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#### Abstract

In this paper, we introduce and investigate a certain subclass of meromorphic multivalent close-to-convex functions. Such results as coefficient inequalities, and radius of meromorphic convexity are derived.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\sum_{p}$ denote the class of functions f of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\frac{1}{z^{p}}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}(p \in \mathbb{N}:=\{1,2,3 \cdots\}), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the punctured open unit disk

$$
\mathbb{U}^{*}:=\{z: z \in \mathbb{C} \text { and } 0<|z|<1\}=: \mathbb{U} \backslash 0 .
$$

Let $\mathcal{P}$ denote the class of functions p given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic and convex in $\mathbb{U}$ and satisfy the condition $\mathbb{R}(p(z))>0(z \in \mathbb{U})$.
A function $f \in \sum_{p}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{M} S_{p}^{*}(\alpha)$ of meromorphic multivalent starlike functions of order $\alpha$ if it satisfies the inequality

$$
\mathbb{R}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)<-\alpha(z \in \mathbb{U}, 0 \leq \alpha<p) .
$$

[^0]Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=\frac{1}{z^{p}}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n} z^{n} \in \mathcal{M} S_{p}^{*}(\alpha) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

a function $f \in \sum_{p}$ is said to be in the class $\mathscr{M} \mathcal{C}_{p}$ of meromorphic multivalent close-to-convex functions if it satisfies the inequality

$$
\mathbb{R}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right)<0\left(z \in \mathbb{U}, g \in \mathcal{M} \mathcal{S}_{p}^{*}(0)=: \mathcal{M} \mathcal{S}_{p}^{*}\right)
$$

In many earlier investigations(for example [2,3,5-7,10-12,15-21,24]), various interesting subclasses of the close-to-convex functions have been studied from a number of different viewpoints. In particular, Gao and Zhou[3](see also [7, 10, 21, 24]) considered a subclass $\mathcal{K}_{s}$ of close-to-convex functions, which satisfy the condition

$$
\mathbb{R}\left(\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z) g(-z)}\right)<0\left(z \in \mathbb{U}, g \in \mathcal{S}^{*}(1 / 2)\right)
$$

where $f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$, and $\mathcal{S}^{*}(1 / 2)$ denotes the usual class of starlike functions of order $1 / 2$.

Recently, Z.G.Wang et al.[22] introduced the meromophic close-to-convex functions class $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{K}$, which satisfy the condition

$$
\mathbb{R}\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z) g(-z)}\right)>0\left(z \in \mathbb{U}, g \in \mathcal{M} S^{*}(p / 2)\right)
$$

where $f(z)=1 / z+a_{1} z+a_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$.
Motivated essentially by the above mentioned works, we introduce a class of meoromorphic multivalent functions related to the meoromorphic multivalent starlike functions, and obtain some interesting results.

Definition 1. A function $f \in \Sigma_{p}$ is said to be in the $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{K}_{p}$ if it satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{R}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{z^{p} g(z) g(-z)}\right)>0(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g \in \mathcal{M} S_{p}^{*}(p / 2)$.
For some recent investigation of meromorphic multivalent functions, see (for example)the works of $[1,8,9,13,23,25]$ and the references cited therein.

In the present paper, we prove that the class $\mathscr{M} \mathcal{K}_{p}$ is a subclass of meromorphic multivalent close-to-convex functions.

Theorem 1. Suppose that $\mu(z) \in \mathcal{M} S_{p}^{*}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$ and $v(z) \in \mathscr{M} S_{p}^{*}\left(\alpha_{2}\right)$ with $0 \leq \alpha_{1}+$ $\alpha_{2}-p<p$. Then

$$
z^{p} \mu(z) v(z) \in \mathcal{M} S_{p}^{*}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}-p\right)
$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\mu(z) \in \mathcal{M} S_{p}^{*}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$ and $v(z) \in \mathcal{M} S_{p}^{*}\left(\alpha_{2}\right)$. By definition, we know that

$$
\mathbb{R}\left(\frac{z \mu^{\prime}(z)}{\mu(z)}\right)<-\alpha_{1}\left(z \in \mathbb{U}, 0 \leq \alpha_{1}<p\right)
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{R}\left(\frac{z v^{\prime}(z)}{v(z)}\right)<-\alpha_{2}\left(z \in \mathbb{U}, 0 \leq \alpha_{2}<p\right)
$$

Next, we assume that

$$
h(z)=z^{p} \mu(z) \boldsymbol{v}(z)
$$

Then, we easily get

$$
\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{h(z)}=\frac{z \mu^{\prime}(z)}{\mu(z)}+\frac{z v^{\prime}(z)}{v(z)}+p
$$

