



**University of Dundee** 

# The burden of psychotropic and anticholinergic medicines use in care homes

Grill, Paula; Marwick, Charis; De Souza, Nicosha; Burton, Jennifer Kirsty; Hughes, Carmel; Guthrie, Bruce

Published in: Age and Ageing

DOI: 10.1093/ageing/afaa122

*Publication date:* 2021

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal

Citation for published version (APA):

Grill, P., Marwick, C., De Souza, N., Burton, J. K., Hughes, C., & Guthrie, B. (2021). The burden of psychotropic and anticholinergic medicines use in care homes: population-based analysis in 147 care homes. *Age and Ageing*, *50*(1), 183-189. https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afaa122

#### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from Discovery Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# Age And Ageing

OXFORD

UNIVERSITY PRESS

# The burden of psychotropic and anticholinergic medicines use in care homes: population-based analysis in 147 care homes

Journal:	Age and Ageing
Manuscript ID	AA-20-0166.R1
Manuscript Category:	Research Paper
Keywords:	Psychotropic prescribing, Anticholinergic drugs, Care homes, Dementia, Prescribing safety
Keypoints:	Two-thirds of care home residents were prescribed at least one psychotropic drug, and one-quarter prescribed two or more; half, Prescribing of all drugs was lowest in those aged 85 years and over. In people with dementia antipsychotic use was higher but, There were no significant associations between prescribing and quality grading by the independent care home regulator., Antipsychotic prescribing and anticholinergic burden remain high despite guidance to avoid in this population., Research to examine adverse effects of complex combinations of drugs affecting cognition in this population is required.



This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced version of an article accepted for publication in Age and Ageing, following peer review. The version of record Grill, P., et al. The burden of psychotropic and anticholinergic medicines use in care homes: population-based analysis in 147 care homes, Age and Ageing, vol. 50, no. 1 (2021) is available online at: https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afaa122.

# The burden of psychotropic and anticholinergic medicines use in care-homes: populationbased analysis in 147 care-homes

#### Authors:

Word count: 2,489

tor Review Only

Page 2 of 25

#### Abstract

**Background:** Older people living in care-homes are particularly vulnerable to adverse effects of psychotropic and anticholinergic drugs.

Methods: Anonymised dispensed prescription data from all 4478 residents aged ≥60 years in 147 care-homes in two Scottish health boards were analysed. Psychotropic medicines examined were antipsychotics, antidepressants, hypnotic/anxiolytics, opioids and gabapentinoids. Anticholinergic burden was measured using the modified Anticholinergic Risk Scale (mARS). Variation between care-homes and associations with individual and carehome characteristics were examined using multilevel logistic regression.

**Results:** 63.5% of residents were prescribed at least one psychotropic drug, and 27.0% two or more, most commonly antidepressants (41.6%), opioids (20.3%), hypnotic/anxiolytics (16.9%) and antipsychotics (16.7%). 48.1% were prescribed an anticholinergic drug, and 12.1% had high anticholinergic burden (mARS≥3). Variation between care-homes was high for antipsychotics (intra-cluster correlation coefficient [ICC] 8.2%) and hypnotics/anxiolytics (ICC=7.3%), and moderate for antidepressants (ICC=4.7%) and anticholinergics (ICC=2.8%). Prescribing of all drugs was lower in the oldest old. People with dementia were more likely to be prescribed antipsychotics (adjusted OR=1.45, 95%CI 1.23-1.71) but less likely to be prescribed anticholinergics (aOR=0.61, 95%CI 0.51-0.74). Prescribing of antipsychotics was higher in Tayside (aOR=1.52, 95%CI 1.20-1.92), whereas prescribing of antidepressants (particularly tricyclic-related) was lower (aOR=0.66, 95%CI 0.56-0.79). There was no association with care-home regulator quality scores.

**Conclusion:** Care-home residents have high psychotropic and anticholinergic burden, with considerable variation between care-homes which is not related to existing measures of quality of care. Research to better understand variation between care-homes and the interaction with local prescribing cultures is needed.

**Key words:** psychotropic prescribing, anticholinergic drugs, dementia, care-homes, prescribing safety

# **Key points**

- Two-thirds of care-home residents were prescribed at least one psychotropic drug, and one-quarter prescribed two or more; half were prescribed an anticholinergic drug, and one in eight had high anticholinergic burden.
- Prescribing of all drugs was lowest in those aged 85 years and over. In people with dementia antipsychotic use was higher but anticholinergic use lower.
- There were no significant associations between prescribing and quality grading by the independent care-home regulator.
- Antipsychotic prescribing and anticholinergic burden remain high despite guidance to avoid in this population.
- Research to examine adverse effects of complex combinations of drugs affecting cognition in this population is required.

