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Let $f(n)$ (resp. $g(n)$ ) be the largest $m$ such that there is a digraph (resp. a spanning weakly connected digraph) on $n$-vertices and $m$ edges which is a subgraph of every tournament on $n$ vertices. We prove that

$$
n \log _{2} n-c_{1} n \geqq f(n) \geqq g(n) \geqq n \log _{2} n-c_{2} n \log \log n .
$$

A directed graph $G$ is an unavoidable subgraph of all $n$-tournaments or, simply n-unavoidable, if every tournament on $n$ vertices contain san isomorphic copy of $G$, i.e., for each $n$-tournament $T$ there exists an edge preserving injection of the vertices of $G$ into the vertices of $T$. The problem of showing certain types of graphs to be $n$-unavoidable has been the subject of several papers, for example, it is known that every $n$-tournament contains a Hamiltonian path ([7]), an antidirected Hamiltonian path ([4]) and a transitive subtournament on $\left[\log _{2} n\right]$ vertices ([6]). Results of this type are also found in [1], [3], and [8]. In this paper we answer the following question: what is the maximum number of edges that an $n$-unavoidable subgraph can have?

Our graph theoretic terminology is standard. For a vertex $v$ of a digraph $G=(V, E)$ we let $G^{+}(v)=\{w \mid\langle v, w\rangle \in E\}$. All logarithms are base 2.

Let $f(n)$ (resp. $g(n)$ ) be the largest $m$ such that there exists a digraph resp. spanning, weakly connected diagraph) with $m$ edges that is $n$-unavoidable subgraph. Trivially $f(n) \geqq g(n)$. Our main resullt is
Theorem. There exists positive constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ such that for all positive integers $n$,

$$
n \lg n-c_{1} n \geqq f(n) \geqq g(n) \geqq n \lg n-c_{2} n \lg \lg n .
$$

Proof. We start with the left inequality. Let $V=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and let $H$ be an $n$-unavoidable digraph on $V$ with $m$ edges. There are $2^{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}$ labeled $n$-tournaments on $V$, each of which contains $\theta(H)$ where $\theta$ is a permutation on $V$. For fixed $\theta$,

[^0]exactly $2^{\left(\frac{( }{2}\right)-m}$ labeled tournaments on $V$ contain $\theta(H)$. Hence,
$$
2^{\binom{n}{2}} \leqq n!\cdot 2^{\binom{n}{2}-m}
$$
so
$$
m \leqq \lg n!\leqq n \lg n-c_{1} n,
$$
for an appropriate $c_{1}$.
To prove the inequality on the right we proceed by a sequence of propositions. They provide an inductive construction for a spanning weakly connected $n$-unavoidable digraph with $n \lg n-c_{2} n \lg \lg n$ edges.

For positive integers $k$ and $r$ we define $D(k, r)$ to be the complete bipartitite graph between vertex sets $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ of sizes $k$ and $r$ respectively, with every edge directed from $v_{1}$ to $v_{2}$.

Proposition 1. If $r \leqq(n+1) / 2^{k}-1$ then $D(k, r)$ is $n$-unavoidable digraph.
Proof. Let $T$ be any $n$-tournament; we show by induction on $k$ that the specified graphs are subgraphs of $T$. If $k=1$ then $r \leqq \frac{n-1}{2}$, so let $V_{1}$ consist of some vertex $v$ of out degree at least $\frac{n-1}{2}$ and $V_{2}$ be a subset of $G^{+}(v)$ of size $r$. For $k>1$ and $r \leqq(n+1) / 2^{k}-1$ the numbers $k-1,2 r-1$ meet the conditions of the induction hypothesis so $T$ contains the specified bipartite graph on vertex sets $V_{1}^{\prime}$ and $V_{2}^{\prime}$, with size $k-1$ and $2 r+1$. Choose a vertex $w \in V_{2}^{\prime}$ having out degree at least $r$ in the subtournament spanned by $V_{2}^{\prime}$ and let $V_{1}=V_{1}^{\prime} \cup\{w\}$ and $V_{2}$ be any $r$ vertices in $V_{2}^{\prime} \cap G^{+}(w)$. All edges in $T$ point from $V_{1}$ to $V_{2}$ so the required subgraph can be constructed.

Proposition 2. There exists a constant $c_{3}>0$ such that for all positive integers $n$.

$$
f(n) \supseteqq n \lg n-c_{3} n \lg \lg n
$$

Proof. Let $h(n)=n \lg n-c_{3} n \lg \lg n$ (leaving $c_{3}$ unspecified) and let $k$ and $r$ be integers satisfying the hypothesis of Proposition 1. Every $n$-tournament contains $D(r)$, which has $k r$ edges and, disjoint from this, a maximum ( $n-k-r$ )-unavoidable subgraph since the remaining vertices span an $(n-k-r)$-tournament. Thus

$$
f(n) \geqq k r+f(n-k-r) .
$$

It suffices to show that $k, r$ and $c_{3}$ can be chosen so that, for $n$ sufficiently large

$$
h(n)-h(n-k-r) \leqq k r .
$$

Using $\lg (n-k-r) \geqq \lg n-\frac{k+r}{n-k-r} \lg e$ for $n \geqq k+r$ we have by routine computation:

$$
h(n)-h(n-k-r) \leqq(k+r)\left(\lg n+\lg e-c_{3} \lg \lg n\right)
$$

Choose $k=\lfloor\lg n-2 \lg \lg n\rfloor$ and $r=\left\lfloor\lg ^{2} n\right\rfloor-1$. It is easily checked that $k$ and $r$ satisfy the condition on Proposition 1. Further

