

**SELECTED ISSUES ON THE LAW OF TRUST : A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF
JUDICIAL ATTITUDES IN MALAYSIA AND UNITED KINGDOM**

By

Sarah bt Rosley (2011324507)

Nur Amalina bt Nazri (2011337153)

Noor Amalina bt Ramlan (2011311815)

Nur Aisya bt Ahmad Yossry (2011327019)

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
for the Bachelor in Legal Studies (Hons)

Universiti Teknologi MARA

Faculty of Law

June 2014

The students/authors confirm that the work submitted is their own and that appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The completion of this project could not have been accomplished without the encouragement and guidance of various parties. We take this opportunity to convey our gratefulness to the people who have been instrumental in the successful completion of this project.

We would like to take this opportunity to express our deepest sense of gratitude to our final year project supervisor, Miss Norliza bt. Abdul Hamid, for her tremendous contribution in stimulating constant suggestion and encouragement. The blessing, supervision and support that she gave truly helped the progression of this project paper.

Our thanks and appreciation also goes to our lecturers and staff of the Law Faculty of UiTM for their cooperation in giving valuable information, suggestion and guidance in the preparation and completion of this project report.

Last but not least, we would like to thank our loved ones, who have supported us throughout the entire process without which this assignment would not be possible.

ABSTRACT

This research paper outline on the judicial application of the trust mechanism in avoiding the doctrine of privity. It first identifies the issue and the situation in Malaysia and United Kingdom with reference to relevant cases. It also includes the approaches taken by the court in Malaysia and United Kingdom in deciding the cases related to doctrine of privity by using the trust mechanism.

This research paper also outline on the differences based on legislation between the charitable trust in Malaysia and United Kingdom. It also includes the differences in Malaysia and United Kingdom on the doctrine of cy-pres as well as the taxation of trust.

For this research paper, appropriate recommendation for charitable trust and doctrine of privity in Malaysia has been proposed and the overall conclusion are provided in Chapter 5 in the paper.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgement	ii
Abstract	iii
Contents	iv
List of Legislation	vi
List of Cases	vii

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.0	Background	1
1.1	Problem Statement	
	1.1.1 Different in Charitable Trust in Malaysia and England	2
	1.1.2 Judicial Application of the Trust Mechanism to avoid the Doctrine of Privity	3
1.2	Research Objectives	5
1.3	Research Questions	5
1.4	Research Methodology	5
1.5	Significance of the Research	6
1.6	Scope and Limitations of the Research	7

CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.0	Introduction	8
2.1	Literature Review of Charitable Trust	8
2.2	Literature review on Trust Law	10

CHAPTER THREE: COMPARISON BETWEEN THE LAW ON CHARITABLE TRUSTS IN THE UNITED KINGDOM AND MALAYSIA

3.0	Introduction	13
3.1	Description and Types of Charity in Malaysia	13
3.2	Introduction of Trust Law in England	19
3.3	The Taxation of Trust	32
3.4	Cy-pres Doctrine for Charitable Trust	36

3.5	Conclusion	43
CHAPTER FOUR: MALAYSIA AND ENGLISH POSITIONS IN THE ATTEMPTS TO AVOID THE DOCTRINE OF PRIVACY		
4.0	Introduction	46
4.1	Malaysia and English Positions	47
4.2	Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Act 1999	62
4.3	Conclusion	63
CHAPTER FIVE: RECOMMENDATIONS		
5.0	Introduction	65
5.1	Recommendations on Charitable Trust	65
	5.1.1 Statutory Mechanism	67
5.2	Recommendations on Trust Law	68
	5.2.1 Statutory Mechanism	68
	5.2.2 Content of the Law	69
	Bibliography	71