Efficacy of single dose primaquine with artemisinin combination therapy on P. falciparum gametocytes and transmission: A WWARN individual patient meta-analysis

Kasia Stepniewska[1,2,3], Georgina S Humphreys[1,2,3,4], Bronner P Gonçalves[5], Elaine Craig[1,2,3], Roly Gosling[6,7], Philippe J Guerin[1,2,3], Ric N Price[1,2,8,9], Karen I Barnes[1,10,11], Jaishree Raman[10,12,13], Menno R Smit[14], Umberto D'Alessandro[15], Will JR Stone[5,16], Anders Bjorkman[17], Aaron M Samuels[18,19], Maria I Arroyo-Arroyo[20], Guido J H Bastiaens[16,21], Joelle M Brown[6], Alassane Dicko[22], Badria B El-Sayed[23], Salah-Eldin G Elzaki[23], Alice C Eziefula[5,24], Simon Kariuki[25], Titus K Kwambai[14,25], Amanda E Maestre[20], Andreas Martensson[26], Dominic Mosha [27,28], Richard O Mwaiswelo[29], Billy E Ngasala[29], Joseph Okebe[30], Michelle E Roh[6,7], Patrick Sawa[31], Alfred B Tiono[32], Ingrid Chen[7], Chris J Drakeley[5], Teun Bousema[5,16]

Affiliations

[1] WorldWide Antimalarial Resistance Network (WWARN), Oxford, UK

[2] Centre for Tropical Medicine and Global Health, Nuffield Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

[3] Infectious Diseases Data Observatory (IDDO), Oxford, UK

[4] Green Templeton College, University of Oxford, UK

[5] Department of Immunology and Infection, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK.

[6] Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

[7] Global Health Group, Malaria Elimination Initiative, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA.

[8] Global and Tropical Health Division, Menzies School of Health Research and Charles Darwin University, Darwin, Norther Territory, Australia

[9] Mahidol-Oxford Tropical Medicine Research Unit, Faculty of Tropical Medicine, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand

[10] UCT/MRC Collaborating Centre for Optimising Antimalarial Therapy, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa

[11] Division of Clinical Pharmacology, Department of Medicine, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa

[12] Centre for Emerging Zoonotic and Parasitic Diseases, National Institute for Communicable Diseases, A Division of the National Health Laboratory Services, Johannesburg, South Africa.

[13] Wits Research Institute for Malaria, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

[14] Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, United Kingdom

[15] Medical Research Council Unit The Gambia, at the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, United Kingdom.

[16] Department of Medical Microbiology, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, the Netherlands.

[17] Department of Microbiology Tumor and Cell Biology, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

[18] Division of Parasitic Diseases and Malaria, Center for Global Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, USA

[19] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Kisumu, Kenya

[20] Grupo Salud y Comunidad, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de Antioquia, Medellin, Colombia

[21] Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Rijnstate Hospital, Arnhem , the Netherlands

[22] Malaria Research and Training Centre, Faculty of Pharmacy and Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Science, Techniques and Technologies of Bamako, Bamako, Mali

[23] Tropical Medicine Research Institute, National Centre for Research, Khartoum, Sudan

[24] Department of Global Health and Infection, Brighton and Sussex Medical School, Brighton, UK

[25] Kenya Medical Research Institute (KEMRI), Kisian, Kenya.

[26] Department of Women's and Children's Health, International Maternal and Child Health (IMCH), Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden.

[27] Bagamoyo Research and Training Centre (BRTC), Ifakara Health Institute, Bagamoyo, Tanzania.

[28] Africa Academy for Public Health, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania

[29] Department of Parasitology and Medical Entomology, Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania.

[30] Department of International Public health, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, United Kingdom

[31] Human Health Division, International Centre for Insect Physiology and Ecology, Mbita Point, Kenya

[32] Department of Biomedical Sciences, Centre National de Recherche et de Formation sur le Paludisme, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso

Corresponding authors

Teun Bousema teun.bousema@radboudumc.nl

Kasia Stepniewska kasia.stepniewska@wwarn.org

Summary

An individual patient meta-analysis was performed on the gametocytocidal and transmission-blocking activities of single dose primaquine. Gametocyte persistence and infectivity depended on the artemisinin-combination therapy that primaquine was administered with. Primaquine's transmission-blocking effects were achieved at 0.25 mg /kg.

Abstract

Background

Since the World Health Organization recommended single low-dose (0.25mg/kg) primaquine (PQ) in combination with artemisinin-based combination therapies (ACTs) in areas of low transmission or artemisinin-resistant *P. falciparum,* several single-site studies have been conducted to assess its efficacy.

Methods

An individual patient meta-analysis to assess the gametocytocidal and transmission-blocking efficacy of PQ used in combination with different ACTs was conducted. Random effects logistic regression was used to quantify PQ effect on (i) gametocyte carriage in the first two weeks post-treatment; (ii) the probability of infecting at least one mosquito or of a mosquito becoming infected.

Results

In 2,574 participants from fourteen studies, PQ reduced PCR-determined gametocyte carriage on days 7 and 14, most apparently in patients presenting with gametocytaemia on day 0 (Odds Ratio (OR)=0.22; 95%CI 0.17-0.28 and OR=0.12; 95%CI 0.08–0.16, respectively). The rate of decline in gametocyte carriage was faster when PQ was combined with artemether-lumefantrine (AL) compared to dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine (DP) (p=0.010 for day 7). Addition of 0.25mg/kg PQ was associated with near complete prevention of transmission to mosquitoes.

Conclusion

Primaquine's transmission-blocking effects are achieved with 0.25 mg/kg PQ. Gametocyte persistence and infectivity are lower when PQ is combined with AL compared to DP.

