

**ENHANCED OIL RECOVERY BY SMART WATER INJECTION IN
SANDSTONE RESERVOIRS (MALAYSIAN CRUDE OIL)**

AHMED SAAD FARAJ AL-DULAIMI

**A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of
the degree of Master of engineering (Petroleum)**

Faculty of Chemical and Energy Engineering

Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JUNE 2018

Acknowledgment

In preparing this thesis, I was in contact with many people, researchers, academicians, and practitioners. They have contributed towards my understanding and thoughts. In particular, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my thesis supervisor, Professor Dr. Mohd Zaidi Jaafar, for encouragement, guidance, critics, friendship, advices and motivation. Without his continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.

My fellow postgraduate students should also be recognized for their support. My sincere appreciation also extends to my best friend Baraa Ziad Tariq for her encouraging and help to finish this thesis, and others who have provided assistance at various occasions. Their views and tips are useful indeed. Unfortunately, it is not possible to list all of them in this limited space. I am grateful to my mother and my father for their motivation and support.

ABSTRACT

Recently, the scale of studies on smart water – one of EOR method - has increased. From decades, water flooding is one of the most used methods to increase oil recovery. However, more effective in sandstone reservoirs is injecting low salinity brine. Due to changing wettability, improved oil mobility in pores can be reached. The studies show, that the significant increase of oil recovery might be achieved.

Main objective of the project is to answer the question: Can “smart water” be used to enhance oil recovery in Malaysian sandstone reservoirs. Laboratory experiments and field tests show that it can enhance the oil recovery over conventional higher salinity water flooding. Until now, the mechanism behind low salinity water flooding is under consideration for further discussions, but it is generally accepted that low salinity water flooding improves microscopic sweep efficiency by modifying rock wettability. For low salinity condition, it has been suggested that desorption of polar oil components as result of pH increase makes the rock more water-wet.

In this project, three core flood experiments will be performed to determine the effect of different water salinities on the oil recovery. Two homogeneous reservoir cores which contain active clays with crude oil which has enough polar organic compounds will be used during the experiments. All experiments were conducted at reservoir temperature, around 100°C. Core flood effluents will be sampled regularly to investigate crude oil-brine-rock interactions by measuring pH, density, and different ions concentration of produced water. Comparison between the results of the three types of water and its effect on the recovery factor will be conducted.

ABSTRAK

Kebelakangan ini, skala kajian mengenai smart air – satu kaedah EOR - telah meningkat. Dari dekad, air banjir adalah salah satu kaedah Tempahan digunakan untuk meningkatkan pemulihan minyak. Walau bagaimanapun, lebih efektif dalam takungan batu pasir yang menyuntik rendah kemasinan air garam. Kerana perubahan wettability, minyak peningkatan mobiliti dalam liang-liang boleh dicapai. Kajian menunjukkan, bahawa peningkatan ketara minyak pemulihan mungkin boleh dicapai.

Objektif utama projek ini adalah untuk menjawab soalan: boleh "air pintar" boleh digunakan untuk meningkatkan pemulihan minyak dalam takungan batu-pasir Malaysia. Ujikaji Makmal dan medan ujian menunjukkan bahawa ia boleh meningkatkan pemulihan minyak yang lebih konvensional lebih tinggi kemasinan air banjir. Sehingga kini, mekanisme di sebalik rendah kemasinan air banjir adalah di bawah pertimbangan untuk perbincangan lanjut, tetapi ia umumnya diterima bahawa rendah kemasinan air banjir memperbaiki kecekapan mikroskopik sapu dengan mengubah suai rock wettability. Bagi keadaan kemasinan yang rendah, ia telah disarankan yang desorption minyak kutub komponen akibat membuat peningkatan pH batu lebih air basah.

Dalam projek ini, tiga teras banjir ujikaji akan dilakukan untuk menentukan kesan salinities air yang berbeza pada pemulihan minyak. Dua teras homogen takungan yang mengandungi clays aktif dengan minyak mentah yang mempunyai sebatian organik cukup kutub akan digunakan semasa eksperimen. Semua ujikaji telah dijalankan pada suhu takungan, kira-kira 100° C. Sisa banjir teras akan dirasa dengan kerap untuk menyiasat interaksi minyak mentah-air garam-rock dengan menyukat pH, kepadatan dan kepekatan iaitu ion berbeza air dihasilkan. Perbandingan antara keputusan tiga jenis air dan kesan pada faktor pemulihan yang akan dijalankan.