$$
\begin{aligned}
k r & \geqq(\lg n-2 \lg \lg n-1)\left(\lg ^{2} n-2\right) \\
& \geqq \lg ^{3} n-2 \lg \lg n \lg ^{2} n-\lg ^{2} n-2 \lg n \\
& \geqq(k+r)\left(\lg n+\lg e-c_{3} \lg \lg n\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

if $c_{3}$ is chosen bigger than 2 and $n$ is large enough, Combining this with the previous inequality completes the proof.
Proposition 3. Every n-tournament has a vertex $v$ and a partition $V_{1}, V_{2}$ of the remaining vertices with $\left|V_{1}\right|=\left\lceil\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rceil$ and $\left|V_{2}\right|=\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rceil$, so that $V_{1} \subseteq G^{+}(v)$ but $V_{1} \notin G^{+}(w)$ for any $w \in V_{2}$.
Proof. Let $T$ be an $n$-tournament and choose $v, V_{1}, V_{2}$ so that $\left|V_{1}\right|=\left\lceil\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rceil$, $\left|V_{2}\right|=\left[\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rfloor, V_{1} \subseteq G^{+}(v)$ and the size of $V_{2}^{\prime}=\left\{w \in V_{2}: V_{1} \nsubseteq G^{+}(w)\right\}$ is maximum. We need to show that $V_{2}^{\prime}=V_{2}$. Suppose not. Construct a set $V_{1}^{\prime}$ by selecting, for each $w \in V_{2}^{\prime}$, a vertex $x$ in $V_{1}$ so that $x \notin G^{+}(w)$. Since $\left|V_{2}^{\prime}\right|<\left|V_{2}\right|$ we have $\left|V_{1}^{\prime}\right|<\left|V_{1}\right|$. Choose $u \in V_{2}-V_{2}^{\prime}$ and $x \in V_{1}-V_{2}^{\prime}$. If $(v, u)$ is an arc of $T$ then exchanging $u$ and $x$ increases $\left|V_{2}^{\prime}\right|$ and if $(u, v)$ is in $T$ then exchanging $u$ with $v$ and then $v$ with $x$ also increases $\left|V_{2}^{\prime}\right|$, contradicting the maximality of $V_{2}^{\prime}$ so $V_{2}^{\prime}=V_{2}$.

## Proposition 4.

$$
g(n) \geqq f\left(\left\lceil\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rceil-1\right)+g\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rceil\right)+\left\lceil\frac{n+1}{2}\right\rceil .
$$

Proof. Let $G^{\prime}$ be a graph on vertex set $V_{1}^{\prime} \cup V_{2}^{\prime} \cup\left\{v^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right\}$ where $\left|V_{1}^{\prime}\right|=\left\lceil\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rceil-1$, $\left|V_{2}^{\prime}\right|=\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rfloor$, with edges as follows. The subgraph spanned by $V_{1}^{\prime}$ is a maximum $\left(\left[\frac{n-1}{2}\right]-1\right)$-unavoidable digraph $G_{1}^{\prime}$, and that spanned by $V_{2}^{\prime}$ is a maximum spanning connected $\left[\frac{n-1}{2}\right]$-unavoidable digraph $G_{2}^{\prime}$. In addition $G^{\prime}$ has edges $\left\langle v^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right\rangle,\left\langle v^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right\rangle$ for each $y \in V_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\left\langle w^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right\rangle$ for exactly one $x^{\prime} \in V_{2}^{\prime}$ (see Figure 1).
$G^{\prime}$ is connected and spanning and has $f\left(\left\lceil\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rceil-1\right)+g\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rfloor\right)+\left\lceil\frac{n+1}{2}\right\rceil$ edges; we now show that $G^{\prime}$ is $n$-unavoidable.

Let $T$ be any $n$-tournament and choose $v, V_{1}, V_{2}$ according to Proposition 3. The subtournament of $T$ spanned by $V_{2}$ contains a copy $G_{2}$ of $G_{2}^{\prime}$; let $x \in V_{2}$ be the vertex corresponding to $x^{\prime} \in V_{2}^{\prime}$. Since $V_{1} \nsubseteq G^{+}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$, there is a vertex $w \in V_{1}$ with $\langle w, x\rangle$ in $T$. The subtournament on $V_{1}-\{w\}$ contains a copy $G_{1}$ of $G_{1}^{\prime}$.


Fig. 1

Taking $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ together with the edges from $v$ to $V_{1}$ and $\langle w, x\rangle$ yields a copy $G$ of $G^{\prime}$.

Using induction and Proposition 4 we can now prove the last inequality of the theorem. By Propositions 4 and 2 and the induction hypothesis

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(n) & \geqq f\left(\frac{n-3}{2}\right)+g\left(\frac{n-3}{2}\right) \\
& \geqq\left(\frac{n-3}{2}\right) \lg \left(\frac{n-3}{2}\right)-c_{3}\left(\frac{n-3}{2}\right) \lg \lg \left(\frac{n-3}{2}\right)+\frac{n-3}{2} \lg \left(\frac{n-3}{2}\right)-c_{2} \lg \lg \left(\frac{n-3}{2}\right) \\
& \geqq(n-3) \lg (n-3)-(n-3)-\left(c_{3}+c_{2}\right)\left(\frac{n-3}{2}\right) \lg \lg \left(\frac{n-3}{2}\right) \\
& \geqq n \lg n-c_{2} n \lg \lg n
\end{aligned}
$$

as long as $c_{2}$ is any number greater than $c_{3}$ and $n$ is sufficiently large. This completes the proof of the theorem.
Remark. In a forthcoming paper we investigate different problems concerning $n$-unavoidable graphs. Some classes of rooted directed trees that are or are not unavoidable are identified. In particular we consider the class of claws, rooted digraphs in which each branch is a path. We also produce, for each $n$, a spanning rooted digraph of small depth that is $n$-unavoidable.
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