Keywords: Single low dose primaquine, *Plasmodium falciparum*, Gametocytaemia

Background

Antimalarial regimens based on artemisinin and its derivatives, artemisinin-based combination therapies (ACTs) have been adopted widely as first line treatment of uncomplicated malaria. Despite highly efficient clearance of asexual stage parasites and early gametocytes [1, 2], ACTs do not affect mature *Plasmodium falciparum* gametocytes. Mature gametocytes are responsible for transmission of infection from humans to mosquitoes, and they remain largely unaffected by antimalarial treatment, including ACTs [3-5]. As a result, gametocyte carriage can persist for several days and even weeks after ACT administration [3, 6] and treated individuals can continue to be a source of mosquito infections [3, 7, 8]. As malaria control programs focus their efforts on regional elimination and global eradication and the necessity to contain drug resistant parasites, targeting gametocytes as part of routine clinical care and community treatment campaigns is being recommended [9-11].

Primaquine (PQ), a drug that is used routinely for the radical cure of *Plasmodium vivax* and *ovale* infections, has been recast as a viable treatment strategy to reduce *P. falciparum* transmission. The ability of PQ and its predecessor plasmoquine to stop *P. falciparum* infectivity to malaria vectors has been known for many decades [12, 13]. In 2012, the World Health Organization (WHO) recommended the use of PQ, in combination with ACTs, in areas approaching elimination and where artemisinin-resistance was observed [10]. To mitigate concerns related to haemolysis in individuals with glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency (G6PDd) and based on efficacy shown at low doses, a single low-dose of 0.25mg/kg of PQ was recommended for the gametocytocidal indication [10]. The safety of single low-dose PQ was confirmed in subsequent safety studies in individuals with G6PDd [14, 15]. Multiple efficacy studies have been conducted to determine the gametocytocidal and transmission-blocking activity of PQ at different doses and with different partner ACTs.

We conducted a systematic review and individual patient data (IPD) meta-analysis of clinical trials to quantify the ability of single-dose PQ given in combination with different ACTs to clear gametocytes and block transmission, and to compare efficacies of different combinations.

Methods

Data pooling

Details of the systematic review (PROSPERO CRD42019126710) are provided in Supplementary File 1. Studies were eligible for the inclusion in this analysis if (i) IPD came from a clinical efficacy trial of patients with uncomplicated *P. falciparum* infection or asymptomatic parasite carriers containing at least one study arm with a combination of a blood schizonticide and a single dose of PQ; (ii) patient demographics and information on dosing (mg/kg) of the blood schizonticide and PQ were available; (iii) transmission potential was assessed by weekly gametocyte carriage (i.e. prevalence) using molecular methods and/or by membrane feeding assay conducted on day 0 and any day post-treatment; (iv) patients were followed up at least until day 14. In the eligible studies, non-ACT study arms, which were randomised to receive PQ or not, were also included in the analysis as they contributed to the overall estimate of PQ effect.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were carried out according to an *a priori* statistical analysis plan [16]. The prevalence of gametocytaemia on days 7 and 14 after first administration of any treatment (day 0) was determined seperately for patients without and with gametocytes on enrollment. Logistic regression models for gametocyte prevalence (0/1), as measured by molecular methods (quantitative reverse-transcriptase-PCR (qRT-PCR) or quantitative nucleid acid sequence based amplification (QT-NASBA)), on each day were fitted with random intercepts for study site.

Data from membrane feeding experiments were analysed using logistic regression to identify predictors of (a) probability of a participant infecting at least one mosquito; (b) probability of a feeding mosquito being infected. Random intercepts were included to account for multiple measurements per patient (a) or clustering within a membrane feeding experiment (b).

Additional details such as predictors considered in each of the regression models and assessment of risk of bias analysis are given in Supplementary File 1.

Results

The systematic review identified 13 studies eligible for inclusion and two additional studies were identified in response to the call for data (**Supplementary Figure 1**). IPD from fourteen studies were shared; their details are presented in **Supplementary Table 1**. Five studies used QT-NASBA (including two where quantification was not performed), eight used qRT-PCR and one study used both. The target transcripts in these molecular assays included *Pfs25*, *Pfs230p* and *Pfg377* mRNA. In addition to sexual-stage specific parasite detection, three of these studies also included data from membrane feeding experiments, where infectiousness was directly quantified by feeding mosquitoes on infected blood and assessing oocyst development one week later. G6PD deficiency was assessed using fluorescence spot test (FST) in four studies, rapid diagnostic test (RDT) in five studies, or genotyping in three studies. All studies, except one from Colombia, were conducted in Africa at sites with varying transmission intensities. Administration of PQ was randomised and compared to a no-PQ arm in all studies except for one in which the dose of PQ was increased sequentially (Study ID 8). A total of 66.7% (1,718/2,574) of participants received a dose of PQ (25.0%–100.0% in individual studies), of whom 355 (20.7%) were treated on day 0, 1241 (72.2%) on day 2 and 122 (7.1%) on day 3. Of the 1718 individuals treated with PQ, 477 (27.8%) patients received the WHO-recommended 0.25mg/kg dose and 474 (27.6%) received a 0.40mg/kg dose. Other doses tested included 0.0625, 0.1, 0.125, 0.2, 0.50 and 0.75mg/kg (**Table 1**).

The median age of study participants was 9 years (interquartile range IQR 5-14) with 19.7% (504/2,563) below 5 years of age. Most of the 2,574 study participants were treated with artemether-lumefantrine (AL) (1,278; 49.7%) or dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine (DP) (1,044; 40.7%). Other treatments administered included: artesunate-sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine (ASSP) (212; 8.3%) and sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine-amodiaquine (SPAQ) (40; 1.6%). At enrolment, 14.5% (366/2,525) of patients presented with anaemia (haemoglobin level below 10.0g/dL), 12.8% (239/1,860) with fever, and 5.8% (139/2,392) had more than 100,000 parasites/µL (**Table 1**); 12.2% (59/484) of the children <5 years of age were underweight (weight-for-age z-score<-2). The proportion of participants with fever at enrolment was lower in the group of individuals receiving PQ compared to the group that did not receive PQ (9.9% versus 18.2% respectively); however, the difference was not significant after adjusting for study site (p=0.966). Six studies' protocols excluded individuals with G6PDd (**Supplementary Table 1**).