It follows that

$$
\mathbb{R}\left(\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{h(z)}\right)=\mathbb{R}\left(\frac{z \mu^{\prime}(z)}{\mu(z)}\right)+\mathbb{R}\left(\frac{z \mathrm{v}^{\prime}(z)}{\mathrm{v}(z)}\right)+p<-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}-p\right)
$$

Noting that $0 \leq \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}-p<p$, which implies that

$$
h(z) \in \mathscr{M} S_{p}^{*}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}-p\right)
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.
Theorem 2. Let $g \in \mathcal{M} S_{p}^{*}(p / 2)$. Then

$$
-z^{p} g(z) g(-z) \in \mathcal{M} S_{p}^{*}(0)=: \mathcal{M} S_{p}^{*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Similar to the proof of Theorem 1, we can get

$$
\mathbb{R}\left(\frac{z\left(-z^{p} g(z) g(-z)\right)^{\prime}}{-z^{p} g(z) g(-z)}\right)=p+\mathbb{R}\left(\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right)+\mathbb{R}\left(\frac{-z g^{\prime}(-z)}{g(-z)}\right)<p-\frac{p}{2}-\frac{p}{2}=0
$$

(noting that $-z \in \mathbb{U}$ ). This implies the Theorem 2.
In view of the definitions $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{C}_{p}, \mathcal{M} \mathcal{K}_{p}$ and Theorem 2, we deduce that the class $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{K}_{p}$ is a subclass of the class $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{C}_{p}$ of meromorphic close-to-convex functions.

To derive coefficient inequalities of $f \in \mathcal{M} \mathcal{K}_{p}$, we need consider the parity of $p$. First, we consider the case that $p$ is odd.

## 2. THE CASE $\mathrm{P}=2 \mathrm{~K}-1$

In order to prove our main results, we need the following two lemmas.
Lemma 1 ([14]). Suppose that $h(z)=\frac{1}{z^{p}}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n} z^{n} \in \mathcal{M} S_{p}^{*}$. Then

$$
\left|c_{n}\right| \leq(2 p) /(n+p)(n \in \mathbb{N}:=\{1,2,3 \cdots\})
$$

Equality holds for the function $h(z)=z^{-p}\left(1+z^{n+p}\right)^{2 p /(n+p)}$.
Lemma 2. Let $g(z)=\frac{1}{z^{p}}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} \in \mathcal{M}_{p}^{*}(p / 2)$. Then

$$
\left|B_{2 m-1}\right| \leq(2 p) /(2 m-1+p)(m \in \mathbb{N})
$$

where

$$
B_{2 m-1}= \begin{cases}2 b_{2 m-1}, & 2 m-1<p  \tag{2.1}\\ 2 b_{2 m-1}-2 b_{0} b_{2 m-1-p}+2 b_{1} b_{2 m-2-p}-\cdots+ & \\ (-1)^{m-\frac{p+1}{2}} 2 b_{m-\frac{p+3}{2}} b_{m-\frac{p-1}{2}}+(-1)^{m-\frac{p-1}{2}} b_{m-\frac{p+1}{2}}^{2}, & 2 m-1 \geq p\end{cases}
$$

Equality holds for the function $g(z)=z^{-p}\left(1+z^{n+p}\right)^{p /(n+p)}$.
Proof. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z):=-z^{p} g(z) g(-z) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of Theorem 2 , we know that $G(z) \in \mathcal{M} S_{p}^{*}$. When $p=2 k-1(k=1,2, \cdots)$, it is easy to verify that

$$
G(-z)=-G(z)
$$

which implies that $G(z)$ is a meromorphic odd starlike multivalent function. If we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)=\frac{1}{z^{p}}+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} B_{2 m-1} z^{2 m-1} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows from Lemma 1 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{2 m-1}\right| \leq(2 p) /(2 m-1+p)(m \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substituting the series expressions of $g(z)$ and $G(z)$ into (2.2) and carefully comparing the similar items of two sides of resulting equation, we get the desired expression of $B_{2 m-1}$ given by (2.1).

