

Accepted Manuscript

Bacterial conjugated linoleic acid production and their applications



Bo Yang, He Gao, Catherine Stanton, R. Paul Ross, Hao Zhang, Yong Q. Chen, Haiqin Chen, Wei Chen

PII:	S0163-7827(17)30037-1
DOI:	doi: 10.1016/j.plipres.2017.09.002
Reference:	JPLR 948
To appear in:	Progress in Lipid Research
Received date:	8 June 2017
Revised date:	29 August 2017
Accepted date:	6 September 2017

Please cite this article as: Bo Yang, He Gao, Catherine Stanton, R. Paul Ross, Hao Zhang, Yong Q. Chen, Haiqin Chen, Wei Chen, Bacterial conjugated linoleic acid production and their applications. The address for the corresponding author was captured as affiliation for all authors. Please check if appropriate. Jplr(2017), doi: 10.1016/j.plipres.2017.09.002

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Bacterial conjugated linoleic acid production and their applications

Bo Yang ^{a,b,c,#}, He Gao ^{a,b,#}, Catherine Stanton ^{d,e}, R. Paul Ross ^{e,f}, Hao Zhang ^{a,b,c}, Yong Q. Chen ^{a,b,c}, Haiqin Chen ^{a,b,*}, Wei Chen ^{a,b,c,g,*}

a State Key Laboratory of Food Science and Technology, Jiangnan University, 1800 Lihu Avenue, Wuxi 214122, China.

b School of Food Science and Technology, Jiangnan University, 1800 Lihu Avenue, Wuxi 214122, China.

c National Engineering Research Center for Functional Food, Jiangnan University, 1800 Lihu Avenue, Wuxi 214122, China.

d Teagasc Food Research Centre, Moorepark, Fermoy, Co. Cork, Ireland

e APC Microbiome Institute, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland

f College of Science, Engineering and Food Science, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland

g Beijing Innovation Centre of Food Nutrition and Human Health, Beijing Technology and Business University (BTBU), Beijing 100048, China

Contribution equally to this study

*Corresponding Author: Haiqin Chen & Wei Chen,

School of Food Science & Technology, Jiangnan University, 1800 Lihu Avenue, Wuxi, Jiangsu 214122 China

Tel: +86-510-85197239; Fax: +86-510-85197239.

E-mail: haiqinchen@jiangnan.edu.cn (Haiqin Chen); chenwei66@jiangnan.edu.cn (Wei Chen)

1 ABSTRACTS

2	Conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) has been shown to exert various potential physiological
3	properties including anti-carcinogenic, anti-obesity, anti-cardiovascular and anti-diabetic
4	activities, and consequently has been considered as a promising food supplement. Bacterial
5	biosynthesis of CLA is an attractive approach for commercial production due to its high
6	isomer-selectivity and convenient purification process. Many bacterial species have been
7	reported to convert free linoleic acid (LA) to CLA, hitherto only the precise CLA-producing
8	mechanisms in Propionibacterium acnes and Lactobacillus plantarum have been illustrated
9	completely, prompting the development of recombinant technology used in CLA production.
10	The purpose of the article is to review the bacterial CLA producers as well as the recent
11	progress on describing the mechanism of microbial CLA-production. Furthermore, the
12	advances and potential in the heterologous expression of CLA genetic determinants will be
13	presented.

Key words: conjugated linoleic acid, bacterial CLA-producers, CLA bioconversion
 mechanism, microbial cell factories

16 **1. Introduction**

17 Conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) refers to a group of positional and geometric isomers of 18 linoleic acid (C18:2, c9,c12) with conjugated double bonds. CLA has attracted great interest in 19 recent decades due to its biological and physiological benefits [1, 2], including 20 anti-carcinogenesis [3-5], anti-obesity [6-9], anti-inflammation [10, 11], anti-diabetic [12-14] as 21 well as bone formation-promoting properties [15, 16]. Many bacteria have been reported to 22 convert free LA into CLA, such as Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens [17], Lactobacillus reuteri [18], L. 23 plantarum [19, 20], L. casei [21], L. acidophilus [22], Bifidobacterium breve [23], B. longum [24], 24 Propionibacterium acnes [25], P. freudenreichii [26] and Clostridium sporogenes [27].

25 Most commercial CLA is produced via chemical isomerization of LA, however, the 26 chemical process will result in unexpected by-products, which are mostly harmful [28]. 27 Although CLA has different isomers, many studies have confirmed that only c9,t11-CLA, 28 t9,t11-CLA and t10,c12-CLA are considered as the isomers with beneficial activities. 29 Considering the usage of CLA for medical purposes, a safe isomer-selective process is 30 required. Microbial CLA seems to be a perfect approach, although the CLA concentration 31 typically produced during microbial fermentation is insufficient for commercial purposes. A 32 potentially better alternative is the use of genetically modified organism (GMO) recombineered to overproduce CLA with high efficiency. The advances in the identification of CLA-producing 33 34 mechanisms among some strains have prompted the development of recombinant technology 35 in CLA production such as Escherichia coli [29] and Yarrowia lipolytica [30, 31].

This article will review the current knowledge on microbial CLA producers and the precise mechanisms for bacterial CLA production, as well as recombinant DNA technology for industrial CLA production.

39 2. Bacterial CLA producers

According to the major CLA isomers, the strains that can produce CLA can be divided into two groups: 9,11-CLA (*c*9,*t*11-CLA and *t*9,*t*11-CLA) and *t*10,*c*12-CLA. Some typical strains with high conversion rates are listed in Table 1.

43 2.1 c9,t11-CLA and t9,t11-CLA producers

44 2.1.1 Rumen bacteria

45 Rumen bacteria can bio-hydrogenate some unsaturated fatty acids to *trans*-vaccenic acid

46 or stearic acid with CLA as intermediate [32]. Since demonstration of the capacity of B. 47 fibrisolvens A38 to produce CLA efficiently among rumen bacteria, it has been widely used as 48 a model for CLA production in rumen bacteria [33-35]. It is reported that 40% of LA is 49 converted to CLA by this strain, of which 95% is the c9,t11-CLA. Furthermore, accumulation of 50 CLA is inhibited by such factors as high concentration of LA [36], aerobic conditions [36] as 51 well as glycolytic inhibitors [37]. CLA reductase activity has been identified as another important factor influencing CLA production. For example, B. fibrisolvens TH1 exhibits high 52 CLA isomerase activity, but the accumulation of CLA is low, mostly due to high reductase 53 54 activity, catalyzing the conversion of CLA into trans-vaccenic acid [38]. In contrast, the accumulation of CLA occurs in B. fibrisolvens MDT-5 due to the absence of CLA reductase 55 activity. Thus, the latter strain could be considered to be an ideal probiotic for animal nutrition 56 57 for its high CLA production capability [39].

58 2.1.2 Lactobacillus

59 Lactobacilli have attracted more attention than other CLA-producing strains due to their 60 health-promoting effects. Many species of lactic acid bacteria have been reported to possess 61 the ability to produce CLA. L. reuteri was the first species of lactic acid bacteria reported with 62 high CLA production capability [40]. Subsequently, Lee et al. [41] found that immobilized L. 63 reuteri cells could accumulate 5.5 times more CLA than that obtained from the conversion by 64 free washed cells. Furthermore, glycocholate which occurs in humans is shown not to influence CLA production by L. reuteri ATCC 55739 [42]. The bioconversion capability of CLA 65 in L. reuteri at different conditions was investigated [43], and highest concentration of CLA was 66 obtained in broth containing 20 mg/l free LA aerobically at 10 °C for 30 h. L. plantarum is the 67 most widely reported species among lactobacilli with high CLA-production ability. In 2002, 68 Kishino et al. identified some strains that have the ability to generate CLA, in which L. 69 70 plantarum AKU1009a showed the highest conversion rate, with up to 85% of LA being 71 converted into c9,t11-CLA [19]. CLA could also be accumulated at a level of up to 2700 mg/l by 72 L. plantarum JCM1551 with ricinoleic acid (12-hydroxy-cis-9-octadecenoic acid) as the substrate [44]. It is also reported that both the growing culture and washed cells of L. 73 74 plantarum ZS2058 could effectively convert LA into CLA, at rates of 54.3% for growing cultures 75 and 46.75% for washed cells, respectively [45]. Additionally, washed cells and substrate

76	concentration, manipulation of the content of yeast extract and glucose in MRS broth
77	increased the CLA productivity significantly in L. plantarum [46]. Linoleic acid could be
78	converted into different hydroxyl fatty acids such as 10-HOE, 10,13-diHOA, and
79	13-hydroxy-cis-9-ocatadecenoic acid (13-HOE). For instance, L. reuteri LTH 2584 [47], L.
80	sanfranciscensis ATCC27651[48, 49], L. hammesii DSM16381 [47-49], L. spicheri LS38 [47],
81	L. rhamnosus LGG [50], L. plantarum ST-III [50], L. acidophilus NCFM [50], L. plantarum
82	AKU1009a [19, 51-55], <i>L. plantarum</i> ATCC 8014 [56], <i>L. plantarum</i> ZS2058 [45] and <i>L.</i>
83	acidophilus AKU1137 [28, 57] could generate 10-HOE. In the CLA producers, 10-HOE was
84	accumulated during CLA production in <i>L. acidophilus</i> AKU1137, <i>L. plantaurm</i> AKU1009a and,
85	L. plantarum ZS2058 and L. plantarum ATCC8014, and was confirmed as CLA-generation
86	intermediates. In hence, the enzyme catalyzing 10-HOE production should be essential for
87	CLA production in those strains. L. acidophilus NBRC 13951 [58] could convert LA to 10-HOE
88	and 13-HOE, while <i>L. plantarum</i> TMW 1.460 [47, 48], <i>L. acidophilus</i> LMG 11470 [59, 60], and
89	L. acidophilus AKU1137 [61] could metabolize LA into three hydroxyl fatty acid including
90	10-HOE, 13-HOE,10,13-diHOA. Heretofore, no evidence shows 13-HOE or 10,13-diHOA are
91	involved in CLA production.
92	Washed cells of L. acidophilus La-5 accumulated CLA in the cells, but not in the culture
93	medium. In addition, absence of oxygen could only influence the ratio of different CLA isomers

produced, but not total CLA concentration. Tween 80 has been shown to be effective to 94 95 promote growth and c9, t11-CLA production efficiency of L. acidophilus F0221 in the presence 96 of bile salts [62]. Similar to L. acidophilus, immobilized cells of L. delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus 97 onto polyacrylamide could significantly increase the content of CLA compared with that by free 98 washed cells [63]. Other Lactobacillus strains have shown high abilities to produce CLA, such 99 as L. casei, L. pentosus and L. brevis [19]. The application of L. casei for the synthesis of CLA in both hen eggs and broiler meat cuts were demonstrated, suggesting this strain as a suitable 100 101 probiotic for such application [64].