Page 4 of 25

#### Introduction

Many medicines have adverse effects on cognition or cause sedation. Psychotropic medicines have intended effects on the brain and include hypnotics, anxiolytics, antidepressants, antipsychotics, opioids, and gabapentinoids, but many medicines also have unintended anticholinergic or other effects on cognition or sedation. Older people living in care-homes are a particularly vulnerable population, because the majority have cognitive impairment or dementia [1]. Additionally, most are physically frail, and therefore more at risk of both drug adverse effects and of serious harm if an adverse effect happens (for example, falls and injurious harm).

Antipsychotic drugs are frequently prescribed to manage the behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD). There is good evidence that antipsychotics have small benefits in terms of improved BPSD, but they are known to significantly increase the risk of death and stroke [2-6]. Antipsychotic drugs in older people with dementia have therefore been the subject of multiple regulatory risk communications and policy interventions [7]. There is mixed evidence of reduced prescribing in the UK as a result [3,6], although little evidence of change in prescribing patterns within English care-homes [4]. However, the broader burden of psychotropic and anticholinergic medicines in older people is considerable, particularly in people with dementia [2,8].

Antidepressants are among the most commonly prescribed psychoactive drugs in carehomes and are associated with an increased falls risk [9]. Older residents are more commonly prescribed benzodiazepines and z-drugs than those living in their own homes [10,11]. There are additional concerns about anticholinergic (antimuscarinic) medicines, which are associated with a range of adverse drug reactions in older people including falls, delirium, and longer-term decline in cognitive function [8,12,13].

However, whereas previous studies have examined the use of individual medicine classes in care-homes, there is less data about total psychotropic and anticholinergic burden, about variation in prescribing between care-homes, and whether prescribing is associated with care-home characteristics or independent quality evaluations by regulators [2,4,8]. The aims of this study were to measure psychotropic and anticholinergic burden in all older people

living in care-homes in two Scottish health boards with a population of ~750,000, and to examine variation in prescribing between care-homes.

#### Methods

This is a cross-sectional analysis of linked routine data for all care-home residents aged  $\geq 60$  years in the Tayside and Fife regions of Scotland, UK on 31/3/17.

Care-home residents were identified using a validated algorithm matching individual's addresses recorded in the Scottish Master Community Health Index (CHI) with care-home addresses recorded by the Care Inspectorate [14] with additional manual validation of all flagged addresses. Data for individuals were extracted from Master CHI (demographics) and linked to community-dispensed prescribing and hospital admission data. For each carehome, publicly available data was accessed to identify care-home characteristics and quality reports from the national regulator of care-home services, the Care Inspectorate [15]. Datasets were linked at the individual level using the CHI number (the NHS Scotland unique identifier). Medicines were defined as 'currently prescribed' if they were dispensed in the 56 days before 31/3/17.

*Outcomes.* Psychotropic drugs were defined as oral antipsychotics (drugs in British National Formulary [16] (BNF) chapter 4.2.1), hypnotics (BNF 4.1.1), anxiolytics (BNF 4.1.2), antidepressants (BNF 4.3 subcategorised into tricylic, tricyclic-related, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor, and other antidepressants), opioids (BNF 4.7.2 and opioid-containing combinations in BNF 4.7.1) subcategorised into weak and strong opioids (Supplementary Table S1) and gabapentinoids (gabapentin/pregabalin). Total anticholinergic burden was calculated for each resident using a modification (mARS) of the Anticholinergic Risk Scale to account for UK-licenced medicines (Supplementary Table S2) [8]. Medicines are scored in terms of anticholinergic potential (1=moderate; 2=strong; 3=very strong). The total mARS score is the sum of scores for currently prescribed anticholinergic medicines. mARS score ≥3 was defined as high anticholinergic burden consistent with the original scale derivation showing that >89% of such patients experience at least one anticholinergic side-effect [17].