Theorem 3. Suppose that $f(z)=\frac{1}{z^{p}}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \in \mathcal{M} \mathcal{K}_{p}$. Then

$$
\left|a_{2 n}\right| \leq\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
p / n, & 2 n-3<p  \tag{2.5}\\
\frac{p}{n}\left(1+\frac{2 p}{n-1}+\frac{2 p}{n-2}+\cdots+\frac{2 p}{1+p}\right), & 2 n-3 \geq p
\end{array}(n \in \mathbb{N})\right.
$$

and

$$
(2 n-1)\left|a_{2 n-1}\right| \leq \begin{cases}2 p+\frac{2 p^{2}}{(2 n-1+p)}, & 2 n-3<p  \tag{2.6}\\ 2 p+\frac{2 p^{2}}{n-1}+\frac{2 p^{2}}{n-2}+\cdots+\frac{2 p^{2}}{1+p}+\frac{2 p^{2}}{2 n-1+p}, & 2 n-3 \geq p\end{cases}
$$

Proof of Theorem 3. Suppose that $f \in \mathscr{M} \mathcal{K}_{p}$. Then, we know that $\mathbb{R}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{G(z)}\right)<0$, where $G$ is given by (2.2). If we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z):=-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{p G(z)}, \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that

$$
q(z)=1+d_{p+1} z^{p+1}+d_{p+2} z^{p+2}+\cdots \in \mathcal{P} .
$$

By substituting the series expressions of $f, G$ and $q$ into (2.7), we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
p\left(1+d_{p+1} z^{p+1}+\cdots+d_{p+n} z^{p+n}+\cdots\right)\left(\frac{1}{z^{p}}+B_{1} z+B_{3} z^{3}+\cdots+B_{2 n-1} z^{2 n-1}+\cdots\right) \\
=\frac{p}{z^{p}}-a_{1} z-2 a_{2} z^{2}-\cdots-2 n a_{2 n} z^{2 n}-(2 n+1) a_{2 n+1} z^{2 n+1}-\cdots \tag{2.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

We get from (2.8) that

$$
\frac{-2 n}{p} a_{2 n}= \begin{cases}d_{p+2 n}, & 2 n-3<p  \tag{2.9}\\ d_{p+2 n}+d_{p+2} B_{2 n-2-p}+\cdots+d_{2 n-1} B_{1}, & 2 n-3 \geq p\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\frac{2 n-1}{-p} a_{2 n-1}= \begin{cases}d_{p+2 n-1}+B_{2 n-1}, & 2 n-3<p  \tag{2.10}\\ d_{p+2 n-1}+B_{2 n-1}+d_{p+1} B_{2 n-p-2} \\ +d_{p+2} B_{2 n-p-3}+\cdots+d_{2 n-2} B_{1}, & 2 n-3 \geq p\end{cases}
$$

For $q(z) \in \mathcal{P}$, we know that $\left|d_{n+p}\right| \leq 2$ ([4]). Moreover, combining (2.4), (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10), we get (2.5) and (2.6).

Theorem 4. Let $g(z)=\frac{1}{z^{p}}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} \in \mathcal{M} S_{p}^{*}(p / 2)$. If $f \in \sum_{p}$ satisfies condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left|a_{n}\right|+p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|B_{2 n-1}\right| \leq p, \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{2 n-1}$ is given by (2.1), then $f \in \mathscr{M} \mathcal{K}_{p}$.
Proof of Theorem 4. To prove $f \in \mathscr{M} \mathcal{K}_{p}$, it needs to show that

$$
\mathbb{R}\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z) g(-z)}\right)=\mathbb{R}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{G(z)}\right)>0,
$$

i,e, it suffices to show that

$$
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{G(z)}+p\right|<\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{G(z)}-p\right|
$$

where $G$ is given by (2.3). From (2.11), it is easy to know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left|a_{n}\right|+p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|B_{2 n-1}\right| \leq 2 p-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left|a_{n}\right|-p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|B_{2 n-1}\right| \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, by the maximum principle, we deduce from (1.1) and (2.12) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{G(z)}+p}{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{G(z)}-p}\right|=\left|\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_{n} z^{n+p}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p B_{2 n-1} z^{2 n+p-1}}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_{n} z^{n+p}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p B_{2 n-1} z^{2 n+p-1}-2 p}\right| \\
& \quad<\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left|a_{n}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p\left|B_{2 n-1}\right|}{2 p-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left|a_{n}\right|-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p\left|B_{2 n-1}\right|} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

This evidently complete proof of Theorem 4.
Moreover, we consider the case that $p$ is even.

## 3. THE CASE $\mathrm{P}=2 \mathrm{~K}$

By similarly applying the method of proof of Lemma 3, we easily get the following Lemma.