102 2.1.3 Bifidobacterium

103 The ability of *Bifidobacterium* to produce CLA was firstly reported by Coakley and 104 colleagues [65], in which nine of fifteen strains presented high CLA-producing activity with 105 c9,t11-CLA as the predominant isomer produced and members of *B. breve* species as the

106 most efficient for CLA production. One hundred and fifty strains of bifidobacteria strains were 107 isolated from human intestines [66], and four isolates showed 80% conversion of LA to CLA in 108 MRS broth. Among these strains, B. breve LMC 017 could convert up to 90% of linoleic acid or 109 78.8% of monolinolein into CLA. B. breve LMC520 is reported to produce CLA with maximal 110 bioconversion rate up to 90% [23]. Thirty six bifidobacteria strains were assessed for CLA 111 production [67] and consequently four B. breve strains were discovered to transform LA into CLA ranging from 19.5% to 53.5%. More than 70% of CLA isomers produced by B. breve were 112 c9,t11-CLA, meanwhile approximately 38% of CLA isomers were t9,t11-CLA in B. breve LMG 113 114 13194. B. longum is another high CLA production species among the genus Bifidobacerium. Rapid screening of CLA-producing bifidobacteria was established by Barrett et al. [24]. With 115 this method, four B. longum strains, isolated from feces, were found to convert more than 20% 116 117 of free LA to CLA [24]. B. longum DPC6320, demonstrated 43.89% c9,t11-CLA conversion, 118 while B. longum DPC6315 could convert only 11.02% of free LA into c9,t11-CLA [68]. Another 119 study showed that *B. longum* could increase the content of CLA in the cheese by 20.44% [69]. 120 B. animalis Bb12, one of the most widely used probiotics, could transfer 27% of free LA into c9,t11-CLA in MRS broth [65]. B. animalis BLC showed the best CLA production with free LA 121 122 as substrate while B. animalis Bb12-1 demonstrated the highest conversion rate of CLA with 123 ricinoleic acid as substrate [70]. Additionally, B. dentium NCFB 2243 could convert 29% of LA 124 into 9,11-CLA [47]. The CLA conversion rate of B. bifidum CRL 1399 was up to 24.8% in MRS 125 broth [71]. Gorrisen and colleagues [67] discovered that B. bifidum LMG 10645 could produce CLA from LA with conversion rate of 40.7%. B. animalis subsp.lactis Bb-12 [50], B. breve 126 127 NCIMB 702258 [72] could produce 10-HOE during CLA generation.

128 2.1.4 Propionibacterium

The first CLA-production *Propionibacteria* was reported by Verhulst and colleagues [26], in which *P. freudenreichii* subsp. *freudenreicbii*, *P. freudenreichii* subsp. *shermanii*, *P. acidi-propionici* and *P. technicum* could produce *c*9,*t*11-CLA. Jiang et al. [73] analyzed dairy starter cultures for the capability to produce CLA from free LA in MRS broth. Three *Propionibacteria* strains were discovered to generate CLA with high efficiency. *P. freudenreichii* subsp. *freudenreichii* Propioni-6 Wiesby showed the highest CLA-production capability (35.3% conversion). *P. shermanii* AKU1254 could produce 0.11 g/l CLA in reaction mixture with 4 g/l

136 free LA, and the CLA produced was the mixture of *c*9,*t*11-CLA and *t*9,*t*11-CLA [19].

137 2.1.5 Clostridium

Several strains of *Clostridium bifermentans*, *C. sporogenes* and *C. sordelli* were shown to hydrogenate LA into *trans*-vaccenic acid *in vitro* with c9,t11-CLA as intermediate [27]. Peng et al. [74] showed that c9,t11-CLA accumulated in *C. sporogenes* ATCC 22762 within 30 min and then t9,t11-CLA and t10,t12-CLA increased at the expense of c9,t11-CLA until these reached the same level.

143 2.1.6 Other c9,t11-CLA producers

Other strains showed the ability to produce CLA. *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *cremoris* CCRC12586, *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* CCRC 10791 and <u>S. thermophilus</u> CCRC 12257 were reported to convert free linoleic acid in skim milk plus 12% free linoleic acid [22]. Additionally, some other *Lactococcus* [75], *Streptococcus* [76], *Leuconostoc* and *Pediococcus* [76, 77] strains have shown the capability to produce CLA with different substrates.

149 2.2 *t*10,*c*12-CLA producers

Bacterial species which could produce *t*10,*c*12-CLA are less common than those producing *c*9,*t*11-CLA. *T*10,*c*12-CLA is the isomer presenting significant benefits on anti-obesity [78]. *P. acnes* has been shown to convert LA into *t*10,*c*12-CLA. Verhulst and colleagues firstly reported the ability of *P. acnes* to produce *t*10,*c*12-CLA, including strain ATCC 6919, ATCC 6921, VP1 162, VPI 163, VPI 164, VPI 174, VPI 186, and VPI 199 [26]. *P. acnes* ATCC 6919, could also catalyze the production of *t*10,*c*12-CLA from linoleic acid at high level [79].

Additionally, t10,c12-CLA could be also produced from linoleic acid by *Megasphaera elsdenii* [36]. *M. elsdenii* YJ-4 could catalyze 35% of free LA into CLA, among which the percentage of t10,c12-CLA is up to 85% [80]. Peng et al.[74] showed that *C. sporogenes* ATCC 22762 could generate t10,t12-CLA at a low level while c9,t11-CLA was the major isomer produced.

While *c*9,*t*11-CLA was the major isomer in lactic acid bacteria, *t*10,*c*12-CLA isomer could be produced by some lactic acid bacteria strains [21]. Enzymes were purified from *Lactobacillus* strains to determine their capability for CLA production and consequently, *L. rhamnosus* PL60 and *L. pentosus* IFO 12011 were identified to produce considerable

t10,c12-CLA isomer [81]. CLA produced by L. plantarum NCUL005 consists of 32.2% 166 167 c9,t11-CLA isomer and 67.8% t10,c12-CLA isomers [82]. In L. reuteri ATCC55739, 168 t10,c12-CLA could make up 41% of the final CLA isomers [18]. As well as P. freudenreichii with 169 high CLA production ability could accumulate a smaller amount of t10,c12-CLA when they 170 produce amount of c9,t11-CLA and t9,t11-CLA from linoleic acid [73].

171

3. Role of CLA production in bacteria

172 The role of conjugated fatty acids in bacterial cells is unclear, but a number of proposals 173 have been made. For example, it has been proposed that bio-hydrogenation is a means for 174 anaerobic bacteria to dispose of reducing power [83]. Bacterial cells capable of isomerizing linoleic acid to CLA exhibit greater tolerance to linoleic acid, compared with bacteria that 175 176 exhibit non-CLA production. In a research by Jiang et al [73], it was reported that the majority of CLA-producing Propionibacteria were those inhibited by the presence of high 177 concentrations of free linoleic acid, and a positive correlation between microbial CLA 178 179 production and tolerance to linoleic acid was observed among the CLA producing strains. This 180 suggested that microbial CLA production may be a detoxification mechanism for the bacterial 181 cell. Linoleic acid has been shown to be toxic to many bacteria, as shown by lack of ability to grow in the presence of the fatty acid [21, 73, 84, 85]. When cultured in the presence of the 182 183 more rigid CLA molecule, as compared with linoleic acid, bacterial cells exhibited superior 184 growth, while growth was unaffected by stearic acid [85]. In general, long chain fatty acids with a higher degree of unsaturation are reportedly more inhibitory bacterial cell growth than fatty 185 186 acids of the same chain length but with fewer unsaturated double bonds [86]. The presence of linoleic acid and CLA in the medium has been shown to up-regulate the molecular chaperone 187 188 GroEL in B. fibrisolvens by 6.9 and 5.5-fold, respectively [87]. This induced expression of 189 GroEL is believed to be a non-specific response to stress rather than a specific mechanism enabling *B. fibrisolvens* to withstand the toxic effects of linoleic acid and CLA. 190

191

4. Bacterial CLA-production mechanism

192 A number of species and strains generating CLA were reported, however, heretofore 193 mechanisms for CLA bioconversion have not been elucidated for each species.