Gametocytaemia after treatment in participants with no detectable gametocytes at baseline

In total, 632 (31.3%) patients presented without detectable gametocytes on enrolment, of whom 481 (76.1%) were assessed weekly for gametocyte carriage during the first 14 days of follow-up. Detectable post-treatment gametocytaemia was present in 12.9% (39/302) of patients treated with PQ compared to 19.6% (35/179) of those not treated with PQ (Odds Ratio OR= 0.55; 95%CI 0.32-0.96; p=0.035, adjusted for study-site random effect) (**Supplementary Table 2**). The effect of PQ on gametocyte appearance was similar (p=0.308) between day 7 (OR=0.58; 95%CI 0.33-1.01; p=0.053) and day 14 (OR=0.30; 95%CI 0.14–0.63; p=0.002)

Gametocytaemia after treatment in participants with gametocytes at baseline

At enrolment, 1,754 (68.7%) patients had gametocytes detected by molecular methods. Among those patients treated with PQ, 23.4% (258/1,101) had gametocytes detected on day 7 compared to 57.4% (316/551) of those not treated with PQ (OR=0.22; 95%CI 0.17-0.28; p<0.001). The corresponding proportions of individuals who were still gametocytaemic on day 14 were 11.4% (106/931) and 42.9% (202/471) respectively (OR=0.12; 95%CI 0.08–0.16; p<0.001); (**Supplementary Table 2, Figure 1)**. In multivariable mixed effects models, gametocyte positivity on day 7 was associated significantly with gametocyte and asexual parasite densities and haemoglobin concentration at baseline (**Table 2**). Compared to patients treated with DP, those treated with AL were significantly less likely to have gametocytes on Day 7 (AOR=0.50; 95%CI 0.28–0.90; p=0.021), while those treated with SPAQ were more likely to carry gametocytes (AOR=16.16; 95%CI 1.88–139; p=0.011). On day 14, only the baseline gametocyte density and antimalarial treatment were correlated with gametocyte carriage. After adjustment for these factors, a higher dose of PQ was associated with lower prevalence of gametocyte positivity on days 7 and 14 (AOR= 0.69; 95%CI 0.65-0.74 and AOR=0.58; 95%CI 0.53-0.64 for each 0.1 mg per kg increase in dose respectively, both p<0.001). This dose effect translates to an AOR (95% CI) of 0.40 (0.34-0.46) for day 7 gametocyte carriage and 0.26 (0.20–0.33) for day 14 gametocyte carriage for patients who received 0.25mg/kg dose of PQ compared to those who did not receive PQ.

A fractional polynomial model was used to estimate the probability of gametocyte carriage on days 7 and 14 for 1,543 individuals receiving different PQ doses with AL or DP (**Figure 2**). Whilst addition of PQ reduced gametocyte carriage for both ACTs, the rate of decline in gametocyte carriage associated with PQ dose differed between patients treated with AL and DP (test for interaction: p=0.010 for day 7 and p <0.001 for day 14). Among individuals treated with AL, most of the reduction in gametocyte carriage probability was achieved with the recommended 0.25mg/kg PQ dose, whereas in individuals treated with DP higher doses of PQ were associated with additional substantial reductions in

gametocyte carriage. Administration of a PQ dose of 0.25mg/kg in patients treated with AL reduced risk of gametocytaemia on Day 7 to 26.0% (95%CI 18.7-34.9) and on Day 14 to 7.6% (95%CI 4.3-13.2) compared to 37.1% (95%CI 27.6–47.8) and 18.2% (95%CI 11.4-27.9) in patients treated with DP, respectively.

The risk for gametocyte carriage was significantly higher on day 7 in patients treated with PQ on day 2 or 3 compared to patients treated with PQ on day 0 (AOR=2.28; 95%CI 1.66-3.69, p<0.001, adjusted for covariates in the main analysis, **Table 2**). However, this difference was not significant by day 14 (AOR=1.74; 95%CI 0.80-3.81, p=0.164, adjusted for covariates in the main analysis, **Table 2**).

Administration of PQ also reduced gametocyte density in those positive on days 7 or 14. Expressed as a proportion of the baseline gametocyte density, gametocyte densities reached median values (IQR) of 2.0% (0.3–10.2%) relative to baseline by day 7 in PQ-treated individuals compared to 29.8% (8.1-77.4) in individuals who did not receive PQ (p<0.001 Wald test, adjusted for ACT and study). The corresponding values on day 14 were 0.5% (0.1–5.6) in PQ-treated individuals and 9.6% (1.5–36.0) in individuals who did not receive PQ (p<0.001, Wald test adjusted for ACT and study).

Mosquito feeding assays

In the three studies undertaking mosquito feeding experiments (**Supplementary Tables 1 and 3**), participants were treated with either AL (1 study), DP (2 studies) or SPAQ (1 study) and a PQ dose of 0.25mg/kg was compared to ACT alone in all studies. In one of these studies, the 0.40mg/kg dose was tested, and in another study, PQ doses of 0.0625, 0.125 and 0.50mg/kg were also administered. These data are presented in **Supplementary Table 4**.

Among 316 feeding experiments conducted prior to treatment on participants with baseline gametocytaemia, 186 (58.9%) infected at least one mosquito, with a median of 13.9.0% (range 1.2%-96.5%) of mosquitoes infected (**Figure 3, Supplementary Table 4**). While the proportion of the

infected mosquitoes (in infectious feeds) was similar between the three studies (p=0.369), the number of non-infectious feeds ranged from 37.8-67.9% (p<0.001) between studies, with the lowest proportion observed in study ID 6 (AL/AL+PQ). This study had the lowest baseline gametocytes levels; 79.0% of patients had fewer than 50 gametocytes/µL compared to 24.7% and 42.5% in the other 2 studies.