Page 6 of 25

Other variables. Patient data included sex, age, and diagnosis of dementia defined as a prescription ever of a dementia drug (memantine, galantamine, donepezil, or rivastigmine), or dementia being coded as a hospital discharge diagnosis (International Classification of Diseases 10 codes 'F00', 'F01', 'F02', 'F03', 'F051', 'G30', and 'G311'). Care-home data included number of beds, ownership (private, not-for-profit or voluntary), whether providing residential or nursing care, the number of general practices providing medical care derived from data on resident's registered general practitioner, the number of complaints about the care-home upheld by the Care Inspectorate in the last three years (dichotomised into <3 or  $\geq$ 3 complaints), and most recent Care Inspectorate grading [18] of quality of (i) staffing, (ii) management and leadership, (iii) care and support, and (iv) environment (originally a six point scale, categorised as 1-3=inadequate, 4=good, 5-6=excellent).

#### Statistical Analysis

Counts/percentages of psychotropic prescribing and mARS scores were calculated using R (v3.2.5) and multilevel logistic regression modelling carried out in Stata (v14). Two-level hierarchical logistic regression was used to investigate how prescribing of antipsychotics, antidepressants, hypnotics and anxiolytics and high anticholinergic burden (total mARS score  $\geq$ 3) varied between care-homes, and associations with resident and care-home characteristics. Univariate odds ratios (OR) were calculated for resident and care-home variables, and adjusted ORs from multivariate models which included variables with statistically significant univariate associations. The level of statistical significance used was 5%, and all OR are therefore reported with 95% confidence intervals. Variation between care-homes was examined by calculating the intracluster correlation coefficient and estimating the median odds ratio [19].

#### Ethical and other approvals

Analysis of anonymised data in the University of Dundee Health Informatics Centre (HIC) accredited safe haven environment followed HIC Standard Operating Procedures which have been approved by East of Scotland Research Ethics Committee (REC) and Tayside and Fife Caldicott Guardians.

#### Results

There were 4478 people age  $\geq$ 60 years resident in one of 147 care-homes on 31/3/17. The majority (71.4%) of residents were women, with a mean age of 86.6 (SD 7.6) years compared to mean age in men of 82.2 (SD 8.8) years, and 2160 (48.2%) residents were known to have dementia. The median number of beds was 37 (range=3-100), 71 (48.3%) care-homes provided nursing care with the remainder classed as residential, and 117 (79.6%) were privately owned. Primary medical care for residents was provided by a single general practice in 26 care-homes (17.7%), with the remainder served by two or more practices. Thirty-two (21.8%) care-homes had  $\geq$ 3 complaints upheld by the Care Inspectorate over the last three years. Quality of care and support was rated inadequate in 26 (17.7%), good in 55 (37.4%) and excellent in 66 (44.9%) care-homes, compared to 25 inadequate (17.0%), 49 good (33.3%) and 73 excellent (49.7%) for quality of staffing, 28 inadequate (19.0%), 49 good (33.3%) and 70 excellent (47.6%) for quality of management and leadership, and 20 inadequate (13.6%), 57 good (38.8%) and 70 excellent (47.6%) for quality of environment.

The prevalence of psychotropic and anticholinergic prescribing is shown in Table 1. Of the drug-classes analysed in this study, antidepressants were the most frequently currently prescribed drug-class (1865 [41.6%] of residents currently prescribed), compared to opioids (907, 20.3%), hypnotics and anxiolytics (759, 16.9%), antipsychotics (746, 16.7%), and gabapentinoids (174, 3.9%). Half of residents were not prescribed any anticholinergic drugs, with moderate anticholinergic burden (mARS 1-2) in 1616 (36.1%) and high burden (mARS  $\geq$ 3) in 540 (12.1%) (Table 1 and Supplementary Table S3). There were large (in absolute terms) differences in prescribing of antidepressants and antipsychotics in the two Health Boards, with NHS Tayside using more antipsychotics (18.5% vs 13.8% in NHS Fife) and NHS Fife using more antidepressants (47.7% vs 37.6% in NHS Tayside, predominately because of higher use of trazodone).

Almost two-thirds of residents were prescribed at least one psychotropic drug (Table 2). Combination treatment was common, with 36.5% of residents currently prescribed a psychotropic drug, 19.5% two, and 7.5% three or more. There was little difference between

Page 8 of 25

the two health boards in rates of combination treatment use, although there was underlying variation in the choice of drugs prescribed.