194 4.1 Mechanism for c9, t11-CLA production

195 4.1.1 Rumen bacteria

196 Two processes involved in the bioconversion of LA into stearic acid exist in the mixed 197 rumen bacteria. The first process is from LA to monoenoic acid, followed by the process from 198 monoenoic acid to stearic acid [88, 89]. The enzyme which catalyzes the conversion of linoleic 199 acid to c9, t11-CLA is linoleate isomerase. The isomerase from B. fibrisolvens is reported to be 200 membrane-bound and have maximum activity within a narrow substrate concentration range 201 (α -linolenic aicd and γ -linolenic acid), and to have absolute specificity for a substrate containing a free carboxyl group and a $c_{9,c12}$ diene bonding system. Cofactors seem to be not 202 essential for the isomerase because enzymatic activity would not be influenced by passing 203 through corresponding chromatographic columns or the addition of CoA, ATP, Mg²⁺, ADP, AMP 204 205 and NAD⁺ [35]. Isomeration of LA into CLA by the crude enzyme extracts was not affected by 206 the aerobic condition, even though an aerobic condition was essential for intact cells [89, 90]. 207 The preferred ω (omega) chain length was shown to be 6 carbons, as heptadecadienoic acid 208 (C17:2, w7) was isomerized at only half the rate of LA [35, 91]. Kepler et al. [91] proposed a 209 model for the isomerization of LA by linoleate isomerase, in which the substrate binds initially 210 to the enzyme in a hydrophobic pocket. The binding involves interaction of the π -electrons of 211 the substrate double bond with an electrophilic group on the enzyme and hydrogen bonding of 212 the undissociated carboxyl group of the substrate with an electronegative center in the enzyme 213 (Fig. 1) [92]. It was concluded that the hydrogen added to carbon 13 was derived from water, 214 which suggests that the hydration added to carbon 13 was derived from water, which suggests 215 that the hydration-dehydration mechanism generates ricinoleic acid as an intermediate, although no hydroxyl fatty acids were detected. 216

Hydrogenation of CLA by reductase activity could influence the amount of the CLA in 217 these strains. CLA reductase is approximately 60 kDa, which could convert the CLA to the t9 or 218 219 t11 ocatdecenoic acid [93, 94]. Fukuda et al. demonstrated that addition of saturated fatty acid 220 could stimulate the activity of isomerase, while the activity of CLA reductase would increase with the addition of unsaturated fatty acid [95]. Fukuda et al. also discovers that cla-r is 221 222 responsible for the isomerase activity [39, 93]. Though many properties of the isomerase have 223 been clarified, the purification of linoleate isomerase from the B. fibriosolvens is still unsuccessful. More effort should be taken to obtain the crystal structure of isomerase in order 224 225 to clarify the essence of the isomerization.

4.1.2 Lactobacillus

227 Putative linoleate isomerase in lactobacilli is considered as the key factor involved in the 228 bioconversion of LA into CLA, similar to that in the rumen bacteria and Propionibacterium, in 229 which no intermediates were accumulated. Rosson et al. isolated and characterized a special 230 enzyme from L. reuteri ATCC55739, which was originally identified as isomerase [96], and the 231 size of which was reported as 67 kD with an optimum pH of 6.8-7.5 [97]. Moreover, this protein is identified to be homogenous to myosin-cross-reactive antigen (MCRA), which is universal 232 233 among bacteria. However, when the gene was cloned into various expression systems, no 234 CLA was produced, although recombinant Bacillus subtilis produced a fatty acid with the same 235 molecular weight as a hydroxylated linoleic acid derivative at the expense of linoleic acid [97]. High homology between the purified linoleate isomerase from L. reuteri and the relationship 236 237 between hydroxyl fatty acid and CLA have already been discovered. Volkov et al. firstly 238 demonstrated that MCRA from S. pyogenes is a fatty acid hydratase not a linoleate isomerase 239 [98]. In addition, the crystal structure of MCRA from L. acidophilus NCFM was analysed [99]. 240 FAD was found to be loosely linked to MCRA. Furthermore, four intricately connected domains 241 were observed in the crystal structure, and three domains (domain1, domain2 and domain 3) 242 are responsible for the formation of a hydrophobic substrate channel, similar to that found in 243 several flavin-dependent amino-oxidases (Fig. 2). With linoleic acid as substrate, 10-hydroxy-cis-12-octadecenoic acid (10-HOE) and 10,13-dihydroxy-octadecanoic acid 244 (10,13-diHOA) were both produced by the purified MCRA from L. acidophilus NCFM. MCRA is 245 246 successfully purified from L. plantarum AKU1009a [51-55, 100], identified as FAD-independent hydratase with NADH as the activator, similar to other MCRAs [50, 98]. It is also reported in 247 this study that FADH₂, produced by hydrating FAD by NADH, may be the actual activator for 248 the reaction. The hydratase seems to preferentially act with the substrate coupled with 249 250 ethylenic bond in the hydration reaction, such as the linoleic acid, oleic acid and that with 251 hydroxyl at Δ10 position in the dehydration reaction. The result is similar to that of MCRA 252 isolated from Elizabethkingia meningoseptica [101], S. pyogenes [98], and B. breve [72]. 253 Further studies performed in our lab demonstrate that MCRA in different lactic acid bacteria functioned as hydratase not a linoleate isomerase [50]. Similar results were obtained in other 254 255 researches [102, 103]. Therefore, the mechanism for CLA production in lactobacilli remained

256 unclear.

257 Multiple-step reactions for CLA production was hypothesized and several intermediates 258 were determined respectively. Ogawa et al. [28] reported that following incubation with washed 259 cells of various cultures; two different isomers of CLA were detected (c9,t11-CLA and 260 t9,t11-CLA) together with two hydroxyl fatty acids, 10-hydroxy-trans-12-octadecenoic acid and 261 10-HOE. To elucidate the mechanism of CLA production, L. acidophilus AKU 1137 was found 262 to accumulate hydroxyl fatty acids before CLA production, which decreased rapidly as the reaction proceeded (Fig. 3). This finding suggests that the two hydroxyl fatty acids are 263 264 intermediates in CLA production, starting with hydration of linoleic acid to 265 10-hydroxy-octadecenoic acid and subsequent dehydrating isomerization of the hydroxyl fatty 266 acid to CLA. Furthermore, hydroxyl fatty acids when used as substrate were converted to CLA by L. acidophilus. Free ricinoleic acid was a substrate for CLA production for a wide range of 267 268 LAB [104]. In 2011, Kishino et al. successfully isolated three proteins from L. plantarum 269 AKU1009a, in which was membrane-protein involved in the one forming 270 10-hydroxyl-cis-12-octadecenic acid from LA and the other two proteins existed in the cytoplasm. Together with these three proteins, LA could be converted to CLA [52], and those 271 272 proteins are highly homogenous to MCRA, short-chain dehydrogenase/oxidoreductase and 273 acetoacetate decarboxylase of L. plantarum WCFS1, respectively. Based on the N-terminal 274 sequencing, three genes involved in the production of CLA from linoleic acid, cla-hy, cla-dh 275 and cla-dc, were identified [51]. For further analysis, the three genes were heterologously 276 expressed in E. coli and with the mixture of the recombinants CLA was generated successfully, 277 which was the first report for the confirmed mechanism of CLA production in lactic acid 278 bacteria. Later research in the group, the whole metabolism of LA was illustrated; with hydroxyl 279 fatty acids and oxo fatty acids as intermediates, LA could be converted into CLA and oleic acid, 280 those metabolites were catalyzed by CLA-HY (10-LAH), CLA-DH, CLA-DC, and CLA-ER [53]. This pathway includes the process of hydration, dehydration and double-bond immigration, 281 282 and the precise pathway was described as follows (Fig. 3). According to position of hydroxyl group, the enzymes catalyzing linoleic acid hydration could be divided into two groups: 283 linoleate 10-hydratase (10-LAH) and linoleate 13-hydratase (13-LAH), in which 10-LAH is 284 285 defined as oleate hydratase (EC 4.2.1.53). Interestedly, the enzymes converting LA to hydroxyl

286 fatty acids are belonged to MCRA family, which means MCRA is multifunctional. MCRA from L. 287 planarum AKU1009a [51-55], L. plantarum ZS2058 [45], L. plantarum ATCC8014 [56], L. 288 reuteri LTH2584 [47], L. hammessi DSM16381 [47], L. rhamnosus LGG [50] and L. plantarum 289 ST-III [50] were cloned and identified as FAD-dependent linoleate 10-hydrase, in which FAD was confirmed as an essential factor. Several linoleate 13-hydtastases were characterized as 290 291 well. MCRA from L. acidophilus NCFM was characterized [50], and its crystal structure was analyzed, with the purified protein 10-HOE and 10,13-diHOA could be produced from LA [99]. 292 In addition, MCRA of L. acidophilus LMG 11470 was characterized with multiple functions as 293 294 well which could convert LA to 10-HOE and 13-HOE [59]. Current knowledge on the linoleate 295 13-hydratase shows that they are not FAD-dependent. However, how 10-LAH and 13-LAH 296 generated 10,13-diHOA remains unclear. Similar results are observed in our lab in L. plantarum ZS2058, a strain with efficient 297 298 production of CLA. 10-hydroxyl-cis-12-octadeneic acid, 10-oxo-cis-12-octadeneic acid, 299 10-oxo-trans-octadeneic acid are detected, which is considered as the intermediates during

300 the isomerization of LA into CLA. Moreover, the corresponding genes were also identified in

301 detail [45].

A more recent publication reported that 10-HOE could be transferred into *c*9,*t*11-CLA directly by enolase (Fig. 3) [105]. This novel enzyme could not recognize LA as the substrate instead 10-HOE. These results enriches our understanding of producing CLA among *L. plantarum*, which indicated multiple or alternative mechanisms for CLA production in lactobacilli.

307 4.1.3 Bifidobacterium

308 The information about mechanism of CLA production in Bifidobacterium is limited. 309 Rosberg-Cody et al. [72] firstly reported a putative linoleate isomerase gene in B. breve, which 310 is highly homogenous to mcra in L. reuteri ATCC 55739. This corresponding enzyme is 311 identified as FAD-dependent protein, catalyzing reaction from the LA to 312 10-hydroxy-cis-12-octadecacenic acid, similar to our finding in B. lactis Bb12 [50]. It's reasonable to speculate that 10-hydoxy-cis-12-octadeceneic acid might be the first 313 intermediate in bifidobacterial CLA generation. However, after mcra was knocked out, MCRA 314 315 in the B. breve has been reported to be only active for oleate hydrogenation nor CLA

production in the *mcra* knock-out mutant [106]. The mechanism for CLA production in
 Bifidobacterium remains unclear, which needs further investigation.