Table of Contents

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DECLARATION	iii
	Acknowledgment	iv
	Abstrak	v
	Abstract	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENT	vii
	LIST OF TABLE	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xix
1		
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Problem statement:	3
1.3	Thesis Objective	4
2		
2.1.	Sandstone	6
2.1.1	Porosity and permeability	7
2.1.2	Clay minerals	8
2.1.3.	Feldspars	12
2.2.	Oil Recovery	13
2.2.1.	Primary Recovery	13
2.2.2.	Secondary Recovery	14
2.2.3.	Tertiary Recovery / EOR	15
2.3.	Microscopic properties	15
2.3.1.	Surface and interfacial tension	15
2.3.2.	Wettability	16
2.3.3.	Relative permeability	18
2.4.	Initial wetting state	19

2.4.1 Mineral surface	19
2.4.2 Oil components	20
2.4.3 Formation water chemistry and saturation	
2.4.4 Temperature	21
2.4.5 pH variation	22
2.5. Wettability alteration in sandstones	24
2.6. “Smart water” flooding	24
2.7. Proposed mechanisms	26
2.7.1 Fines migration	27
2.7.2 pH Increase	29
2.7.3 Multi Ion Exchange	29
2.7.4 Double-layer expansion	30
2.7.5 Desorption of crude oil polar components	31
3	
3.1 The required materials	33
3.1.1 Core	33
3.1.2 Crude Oil	33
3.1.3 Brine	33
3.2 Experiment Steps	34
3.2.1 Brines and Oil Preparation	34
3.2.1.1 Brines Preparation	34
3.2.2 Oil Preparation	35
3.2.3 Core preparation	36
3.2.4 Permeability Measurement	37
3.2.5 Fluid Saturation	38
3.2.6 Aging of Core	40
3.2.7 Oil Recovery Test	40
4	
4.0 experimental work	42
4.1 Experimental Material	42
4.2 Experiment Steps	45
4.2.1 Brines and Oil Preparation	45
4.2.1.1 Brines Preparation	45
4.2.1.2 Oil Preparation	46
4.2.2 Core Cleaning	47

4.2.4 Fluid Saturation	48
5.2.5 Aging of Core	53
4.2.6 Oil Recovery Test	
4.2.6 Effluent Collection	54
4.3 RESULTS	55
5.3.1 Oil Recovery Test on Core (1), 1st Restoration	55
4.3.2 Oil Recovery Test on Core-3, 2nd Restoration	57
4.3.3 Oil Recovery Test on Core (2), 1st Restoration	59
5	
5.0 DISCUSSION	61
5.1 Oil Recovery	62
5.2 Temperature and Formation Water Salinity Effect	64
5.3 Viability of Smart Water Fluids	66
6	
6.0 CONCLUSION	68
6.1 RECOMMENDATIONS	68
REFERENCES	69
Appendixes	72

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
table 1	classification of porosity and permeability of reservoir.	8
table 2	cation exchange capacity and surface area (idf, 1982)	10
table 3	wettability classes for water/ oil system (zolutuchin & ursin, 2000).	17
table 4	physical core data	43
table 5	oil properties	43
table 6	chemical composition of brines	44
table 7	breakthrough time and oil recovery for all experiments	62

TABLE OF FIGURES

FIGURE NUMBER	TITLE	PAGE
figure 2.1.	illustrates simplifier sheets model	9
figure 2.2.	structures of clay minerals	11
figure 2.3	the three main systems of watability	17
figure 2.4	relative permeability curves	19
figure 2.5	adsorption of quinoline	23
figure 2.6	fine migration.	28
figure2.7	mechanisms schemes.	30
figure 3.1	schematic drawing of experiment steps	33
figure 3.2	water filtration setup illustration	34
figure 4.1	core samples (1 & 2)	43
figure 4.2	schematic drawing of experiment steps	45
figure 4.3	water filtration setup illustration	46
figure 4.4	effluents from kerosene cleaning	47
figure 4.5	schematic of equipment used for diluted formation water saturation to the core	50
figure 4.6	desiccator illustration	51
figure 4.7	illustrates a setup schematic of the saturation apparatus.	53
figure 4.8	oil recovery during the 1st restoration of core (1)	58
figure 4.8.1	oil recovery during the 2nd restoration of core(1)	60
figure 4.9	oil recovery during 1st restoration of core (2)	61
figure 5.0	comparison oil recovery for all experiments	64