In patients with confirmed gametocytaemia at baseline and at the time of sampling post treatment, 13.2% of feeds (36/272) of those treated with PQ infected at least one mosquito, compared to 35.6% (63/177) of non-PQ treated patients sampled at the same timepoints (**Figure 3, Supplementary Table 4**). There were significant differences between studies/treatments: among patients who did not receive PQ, only one feed (1/61, 1.6%; days tested 3,7,10,14) infected any mosquitoes after AL compared to 49.4% (39/79; days tested 1,2,7) for DP and 59.0% (23/39; days tested 1,2,6,7,8) for SPAQ. In the PQ arms, the proportion of feeds that infected any mosquitoes was 0.0% (0/83) with AL, 2.6% (1/38) with SPAQ and 22.2% (35/158) with DP. From day 5 after PQ administration, of 283 feeds only 2 feeds were infectious, both in DP arms with PQ doses of 0.0625 and 0.5mg/kg.

The risk of a participant infecting at least one mosquito and the risk of a feeding mosquito becoming infected were strongly associated with gametocyte density at the time of mosquito feeding (AOR=8.33; 95%CI 3.91-17.78 and AOR=6.58; 95%CI 4.16-10.40 for 10-fold increases in gametocyte density, respectively) and significantly decreased following PQ treatment (**Table 3**). The reduction in odds of mosquito infectivity over time associated with PQ dose of 0.25mg/kg was significantly higher compared to lower doses (0.0625-0.125mg/kg) (ratio of AORs per day=17.84; 95%CI 4.93-64.52; p<0.001 for a participant infecting at least one mosquito and 10.36; 95%CI 4.67-22.98; p<0.001 for a mosquito becoming infected) and not statistically different from higher doses (0.4-0.5mg/kg) (p=0.433 and p=0.070, respectively). With the exception of those treated with AL, the odds did not decrease significantly over time for any of the schizontocidal drugs. A PQ dose of 0.25mg/kg

decreased the risk of infecting at least one mosquito practically to zero by day 3 (**Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure 2**).

Risk of bias

Methodological factors potentially contributing to the risk bias and attrition bias are presented in **Supplementary Table 5**. Measurement of gametocyte carriage using molecular methods is automated minimising the risk of observer bias; laboratory personnel performing molecular assays or dissecting mosquitoes were blinded in all studies. Sensitivity analyses showed that exclusion of any of the studies did not change the main conclusions of the analysis. The effect of PQ dose on gametocyte positivity was estimated as median AOR=0.69 (range 0.65–0.70) on Day 7 and 0.58 (range 0.54-0.62) on day 14 for a 0.1mg/kg increase.

The only eligible study for which data were not available for this meta-analysis [8] presented similar findings to results of this analysis. In this study, the addition of a single dose of 45mg of PQ to DP treatment was associated with increased clearance of gametocytes (measured by PCR) on day 7 and day 14. In the PQ arm, of 24 patients with gametocytes on enrolment, 22 cleared gametocytaemia by day 7 and all by day 14, compared to 11 (day 7) and 16 (day 14) of the 22 patients in the DP only arm. In their membrane feeding experiments, no mosquito infections occurred in the PQ arm one- and two-weeks post-treatment, while in the no-PQ arm 6.9% of feeding mosquitoes were infected on day 7 and 5.0% on day 14.

Discussion

This IPD-meta analysis estimated the effect of PQ as a single dose (ranging from 0.0625 to 0.75mg/kg) on the transmission potential of falciparum malaria infections, when co-administered with schizonticidal drugs. Our findings confirm the gametocyte clearing and sterilizing effects of

single dose PQ and indicate that both the PQ and the schizonticidal partner drug are important determinants of gametocyte clearance and transmission potential. Regardless of the schizonticidal partner-drug, mosquito infections were rarely present one week after administration of PQ, however, only three of the fourteen studies contributed data to this analysis.

Among currently licensed antimalarials for *P. falciparum*, PQ is unique in its ability to clear mature gametocytes persisting after ACT treatment. Since the impact of ACTs is largely restricted to immature, developing gametocytes [17], only a small proportion of infections develop gametocytes after ACTs whilst gametocytes that are present prior to treatment may persist [6]. In the current analysis, more than 20% of individuals who were gametocyte-negative at enrolment became gametocyte positive by molecular gametocyte detection methods shortly after treatment. Given that gametocytes first appear 8.5-12 days after their asexual progenitors [18] and transcripts specific to mature gametocytes are first observed on day 3 based on the current data, it is likely that this reflects density fluctuations of mature gametocytes already present prior to treatment [19], rather than *de novo* gametocyte production. In line with this, PQ administration prior to first detection of gametocytes reduced the proportion of patients with gametocytes during follow-up.

Gametocyte kinetics in patients who presented with peripheral gametocytaemia were strongly dependent on the schizontocidal treatment administered. Non-ACTs leave gametocytes largely unaffected, with gametocyte kinetics resembling a natural decay, while ACTs are only effective against early gametocytes [2, 20]. Also, ACTs differ markedly in their impact on gametocyte carriage [6, 7, 21], potentially due to the effects of the non-artemisinin partner drugs. Whilst lumefantrine affects gametocytes and their infectivity [22] piperaquine has limited effect on either developing or mature gametocytes [23]. Furthermore, the artemisinin derivative dose recommended by the manufacturer is significantly higher for AL than for DP. In the current pooled analysis, individuals receiving AL were considerably less likely to have patent gametocytaemia on day 14 compared to DP (AOR 0.18; 95% CI 0.08-0.44) and considerably less likely to infect mosquitoes. The addition of PQ

significantly reduced gametocyte carriage in all treatment groups [24] and did so in a dose-dependent manner [25]. When given in combination with AL, the 0.25mg/kg, the WHO-recommended dose, reduced gametocyte prevalence 7 days after treatment initiation to 22%, and this reduction is similar to that observed for higher PQ doses (16%, p=0.202). For individuals receiving DP, the average gametocyte prevalence reduction for 0.25mg/kg PQ was only to 39% on day 7 post-treatment but higher PQ doses accelerated gametocyte clearance (to 15%, p=0.002), and a 0.40mg/kg primaquine dose co-administered with DP achieves a similar effect to a 0.25mg/kg dose co-administered with AL.