Table 3 summarises significant adjusted associations with current prescribing of antipsychotics, anti-depressants, hypnotic-anxiolytics, and high anticholinergic burden (full models are shown in Supplementary Tables S4-S7). For antipsychotic prescribing, being resident in a care-home in NHS Tayside (OR 1.52, 95%CI 1.20-1.92) and having dementia (OR 1.45, 95%CI 1.23-1.71) were associated with increased antipsychotic use, whereas increasing age was associated with decreased use (age 85+ years vs age 60-71, OR 0.36, 95%CI 0.28-0.45). Similar patterns of decreasing psychotropic use and anticholinergic burden with increasing age were seen for all other outcomes. Antidepressant use was lower in NHS Tayside than NHS Fife (OR 0.66, 95%CI 0.56-0.79), and high anticholinergic burden was lower in people with dementia (OR 0.61, 95%CI 0.51-0.74) although 9.3% of residents with dementia had mARS≥3. Hypnotic/anxiolytic use was lower in residential homes compared to nursing homes (OR 0.80, 95%CI 0.63 to 1.01) although the observed adjusted difference is marginally non-significant. No other variables were significantly associated with any outcome, including care-home ownership, size, Care Inspectorate quality ratings, and upheld complaints.

There was evidence of significant variation between care-homes in all four prescribing outcomes. The empty model intracluster correlation coefficients (the proportion of variation in prescribing attributable to differences between care-homes) was 8.2% for antipsychotics, 4.7% for antidepressants, 7.3% for hypnotic/anxiolytics, and 2.8% for high anticholinergic burden. After adjustment for care-home and patient characteristics, median odds ratios (MOR) were 1.54 for current antipsychotic use (95% CI 1.36-1.73), 1.41 for current antidepressant use (95% CI 1.29-1.53), 1.58 for current hypnotic/anxiolytic use (95% CI 1.41-1.78) and 1.30 for current mARS score  $\geq$  3 (95% CI 1.11-1.52) (the MOR is the median odds ratio for two patients randomly selected from different care homes, and is a measure of unexplained variation between care homes on the same scale as resident or care home characteristics).

## Discussion

Page 9 of 25

Just under two-thirds of older care-home residents were prescribed at least one psychotropic drug, and one-quarter at least two, most commonly antidepressants (41.6% of residents), opioids (20.3%), hypnotic/anxiolytics (16.9%) and antipsychotics (16.7%). Prescribing was less common in the oldest old, with people with dementia more likely to be prescribed antipsychotics (which are known to have small benefit and major harm in this population) but with lower anticholinergic burden (which is associated with worsened cognition). NHS Tayside residents were more likely to be prescribed antipsychotics but less likely to be prescribed antidepressants (particularly trazodone) than NHS Fife residents. There was significant unexplained variation between care-homes (although less so for anticholinergic burden than psychotropic drugs), but no care-home level variables other than health board were significantly associated with prescribing, and in particular there was no association with external inspection ratings of quality of care.

Strengths of the analysis include use of complete population data with robust ascertainment of care-home residence [14], and the use of community-dispensed prescription data to measure drug exposure. This is in contrast to many previous studies which have been in volunteer or research cohorts [20,21]. Limitations are that care-home residence relied on matching permanent addresses in Master CHI file, meaning that the findings may not apply to short-term or temporary residents. Dispensed medicines may also be used as required rather than regularly, which may mean we over-estimate co-prescribing. Just under half of residents were identified by routine data as having dementia, compared to previous estimates of 62% of Scottish long-stay care-home residents, likely reflecting that dementia in this population is not always coded [22,23], so observed associations with dementia may be biased in either direction. Finally, the study does not examine whether exposure is actually associated with adverse events, meaning we cannot be certain whether the observed prescribing is harmful, although all the drugs examined are known to have a number of significant adverse effects, particularly in frail populations [7,8].

Antipsychotic and hypnotic/anxiolytic prescribing rates were similar to a previous population study of people with dementia in Scotland, but higher antidepressant prescribing rates were observed in this study (41.6% vs 28.7%) [2]. Antipsychotic prescribing rates were

Page 10 of 25

comparable to other studies in the UK [4] and internationally [24, 25], and it is notable that there is little evidence that antipsychotic prescribing in UK care-homes changed after major policy initiatives to reduce antipsychotic use in people with dementia in 2009 [4]. High anticholinergic burden was similar to that reported in previous studies although prevalence in this context will vary with the tool used to measure anticholinergic burden [26,27]. Few studies have examined cumulative burden of psychotropic medicines [2]. Ruths et al examined cumulative burden in Norwegian nursing homes, finding that in 2009, 22.9% were prescribed an antipsychotic, 50.9% an antidepressant, 21.9% an anxiolytic and 19.1% a hypnotic, with 32.7% prescribed two or more of the four drug classes (similar to this study) [28].