318 4.1.4 Clostridium

319 Linoleic acid could be isomerized by C. sporogenes to c9,t11-CLA [27], followed by 320 accumulation of t9,t11-CLA and t10,t12-CLA isomers at the expense of c9,t11-CLA isomers 321 [74]. C. sporogenes linoleate isomerase has already been purified, identified to be membrane-associated and shown to be unstable, especially being solubilized by detergents. 322 Similar to the substrate specificity of isomerase in B. fibrisolvens, P. acnes and L. plantarum, 323 324 cis double bond at the c9 and c12 position of C18 polyunsaturated fatty acids with free 325 carboxyl group seems to be necessary in C. sporogenes. Furthermore, no external cofactors 326 or energy sources were required for isomerization. However, the corresponding gene(s) has 327 not been identified yet and detailed characterization of this enzyme is still unclear.

328 4.2 Mechanism for *t*10,*c*12-CLA production

329 In contrast to linoleate isomerase responsible for production of c9, t11-CLA in lactic acid 330 bacteria, polyunsaturated fatty acid isomerase (PAI) involved in the bioconversion of LA to 331 t10,c12-CLA in P. acnes has been clearly elucidated [79, 107, 108]. Bioconversion of CLA by P. 332 acnes is confirmed to be one-step and nonredox isomeration, in which linoleic acid is directly 333 catalyzed into t10,c12-CLA [79]. PAI is also identified to be FAD-dependent, however, with no 334 requirement for reducing power (NADH or NADPH) and also to be soluble protein with 424 335 amino acid, different from those isolated from B. fibrisolvens [17], L. plantarum [51] and C. sporogenes [74]. Furthermore, crystal structure of PAI has already been elucidated, in which 336 PAI and PAI-LA complexes are purified and then determined (Fig. 4) [108, 109]. Three 337 intricately connected domains are found in PAI, in which FAD could be nonvalently linked to 338 339 domain 1 and positively charged patches generated by several Lys and Arg residues could be 340 localized near the channel entrance in domain 3 serving as an initial recognition site for the carboxylate group of the fatty acid. It has been noted that isomerization of LA by PAI is initiated 341 342 by abstracting a hydrogen anion at C11 directly toward the atom N15 of FAD and then carbocation is produced, served as the intermediate stabilized by π -cation and helix dipole. 343 T10,c12-CLA is then generated by addition of the hydrogen at C9 and reproduction of FAD. 344

345 The PAI represents the first report of a crystal structure reported for a fatty acid isomerase,

13

which reveals a unique gating mechanism for substrate specificity, due to the conformational changes in the hydrophobic channel toward the active site. The length preference for C18 fatty acids can thus be explained by the fixed distance between the FAD and the substrate carboxylate (11 carbon atoms in the case of linoleic acid and linolenic acids) [108, 109].

350

5. Microbial cell factories for *t*10,*c*12-CLA production

The original bacterial CLA producers only recognize the free fatty acids as substrate whereas high concentration of free fatty acids could inhibit the growth of bacteria. Therefore those microbial CLA producers are not suitable for industrial purpose. With the genetic determinants for CLA production available, genetic engineering and metabolic engineering might be alternative to serve as microbial cell factories for commercial CLA production.

356 **5.1 Bacteria**

Escherichia coli is among the most commonly used host in genetic engineering. 357 Rosberg-Cody et al. demonstrated that E. coli cells carrying the construct coPAI gene 358 359 converted about 40% of LA [29]. Moreover, IPTG induction condition must be developed 360 otherwise linoleate isomerase expressed in *E. coli* would be no activitity [79]. Further studies 361 demonstrated that fed batch fermentation could be considered as an effective method to improve the expression of PAI with significant activity. Except this typical C9 isomerase, C12 362 363 linoleate isomerase was also successfully hetero-expressed in E.coli [110]. All these trials 364 would provide an effective product on process of CLA for the medical and nutritional purposes. However, the final concentration of CLA was limited by the resistance of E. coli in fatty acids. 365 366 PAI from P. acnes was cloned and successfully heterologeously expressed in L. lactis NZ9800 [29]. Approximately 50% of linoleic acid could be converted to t10,c12-CLA by the 367 368 recombinants, which could also significantly inhibit the growth of SW480 cancer cells. 369 Ingestion of recombinant L. paracasei NFBC 338 with PAI gene could lead to 4-fold increase in 370 t10,c12 CLA in adipose of mice and 2.5-fold increase in liver [78]. The cost for industrial 371 fermentation of L. lactis is expensive, which results in products without price advances.

372 5.2 Yeast

Baker's yeast. Saccharomuces cerevisiae is another well studied organism for metabolic
engineering. For example, it has been metabolically engineered to produce artemisinic acid
and amorpha-4,11-diene [110]. Expression of PAI genes in *S. cerevisiae* has also been

376 successful in recent years, in which the amount of CLA could be up to 57% of total free fatty
377 acid [107]. S. *cerevisiae* seems to be a potential microbial cell factory for CLA production.

378 Oleaginous yeast. An oleaginous yeast, Yarrowia lipolytica, is naturally capable of 379 accumulating lipids to levels exceeding half of dry cell weight (DCW), and with appropriate 380 genetic modifications, such as abolishing the lipid turnover pathway, lipid accumulation could 381 exceed 80 % of DCW [111]. Y. lipolytica could accumulate >90 % of neutral lipids in the triacylolycerols (TAG). These unique features of Y. lipolytica, together with the availability of 382 genetic tools, have already attracted great interest in the usage for bio-oil production. With a 383 384 series of genetic modification (overexpression and knockout), the final engineered yeast lipid 385 comprises EPA at 56.6% by weight, which was the highest among known EPA sources [112]. 386 Our research firstly reported the production of t10,c12-CLA by recombinant Y. lipoltica with PAI 387 overexpression. It is notable that with multi-copy integration the yield of CLA is appropriately 388 30 times in yeast carrying the codon-optimized gene than that carrying the native gene, which 389 is approximately 7.0 mg/l for the former strains. Lately the amount of t10,c12-CLA in Y. 390 lipolytica Polh was increased to the level of up to 10% by co-expressing delta 12-desaturase 391 gene together with the co-PAI multi-copy integration [30]. In addition, permeabilization of the Y. 392 lipolytica by freeze/thawing has also been identified to significantly increase the amount of 393 t10,c12-CLA up to 15.6 g/l and also to remain the extracellular production of 394 t10,c12-conjugated linoleic acid above 10 g/l, and now with LA adding the CLA yield is around 395 22 g/l (Fig. 5) [113]. A recent research by other researchers tried to produce CLA within Y. 396 lipolytica as well, and the final concentration of CLA is approximately 302 mg/l [31]. Hence, Y. lipolytica could be considered as the suitable microbial cell factory for CLA production via 397 398 bioengineering. Additionally, several technologies, including various fermentation configurations, have been already used for single-cell oil production by strains of Y. lipolytica 399 400 grown on various agro-industrial by-products or waste, which could be perfect sources for sole 401 CLA isomer manufacture.

402 **5.3 Oleaginous fungus**

An oleaginous fungus, *Mortierella alpina*, has been used for commercial production of arachidonic acid (AA), in which fatty acids could accumulate up to approximately 50% of DCW. The relative abundance and proportion of its PUFAs makes *M. alpina* a perfect source of some

406 specific nutritional supplements. Moreover, the genome of M. alpina has been fully 407 characterized [114] and an Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transformation (ATMT) 408 system has been established successfully [115]. As M. alpina could accumulate a high content 409 of PUFAs, including linoleic acid (~10% of total fatty acids), it could be a valuable organism for 410 CLA production. The incorporation of t10,c12 CLA into the PUFAs of M. alpina via PAI 411 conversion, if achieved at a high level, would significantly increase the commercial value of M. alpina. We tried heterologously expression of codon-optimized PAI gene in M. alpina via ATMA 412 system [116]. The amount of t10.c12-CLA increased up to 1.2 mg/l and reached up to 29 mg/l 413 414 when acyl CoA synthetase inhibitor was added. With free LA and Triacsin C addition together, the final CLA reached 4.05% TFA (Fig 7). Heterologous expression of PAI in M. alpina could be 415 considered as another practicable way for industrial production of CLA. 416

417 **6. Perspectives**

418 6.1 Further mechanistic exploration for lactic acid bacteria.

419 Recently, the system control of CLA production among lactic acid bacteria could be 420 divided into two groups, group I and group II. Group I includes three genes that is mcra, cla-dh 421 and cla-dc and the synthesis pathway has been elucidated. Group II (mainly among bacteria strains) has been identified to be a new system for CLA production, in which 422 423 10-hydroxy-octadecenic acid is not one of the intermediates, but the intricate mechanism is not 424 clear. Thus, more efforts should focus on exploring this new system, for the purpose of broadening our knowledge about CLA production among lactic acid bacteria and providing 425 more synthetic routes of CLA for manufacturers. 426

427 6.2 Microbial cell factories development and manufacturing of CLA.

428 Commercial CLA are produced through chemical process or extract from plant seeds, 429 which result in mixtures of CLA in the final products. A number of bacteria have been reported to produce unique CLA effectively, which could be potential microbial cell factories for CLA 430 production, whereas fermentation cost and low concentration of CLA, those high CLA 431 432 producers might not be ideal microbial cell factories. Recombinant technology seems to be an 433 effective alternative. For oleaginous microorganisms, Y. Lipolytica and M. alpina, have been 434 successfully introduced for 10,12-CLA production, which is much close to industry purpose. 435 Later, fermentation condition should be optimized to meet the industrial needs. The main

9,11-CLA producers include *B. fibrisolvens*, *C. sporogenes*, *L. plantarum*, *Bifidobacterium*.
Among all these strains, only the genes among *L. plantarum* responsible for the CLA
production were clear, including *mcra*, *cla-dh* and *cla-dc*. In the future, many trials should be
carried out to express these three genes in some model engineering strains, such as *Y. lipolytica* and *M. alpina*.

441 Acknowledgement

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 31571810, 31722041, 31530056), the National Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (No. BK20150141), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Nos. JUSRP51702A, JUSRP11733) and the Jiangsu Province "Collaborative Innovation Center for Food Safety and Quality Control".