However, gametocyte sterilization may precede gametocyte clearance [26, 27]. In three studies included where mosquito infection was used as an endpoint, the effect of PQ on preventing mosquito infection was apparent before gametocytes were fully cleared. Whilst the gametocyte clearing effect of PQ only became apparent on day 7 post-initiation of treatment, mosquito infections were already very rare on day 3 following treatment with 0.25mg/kg PQ. PQ doses below 0.25mg/kg were associated with higher mosquito infection rates on day 3 whilst doses higher than 0.25mg/kg did not augment or accelerate the transmission-blocking properties of PQ.

Use scenarios for single-dose PQ include elimination settings and areas threatened by drug resistance [10]. The findings from this meta-analysis, of increased gametocyte clearance and near absence of mosquito infections after administration (only 10/220 individuals who received at least 0.25mg/kg PQ infected mosquitoes in feeding assays), support PQ deployment in these scenarios. PQ has been co-administered with schizonticides in community-wide treatment campaigns [9, 28, 29], on the assumption that asymptomatic infections constitute a substantial proportion of the human infectious reservoir for malaria in low-endemic settings [30, 31]. However, concerns have been raised regarding the risk:benefit ratio in these settings. A proportion of these populations are likely to be G6PD deficient with a concern that they may be at an increased risk of PQ-induced hemolysis. However, the WHO-recommended single low dose of PQ has shown no significant risk in

recent studies specifically designed to assess safety in this population [14, 15], nor in recent studies primarily designed to determine PQ efficacy [32-34]. Results of an IPD meta-analysis of all available safety data will be published separately (PROSPERO CRD42019128185).

While CYP2D6 activity is essential for the generation of metabolites implicated in hypnozoite-clearance in *P. vivax* [35, 36], less is known about its potential impact on gametocytocidal or transmission-blocking properties of PQ. Whilst PQ's gametocytocidal activity may in part be unrelated to cytochrome CYP2D6 activity [36], gametocytes may persist longer after PQ treatment in individuals with low-moderate CYP2D6 activity [37]. A shortcoming of our meta-analysis is that we could not incorporate these possible effects of CYP2D6 metabolizer status on post-PQ gametocyte carriage or transmission. In general, the added value of gametocytocidal drugs in community treatment campaigns continues to be a matter of debate. Mathematical simulations indicate that the fraction of the asymptomatic population that is successfully treated with ACTs is considerably more important for the impact of treatment campaigns than the addition of PQ to ACTs and that impact will depend on transmission intensity [38-40].

This study also highlights SPAQ's poor ability to clear gametocytes with a considerably higher gametocyte prevalence on day 7 post initiation of treatment compared to DP or AL [41]. Seasonal malaria chemoprevention (SMC) using SPAQ is widely deployed across the Sahel region of Africa to reduce malaria morbidity in children under the age of 5 years old and consists of giving all children SPAQ 3 to 4 times monthly during the transmission season. In scenarios where SMC campaigns are considered in wider age groups, SMC may impact gametocyte carriage [42] and malaria transmission . For such scenarios, our findings suggest that either adding single low dose PQ to SPAQ or changing to an artemisinin-based combination of drugs may increase SMC impact [3].

Conclusions

Our analysis, based on individual patient data from clinical trials that were primarily conducted in Africa, supports the use of PQ as a potent gametocytocide and transmission blocking tool for *P.*

falciparum malaria. Gametocyte-carriage and transmission after PQ treatment depend on the schizonticidal drug that PQ is combined with, and PQ doses higher than 0.25mg/kg may accelerate gametocyte clearance. However, this WHO-recommended dose effectively achieves near-complete reductions in mosquito infections regardless of ACT. Additional clinical trials are necessary to quantify the effect of PQ use at community level; that is, to determine whether the effect of PQ observed in mosquito feeding assays leads to detectable changes in community-wide transmission levels when the drug is systematically used in clusters of transmission.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All data included in this analysis were obtained in accordance with the laws and ethical approvals applicable to the countries in which the studies were conducted, and were obtained with the knowledge and consent of the individual to which they relate. Data were fully anonymised either before or during the process of uploading to the WWARN repository. Use of existing data that are fully anonymized and that researchers cannot trace back to identifiable individuals does not require the review of the Ethics Committee under the guidelines of the Oxford University Research Ethics Committee

Conflict of interest

UDA attended Sanofi meeting in Dakar on the 13-14 December 2019 and received consultancy fee, travel, and accommodation reimbursement. All other authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This work was supported by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (OPP1089413). The WorldWide Antimalarial Resistance Network (WWARN) is funded by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and

the ExxonMobil Foundation. The funders did not participate in the study development, the writing of the paper, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Author's contributions

Conceived the study: AD, CJD, IC, PJG, RG, TB

Conceived and undertook individual studies and enrolled patients: AMS, AD, ACE, AEM, AB, AM, BBES, BEN, BPG, CCD, DM, GJB, IIC, JR, JMB, JO, KIB, MIAA, MRS, MER, PS, RRM, RG, SEGE, SK, TB, TKK, UDA, WJRS

Conducted systematic review and data pooling: GSH, IC, EC, KS

Analysed the data, interpreted the results: KS, TB, BPG, CJD, IC, KIB, PJG, RNP, RG

Wrote first draft of the manuscript: BPG, KS, TB

Reviewed and edited final manuscript: All

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank all participating patients, and everyone involved in the original studies. We would also like to thank Caitlin Richmond for project management support. The findings and conclusions presented in this manuscript are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official position of the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Figures

Figure 1. Forest plots of difference in proportions of participants with gametocytes (risk difference; RD) on each day of follow-up. Only individuals with gametocytes at enrolment were included. **Day 3:** Heterogeneity X^2=14.90 (d.f.=8) p=0.061; I-squared=46.3; **Day 7:** Heterogeneity X^2=45.75 (d.f.=8) p<0.001; I-squared=82.5%; **Day 14:** Heterogeneity X^2=70.21 (d.f.=8) p<0.001; I-squared=88.6%. Studies were excluded if no data was collected on a specific day, except for study 9 that did not include a PQ- arm (all days), and study 15 (day 3) in which PQ was administered on day 3

.

