thus compensates for the lack of a placebo arm.

The multimodal mechanism of action of vortioxetine may be involved in the procognitive activity and low incidence of sexual side effects observed in Phase II/III clinical trials. Further studies are required to confirm these observations and to examine the exact mechanisms involved. Overall, the pharmacological properties of vortioxetine differ from those of existing antidepressant drugs. However, only its extensive use in large patient populations will allow us to assess whether the distinct preclinical properties of vortioxetine translate into a clinical profile that differs from that of SSRI and SNRI.

Acknowledgments

The study was supported by the Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Salud Mental,

CIBERSAM, as well as grant SAF 2012-35183 to FA (Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness, co-financed by European Regional Development Fund, ERDF).

The authors would like to thank Bradley Londres for his contribution in preparing the manuscript.

Disclosure

Dr Enric Alvarez has received consulting and educational honoraria from several pharmaceutical companies, including Eli Lilly, Sanofi-Aventis, Lundbeck, and Pfizer, and has participated as the main local investigator in clinical trials from Eli Lilly, Bristol-Myers, and Sanofi-Aventis and also as the national coordinator of clinical trials from Servier and Lundbeck.

Dr Victor Perez declares having received educational honoraria from: Sanofi-Aventis, Lundbeck, Pfizer, Astra-Zeneca, and Eli Lilly.

Dr Francesc Artigas has received lecture fees from Eli Lilly and Lundbeck on the mechanism of action of antidepressant drugs and consultation fees from Lundbeck.

The authors declare no financial interests or potential conflicts of interest related directly or indirectly to this work.

References

- Alonso J, Angermeyer MC, Bernert S, et al; ESEMeD/MHEDEA 2000 Investigators; European Study of the Epidemiology of Mental Disorders (ESEMeD) Project. Prevalence of mental disorders in Europe: results from the European Study of the Epidemiology of Mental Disorders (ESEMeD) project. *Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl.* 2004;420:21–27.
- Guze SB, Robins E. Suicide and primary affective disorders. *Br J Psychiatry.* 1970;117(539):437–438.
- de Diego-Adeliño J, Pires P, Gómez-Ansón B, et al. Microstructural white-matter abnormalities associated with treatment resistance, severity and duration of illness in major depression. *Psychol Med.* Epub 2013 Aug 21.
- Serra-Blasco M, Portella MJ, Gómez-Ansón B, et al. Effects of illness duration and treatment resistance on grey matter abnormalities in major depression. *Br J Psychiatry.* 2013;202:434–440.
- Valladares A, Dilla T, Sacristán JA. [Depression: a social mortgage. Latest advances in knowledge of the cost of the disease]. *Actas Esp Psiquiatr.* 2009;37(1):49–53. Spanish.
- Mathers CD, Loncar D. Projections of global mortality and burden of disease from 2002 to 2030. *PLoS Med.* 2006;3(11):e442.
- Bang-Andersen B, Ruhland T, Jørgensen M, et al. Discovery of 1-[2-(2,4-dimethylphenylsulfanyl)phenyl]piperazine (Lu AA21004): a novel multimodal compound for the treatment of major depressive disorder. *J Med Chem.* 2011;54(9):3206–3221.
- Mørk A, Pehrson A, Brennum LT, et al. Pharmacological effects of Lu AA21004: a novel multimodal compound for the treatment of major depressive disorder. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 2012;340(3):666–675.
- Areberg J, Luntang-Jensen M, Sogaard B, Nilausen DØ. Occupancy of the serotonin transporter after administration of Lu AA21004 and its relation to plasma concentration in healthy subjects. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol.* 2012;110(4):401–404.
- Pehrson AL, Cremers T, Bétry C, et al. Lu AA21004, a novel multimodal antidepressant, produces regionally selective increases of multiple neurotransmitters – a rat microdialysis and electrophysiology study. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2013;23(2):133–145.