Lemma 3. Let $p=2 k, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$
g(z)=\frac{1}{z^{p}}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} \in \mathcal{M} \mathcal{S}_{p}^{*}(p / 2)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{2 m}\right| \leq(2 p) /(2 m+p)(m \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
B_{2 m}= \begin{cases}2 b_{2 m}, & 2 m<p  \tag{3.2}\\ 2 b_{2 m}+2 b_{0} b_{2 m-p}-2 b_{1} b_{2 m-1-p}+\cdots+ & \\ (-1)^{m-\frac{p+2}{2}} 2 b_{m-\frac{p+2}{2}} b_{m-\frac{p-2}{2}}+(-1)^{m-\frac{p}{2}} b_{m-\frac{p}{2}}^{2}, & 2 m \geq p\end{cases}
$$

Equality holds for the function $h(z)=z^{-p}\left(1+z^{n+p}\right)^{p /(n+p)}$.
Theorem 5. Suppose that

$$
f(z)=\frac{1}{z^{p}}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \in \mathscr{M} \mathcal{K}_{p} .
$$

Then

$$
\left|a_{2 n}\right| \leq\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
p / n+p^{2} /\left(2 n^{2}+n p\right), & 2 n<p,  \tag{3.3}\\
\frac{p}{n}\left(1+\frac{2 p}{n-1}+\frac{2 p}{n-2}+\cdots+\frac{2 p}{1+p}+2\right)+\frac{p^{2}}{2 n^{2}+n p}, & 2 n \geq p,
\end{array}(n \in \mathbb{N})\right.
$$

and

$$
(2 n-1)\left|a_{2 n-1}\right| \leq \begin{cases}2 p, & 2 n-2<p  \tag{3.4}\\ 2 p+\frac{2 p^{2}}{n-1}+\frac{2 p^{2}}{n-2}+\cdots+\frac{2 p^{2}}{1+p}, & 2 n-2 \geq p\end{cases}
$$

Proof of Theorem 5. Suppose that $f \in \mathscr{M} \mathcal{K}_{p}$. Then, we know that $\mathbb{R}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{G(z)}\right)<0$, where $G$ is given by (2.2). For $p=2 k, k \in \mathbb{N}$, it is easy to deduce that $G(z)$ is a meromorphic even starlike multivalent function. If we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)=\frac{1}{z^{p}}+\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B_{2 m} z^{2 m} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{2 m}$ is defined by (3.2) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(z):=-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{p G(z)} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that

$$
\tau(z)=1+d_{p} z^{p}+d_{p+1} z^{p+1}+\cdots \in \mathscr{P} .
$$

By substituting the series expressions of $f, G$ and $\tau$ into (3.6), we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
p\left(1+d_{p} z^{p}+d_{p+1} z^{p+1}+\cdots+d_{p+n} z^{p+n}+\cdots\right)\left(\frac{1}{z^{p}}+B_{0}+B_{2} z^{2}+\cdots+B_{2 n} z^{2 n}+\cdots\right) \\
\quad=\frac{p}{z^{p}}-a_{1} z-2 a_{2} z^{2}-\cdots-2 n a_{2 n} z^{2 n}-(2 n+1) a_{2 n+1} z^{2 n+1}-\cdots \tag{3.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

We get from (3.7) that

$$
\frac{-2 n}{p} a_{2 n}= \begin{cases}d_{p+2 n}+B_{2 n}, & 2 n<p  \tag{3.8}\\ d_{p+2 n}+B_{2 n}+d_{p+2} B_{2 n-2-p}+\cdots+d_{2 n} B_{0}, & 2 n \geq p\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\frac{2 n-1}{-p} a_{2 n-1}= \begin{cases}d_{p+2 n-1}, & 2 n-2<p  \tag{3.9}\\ d_{p+2 n-1}+d_{p+1} B_{2 n-p-2}+\cdots+d_{2 n-2} B_{1}, & 2 n-2 \geq p\end{cases}
$$

For $\tau(z) \in \mathscr{P}$, we know that $\left|d_{n+p}\right| \leq 2$ (see [4]). Moreover, combining (3.1),(3.7),(3.8) and (3.9), we get (3.3) and (3.4).

Theorem 6. If $f \in \sum_{p}$ satisfies condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left|a_{n}\right|+p \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|B_{2 n}\right| \leq p \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{2 n}$ is given by (3.2), then $f \in \mathscr{M} \mathcal{K}_{p}$.
Proof of Theorem 6. The proof of Theorem 6 is similar to Theorem 4, we here omit the details.