Creck MAR

References

[1] Yang B, Chen H, Stanton C, Ross RP, Zhang H, Chen YQ, et al. Review of the roles of conjugated linoleic acid in health and disease. J Funct Foods 2015; 15:314-25.

[2] Fuke G, Nornberg JL. Systematic evaluation on the effectiveness of conjugated linoleic acid in human health. Cri Rev Food Sci Nutr 2017; 57:1-7.

[3] Masso-Welch PA, Zangani D, Ip C, Vaughan MM, Shoemaker SF, McGee SO, et al. Isomers of conjugated linoleic acid differ in their effects on angiogenesis and survival of mouse mammary adipose vasculature. J Nutr 2004; 134:299-307.

[4] Lau DS, Archer MC. The 10t,12c isomer of conjugated linoleic acid inhibits fatty acid synthase expression and enzyme activity in human breast, colon, and prostate cancer cells. Nutri Cancer 2010; 62:116-21.

[5] Arab A, Akbarian SA, Ghiyas vand R, Miraghajani M. The effects of conjugated linoleic acids on breast cancer: A systematic review. Adv Biomed Res 2016; 5:115.

[6] Kim JH, Pan JH, Park HG, Yoon HG, Kwon OJ, Kim TW, et al. Functional comparison of esterified and free forms of conjugated linoleic acid in high-fat-diet-induced obese C57BL/6J Mice. J Agr Food Chem 2010; 58:11441-7.

[7] Gilbert W, Gadang V, Proctor A, Jain V, Devareddy L. Trans-trans conjugated linoleic acid enriched soybean oil reduces fatty liver and lowers serum cholesterol in obese Zucker rats. Lipids 2011; 46:961-8.

[8] Martins SV, Pires VMR, Madeira AP, Nascimento M, Alfaia C, Castro MF, et al. Novel anti-adipogenic properties of the individual trans8,cis10 conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) isomer in 3T3-L1 adipocytes. Euro J Lipid Sci Technol 2017; 119:1600042.

[9] de Moraes C, de Oliveira CA, do Amaral MEC, Landini GA, Catisti R. Liver metabolic changes induced by conjugated linoleic acid in calorie-restricted rats. Arch Endocrinol Metab 2017; 61:45-53.

[10] Flowers M, Thompson PA. t10c12 conjugated linoleic acid suppresses HER2 protein and enhances apoptosis in SKBr3 breast cancer cells: possible role of COX2. Plos One 2009; 4.

[11] Olson JM, Haas AW, Lor J, McKee HS, Cook ME. A comparison of the anti-inflammatory effects of cis-9, trans-11 conjugated linoleic acid to celecoxib in the collagen-induced arthritis model. Lipids 2017; 52:151-9.

[12] Castro-Webb N, Ruiz-Narvaez EA, Campos H. Cross-sectional study of conjugated linoleic acid in adipose tissue and risk of diabetes. Am J Clin Nutr 2012; 96:175-81.

[13] McFarlin BK, Strohacker KA, Kueht ML. Pomegranate seed oil consumption during a period of high-fat feeding reduces weight gain and reduces type 2 diabetes risk in CD-1 mice. Brit J Nutr 2009; 102:54-9.

[14] Yuce HB, Akbulut N, Ocakli S, Kayir O, Elmastas M. The effect of commercial conjugated linoleic acid products on experimental periodontitis and diabetes mellitus in Wistar rats. Acta Odontol Scand 2017; 75:21-9.

[15] Jaudszus A, Foerster M, Kroegel C, Wolf I, Jahreis G. Cis-9,trans-11-CLA exerts anti-inflammatory effects in human bronchial epithelial cells and eosinophils: comparison to *trans*-10,*cis*-12-CLA and to linoleic acid. BBA-Mol Cell Biol L 2005; 1737:111-8.

[16] Kim L, Park Y, Park Y. trans-10, cis-12 CLA promotes osteoblastogenesis via SMAD

mediated mechanism in bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells. J Funct Foods 2014; 8:367-76.

[17] Kepler CR, Tucker WP, Tove SB. Biohydrogenation of unsaturated fatty acids. V. Stereospecificity of proton addition and mechanism of action of linoleic acid Δ 12-cis, Δ 11-trans-isomerase from *Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens*. J Biol Chem 1971; 246:2765-71.

[18] Lee S, Kim C, Cho S, Choi H, Ji G, Oh D. Bioconversion of linoleic acid into conjugated linoleic acid during fermentation and by washed cells of *Lactobacillus reuteri*. Biotechnol Lett 2003; 25:935-8.

[19] Kishino S, Ogawa J, Omura Y, Matsumura K, Shimizu S. Conjugated linoleic acid production from linoleic acid by lactic acid bacteria. J Am Oil Chem Soc 2002; 79:159-63.

[20] Dahiya DK, Puniya AK. Isolation, molecular characterization and screening of indigenous lactobacilli for their abilities to produce bioactive conjugated linoleic acid (CLA). J Food Sci Technol Mys 2017; 54:792-801.

[21] Alonso L, Cuesta EP, Gilliland SE. Production of free conjugated linoleic acid by *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and *Lactobacillus casei* of human intestinal origin. J Dairy Sci 2003; 86:1941-6.

[22] Lin TY, Lin CW, Lee CH. Conjugated linoleic acid concentration as affected by lactic cultures and added linoleic acid. Food Chem 1999; 67:1-5.

[23] Park HG, Cho SD, Kim JH, Lee H, Chung SH, Kim SB, et al. Characterization of conjugated linoleic acid production by *Bifidobacterium breve* LMC 520. J Agr Food Chem 2009; 57:7571-5.

[24] Barrett E, Ross RP, Fitzgerald GF, Stanton C. Rapid screening method for analyzing the conjugated linoleic acid production capabilities of bacterial cultures. Appl Environ Microbiol 2007; 73:2333-7.

[25] Wallace RJ, McKain N, Shingfield KJ, Devillard E. Isomers of conjugated linoleic acids are synthesized via different mechanisms in ruminal digesta and bacteria. J Lipid Res 2007; 48:2247-54.

[26] Verhulst A, Janssen G, Parmentier G, Eyssen H. Isomerization of polyunsaturated long chain fatty acids by propionibacteria. Sys Appl Microbiol 1987; 9:12-5.

[27] Verhulst A, Semjen G, Meerts U, Janssen G, Parmentier G, Asselberghs S, et al. Biohydrogenation of linoleic acid by *Clostridium sporogenes*, *Clostridium bifermentans*, *Clostridium sordellii* and *Bacteroides* sp. FEMS Microbiol Lett 1985; 31:255-9.

[28] Ogawa J, Matsumura K, Kishino S, Omura Y, Shimizu S. Conjugated linoleic acid accumulation via 10-hydroxy-12 octadecaenoic acid during microaerobic transformation of linoleic acid by *Lactobacillus acidophilus*. Appl Environ Microbiol 2001; 67:1246-52.

[29] Rosberg-Cody E, Johnson MC, Fitzgerald GF, Ross PR, Stanton C. Heterologous expression of linoleic acid isomerase from *Propionibacterium acnes* and anti-proliferative activity of recombinant trans-10, cis-12 conjugated linoleic acid. Microbiol-SGM 2007; 153:2483-90.

[30] Zhang B, Chen H, Li M, Gu Z, Song Y, Ratledge C, et al. Genetic engineering of *Yarrowia lipolytica* for enhanced production of trans-10, cis-12 conjugated linoleic acid. Microbial Cell Fact 2013; 12:70

[31] Imatoukene N, Verbeke J, Beopoulos A, Idrissi Taghki A, Thomasset B, Sarde CO, et al. A metabolic engineering strategy for producing conjugated linoleic acids using the oleaginous

yeast Yarrowia lipolytica. Appl Microbiol Biotech 2017; 101(11): 4605-16.

[32] Hartman L, Shorland FB, McDonald IR. Occurrence of trans-acids in animal fats. Nature 1954; 174:185-6.

[33] Hunter WJ, Baker FC, Rosenfeld IS, Keyser JB, Tove SB. Biohydrogenation of unsaturated fatty acids. Hydrogenation by cell-free preparations of *Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens*. J Biol Chem 1976; 251:2241-7.

[34] Ip C, Singh M, Thompson HJ, Scimeca JA. Conjugated linoleic-acid suppresses mammary carcinogenesis and proliferative activity of the mammary-gland in the rat. Cancer Res 1994; 54:1212-5.

[35] Kepler CR, Tove SB. Biohydrogenation of unsaturated fatty acids: III. purification and properties of a linoleate $\Delta 12$ -cis, $\Delta 11$ -trans-isomerase from *Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens*. J Biol Chem 1967; 242:5686-92.

[36] Kim YJ, Liu RH, Bond DR, Russell JB. Effect of linoleic acid concentration on conjugated linoleic acid production by *Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens* A38. Appl Environ Microbiol 2000; 66:5226-30.

[37] Kim YJ. Partial inhibition of biohydrogenation of linoleic acid can increase the conjugated linoleic acid production of *Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens* A38. J Agr Food Chem 2003; 51:4258-62.

[38] Ross RP, Mills S, Hill C, Fitzgerald GF, Stanton C. Specific metabolite production by gut microbiota as a basis for probiotic function. Int Dairy J 2010; 20:269-76.

[39] Fukuda S, Suzuki Y, Murai M, Asanuma N, Hino T. Isolation of a novel strain of *Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens* that isomerizes linoleic acid to conjugated linoleic acid without hydrogenation, and its utilization as a probiotic for animals. J Appl Microbiol 2006; 100:787-94.

[40] Rosson RA, Grund AD, Deng MD, Sanchez-Riera F. Linoleate isomerase. World Patent, WO-99/32604 A1. 1999.

[41] Lee SO, Hong GW, Oh DK. Bioconversion of linoleic acid into conjugated linoleic acid by immobilized *Lactobacillus reuteri*. Biotechnol Progr 2003; 19:1081-4.