Figure 2. Predicted relationship between probability of gametocyte carriage on days 7 (left panel) and 14 (right panel) post treatment initiation and PQ dose. The dashed line represents this relationship for individuals treated with AL, and the solid line, for individuals treated with DP. Shaded areas correspond to 95% confidence intervals. Median values for other variables were assumed.

Figure 3. Results of membrane feeding experiments on different days of follow-up, in relation to starting treatment (left panels) and time of PQ administration (right panels). Whiskers represent 95% CI adjusted for clustering (within patients in upper panels and within feeding experiments in lower panels). Red boxes represent data for PQ arms and blue boxes for arms without PQ administration. This figure includes all data combined from AL, DP and SPAQ treatment arms.

Figure 4. Predicted risk of infecting at least one mosquito in the membrane feeding experiment, after administration of 0.25mg/kg dose of PQ (red line) or without PQ administration (blue line). Gametocytaemia of 100 gametocytes per microliter was assumed at the time of sampling. Results are presented for patients treated with AL (left panel) or DP (right panel).

References

1. White NJ. Qinghaosu (artemisinin): the price of success. Science **2008**; 320:330-4.
2. Kumar N, Zheng H. Stage-specific gametocytocidal effect in vitro of the antimalaria drug qinghaosu on Plasmodium falciparum. Parasitol Res **1990**; 76:214-8.
3. Dicko A, Roh ME, Diawara H, et al. Efficacy and safety of primaquine and methylene blue for prevention of Plasmodium falciparum transmission in Mali: a phase 2, single-blind, randomised controlled trial. Lancet Infect Dis **2018**; 18:627-39.
4. Smithuis F, Kyaw MK, Phe O, et al. Effectiveness of five artemisinin combination regimens with or without primaquine in uncomplicated falciparum malaria: an open-label randomised trial. Lancet Infect Dis **2010**; 10:673-81.
5. Price RN, Nosten F, Luxemburger C, et al. Effects of artemisinin derivatives on malaria transmissibility. Lancet **1996**; 347:1654-8.
6. Group WGS. Gametocyte carriage in uncomplicated Plasmodium falciparum malaria following treatment with artemisinin combination therapy: a systematic review and meta-analysis of individual patient data. BMC Med **2016**; 14:79.
7. Sawa P, Shekalaghe SA, Drakeley CJ, et al. Malaria transmission after artemether-lumefantrine and dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine: a randomized trial. J Infect Dis **2013**; 207:1637-45.
8. Lin JT, Lon C, Spring MD, et al. Single dose primaquine to reduce gametocyte carriage and Plasmodium falciparum transmission in Cambodia: An open-label randomized trial. PLoS One **2017**; 12:e0168702.
9. Landier J, Parker DM, Thu AM, et al. Effect of generalised access to early diagnosis and treatment and targeted mass drug administration on Plasmodium falciparum malaria in Eastern Myanmar: an observational study of a regional elimination programme. Lancet **2018**; 391:1916-26.
10. White NJ, Qiao LG, Qi G, Luzzatto L. Rationale for recommending a lower dose of primaquine as a Plasmodium falciparum gametocytocide in populations where G6PD deficiency is common. Malar J **2012**; 11:418.
11. Feachem RGA, Chen I, Akbari O, et al. Malaria eradication within a generation: ambitious, achievable, and necessary. Lancet **2019**; 394:1056-112.
12. Dick GW, Bowles RV. The value of plasmoquine as a gametocide in sub-tertian malaria. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg **1947**; 40:447-50.
13. Manson-Bahr P. The Action of Plasmochin on Malaria. Proc R Soc Med **1927**; 20:919-26.
14. Bastiaens GJH, Tiono AB, Okebe J, et al. Safety of single low-dose primaquine in glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficient falciparum-infected African males: Two open-label, randomized, safety trials. PLoS One **2018**; 13:e0190272.
15. Chen I, Diawara H, Mahamar A, et al. Safety of Single-Dose Primaquine in G6PD-Deficient and G6PD-Normal Males in Mali Without Malaria: An Open-Label, Phase 1, Dose-Adjustment Trial. J Infect Dis **2018**; 217:1298-308.
16. Joice R, Nilsson SK, Montgomery J, et al. Plasmodium falciparum transmission stages accumulate in the human bone marrow. Sci Transl Med **2014**; 6:244re5.
17. Adjalley SH, Johnston GL, Li T, et al. Quantitative assessment of Plasmodium falciparum sexual development reveals potent transmission-blocking activity by methylene blue. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **2011**; 108:E1214-23.
18. Reuling IJ, van de Schans LA, Coffeng LE, et al. A randomized feasibility trial comparing four antimalarial drug regimens to induce Plasmodium falciparum gametocytemia in the controlled human malaria infection model. Elife **2018**; 7.
19. Ali E, Mackinnon MJ, Abdel-Muhsin AM, Ahmed S, Walliker D, Babiker HA. Increased density but not prevalence of gametocytes following drug treatment of Plasmodium falciparum. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg **2006**; 100:176-83.