LIST OF SYMBOLS

AN	acid number
BN	base number
CEC	cation exchange capacity
EV	macroscopic displacement efficiency
EDL	electrical double layer
EOR	enhanced oil recovery
FW	formation water
Φ	total porosity
HS	high salinity
IFT	interfacial tension
IOR	improved oil recovery
θ	contact angle
k	permeability
k_{ro}	relative oil permeability
k_{rw}	relative water permeability
μ_o	dynamic oil viscosity
μ_w	dynamic water viscosity
LS	low salinity
MIE	multi-component ionic exchange
NCS	Norwegian Continental Shelf
OOIP	original oil in-place
PLT	production log tool
PNC	pulsed neutron capture log
PV	pore volume IX
RF	recovery factor

LIST OF SYMBOLS

σ	interfacial tension
σ_{os}	interfacial tension between oil and solid
σ_{ws}	interfacial tension between water and solid
σ_{ow}	interfacial tension between oil and water
S_{iw}	immobile (interstitial) water saturation
S_{oi}	initial oil saturation
S_{or}	residual oil saturation
SWCT	single well chemical tracer
TDS	total dissolved salt
WAG	water alternating gas injection

CHAPTER 1

1.1 Introduction

The majority of the world oil production arises from developed fields, and therefore expanding oil recovery becomes critical. This situation agrees with the growth of world energy demand up to 37% for two decades later. Along these lines, it becomes crucial to increase recovery factor from developed fields as well as for new fields through secondary and tertiary production phase to defeat the energy needs in the future.

Water flooding as the most common secondary recovery method are usually used for pressure maintenance and physically sweep oil in the reservoir. In the conventional water flooding the injected water may be taken from the nearest sources: produced formation water or sea water. From a conventional point of view, the injection brine composition and ions were believed to have no effect on the recovery efficiency during water flooding process. Never the less, over the last decade, several laboratory studies and field tests have shown low salinity/smart water flooding improved oil recovery compare to high salinity water flooding for sandstone reservoir. This technique is applied by injecting water at significantly lower salinity compared to salinity of formation water.

till now, the mechanisms behind low salinity water flooding is under consideration for further discussions, but it is generally accepted that the purpose of low salinity water flooding is to improve microscopic sweep efficiency by modifying rock wettability. For low salinity condition, Austad et.al suggest that desorption of polar oil components by pH increase makes the rock more water-wet. Therefore, it can affect the oil recovery which depends on polar components in the crude oil, divalent cations in the formation brine, and active clays in the sandstone. It is important to conduct further research about low salinity water as an affordable EOR method. In addition, low salinity brine does not need hazardous chemical; its result is an environmentally friendly EOR. This method can be applied for current or planned water flooding projects, both offshore and onshore field location. Problems associated

with conventional water flooding, such as scale formation, souring, and filtration at the ion levels can be mitigated with low salinity water flooding method.

1.2 Problem statement:

there are many exploited oil field. these oil field are producing hydrocarbons for many years and as studies showed that these oil field will reach the abandonment situation in the next few years, as the opportunities to discover new hydrocarbon sites is decreasing, so it has been important to find new ways to exploit the existent fields to the maximum extent.

Now there are many ways to do that and one of the most effective ways is smart water injection or (water flooding). this method is used worldwide to produce as much as (oil and gas) possible from reservoirs. Smart Water injection is convenient and commercial way to enhance oil recovery. Smart water can adjusting/optimizing the ion composition of the injected fluid in such a way that the equilibrium of the initial system will modify the initial wetting conditions. Therefore, the oil is more easily displaced from the porous network. injection of “Smart Water” can be characterized as tertiary oil recovery method since extra oil was recovered after performing a secondary water flood with formation water. The physical principle for enhanced oil recovery by “Smart Water” is a change in wetting properties of the system, which has a positive effect on the capillary pressure and relative permeability of oil and water regarding oil recovery. The technique is cheap, environmentally friendly, no expensive chemicals are added, and no injection problems etc. From an economical point of view, the smart water should be injected from the start of the water flooding process. The validation of “Smart Water” as an EOR-fluid has been verified both in the laboratory and in the field by several research groups and oil companies during the last 20 years. Extensive research has been performed to understand the chemical/physical mechanism for the wettability alteration process taking place at the rock surface, and the mechanism is still under debate in the published literature. This study will focus on recovery factor that would be achieved by using smart water on sandstone rocks samples by using Malaysian crude oil cause this technique has never been tried on a Malaysian oil field environment laboratory experiments was done to investigate the effect of smart water injection on Malaysian oil field samples.

1.3 Thesis Objective

The main objectives of this thesis are:

1. To compare smart water flooding performance in a high temperature sandstone reservoir, by using modified sea water, and modified low salinity water which both have salinity lower than formation water. The low salinity water flooding process will be performed in secondary and tertiary injection mode.
2. What is the recovery factor after using smart water injection, modified sea water, and modified low salinity water.