[42] Roman-Nunez M, Cuesta-Alonso EP, Gilliland SE. Influence of sodium glycocholate on production of conjugated linoleic acid by cells of *Lactobacillus reuteri* ATCC 55739. J Food Sci 2007; 72:M140-M3.

[43] Hernandez-Mendoza A, Lopez-Hernandez A, Hill CG, Garcia HS. Bioconversion of linoleic acid to conjugated linoleic acid by *Lactobacillus reuteri* under different growth conditions. J Chem Technol Biotech 2009; 84:180-5.

[44] Ando A, Ogawa J, Kishino S, Shimizu S. Conjugated linoleic acid production from castor oil by *Lactobacillus plantarum* JCM 1551. Enzyme Microb Tech 2004; 35:40-5.

[45] Yang B, Chen H, Gu Z, Tian F, Ross RP, Stanton C, et al. Synthesis of conjugated linoleic acid by the linoleate isomerase complex in food-derived lactobacilli. J Appl Microbiol 2014; 117:430-9.

[46] Khosravi A, Safari M, Khodaiyan F, Gharibzahedi SMT. Bioconversion enhancement of conjugated linoleic acid by *Lactobacillus plantarum* using the culture media manipulation and numerical optimization. J Food Sci Technol Mys 2015; 52:5781-9.

[47] Chen Y, Liang N, Curtis JM, Ganzle MG. Characterization of linoleate 10-hydratase of *Lactobacillus plantarum* and novel antifungal metabolites. Front Microbiol 2016; 7.

[48] Black BA, Sun C, Zhao YY, Gaenzle MG, Curtis JM. Antifungal lipids produced by lactobacilli and their structural identification by normal phase LC/atmospheric pressure

photoionization-MS/MS. J Agr Food Chem 2013; 61:5338-46.

[49] Black BA, Zannini E, Curtis JM, Ganzle MG. Antifungal hydroxy fatty acids produced during sourdough fermentation: microbial and enzymatic pathways, and antifungal activity in bread. Appl Environ Microbiol 2013; 79:1866-73.

[50] Yang B, Chen H, Song Y, Chen YQ, Zhang H, Chen W. Myosin-cross-reactive antigens from four different lactic acid bacteria are fatty acid hydratases. Biotechnol Lett 2013; 35:75-81.

[51] Kishino S, Park SB, Takeuchi M, Yokozeki K, Shimizu S, Ogawa J. Novel multi-component enzyme machinery in lactic acid bacteria catalyzing C=C double bond migration useful for conjugated fatty acid synthesis. Biochem Biophy Res Co 2011; 416:188-93.

[52] Kishino S, Ogawa J, Yokozeki K, Shimizu S. Linoleic acid isomerase in *Lactobacillus plantarum* AKU 10009a proved to be a multi-component enzyme system requiring oxidoreduction cofactors. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 2011; 75:318-22.

[53] Kishino S, Takeuchi M, Park SB, Hirata A, Kitamura N, Kunisawa J, et al. Polyunsaturated fatty acid saturation by gut lactic acid bacteria affecting host lipid composition. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013; 110:17808-13.

[54] Takeuchi M, Kishino S, Park SB, Hirata A, Kitamura N, Saika A, et al. Efficient enzymatic production of hydroxy fatty acids by linoleic acid 9 hydratase from *Lactobacillus plantarum* AKU 1009a. J Appl Microbiol 2016; 120:1282-8.

[55] Takeuchi M, Kishino, S., et al. Characterization of hydroxy fatty acid dehydrogenase involved in polyunsaturated fatty acid saturation metabolism in *Lactobacillus plantarum* AKU 1009a. J Mol Catal B-Enzym 2015; 17:7-12.

[56] Ortega-Anaya J, Hernandez-Santoyo A. Functional characterization of a fatty acid double-bond hydratase from *Lactobacillus plantarum* and its interaction with biosynthetic membranes. BBA-Biomembranes 2015; 1848:3166-74.

[57] Hirata A, Kishino S, Park SB, Takeuchi M, Kitamura N, Ogawa J. A novel unsaturated fatty acid hydratas e toward C16 to C22 fatty acids from *Lactobacillus acidophilus*. J Lipid Res 2015; 56:1340-50.

[58] Oh HJ, Kim SU, Song JW, Lee JH, Kang WR, Jo YS, et al. Biotransformation of linoleic acid into hydroxy fatty acids and carboxylic acids using a linoleate double bond hydratase as key enzyme. Adv Syn Catal 2015; 357:408-16.

[59] Kim KR, Oh HJ, Park CS, Hong SH, Park JY, Oh DK. Unveiling of novel regio-selective fatty acid double bond hydratases from *Lactobacillus acidophilus* involved in the selective oxyfunctionalization of mono- and di-hydroxy fatty acids. Biotechnol Bioeng 2015; 112:2206-13.

[60] Park JY, Lee SH, Kim KR, Park JB, Oh DK. Production of 13S-hydroxy-9(Z)-octadecenoic acid from linoleic acid by whole recombinant cells expressing linoleate 13-hydratase from *Lactobacillus acidophilus*. J Biotechnol 2015; 208:1-10.

[61] Kishimoto N, Yamamoto I, Toraishi K, Yoshioka S, Saito K, Masuda H, et al. Two distinct pathways for the formation of hydroxy FA from linoleic acid by lactic acid bacteria. Lipids 2003; 38:1269-74.

[62] Li J, Zhang L, Du M, Han X, Yi H, Guo C, et al. Effect of Tween series on growth and cis-9, trans-11 conjugated linoleic acid production of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* F0221 in the presence of bile salts. Int J Mol Sci 2011; 12:9138-54.

[63] Lin TY, Hung TH, Cheng TSJ. Conjugated linoleic acid production by immobilized cells of *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* ssp *bulgaricus* and *Lactobacillus acidophilus*. Food Chem 2005; 92:23-8.

[64] Herzallah S. Enrichment of conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) in hen eggs and broiler chickens meat by lactic acid bacteria. Briti Poultry Sci 2013; 54:747-52.

[65] Coakley M, Ross RP, Nordgren M, Fitzgerald G, Devery R, Stanton C. Conjugated linoleic acid biosynthesis by human-derived *Bifidobacterium* species. J Appl Microbiol 2003; 94:138-45.

[66] Chung SH, Kim IH, Park HG, Kang HS, Yoon CS, Jeong HY, et al. Synthesis of conjugated linoleic acid by human-derived *Bifidobacterium breve* LMC 017: Utilization as a functional starter culture for milk fermentation. J Agr Food Chem 2008; 56:3311-6.

[67] Gorissen L, Raes K, Weckx S, Dannenberger D, Leroy F, De Vuyst L, et al. Production of conjugated linoleic acid and conjugated linolenic acid isomers by *Bifidobacterium* species. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2010; 87:2257-66.

[68] Hennessy AA, Barrett E, Ross RP, Fitzgerald GF, Devery R, Stanton C. The production of conjugated α -linolenic, γ -linolenic and stearidonic acids by strains of bifidobacteria and propionibacteria. Lipids 2012; 47:313-27.

[69] Gursoy O, Seckin AK, Kinik O, Karaman AD. The effect of using different probiotic cultures on conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) concentration and fatty acid composition of white pickle cheese. Int J Food Sci Nutr 2012; 63:610-5.

[70] Rodriguez-Alcala LM, Braga T, Xavier Malcata F, Gomes A, Fontecha J. Quantitative and qualitative determination of CLA produced by *Bifidobacterium* and lactic acid bacteria by combining spectrophotometric and Ag⁺-HPLC techniques. Food Chem 2011; 125:1373-8.

[71] Van Nieuwenhove CP, Oliszewski R, Gonzalez SN, Perez Chaia AB. Conjugated linoleic acid conversion by dairy bacteria cultured in MRS broth and buffalo milk. Lett Appl Microbiol 2007; 44:467-74.

[72] Rosberg-Cody E, Liavonchanka A, Gobel C, Ross RP, O'Sullivan O, Fitzgerald GF, et al. Myosin-cross-reactive antigen (MCRA) protein from *Bifidobacterium breve* is a FAD-dependent fatty acid hydratase which has a function in stress protection. BMC Biochem 2011; 12:9.

[73] Jiang J, Bjorck L, Fonden R. Production of conjugated linoleic acid by dairy starter cultures. J Appl Microbiol 1998; 85:95-102.

[74] Peng SS, Deng MD, Grund AD, Rosson RA. Purification and characterization of a membrane-bound linoleic acid isomerase from *Clostridium sporogenes*. Enzyme Microb Tech 2007; 40:831-9.

[75] Kim YJ, Liu RH. Increase of conjugated linoleic acid content in milk by fermentation with lactic acid bacteria. J Food Sci 2002; 67:1731-7.

[76] Ando A, Ogawa J, Kishino S, Shimizu S. CLA production from ricinoleic acid by lactic acid bacteria. J Am Oil Chem Soc 2003; 80:889-94.

[77] Xu S, Boylston TD, Glatz BA. Effect of inoculation level of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* and yogurt cultures on conjugated linoleic acid content and quality attributes of fermented milk products. J Food Scie 2006; 71:C275-C80.

[78] Rosberg-Cody E, Stanton C, O'Mahony L, Wall R, Shanahan F, Quigley EM, et al. Recombinant lactobacilli expressing linoleic acid isomerase can modulate the fatty acid

composition of host adipose tissue in mice. Microbiol-SGM 2011; 157:609-15.

[79] Deng MD, Grund AD, Schneider KJ, Langley KM, Wassink SL, Peng SS, et al. Linoleic acid isomerase from *Propionibacterium acnes*: purification, characterization, molecular cloning, and heterologous expression. Appl Biochem Biotechnol 2007; 143:199-211.

[80] Kim YJ, Liu RH, Rychlik JL, Russell JB. The enrichment of a ruminal bacterium (*Megasphaera elsdenii* YJ-4) that produces the trans-10, cis-12 isomer of conjugated linoleic acid. J Appl Microbiol 2002; 92:976-82.

