

**LEGAL ANALYSIS OF THE MALAYSIAN ANIMALS ACT 1953
(REVISED 2006) IN REGARD TO ANIMAL TESTING: WITH SPECIAL
REFERENCE TO THE LEGAL POSITION IN THE UNITED KINGDOM**

By:

Afiqah Najiha Binti Mahadi (2012268178)
Nur Anees Syafwah Binti Roszaman (2012643978)
Farah Syahirah Binti Hassan (2012441274)
Nik Zahira Binti Azmi (2012467828)

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Bachelor of Laws
(Hons)

**Universiti Teknologi MARA
Faculty of Law**

December 2014

The students/authors confirm that the work submitted is their own and that appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All praise and gratitude belong to Allah SWT, the most gracious and the most merciful. We sincerely thank Him for his guidance, help and knowledge given. This research paper, indeed, will not be accomplished without His gracious will and blessing.

Firstly, we would like to give our profound gratitude to our supervisor, Encik Muhammad Umar Bin Abdul Razak for his assisting advices, patience and consistent guidance which gave us more spirit to come up with a revised motivation in completing the research. His ideas, thoughts and sagacity opinions are the factors which taught us to be irresistibly confident in making our research better and easily-understood. We would also like to thank him for his supervisions in keeping our progress on schedule and help us to easily address the issues in order to gather the information for our research.

Furthermore, we would like to express our deepest appreciation to our group mates for the cooperation, assistance and support towards each other during the completion of this research. We would like to congratulate our group mates for has successfully completed this research paper. Thank you for the vast moral support and encouragement towards the preparation and completion of this paper. Hence, our grateful thanks also extended to each other, for the great teamwork and progressive discussions during this period of research in order to create proper findings. For us, this research paper is not only for the purpose of carry marks but also a matter of increasing our knowledge on the loopholes of our laws.

Last but not least, we would like to express our unbound indebtedness and sincere respect to the support, prayer and sacrifice of our family especially our parents and siblings. May Allah bless us all.

ABSTRACT

This research attempts to analyze the adequacy of the present legislation, Animals Act 1953 (Revised 2006) in addressing the animals rights with regards to animal testing. On top of that, the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 implemented in the United Kingdom will be examined and compared with the Malaysian Animals Act 1953 (Revised 2006). The reason behind United Kingdom being chosen as a comparison is because the country imposes a stricter law on industries and scientists who use animals as their experimentation object. Comparison between these two countries is also made so that it will give a clearer view that Malaysia is still lacking in regulations when it comes to animal testing despite the existence of the Animals Act 1953 (Revised 2006). In operating this research, an analytical and critical study will be conducted using a qualitative method whereby the data will be accumulated through doctrinal and empirical methods. Therefore, this research targets to urge the policy makers to pass the Animal Welfare Bill as well as having standardized regulations, guidelines and procedures to safeguard animals' rights and welfare in filling our lacunae in the existing law. The researchers have addressed the weaknesses in this research by providing the solutions that can be done in order to strengthen the integrity of enforcement and suggested a few amendments to make the animals law become more effective in Malaysia.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgement	ii
Abstract	iii
Table of Contents	iv
List of Statutes	vi

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.0 Introduction	1
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Objective of the Study	3
1.4 Research Questions	3
1.5 Research Methodology	3
1.6 Scopes of the Study	4
1.7 Limitations of the Study	4
1.8 Significance of the Study	4
1.9 Conclusion	5

CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.0 Introduction	6
2.1 The Theoretical and Legal Frameworks	6
2.2 Animal Rights in Malaysia	8
2.2.1 The Upsetting Situation of Animal Testing	8
2.2.2 Animal Legal Position and Protection	11
2.2.3 Different Views on the Necessity of Animal Testing	13
2.3 Current Available Guidelines for Animal Testing	16
2.3.1 Good Laboratory Practice (GLP)	16
2.3.2 IPharm: The Animal Research Facility in Malaysia	18
2.4 Animal Rights in the United Kingdom	20
2.4.1 United Kingdom: A step ahead from Malaysia in protecting Animal rights in Animal Testing	20
2.4.2 United Kingdom: Animals (Scientific Procedures Act) 1986	20
2.4.3 United Kingdom: The Animals Science Committee	21
2.4.4 United Kingdom: The 3Rs (Replace, Reduce and Refine)	21
2.5 Conclusion	23

CHAPTER THREE: THE ETHICAL ISSUES ON ANIMAL TESTING FROM JURISPRUDENTIAL VIEWS

3.0	Introduction	24
3.1	The Views by Positivists and Activists regarding the Usage of Animals for Experiments and Research	24
3.2	The Religious Jurisprudence on Animal Testing	28
3.2.1	Islam	28
3.2.2	Christianity	30
3.3	Conclusion	32

CHAPTER FOUR: THE AVAILABLE ALTERNATIVES TO MINIMIZE THE USAGE OF ANIMALS IN LABORATORY EXPERIMENTS

4.0	Introduction	34
4.1	The 3Rs- Replacement, Reduction and Refinement as an Alternative to Animal Testing	34
4.1.1	The 3R: Replacement	35
4.1.2	The 3R: Reduction	37
4.1.3	The 3R: Reduction	38
4.2	Conclusion	40

CHAPTER FIVE: FINDINGS

5.0	Introduction	42
5.1	The Inadequacy of the Animals Act 1953 (Revised 2006) in Safeguarding the Animals' Rights and Welfare	42
5.2	The Inevitable Necessity to Strengthen the Animals Act 1953 (Revised 2006) to Fill its <i>Lacunae</i>	44
5.3	The Legal Protection of the Animals under the Malaysian Federal Constitution	45
5.4	Conclusion	46

CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

6.0	Introduction	47
6.1	Recommendations	47
6.1.1	To Urge the Government to Pass the Bill	47
6.1.2	To Standardize the 3R Alternative	48
6.1.3	To Create Awareness amongst Scientists and Malaysians	48
6.1.4	To Protect Animals through Adequate Law	49
6.2	Conclusion	49