Studies examining variation in prescribing are less common, but where done have found evidence of considerable variation between care-homes in the UK, France and Canada [4,26,29]. Facility-level characteristics such as size (number of beds), setting (rural, nonrural), ownership (private, public) and arrangements for medical care have been found to be associated with variation in inappropriate prescribing [4,26,29], but we did not find any statistically significant associations between psychotropic prescribing and care-home characteristics (including size, external ratings of quality, and upheld complaints). We did observe relatively large differences in prescribing practice between the two health boards, similar to large geographical variation in antipsychotic use in a previous English study [4]. Although we cannot exclude residual confounding due to casemix variation, there is therefore evidence that both care-home and wider medical 'culture' may influence patterns of prescribing.

This study quantifies the individual and total burden of multiple drugs with psychotropic effects, finding that just under two-thirds of residents were prescribed at least one such drug, and over one-quarter of residents prescribed two or more. There is good evidence that antipsychotic prescribing in older people with dementia has small to modest benefits in behavioural disturbance, but carries significant risks of major harm [2-6]. More broadly, sedative and anticholinergic drug use in physically and cognitively frail older people is risky [8,12,13]. This study cannot identify whether prescribing is appropriate, but it is clearly risky and this vulnerable population needs regular review to ensure that such treatment is still

Page 11 of 25

indicated and optimised. There was considerable unexplained variation between carehomes, and between the two health boards examined. It is likely that prescribing is driven by care-home characteristics (for example, staff tolerance to and response to BPSD) and by local prescribing cultures (given the observed greater prescribing of antipsychotics in one health board vs the observed greater prescribing of trazodone in the other). Improving prescribing is therefore likely to require interventions targeting both care-home staff and practices, and medical and non-medical prescribers providing care.

#### Conclusion

The burden of psychotropic prescribing in care-home residents is high. Use of antipsychotics remains common, but antidepressant and combination prescribing is strikingly high. Further research is needed to examine the harms of individual and combination psychotropic prescribing in care-homes, and to develop and evaluate interventions to optimise prescribing. Given rapidly changing needs in this frail and vulnerable population, potentially harmful prescribing of psychotropics needs regular careful review to ensure continued appropriateness.

## Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the support of the Health Informatics Centre, University of Dundee for managing and providing the anonymised data and NHS Tayside and NHS Fife, the original data owner.

#### **Conflicts of interest**

None declared.

#### Funding

PG was funded by Medical Research Scotland as part of a vacation scholarship (MRS grant number: 1198-2018).

#### Table 1. Prescribing of all drug classes

	All residents	NHS Tayside	NHS Fife
	No. (%) of all residents	No. (%) of all residents	No. (%) of all residents
	N=4478	N=2685	N=1793
Antidepressants	1865 (41.6)	1009 (37.6)	856 (47.7)
Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor	847 (18.9)	509 (19.0)	338 (18.9)
Other <sup>a</sup>	720 (16.1)	394 (14.7)	326 (18.2)
Tricyclic-related	285 (6.4)	51 (1.9)	234 (13.1)
Tricyclic	173 (3.9)	106 (3.9)	67 (3.7)
Hypnotic/anxiolytic	759 (16.9)	475 (17.7)	284 (15.8)
Anxiolytic	449 (10.0)	295 (11.0)	154 (8.6)
Hypnotic	378 (8.4)	228 (8.5)	150 (8.4)
Antipsychotics	746 (16.7)	498 (18.5)	248 (13.8)
Atypical	573 (12.8)	392 (14.6)	181 (10.1)
Typical	187 (4.2)	117 (4.4)	70 (3.9)
Opioids <sup>b</sup>	907 (20.3)	556 (20.7)	351 (19.6)
Weak opioids	528 (11.8)	327 (12.2)	201 (11.2)
Strong opioids	423 (9.4)	255 (9.5)	168 (9.4)
Gabapentinoids	174 (3.9)	92 (3.4)	82 (4.6)
High anticholinergic burden (mARS score ≥3) <sup>c</sup>	540 (12.1)	338 (12.6)	202 (11.3)

a. Agomelatine, Duloxetine, Mirtazapine, Reboxetine, Venlafaxine were categorised as other antidepressants. 1 resident in each health board was prescribed a monamine oxidase inhibitor antidepressant.

b. Weak and strong opioids are listed in Supplementary table S1.

c. Medicines contributing to the mARS score are listed in Supplementary Table S2. Note that some of the psychotropic medicines in table 1 (eg tricyclic antidepressants, antipsychotics) also contribute to mARS score.