[81] Lee HY, Park JH, Seok SH, Baek MW, Kim DJ, Lee KE, et al. Human originated bacteria, *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* PL60, produce conjugated linoleic acid and show anti-obesity effects in diet-induced obese mice. BBA- Mol Cell Biol L. 2006;1761:736-44.

[82] Zeng Z, Lin J, Gong D. Identification of lactic acid bacterial strains with high conjugated linoleic acid-producing ability from natural sauerkraut fermentations. J Food Sci 2009; 74:M154-M8.

[83] Joyner AE, Winter WT, Godbout DM. Studies on some characteristics of hydrogen production by cell-free extracts of rumen anaerobic bacteria. Can J Microbiol 1977; 23:346-53.
[84] Boyaval P, Corre C, Dupuis C, Roussel E. Effects of free fatty-acids on propionic-acid bacteria. Lait 1995; 75:17-29.

[85] Koppova I, Lukas F, Kopecny J. Effect of fatty acids on growth of conjugated-linoleic-acids-producing bacteria in rumen. Folia Microbiol 2006; 51:291-3.

[86] Jenkins JK, Courtney PD. *Lactobacillus* growth and membrane composition in the presence of linoleic or conjugated linoleic acid. Can J Microbiol 2003; 49:51-7.

[87] Devillard E, Andant N, Wallace RJ. Increased expression of a molecular chaperone GroEL in response to unsaturated fatty acids by the biohydrogenating ruminal bacterium, *Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens*. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2006; 262:244-8.

[88] Tove SB, Matrone G. Effect of purified diets on the fatty acid composition of sheep tallow. J Nutr 1962; 76:271-7.

[89] Polan CE, Mcneill JJ, Tove SB. Biohydrogenation of unsaturated fatty acids by rumen bacteria. J Bacteriol 1964; 88:1056-64.

[90] Kepler CR, Hirons KP, Mcneill JJ, Tove SB. Intermediates and products of the biohydrogenation of linoleic acid by *Butyrinvibrio fibrisolvens*. J Biol Chem 1966; 241:1350-4.

[91] Kepler CR, Tucker WP, Tove SB. Biohydrogenation of unsaturated fatty acids: IV. substrate specificity and inhibition of linoleatase $\Delta 12$ -cis, $\Delta 11$ -trans-isomerase from *Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens*. J Biol Chem 1970; 245:3612-20.

[92] Kepler CR, Tucker WP, Tove SB. Biohydrogenation of unsaturated fatty acids: V. Stereospecificity of proton addition and mechanism of a action of linoleic acid Δ 12-cis, Δ 11-trans-isomerase from *Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens*. J Biol Chem 1971; 246:2765-71.

[93] Fukuda S, Suzuki Y, Komori T, Kawamura K, Asanuma N, Hino T. Purification and gene sequencing of conjugated linoleic acid reductase from a gastrointestinal bacterium, *Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens*. J Appl Microbiol 2007; 103:365-71.

[94] Hughes PE, Hunter WJ, Tove SB. Biohydrogenation of unsaturated fatty acids. Purification and properties of cis-9,trans-11-octadecadienoate reductase. J Biol Chem 1982; 257:3643-9.

[95] Fukuda S, Furuya H, Suzuki Y, Asanuma N, Hino T. A new strain of *Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens* that has high ability to isomerize linoleic acid to conjugated linoleic acid. J Gen Appl Microbiol 2005; 51:105-13.

[96] Kil KS, Cunningham MW, Barnett LA. Cloning and sequence analysis of a gene encoding a 67-kilodalton myosin-cross-reactive antigen of *Streptococcus pyogenes* reveals its similarity with class II major histocompatibility antigens. Infect Immun 1994; 62:2440-9.

[97] Rosson RA, Grund AD, Deng MD, Sanchez-Riera F. Linoleate isomerase. United States; Patent 6743609. 2004.

[98] Volkov A, Liavonchanka A, Kamneva O, Fiedler T, Goebel C, Kreikemeyer B, et al. Myosin cross-reactive antigen of *Streptococcus pyogenes* M49 encodes a fatty acid double bond hydratase that plays a role in oleic acid detoxification and bacterial virulence. J Biol Chem 2010; 285:10353-61.

[99] Volkov A, Khoshnevis S, Neumann P, Herrfurth C, Wohlwend D, Ficner R, et al. Crystal structure analysis of a fatty acid double-bond hydratase from *Lactobacillus acidophilus*. Acta Crystallogr D 2013; 69:648-57.

[100] Takeuchi M, Kishino S, Hirata A, Park SB, Kitamura N, Ogawa J. Characterization of the linoleic acid Δ 9 hydratase catalyzing the first step of polyunsaturated fatty acid saturation metabolism in *Lactobacillus plantarum* AKU 1009a. J Biosci Bioeng 2015; 119:636-41.

[101] Bevers LE, Pinkse MWH, Verhaert PDEM, Hagen WR. Oleate hydratase catalyzes the hydration of a nonactivated carbon-carbon bond. J Bacteriol 2009; 191:5010-2.

[102] Banni S, Angioni E, Murru E, Carta G, Paola Melis M, Bauman D, et al. Vaccenic acid feeding increases tissue levels of conjugated linoleic acid and suppresses development of premalignant lesions in rat mammary gland. Nutr Cancer 2001; 41:91-7.

[103] West DB, Blohm FY, Truettt AA, DeLany JP. Conjugated linoleic acid persistently increases total energy expenditure in AKR/J mice without increasing uncoupling protein gene expression. J Nutr 2000; 130:2471-7.

[104] Ogawa J, Kishino S, Ando A, Sugimoto S, Mihara K, Shimizu S. Production of conjugated fatty acids by lactic acid bacteria. J Biosci Bioeng 2005; 100:355-64.

[105] Ortega-Anaya J, Hernandez-Santoyo A. Production of bioactive conjugated linoleic acid by the multifunctional enolase from *Lactobacillus plantarum*. Int J Biol Macromol 2016; 91:524-35.

[106] O'Connell KJ, Motherway MO, Hennessey AA, Brodhun F, Ross RP, Feussner I, et al. Identification and characterization of an oleate hydratase-encoding gene from *Bifidobacterium breve*. Bioengineered 2013; 4:313-21.

[107] Hornung E, Krueger C, Pernstich C, Gipmans M, Porzel A, Feussner I. Production of (10E,12Z)-conjugated linoleic acid in yeast and tobacco seeds. BBA-Mol Cell Res 2005; 1738:105-14.

[108] Liavonchanka A, Hornung E, Feussner I, Rudolph MG. Structure and mechanism of the *Propionibacterium acnes* polyunsaturated fatty acid isomerase. Pro Natl Acad Sci U S A 2006; 103:2576-81.

[109] Liavonchanka A, Rudolph MG, Tittmann K, Hamberg M, Feussner I. On the mechanism of a polyunsaturated fatty acid double bond isomerase from *Propionibacterium acnes*. J Biol Chem 2009; 284:8005-12.

[110] Luo X, Zhang L, Li H, Zhang S, Jiao Y, Wang S, et al. Comparison of enzymatic activity of two linoleic acid isomerases expressed in *E. coli*. Mol Biol Rep 2013; 40:5913-9.

[111] Beopoulos A, Cescut J, Haddouche R, Uribelarrea JL, Molina-Jouve C, Nicaud JM. *Yarrowia lipolytica* as a model for bio-oil production. Prog Lipid Res 2009; 48:375-87.

[112] Xue Z, Sharpe P, Hong S, Yadav N, Xie D, Short DR, et al. Production of omega-3 eicosapentaenoic acid by metabolic engineering of *Yarrowia lipolytica*. Nature Biotechnol 2013; 31:734-40.

[113] Zhang B, Song Y, Chen H, Zhang H, Chen W. Production of trans -10,cis-12-conjugated linoleic acid using permeabilized whole-cell biocatalyst of *Yarrowia lipolytica*. Biotechnol Lett 2016; 38:1917-22.

[114] Wang L, Chen W, Feng Y, Ren Y, Gu Z, Chen H, et al. Genome characterization of the oleaginous fungus *Mortierella alpina*. Plos One 2011; 6:e28319.

[115] Hao G, Chen H, Wang L, Gu Z, Song Y, Zhang H, et al. Role of malic enzyme during fatty acid synthesis in the oleaginous fungus *Mortierella alpina*. Appl Environ Microbiol 2014; 80:2672-8.

[116] Hao D, Chen H, Hao G, Yang B, Zhang B, Zhang H, et al. Production of conjugated linoleic acid by heterologous expression of linoleic acid isomerase in oleaginous fungus *Mortierella alpina*. Biotechnol Lett 2015; 37:1983-92.

[117] Wei M, Ding X, Xue Z, Zhao S. Production of conjugated linoleic acid by permeabilized *Lactobacillus acidophilus* cells. J Mol Catal B-Enzym 2014; 108:59-63.

[118] Shen S, Liu P, Hui R, Zhou Q, Ma L, He G. Production of conjugated linoleic acids by *Lactobacillus plantarum* strains isolated from naturally fermented Chinese pickles. J Zhejiang Univ Sci B 2011; 12:923-30.

[119] Lee K, Paek K, Lee H, Park J, Y. Antiobesity effect of trans-10,cis-12-conjugated linoleic acid-producing *Lactobacillus plantarum* PL62 on diet-induced obese mice. J Appl Microbiol 2007; 103:1140-6.

Figure captions

Fig. 1 Proposed model for the isomerization of linoleic acid by linoleic acid isomerase in rumen microorganisms.

An electrophile (E), at the active site, interacts with one of the substrate double bonds and two basic centers also interact with the substrate. One of the basic centers (B) is hydrogen bonded to the carboxyl group of the substrate and the other (B-H) serves as the donor of the hydrogen added at C-13 (From Kepler et al., 1971).

Fig. 2 Different conformation of domain 4 observed in MCRA monomers from *L. acidophilus*.