REFERENCES

1. BP. *Energy Outlook 2035*. [online] Available at < <http://www.bp.com/en/global/corporate/about-bp/energy-economics/energy-outlook.html>> . 2015. [Accessed date: 11 March 2015)
2. Schumacher, M.M. *Enhanced Oil Recovery : Secondary and Tertiary Method*. Noyes Data Corp, Park Ridge, New Jersey. Chapter 2 : 18-23.1978
3. Austad, T.; RezaeiDoust, A.; Puntervold, T. *Chemical Mechanism of Low Salinity Water Flooding in Sandstone Reservoirs*. Paper SPE 129767 presented at the 2010 SPE Improved Oil Recovery Symposium held in Tulsa, Oklahoma, USA, 24-28 April 2010.
4. Puntervold, T. *Waterflooding of carbonate reservoirs - EOR by wettability alteration*. PhD Thesis, University of Stavanger. 2008
5. Cuong, T.Q.D; Long X.N., Zhangxin, C; Quoc, P.N. *Modeling Low Salinity Waterflooding: Ion Exchange, Geochemistry and Wettability Alteration*. SPE Paper 166447, presented at SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition held in New Orleans, Louisiana, USA, 30 September -2 October 2013.
6. Zolotukhin, A.B.; Ursin, J.R. *Introduction to Petroleum Reservoir Engineering*. Kristiansand: Høyskoleforlaget. 2000.
7. Nedeau, P.H.; Ehrenberg, S.N. *Sandstone vs. carbonate petroleum reservoirs: A global perspective on porosity-depth and porosity-permeability relationships*. The American Association of Petroleum Geologists. 2005.
8. IDF. *Clay Chemistry. Technical Manual for Drilling, Completion and Workover Fluids*. International Fluids Limited.1982
9. Morad, S.; Worden, R.H. *Clay mineral cement in sandstones*. Specil publication of International Association of Sedimentologist Blackwell. 2003.
10. Tang, G.; Morrow, N. R. *Oil Recovery by Waterflooding and Imbibition – Invading Brine Cation Valency and Salinity*. Paper SCA9911.1999.

11. Jerauld, G.R.; Lin, C.Y.; Webb, K.J.; Seccombe, J.C. *Modelling Low-Salinity Waterflooding. Paper SPE 102239, presented at the 2006 SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition held in San Antonio, Texas, USA, 24-27 September. 2006.*
12. Boussour, S.; Cissokho, M.; Cordier, P. ; Bertin, H.; Hamon, G. *Oil Recovery by Low Salinity Brine Injection: Laboratory Results in Outcrop and Reservoir Cores. Paper SPE 124277, presented at the 2009 SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition held in New Orleans, Louisiana, USA, 4-7 October 2009.*
13. Green, D.W; Willhite, G. P. *Enhanced Oil Recovery. SPE textbook series, 6. Henry L. Doherty Memorial Fund of AIME Society of Petroleum Engineers, Richardson, TX USA. 1998.*
14. Ela, M.A.E; Sayyoub, H; Tayeb, E.S.E. *An integrated approach for the application of the Enhanced Oil Recovery Projects. Journal of Petroleum Science Research (JPSR) volume 3, issue 4, October 2014.*
15. Anderson, W. *Wettability literature survey-part 2: Wettability measurement. Journal of Petroleum Technology, 38(11), 1,246-241,262. 1986.*
16. Strand, S. *Wettability alteration in chalk - A study of surface chemistry. Dr.Ing. Thesis, University of Stavanger, Dr. Ing. Thesis. 2005.*
17. Treiber,L.E; Archer, D; Owens, W.W. *A laboratory evaluation of the wettability of fifty oil-producing reservoirs. Society of Petroleum Engineers Journal. 1972.*
18. Skauge, A., and Ottesen, B. *A Summary of Experimentally Derived Relative Permeability and Residual Saturation on North Sea Reservoir Cores. Reviewed Proceeding from the 2002 International Symposium of the Society of Core Analysts (SCA), held in , Monterey, California, USA, 22-25 September 2002.*
19. Bernard, G.G. *Effect of floodwater salinity on Recovery Of Oil from Cores Containing Clays, SPE California Regional Meeting. 1967.*

20. Lager A., W.K.J., Black C. J. J. *Impact of Brine Chemistry on Oil Recovery. Paper A24 presented at the 14th European Symposium on Improved Oil Recovery, Cairo, Egypt, 22-24 April. 2007*