	All residents	NHS Tayside	NHS Fife
	No. (%) of all residents	No. (%) of all residents	No. (%) of all residents
	N=4478	N=2685	N=1793
Psychotropic combinations*			
None	1634 (36.5)	1010 (37.6)	624 (34.8)
Only one psychotropic	1633 (36.5)	971 (36.2)	662 (36.9)
Any two psychotropic	874 (19.5)	487 (18.1)	387 (21.6)
Any three psychotropics	282 (6.3)	185 (6.9)	97 (5.4)
Any four psychotropics	51 (1.1)	30 (1.1)	21 (1.2)
All five psychotropics	4 (0.1)	2 (0.07)	2 (0.1)
Only one psychotropic			
Antidepressants alone	901 (20.1)	472 (17.6)	429 (23.9)
Opioids alone	291 (6.5)	189 (7.0)	102 (5.7)
Antipsychotics alone	219 (4.9)	155 (5.8)	64 (3.6)
Hypnotic/anxiolytics alone	191 (4.3)	135 (5.0)	56 (3.1)
Gabapentinoid alone	31 (0.7)	20 (0.7)	11 (0.6)
Two psychotropic combinations			
Antidepressant + opioid	254 (5.7)	132 (4.9)	122 (6.8)
Antidepressant + hypnotic/anxiolytic	191 (4.3)	93 (3.5)	98 (5.5)
Antipsychotic + antidepressant	187 (4.2)	107 (4.0)	80 (4.5)
Antipsychotic + hypnotic/anxiolytic	71 (1.6)	53 (2.0)	18 (1.0)
Antipsychotic + opioid	60 (1.3)	41 (1.5)	19 (1.1)
Hypnotic/anxiolytic + opioid	51 (1.1)	35 (1.3)	16 (0.9)
Antidepressant + gabapentinoid	30 (0.7)	12 (0.4)	18 (1.0)
Opioid + gabapentinoid	19 (0.4)	8 (0.3)	11 (0.6)
Hypnotic/anxiolytic + gabapentinoid	8 (0.2)	4 (0.1)	4 (0.2)
Antipsychotic + gabapentinoid	3 (0.06)	2 (0.07)	1 (0.06)

# Table 2. Prescribing by Health Board – Psychotropic Combinations

\* Combinations of antipsychotics, antidepressants, hypnotic/anxiolytics, opioids and gabapentinoids.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
27	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
44 45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
~ ~ ~	

**Table 3**. Adjusted associations of patient and care-home characteristics with four types of current prescribing

Variable <sup>a</sup>	Current anti-psychotic	Current anti-	Current hypnotic/	Current mARS score≥3
	use	depressant use	anxiolytic use	
	Adjusted OR (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>	Adjusted OR (95% CI) b	Adjusted OR (95% CI) b	Adjusted OR (95% CI) b
Care-home level				
Fife (n=57)	1	1		
Tayside (n=90)	1.52 (1.20-1.92)	0.66 (0.56-0.79)		
Nursing home (n=71)			1	
Residential home (n=76)			0.80 (0.63-1.01) <sup>d</sup>	
Patient level				
No dementia (n=2,318)	1			1
Dementia (n=2,160)	1.45 (1.23-1.71)			0.61 (0.51-0.74)
Aged 60-74 (n=492)	1	1	1	1
Aged 75-84 (n=1,309)	0.64 (0.50-0.82)	0.83 (0.67-1.03)	0.73 (0.57-0.95)	0.62 (0.47-0.81)
Aged 85+ (n=2,677)	0.36 (0.28-0.45)	0.55 (0.45-0.67)	0.62 (0.48-0.79)	0.37 (0.28-0.47)
Random effects null model				
Intracluster correlation coefficient	8.2% (5.3-12.5)	4.7% (3.1-7.1)	7.3% (4.7-11.3)	2.8% (1.1-7.1)
Random effects adjusted model			<u> </u>	
Intracluster correlation coefficient	5.8% (3.41-9.71)	3.8% (2.3-6.0)	6.5% (4.1-10.3)	2.3% (0.7-6.9)
Median Odds Ratio <sup>c</sup> null model	1.68 (1.49 - 1.89)	1.47 (1.35-1.60)	1.63 (1.45-1.83)	1.34 (1.16-1.55)
Median Odds Ratio <sup>c</sup> adjusted model	1.54 (1.36-1.73)	1.41 (1.29-1.53)	1.58 (1.41-1.78)	1.30 (1.11 – 1.52)

a) All variables which are statistically significantly associated in adjusted analysis are shown in the relevant column (a ".." indicates a variable not statistically significantly associated in another model). Other variables examined but not significant in any adjusted model were: Patient sex; care-home number of beds; care-home ownership; number of general practices with registered residents at the care-home; number of complaints upheld in the last three years; Care Inspectorate rating of care-home quality (four domains – quality of care and support, quality of environment, quality of staff, quality of management and leadership).