(A) A superposition of two MCRA protomers differing in the conformation of domain 4. Domain 1, 2, 3 and 4 of the apo MCRA protomer (symmetric protomer) are coloured marine, light green, red and yellow, respectively. The LA-MCRA protomer (asymmetric protomer) exhibiting a different conformation of domain 4 is coloured grey. The missing residues of domain 4 (556-574) are marked as a grey dashed line and the remaining traceable fragment of domain 4. (B) Superposition of apo LAH and LA–LAH dimers viewed along the dimerization axis. Domains 1, 2, 3 and 4 of apo LAH are coloured marine, light green, red and yellow/wheat, respectively. The protomomers of LA–LAH are coloured in grey and dark grey for the symmetric protomer (apo LAH, loop region) and the asymmetric protomer. (C) Linoleic acid bound at the entrance to the MCRA substrate and the electron density observed at the substrate-entrance channel. (D) Linoleic acid bound at the entrance to the LAH substrate channel. (From Volkov et al., 2013)

Fig. 3 CLA production pathway and hydroxyl fatty acid generation in lactobacilli

10-HOE was one of the intermediates during CLA production in *L. plantarum* and *L. acidophilus*. 10-LAH, DH and DC were the enzymes for CLA production in *L. plantarum* AKU1009a and *L. plantarum* ZS2058, while 10-LAH and enolase were the enzymes for CLA production in *L. plantarum* ATCC 8014. 10-LAH: linoleate 10-hydratase, 13-LAH: linoleate 13-hydratase, DH: short-chain dehydrogenase/oxidoreductase; DC: acetoacetate decarboxylase. 13-HOE: 13-hydroxy-*cis*-9-octadecenoic acid; 10-HOE:

10-hydroxy-cis-12-octadecenoic acid; 10,13-diHOA: 10,13-hydroxy-octadecanoic acid.

Fig. 4 Structure-based isomerization mechanism of linoleic acid to 10, 12-CLA

(A) Architecture of PAI. The FAD-binding domain 1 is colored in magenta, domain 2 in red, and domain 3 in blue. FAD and polyethylene glycerol (PEG) 400 are shown as stick models. (B) The surface potential of PAI. (C) The molecular surface (blue) of part of the PEG400 molecule bound to PAI in absence of substrate/product. (D) Conformational changes in active site associated with PEG400 binding reveal the gating mechanism. (From Liavonchanka et al., 2006). (E) Structure-based isomerization mechanism of linoleic acid to t10,c12-CLA

Fig. 5 CLA production strategy in Yarrowia lipolytica and in Mortierella alpina

DHAP: dihydroxyacetone phosphate; G3P: glycerol-3-phosphate; LPA: lysophosphatidic acid PA: phosphatidic acid; DAG: diacylglycerol; TAG: triacylglycerol; FFA: free fatty acids. *G3PD*: G3P dehydrogenase; *SCT*: glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase; *PAP*: PA

phosphohydrolase; *DGA*: acyl-CoA:DAG acyltransferase; *TGL*: triacylglycerol lipase; *ACOT*: Acyl-CoA thioesterase; *FAA*: fatty acyl-CoA synthetase; *FAS*: fatty acid synthase; *PAI*: polyunsaturated fatty acid isomerase. (Those genes and approach for enhancing CLA production were highlighted in red arrows and cycles, in which the solid ones have been done. Those genes and pathway for reducing CLA accumulation were highlighted in green arrows.)

Fig. 1

CH2CH CH-CH , Èμ² ннң CH₃(CH₂) CH CERTING MANNES







Fig. 5



Table 1 High CLA-producing strains

				CLA				
Species	Strain No.		Catalyst	Total CLA	Conversion	9,11-CLA	10,12-CLA	Ref.
		(9/1)		<mark>(g/l)</mark>	(%)	(%)	(%)	
Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens	A38	LA (0.10)	washed cells	0.080	80.0	95	5	[37]
Lactobacillus acidophilus	1.184	LA (2.00)	washed cells	1.728	86.4			[117]
	CRL 730	LA (0.20)	growing cells	0.048	23.8			[71]
	Q42	LA (0.20)	growing cells	0.040	20.0			[71]
	L1	LA (0.20)	growing cells	0.131	65.5	90	10	[21]
	O16	LA (0.20)	growing cells	0.061	30.5	91	9	[21]
	AKU 1137	LA (4.00)	washed cells	1.500	37.5	100	0	[19]
	AKU 1137	LA (5.00)	growing cells	4.900	98.0	100	0	[28]
Lactobacillus brevis	IAM 1082	LA (4.00)	washed cells	0.550	13.8	100	0	[19]
Lactobacillus casei	CRL 431	LA (0.20)	growing cells	0.072	35.9			[71]
	E10	LA (0.20)	growing cells	0.080	40.1	91	9	[21]
	E5	LA (0.20)	growing cells	0.111	55.5	88	12	[21]
Lactobacillus plantarum	JCM 1551	LA (4.00)	washed cells	2.020	50.5	100	0	[19]
	JCM 1551	castor oil (5.00)	growing cells	2.700	54.0	100	0	[44]
	ZS2058	LA (0.55)	growing cells	0.300	54.5	56	3	[45]
	lp 15	LA (0.10)	growing cells	0.026	26.1	76	24	[118]
	PL62	LA (1.00)	crude enzyme	2.65*		47	53	[119]
	NCUL005	LA (2.28)	arowing cells	0.602	26.4	32	69	[82]
Lactobacillus pentosus	C14	LA (0.20)	growing cells	0.069	34.5			[71]
Lactobacillus reuteri	ATCC55739	LA (0.55)	growing cells	0.350	63.6	97	3	[45]
	ATCC55739	LA (0.90)	growing cells	0.300	33.3	59	41	[18]
Bifidobacterium animalis	Bb12	LA (0.56)	growing cells	0.170	30.4	31	1	[45]
Bifidobacterium breve	NCFB2257	LA (0.55)	arowing cells	0.231	42.0	99	1	[65]
(NCFB 2258	LA (0.55)	growing cells	0.398	72.4	100	0	[65]
10	NCFB11815	LA (0.55)	growing cells	0.215	39.1	99	1	[65]
	NCFB8815	LA (0.55)	growing cells	0.242	44.0	99	1	[65]
	NCFB 8807	LA (0.55)	growing cells	0.128	23.3	99	1	[65]
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	LMC 520	LA (0.56)	arowing cells	0.400	71.4	95	5	[23]
Bifidobacterium bifidum	CRL 1399	LA (0.20)	growing cells	0.050	24.8			[71]
Bifidobacterium lactis	Bb12	LA (0.55)	arowing cells	0.170	30.9	98	2	[65]
Enterococcus faecium	M74	sovoil (10) ^D	arowing cells	0.73 ^a		100	0	[77]
Megasphaera elsdenii	YJ-4	LA (0.02)	arowing cells	0.007*		15	85	[80]
Pediococcus acidilactici	AKU1059	LA (4.00)	washed cells	1.400	35.0	100	0	[19]
Propinibacterium acnes	No.27	LA (0.02)	arowing cells	0.017	85.0	0	100	[26]
Propinibacterium	P-6 Wiesby	LA (0.75)	growing cells	0.265	35.3	93		[73]

9093	LA (0.50)	growing cells	0.111	22.2	90		[73]
ATCC 6207	LA (0.10)	growing cells	0.023	23.2	75		[73]
56	soyoil (10) D	growing cells	1.09 ^a		83	17	[77]
51	soyoil (10) [□]	growing cells	1.65 ^ª		85	15	[77]
23	soyoil (10) [□]	growing cells	0.81 ^ª		75	25	[77]
CRL 728	LA (0.20)	growing cells	0.068	33.9			[71]
CRL728	LA (0.20)	growing cells*	0.105	52.5	\sim		[71]
	9093 ATCC 6207 56 51 23 CRL 728 CRL728	9093 LA (0.50) ATCC 6207 LA (0.10) 56 soy oil (10) 51 soy oil (10) 23 soy oil (10) CRL 728 LA (0.20) CRL728 LA (0.20)	9093LA (0.50)growing cellsATCC 6207LA (0.10)growing cells56soy oil (10) °growing cells51soy oil (10) °growing cells23soy oil (10) °growing cellsCRL 728LA (0.20)growing cellsCRL728LA (0.20)growing cells*	$\begin{array}{c ccccc} 9093 & LA (0.50) & growing cells & 0.111 \\ ATCC 6207 & LA (0.10) & growing cells & 0.023 \\ 56 & soy oil (10)^{\text{p}} & growing cells & 1.09^{\text{a}} \\ 51 & soy oil (10)^{\text{p}} & growing cells & 1.65^{\text{a}} \\ 23 & soy oil (10)^{\text{p}} & growing cells & 0.81^{\text{a}} \\ CRL 728 & LA (0.20) & growing cells & 0.068 \\ CRL728 & LA (0.20) & growing cells^{*} & 0.105 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9093 LA (0.50) growing cells 0.111 22.2 90 ATCC 6207 LA (0.10) growing cells 0.023 23.2 75 56 soy oil (10) ^D growing cells 1.09 ^a 83 51 soy oil (10) ^D growing cells 1.65 ^a 85 23 soy oil (10) ^D growing cells 0.81 ^a 75 CRL 728 LA (0.20) growing cells* 0.068 33.9 CRL728 LA (0.20) growing cells* 0.105 52.5	9093 LA (0.50) growing cells 0.111 22.2 90 ATCC 6207 LA (0.10) growing cells 0.023 23.2 75 56 soy oil (10) ^D growing cells 1.09 ^a 83 17 51 soy oil (10) ^D growing cells 1.65 ^a 85 15 23 soy oil (10) ^D growing cells 0.81 ^a 75 25 CRL 728 LA (0.20) growing cells* 0.105 52.5 52.5

* represents the reaction occurs in the skim milk; a represent the results is expressed as mg CLA content per gram lipid; ^b means that soy oil is used in the hydrolyzed form.

eans that soy oil is .