20. Bousema T, Okell L, Shekalaghe S, et al. Revisiting the circulation time of Plasmodium falciparum gametocytes: molecular detection methods to estimate the duration of gametocyte carriage and the effect of gametocytocidal drugs. Malar J **2010**; 9:136.
21. Sinclair D, Zani B, Donegan S, Olliaro P, Garner P. Artemisinin-based combination therapy for treating uncomplicated malaria. Cochrane Database Syst Rev **2009**:CD007483.
22. van Pelt-Koops JC, Pett HE, Graumans W, et al. The spiroindolone drug candidate NITD609 potently inhibits gametocytogenesis and blocks Plasmodium falciparum transmission to anopheles mosquito vector. Antimicrob Agents Chemother **2012**; 56:3544-8.
23. Collins KA, Wang CY, Adams M, et al. A controlled human malaria infection model enabling evaluation of transmission-blocking interventions. J Clin Invest **2018**; 128:1551-62.
24. Bousema T, Dinglasan RR, Morlais I, et al. Mosquito feeding assays to determine the infectiousness of naturally infected Plasmodium falciparum gametocyte carriers. PLoS One **2012**; 7:e42821.
25. Dicko A, Brown JM, Diawara H, et al. Primaquine to reduce transmission of Plasmodium falciparum malaria in Mali: a single-blind, dose-ranging, adaptive randomised phase 2 trial. Lancet Infect Dis **2016**; 16:674-84.
26. White NJ, Ashley EA, Recht J, et al. Assessment of therapeutic responses to gametocytocidal drugs in Plasmodium falciparum malaria. Malar J **2014**; 13:483.
27. Bradley J, Soumare HM, Mahamar A, et al. Transmission-blocking effects of primaquine and methylene blue suggest P. falciparum gametocyte sterilisation rather than effects on sex ratio. Clin Infect Dis **2019**.
28. von Seidlein L, Peto TJ, Landier J, et al. The impact of targeted malaria elimination with mass drug administrations on falciparum malaria in Southeast Asia: A cluster randomised trial. PLoS Med **2019**; 16:e1002745.
29. Landier J, Kajeechiwa L, Thwin MM, et al. Safety and effectiveness of mass drug administration to accelerate elimination of artemisinin-resistant falciparum malaria: A pilot trial in four villages of Eastern Myanmar. Wellcome Open Res **2017**; 2:81.
30. Chaumeau V, Kajeechiwa L, Fustec B, et al. Contribution of Asymptomatic Plasmodium Infections to the Transmission of Malaria in Kayin State, Myanmar. J Infect Dis **2019**; 219:1499-509.
31. Tadesse FG, Slater HC, Chali W, et al. The Relative Contribution of Symptomatic and Asymptomatic Plasmodium vivax and Plasmodium falciparum Infections to the Infectious Reservoir in a Low-Endemic Setting in Ethiopia. Clin Infect Dis **2018**; 66:1883-91.
32. Bancone G, Chowwiwat N, Somsakchaicharoen R, et al. Single Low Dose Primaquine (0.25 mg/kg) Does Not Cause Clinically Significant Haemolysis in G6PD Deficient Subjects. PLoS One **2016**; 11:e0151898.
33. Mwaiswelo R, Ngasala BE, Jovel I, et al. Safety of a single low-dose of primaquine in addition to standard artemether-lumefantrine regimen for treatment of acute uncomplicated Plasmodium falciparum malaria in Tanzania. Malar J **2016**; 15:316.
34. Tine RC, Sylla K, Faye BT, et al. Safety and Efficacy of Adding a Single Low Dose of Primaquine to the Treatment of Adult Patients With Plasmodium falciparum Malaria in Senegal, to Reduce Gametocyte Carriage: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Clin Infect Dis **2017**; 65:535-43.
35. Bennett JW, Pybus BS, Yadava A, et al. Primaquine failure and cytochrome P-450 2D6 in Plasmodium vivax malaria. N Engl J Med **2013**; 369:1381-2.
36. Camarda G, Jirawatcharadech P, Priestley RS, et al. Antimalarial activity of primaquine operates via a two-step biochemical relay. Nat Commun **2019**; 10:3226.
37. Pett H, Bradley J, Okebe J, et al. CYP2D6 polymorphisms and the safety and gametocytocidal activity of single dose primaquine for P. falciparum. Antimicrob Agents Chemother **2019**.
38. Johnston GL, Gething PW, Hay SI, Smith DL, Fidock DA. Modeling within-host effects of drugs on Plasmodium falciparum transmission and prospects for malaria elimination. PLoS Comput Biol **2014**; 10:e1003434.

39. Slater HC, Okell LC, Ghani AC. Mathematical Modelling to Guide Drug Development for Malaria Elimination. Trends Parasitol **2017**; 33:175-84.
40. Bretscher MT, Griffin JT, Ghani AC, Okell LC. Modelling the benefits of long-acting or transmission-blocking drugs for reducing Plasmodium falciparum transmission by case management or by mass treatment. Malar J **2017**; 16:341.
41. Ippolito MM, Johnson J, Mullin C, et al. The Relative Effects of Artemether-lumefantrine and Non-artemisinin Antimalarials on Gametocyte Carriage and Transmission of Plasmodium falciparum: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Clin Infect Dis **2017**; 65:486-94.
42. Konate D, Diawara SI, Toure M, et al. Effect of routine seasonal malaria chemoprevention on malaria trends in children under 5 years in Dangassa, Mali. Malar J **2020**; 19:137.

Figure 1



Figure 2



Figure 3



Figure 4



Table 1. Baseline characteristics of analysis population[1]. AL, artemether-lumefantrine; ASSP, artesunate and suphadoxine-pyrimethamine; DP, dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine; PPQ, piperaquine; SPAQ, sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine and amodiaquine.