11. Artigas F. Serotonin receptors involved in antidepressant effects. *Pharmacol Ther*. 2013;137(1):119–131.
12. Artigas F, Perez V, Alvarez E. Pindolol induces a rapid improvement of depressed patients treated with serotonin reuptake inhibitors. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1994;51(3):248–251.
13. Artigas F, Romero L, de Montigny C, Blier P. Acceleration of the effect of selected antidepressant drugs in major depression by 5-HT1A antagonists. *Trends Neurosci*. 1996;19(9):378–383.
14. Riga MS, Celada P, Sanchez C, Artigas F. Role of 5-HT3 receptors in the mechanism of action of the investigational antidepressant vortioxetine. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol*. 2013;Suppl 2:S393–S394.
15. Hvenegaard MG, Bang-Andersen B, Pedersen H, Jørgensen M, Püschl A, Dalgaard L. Identification of the cytochrome P450 and other enzymes involved in the in vitro oxidative metabolism of a novel antidepressant, Lu AA21004. *Drug Metab Dispos*. 2012;40(7):1357–1365.
16. Jain R, Mahableshwarkar AR, Jacobsen PL, Chen Y, Thase ME. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled 6-wk trial of the efficacy and tolerability of 5 mg vortioxetine in adults with major depressive disorder. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol*. 2013;16(2):313–321.
17. Baldwin DS, Loft H, Dragheim M. A randomised, double-blind, placebo controlled, duloxetine-referenced, fixed-dose study of three dosages of Lu AA21004 in acute treatment of major depressive disorder (MDD). *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol*. 2012;22(7):482–491.
18. Mahableshwarkar AR, Jacobsen PL, Serenko M, Chen Y, Trivedi MH. A duloxetine-referenced, fixed dose study comparing efficacy and safety of 2 vortioxetine doses in the acute treatment of adult MDD patients. Poster Presented at: American Psychiatry Association Annual Meeting; May 18–22, 2013; San Francisco, CA.
19. McIntyre RS, Lophaven S, Olsen CK. Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of the efficacy of vortioxetine on cognitive function in adult patients with major depressive disorder (MDD). Poster presented at: 52nd Annual Meeting of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology (ACNP); December 8–12, 2013; Hollywood, FL.
20. Häggström L, Nielsen RZ, Poulsen L, Danchenko N. A randomised, double blind, active controlled study of vortioxetine (10–20 mg/day) versus agomelatine (25–50 mg/day) in adults with Major Depressive Disorder with inadequate response to antidepressant treatment. Poster presented at: 26th Congress of the European College of Neuropsychopharmacology (ECNP); October 5–9, 2013; Barcelona, Spain.
21. Jacobsen PL, Mahableshwarkar AR, Serenko M, Chan S, Trivedi MH. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of the efficacy and safety of vortioxetine 10 mg and 20 mg in adults with Major Depressive Disorder. Poster presented at: American Psychiatry Association Annual Meeting; May 18–22, 2013; San Francisco, CA.
22. Mahableshwarkar AR, Jacobsen PL, Chen Y. A randomized, double-blind trial of 2.5 mg and 5 mg vortioxetine (Lu AA21004) versus placebo for 8 weeks in adults with major depressive disorder. *Curr Med Res Opin*. 2013;29(3):217–226.
23. Mahableshwarkar AR, Jacobsen PL, Serenko M, Chen Y, Trivedi MH. A randomized, double blind, parallel, placebo-controlled, fixed-dose study comparing the efficacy and safety of 2 doses of vortioxetine (Lu AA21004) in acute treatment of adults with major depressive disorder. Poster presented at: American Psychiatry Association Annual Meeting; May 18–22, 2013; San Francisco, CA.
24. Alvarez E, Perez V, Dragheim M, Loft H, Artigas F. A double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, active reference study of Lu AA21004 in patients with major depressive disorder. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol*. 2012;15(5):589–600.
25. Henigsberg N, Mahableshwarkar AR, Jacobsen P, Chen Y, Thase ME. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled 8-week trial of the efficacy and tolerability of multiple doses of Lu AA21004 in adults with major depressive disorder. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2012;73(7):953–959.
26. Katona C, Hansen T, Olsen CK. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, duloxetine-referenced, fixed-dose study comparing the efficacy and safety of Lu AA21004 in elderly patients with major depressive disorder. *Int Clin Psychopharmacol*. 2012;27(4):215–223.
27. Boulenger JP, Loft H, Olsen CK. Efficacy and safety of vortioxetine (Lu AA21004), 15 and 20 mg/day: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, duloxetine-referenced study in the acute treatment of adult patients with major depressive disorder. *Int Clin Psychopharmacol*. 2014;29(3):138–149.
28. Boulenger JP, Loft H, Florea I. A randomized clinical study of Lu AA21004 in the prevention of relapse in patients with major depressive disorder. *J Psychopharmacol*. 2012;26(11):1408–1416.
29. Baldwin DS, Hansen T, Florea I. Vortioxetine (Lu AA21004) in the long-term open-label treatment of major depressive disorder. *Curr Med Res Opin*. 2012;28(10):1717–1724.
30. Millan MJ, Gobert A, Lejeune F, et al. The novel melatonin agonist agomelatine (S20098) is an antagonist at 5-hydroxytryptamine2C receptors, blockade of which enhances the activity of frontocortical dopaminergic and adrenergic pathways. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*. 2003;306(3):954–964.
31. Serretti A, Chiesa A. Treatment-emergent sexual dysfunction related to antidepressants: a meta-analysis. *J Clin Psychopharmacol*. 2009;29(3):259–266.
32. Nicolosi A, Laumann EO, Glasser DB, Moreira ED Jr, Paik A, Gingell C; Global Study of Sexual Attitudes and Behaviors Investigators' Group. Sexual behavior and sexual dysfunctions after age 40: the global study of sexual attitudes and behaviors. *Urology*. 2004;64(5):991–997.
33. Amstislavskaya TG, Popova NK. The roles of different types of serotonin receptors in activation of the hypophyseal-testicular complex induced in mice by the presence of a female. *Neurosci Behav Physiol*. 2004;34(8):833–837.
34. Khin NA, Chen YF, Yang Y, Yang P, Laughren TP. Exploratory analyses of efficacy data from major depressive disorder trials submitted to the US Food and Drug Administration in support of new drug applications. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2011;72(4):464–472.
35. Mundt JC, Greist JH, Jefferson JW, et al. Is it easier to find what you are looking for if you think you know what it looks like? *J Clin Psychopharmacol*. 2007;27(2):121–125.
36. Stewart-Williams S, Podd J. The placebo effect: dissolving the expectancy versus conditioning debate. *Psychol Bull*. 2004;130(2):324–340.
37. Citrome L. Vortioxetine for major depressive disorder: a systematic review of the efficacy and safety profile for this newly approved antidepressant – what is the number needed to treat, number needed to harm and likelihood to be helped or harmed? *Int J Clin Pract*. 2014;68(1):60–82.

Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment

Publish your work in this journal

Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment is an international, peer-reviewed journal of clinical therapeutics and pharmacology focusing on concise rapid reporting of clinical or pre-clinical studies on a range of neuropsychiatric and neurological disorders. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, the 'PsycINFO' database and CAS,

Submit your manuscript here: <http://www.dovepress.com/neuropsychiatric-disease-and-treatment-journal>

Dovepress

and is the official journal of The International Neuropsychiatric Association (INA). The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.