## 4. On the convexity radius of the functions in $\mathscr{M} \mathcal{K}_{p}$

We say a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{M} \mathcal{K}_{p}$ is meromorphic convex, if $f(z)$ satisfies condition:

$$
\mathbb{R}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)<0(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

When we give the convexity radius of the functions in $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{K}_{p}$, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 4. Let $G(z)$ is given by (2.2) and $r<1$, then

$$
\mathbb{R}\left(\frac{z G^{\prime}(z)}{G(z)}\right) \leq-\frac{1-r^{2}}{1+r^{2}} p(|z|=r)
$$

Proof. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(z):=-\frac{z G^{\prime}(z)}{p G(z)}\left(G(z) \in \mathcal{M} S_{p}^{*}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G(z)$ is given by (2.2), we easily know that $G(z)$ is an odd or even meromorphic starlike function, also $H(z) \in \mathscr{P}$ and is an even function, which imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(z)=\frac{1+[w(z)]^{2}}{1-[w(z)]^{2}} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w(z)$ is Schwarz function with $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$. Thus, we get from (4.2) that

$$
[w(z)]^{2}=\frac{H(z)-1}{H(z)+1}
$$

So

$$
\left|\frac{H(z)-1}{H(z)+1}\right|=|w(z)|^{2} \leq|z|^{2}
$$

this inequality can be written as

$$
|H(z)|^{2}-2 \operatorname{Re}\{H(z)\}+1 \leq|z|^{4}\left\{|H(z)|^{2}+2 \operatorname{Re}\{H(z)\}+1\right\}
$$

From above inequality we can get

$$
\left|H(z)-\frac{1+|z|^{4}}{1-|z|^{4}}\right|^{2} \leq\left(\frac{1+|z|^{4}}{1-|z|^{4}}\right)^{2}-1 \leq\left(\frac{2|z|^{2}}{1-|z|^{4}}\right)^{2}
$$

that is

$$
\left|H(z)-\frac{1+|z|^{4}}{1-|z|^{4}}\right| \leq \frac{2|z|^{2}}{1-|z|^{4}}
$$

From this inequality we get

$$
\mathbb{R}\{-H(z)\} \leq-\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{1+|z|^{2}}=-\frac{1-r^{2}}{1+r^{2}}
$$

this implies Lamma 4.
Lemma 5 (see [3]). Let $q(z)$ satisfy $q(0)=1, \mathbb{R}\{q(z)\}>0$, then we have

$$
\left|\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}\right| \leq \frac{2 r}{1-r^{2}}(|z|=r<1)
$$

Theorem 7. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{M} \mathcal{K}_{p}$, then $f(z)$ is meromorphic convex in

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<|z|<r_{p}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{4+\frac{1}{p^{2}}}-\frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{\sqrt{4+\frac{1}{p^{2}}} p^{3}}+\frac{1}{p^{2}}+\frac{4}{\sqrt{4+\frac{1}{p^{2}}}}}}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{1}{2 p} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 7. When $f(z) \in \mathcal{M} \mathcal{K}_{p}$, there exists $g(z) \in \mathscr{M} S_{p}^{*}(p / 2)$ such that ( 1.4) holds, also $G(z)=-z^{p} g(z) g(-z)$ is an odd or even meromophic starlike multivalent function, so from (2.7) and (3.5) we have

$$
z f^{\prime}(z)=-p G(z) \cdot q(z)
$$

where $q(z)$ satisfies the condition of Lemma 5, and

$$
1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}=\frac{z G^{\prime}(z)}{G(z)}+\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}
$$

So using Lemma 4 and 5 we can get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{R}\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}=\mathbb{R}\left\{\frac{z G^{\prime}(z)}{G(z)}\right\} & +\mathbb{R}\left\{\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}\right\} \\
& \leq-\frac{1-r^{2}}{1+r^{2}} p+\left|\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}\right| \\
\leq & -\frac{1-r^{2}}{1+r^{2}} p+\frac{2 r}{1-r^{2}}=\frac{-p r^{4}+2 r^{3}+2 p r^{2}+2 r-p}{1-r^{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to know that if $-p r^{4}+2 r^{3}+2 p r^{2}+2 r-p<0$, we have $\mathbb{R}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)<$ 0. Let

$$
T_{p}(r)=-p r^{4}+2 r^{3}+2 p r^{2}+2 r-p<0
$$

because $T_{p}(0)=-p<0, T_{p}(1)=4$, and

$$
T_{p}^{\prime}(r)=-4 p r^{3}+6 r^{2}+4 p r+2=4 p r\left(1-r^{2}\right)+6 r^{2}+2>0(0<r<1)
$$

It follows that $T_{p}(r)$ are strictly monotone increasing functions of $r$, and for very $p$, equation $T_{p}(r)=0$ has only a root $r_{p}$ in interval $(0,1)$, solve those equations we get the $r_{p}$ in (4.3). Thus when $0<|z|<r_{p}, \mathbb{R}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)<0$, that is, $f(z)$ is meromophic convex in $0<|z|<r_{p}$.
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