b) Unadjusted associations for all models are shown in appendix tables S4 to S7.

c) Median odds ratio (MOR) is a measure of variation between care-homes on the same scale as the model fixed effects. For repeated pairs of randomly sampled residents in different care-homes, the OR of the outcome is calculated. The MOR is the median value of the distribution of OR.

d) Not significant in adjusted model, but included as significant in univariate and marginal in adjusted

# **Supplementary Data**

- Table S1. Medicines categorised as weak and strong opioids.
- Table S2. Medicines and their associated Modified Anticholinergic Risk Score.
- Table S3. Prescribing by Health Board Modified Anticholinergic Risk Score.
- Table S4. Adjusted and unadjusted associations of patient and care-home characteristics for antipsychotic prescribing.
- Table S5. Adjusted and unadjusted associations of patient and care-home characteristics for antidepressant prescribing.
- Table S6. Adjusted and unadjusted associations of patient and care-home characteristics for Hypnotic/Anxiolytic prescribing.
- Table S7. Adjusted and unadjusted associations of patient and care-home characteristics for mARS ≥3.

# References

1. Stewart R, Hotopf M, Dewey M, Ballard C, Bisla J et al. Current prevalence of dementia, depression and behavioural problems in the older adult care home sector: the South East London Care Home Survey. Age and Ageing 2014; 43(4): 562-567.

2. Guthrie B, Clark SA, McCowan C. The burden of psychotropic drug prescribing in people with dementia: a population database study. Age and Ageing 2010; 39: 637-642.

3. Guthrie B, Clark SA, Reynish EL *et al.* Differential impact of two risk communications on antipsychotic prescribing to people with dementia in Scotland: Segmented Regression Time Series Analysis 2001-2011. PLoS One 2013; 8(7): e68976.

4. Szczepura A, Wild D, Khan AJ *et al.* Antipsychotic prescribing in care homes before and after launch of a national dementia strategy: an observational study in English institutions over a 4-year period. BMJ Open 2016; 6: e009882..

5. Jackson G, Gerard C, Minko N *et al.* Variation in benzodiazepine and antipsychotic use in people aged 65 years and over in New Zealand. NZMJ 2014; 127 (1396): 67-78.

6. Ballard C, Hanney ML, Theodoulou M *et al.* The dementia antipsychotic withdrawal trial (DART-AD): long-term follow-up of a randomised placebo-controlled trial. The Lancet Neurology 2009; 8(2):151-7.

7. Banerjee S. The use of antipsychotic medication for people with dementia: time for action. A report for the Minister of State for Care Services London: Department of Health, 2009.

8. Sumukadas D, McMurdo MET, Mangoni AA *et al.* Temporal trends in anticholinergic medication prescription in older people: repeated cross-sectional analysis of population prescribing data. Age and Ageing 2014; 43: 515-521.

9. Bathena SPR, Leppik IE, Kanner AM *et al.* Antiseizure, antidepressant, and antipsychotic medication prescribing in elderly nursing home residents. Epilepsy & Behaviour 2017;69:116-120.

10. Johnson CF, Frei C, Downes N *et al.* Benzodiazepine and z-hypnotic prescribing for older people in primary care: a cross-sectional population-based study. Br J Gen Pract 2016; 66(647):e410-415.

11. Patterson SM, Hughes CM, Cardwell C *et al.* A Cluster Randomized Controlled Trial of an Adapted U.S. Model of Pharmaceutical Care for Nursing Home Residents in Northern Ireland (Fleetwood Northern Ireland Study): A Cost-Effectiveness Analysis. JAGS 2011; 59(4): 586-593.

12. Cardwell K, Hughes CM, Ryan C. The Association Between Anticholinergic Medication Burden and Health Related Outcomes in the 'Oldest Old': A Systematic Review of the Literature. Drugs Aging 2015; 32(10): 835-848.

13. Fox C, Smith T, Maidment I *et al.* Effect of medications with anti-cholinergic properties on cognitive function, delirium, physical function and mortality: a systematic review. Age and Ageing 2014; 43: 604-615.

14. Burton JK, Marwick CA, Galloway J *et al*. Identifying care-home residents in routine healthcare datasets: a diagnostic test accuracy study of five methods. Age and Ageing 2018; 0:1-8.