		Primaquine		No Primaquine		All
Baseline Characteristics	**N**	**Median[Range] or n[%]**	**N**	**Median[Range] or n[%]**	**N**	**Median[Range] or n[%]**
Age (years)	1711	9 [0.5 - 84]	852	9 [1 - 84]	2563	9 [0.5 - 84]
Age :						
< 5 years	1711	342 [20]	852	162 [19]	2563	504 [20]
5-11 years	1711	799 [47]	852	376 [44]	2563	1175 [46]
12+ years	1711	570 [33]	852	314 [37]	2563	884 [34]
Sex: male	1598	901 [56]	835	472 [57]	2433	1373 [56]
Weight-for-age score (waz)	328	-.7 [-3.5 - 2.6]	156	-.6 [-3.8 - 2.5]	484	-.7 [-3.8 - 2.6]
Underweight (waz <-2)	328	38 [12]	156	21 [13]	484	59 [12]
Temperature (C)	1188	36.5 [34.2 - 40.3]	653	36.7 [34.3 - 40.4]	1841	36.6 [34.2 - 40.4]
Fever (>37.5C)	1207	120 [10]	653	119 [18]	1860	239 [13]
Haemoglobin (g/dL)	1688	11.7 [6 - 18.7]	837	11.7 [6.8 - 17.8]	2525	11.7 [6 - 18.7]
Anemia (Hb<10 g/dL)	1688	240 [14]	837	126 [15]	2525	366 [14]
Parasitaemia (/µL)	1618	560 [0 - 518180]	774	1000 [0 - 432000]	2392	687.5 [0 - 518180]
Hyperparasitaemia ($>10^5$/µL)	1618	103 [6]	774	36 [5]	2392	139 [6]
Presence of gametocytes:						
Microscope	833	212 [25]	491	162 [33]	1324	375 [28]
QT-Nasba	1215	925 [76]	501	385 [77]	1716	1310 [76]
RT-PCR	525	408 [76]	410	407 [75]	945	715 [76]
Gametocytaemia (/µL):						
Microscope	132	64 [12 - 1136]	133	43 [16 - 3000]	265	48 [12 - 3000]
QT-Nasba	871	22.7 [0 - 32733.6]	376	32.1 [0 - 17944.5]	1247	25.7 [0 - 32733.6]
RT-PCR	249	29.6 [0 – 4988.8]	172	31.7 [0 - 6529.5]	421	30.5 [0 – 6529.5]
G6PD: Deficient	1581	96 [6]	743	49 [7]	2324	145 [6]
Treatment Administered						
Schizontal treatment:						
AL	1718	858 [50]	856	420 [49]	2574	1278 [50]

ASSP	1718	106 [6]	856	106 [12]	2574	212 [8]
DP	1718	734 [43]	856	310[36]*	2574	1044 [41][1]
SPAQ	1718	20 [1]	856	20 [2]	2574	40 [2]
Dose of primaquine (mg/kg):						
0.0625	1718	16 [1]				
0.100	1718	115 [7]				
0.125	1718	25 [1]				
0.200	1718	172 [10]				
0.250	1718	477 [28]				
0.400	1718	474 [28]				
0.500	1718	17 [1]				
0.750	1718	422 [25]				

[1]Includes 20 patients who received DP and Methylene Blue and only contributed baseline data from membrane feeding experiments

[1]estimates also adjusted for study included as a covariate

Table 2. Multivariable mixed effects logistic regression for gametocyte positivity[1] on days 7 and 14 in patients with detectable gametocytaemia on day 0. AOR, adjusted odds ratio. N= number of patients included in the model, n = number of patients with positive outcome.

	Day 7 gametocyte positivity N=1,509 , n=546			Day 14 gametocyte positivity N=1,316 n=306		
Parameter	AOR	95% CI	P-value	AOR	95% CI	P-value
PQ dose (per 0.1mg/kg)	0.69	0.65-0.74	<0.001	0.58	0.53-0.64	<0.001
Log10 gametocytaemia[2]	1.85	1.61 -2.13	<0.001	1.87	1.56-2.25	<0.001
Hyperparasitaemia(>10^5 parasites/µL)	0.28	0.15-0.53	<0.001			
Haemoglobin (g/dL)	0.85	0.78-0.92	<0.001			
Treatment						
DP	Reference			Reference		
AL	0.50	0.28-0.90	0.021	0.18	0.08-0.44	<0.001
ASSP	1.20	0.45-3.21	0.723	0.99	0.26-3.80	0.983
SPAQ	16.16	1.88 -138.70	0.011	1.30	0.30 – 5.72	0.726

[1] When results from both molecular methods were available, gametocyte density was defined by qRT-PCR.

[2] In studies where only gametocyte positivity was determined by a molecular method, density measures by microscopy were included. For patients with positive samples by molecular method and zero microscopy count (n =230 on Day 7 and n= 180 on Day 14), density was assumed to be 8 (half of the detection limit by microscopy assuming microscopic quantification against 500 white blood cells or 1/16th of a microliter).

Table 3. Multivariable mixed effects logistic regression for A) probability of a patient infecting at least one mosquito B) probability of a mosquito being infected in membrane experiments conducted on blood taken within 14 days from treatment in patients with gametocytaemia at baseline and at the time of sampling. AOR, adjusted odds ratio.

	A. Patient infecting at least 1 mosquito N=317 patients , n=684 feeds			B. Mosquito gets infected N=41,840 mosquitoes n=664 feeds, 317 patients		
Parameter	AOR[1]	95% CI	P-value	AOR[1]	95% CI	P-value
Effect of PQ dose over time (per day)						
0.0625-0.125 mg/kg	0.50	0.31-0.81	0.004	0.57	0.41-0.70	0.001
0.25mg/kg	0.03	0.01 – 0.11	<0.001	0.05	0.03-0.12	<0.001
0.4-0.5 mg/kg	0.06	0.01 – 0.32	0.001	0.18	0.06-0.54	0.002
Effect of treatment over time (per day)	0.56	0.36-0.87	0.010	0.52	0.37-0.73	<0.001
AL	0.84	0.69-1.02	0.082	0.96	0.83-1.11	0.593
DP	0.97	0.76-1.23	0.798	0.98	0.83-1.16	0.807
SPAQ	8.33	3.91 -17.78	<0.001	6.58	4.16-10.40	<0.001
Log10 gametocytaemia at the time of sampling						

[1]estimates also adjusted for study included as a covariate