

**A LEGAL REVIEW ON THE MALAYSIAN JUDGES' CODE OF ETHIC**

By

Muhammad Yazid Bin Mohamad Salim (2008408766)

Ahmad Lokman Bin Abdul Aziz (2008408624)

Nor Azhari Bin Hj Yusof (2008408782)

Haziq Bin Othman (2008408684)

Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements  
for the Bachelor in Legal Studies (Hons)

**Universiti Teknologi MARA**

**Faculty of Law**

OCTOBER 2010

The students/authors confirm that the work submitted is their own and that appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others

## ABSTRACT

The basic idea behind this research project paper is to identify several issues. The first one will be on the purpose of the establishment of the Judges' Code of Ethic 1994 and the problems within the 1994 Code itself which render it to be difficult in term of enforcement. Secondly, to look through the new Judges' Code of Ethic 2009 which had replaced the 1994 code, whether or not this new code had solved all the problems faced by the Judges' Code of Ethic 1994. In our findings, it was proven that the 1994 code do have several problems as well as lacuna which also can be the main cause why it has not been used or referred. Comparatively, eventhough the new 2009 Code of Ethic had covered some of these problems, the new code itself do still have problems which need to be looked into. This project paper manages to compare Malaysia Judges' Code of Ethic with the Judges' Code of Ethic from other countries such as India, Australia and United States. In order to make this particular Judges' Code of Ethic workable and implementable, we have gathered some recommendations and suggestions from people who are expert in relation to this matter. In conclusion, all the problems and lacuna identified under the 1994 and 2009 Judges' Code of Ethic need to be considered and reviewed so that we can came out with a more comprehensive and absolute Code of Ethic for the judges.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Assalamualaikum W.B.T. Alhamdulillah, thankful to Allah S.W.T for giving us the strength to complete this project paper in time. First of all, we would like to express the deepest appreciation and thankfulness towards our supervisor, Puan Norita Binti Azmi, whom had consistently and convincingly guided us throughout all the stages in completing this particular research project paper. Without her supervision and help, this research project paper would not have been possible.

Sincerely, we would like to show our grateful gratitude to En. Zulharry Bin Abdul Rashid from the Judicial and Legal Training Institute (ILKAP) for providing us with a copy of the Judges' Code of Ethic 1994. In addition, we also would like to thank Puan Irini Binti Hj Ibrahim, Constitutional Lecturer, Faculty of Law, Universiti Teknologi MARA, who has guided us in the early stage of expanding this topic with proper materials. Her knowledge and suggestion provides us with a clear view regarding this topic.

Apart from that, we also like to thank Yang Arif Datuk Wira Mohtarudin Bin Baki and Yang Arif Dr. Badariah Sahamid, High Court Judges in Shah Alam Court for their co-operation and time. All the information and knowledge shared during the interview had help and provide us with a better understanding in completing this research project paper.

We also owe our deepest gratitude to PITAR 2, Universiti Teknologi MARA, for allowing us to use their library to gather information.

We would like to thanks our collegemates and our family members who have always support us in completing this research from the beginning.

This research has been carried out by a team which has included Muhammad Yazid Bin Mohamad Salim, Ahmad Lokman Bin Abdul Aziz, Nor Azhari Bin Hj. Yusof and Haziq Bin Othman. This research project paper has been contributed equally and fairly between us.

## TABLE OF CONTENT

Acknowledgement	ii
Abstract	iii
Contents	iv-v

### CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.0	Introduction	1
	1.0.1 Definition of Judge	2
	1.0.2 Definition of Code of Ethic	3
1.1	Background	4
	Literature Review	8
1.2	Research Question	10
1.3	Objectives of the Research	11
1.4	Significance of the Research	11
1.5	Scope and Limitation of the Research	12
1.6	Research Methodology	13
1.7	Conclusion	13

### CHAPTER TWO: JUDGES' CODE OF ETHIC 1994

2.0	Introduction	14
2.1	Problems within the Code	16
	2.1.1 Definition of Judge	16
	2.1.2 Mode of Punishment	17
	2.1.3 Vagueness of the Provision of the Code	17
	2.1.4 Mode of Complaint	18
2.2	Enforcement of the Code	18
2.3	Conclusion	20

## **CHAPTER THREE: JUDGES' CODE OF ETHIC 2009**

3.0	Introduction	21
3.1	Comparison with the Judges' Code of Ethic 1994	25
3.2	Problems within Judges' Code of Ethic 2009	27
3.2.1	Definition of Judges	27
3.2.2	Mode of Complaint under Section 12	28
3.2.3	Committee Members	28
3.3	Practicability of the Code	29
3.3.1	Judges Committee Ethics Act 2010	29
3.4	Findings	31
3.4.1	Yang Arif Datuk Wira Mohtarudin Bin Baki	31
3.4.2	Yang Arif Dr. Badariah Sahamid	34
3.5	Conclusion	36

## **CHAPTER FOUR: RECOMMENDATIONS**

4.0	Introduction	37
4.1	Recommendations	37
4.1.1	Proper Definition of Judges	37
4.1.2	Proper Channel/Mode of Complaint	38
4.1.3	Review on Judges Committee Act 2010	40
4.1.4	Publication and Report of Cases	42
4.2	Comparison with other Countries	44
4.2.1	United States of Americas	44
4.2.2	Australia	47
4.2.3	India	47
4.3	Conclusion	50

## **CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION**

5.0	Conclusion	51
	Bibliography	53
	Appendices	56