15. Care Inspectorate [Internet]. Dundee; c2015. Available from: <u>https://www.careinspectorate.com/</u>.

16. BNF. British National Formulary 68. London: BMJ Publishing Group Ltd and RPS Publishing. 2015.

17. Rudolph J, Salow M, Angelini M, McGlinchey R. The Anticholinergic Risk Scale and Anticholinergic Adverse Effects in Older Persons. Arch Intern Med 2008;168:508-13.

18. Care Inspectorate. How we inspect What goes into our inspection reports for care services [Internet]. Dundee; c2013. Available from:

http://www.careinspectorate.com/images/documents/168/How%20we%20inspect%20care %20services%20and%20what%20goes%20into%20insp%20reports.pdf

19. Merlo J, Chaix B, Ohlsson H et al. A brief conceptual tutorial of multilevel analysis in social epidemiology: using measures of clustering in multilevel logistic regression to investigate contextual phenomena. J Epidemiol Community Health 2006; 60: 290-297.

20. Parsons C, Johnston S, Mathie E, Baron N, Machen I et al. Potentially Inappropriate Prescribing in Older People with Dementia in Care Homes. Drugs & Aging 2012; 29 (2): 143-155.

21. Barber ND, Alldred DP, Raynor DK, Dickinson R, Garfield S et al. Care homes' use of medicines study: prevalence, causes and potential harm of medication errors in care homes for older people. Quality & Safety in Health Care 2009; 18: 341-346.

22. ISD Scotland. Care Home Census for Adults in Scotland Figures for 2007-2017 (as at 31 March) [Internet]. Scotland, 2018. Available from: <u>https://www.isdscotland.org/Health-Topics/Health-and-Social-Community-Care/Publications/2018-09-11/2018-09-11-CHCensus-Report.pdf?2721804381</u>

23. Shah SM, Carey IM, Harris T, DeWilde S, Cook DG. Antipsychotic prescribing to older people living in care homes and the community in England and Wales. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 2011; 26: 423-434.

24. Tjia J, Field T, Lemay C *et al.* Antipsychotic Use in Nursing Homes Varies by Psychiatric Consultant. Med Care 2014; 52(3):267-271.

25. Carnahan RM, Brown GD, Letuchy EM *et al.* Impact of programs to reduce antipsychotic and anticholinergic use in nursing homes. Alzheimer's & Dementia 2017; 3(4): 553-561.

26. Herr M, Grondin H, Sanchez S *et al.* Polypharmacy and potentially inappropriate medications: a cross-sectional analysis among 451 nursing homes in France. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2017; 73(5): 601-608.

27. Pitkala KH, Laurila JV, Strandberg TE *et al.* Behavioral symptoms and the administration of psychotropic drugs to aged patients with dementia in nursing homes and in acute geriatric wards. International Psychogeriatrics 2004; 16(1): 61-74.

28. Ruths S, Sørensen PH, Kirkevold Ø *et al.* Trends in psychotropic drug prescribing in Norwegian nursing homes from 1997 to 2009: a comparison of six cohorts. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 2013; 28(8): 868-876.

29. Bronskill SE, Rochon PA, Gill SS *et al.* The Relationship Between Variations in Antipsychotic Prescribing Across Nursing Homes and Short-Term Mortality: quality of care implications. Med Care 2009; 47(9):1000-1008.

# The burden of psychotropic and anticholinergic medicines use in care homes: population-based analysis in 147 care homes

## Authors:

Paula Grill, Undergraduate Medical Student, School of Medicine, University of Dundee

Charis Marwick, Division of Population Health and Genomics, School of Medicine, University of Dundee

Nicosha De Souza, Division of Population Health and Genomics, School of Medicine, University of Dundee

Jennifer Kirsty Burton, Academic Geriatric Medicine, Institute of Cardiovascular and Medical Sciences, University of Glasgow

Carmel Hughes, School of Pharmacy, Queen's University Belfast, 97 Lisburn Road, Belfast

Bruce Guthrie, Usher Institute, School of Medicine, University of Edinburgh

**Supplementary Tables** 

#### **Table S1.** Medicines categorised as weak and strong opioids.

Weak Opioid	Strong Opioid
Codeine Phosphate	Hydromorphone Hydrochloride
Dihydrocodeine Tartrate	Morphine
Dextropropoxyphene Hydrochloride*	Tramadol Hydrochloride
Co-Codamol (paracetamol + codeine)	Oxycodone
Co-Dydramol (paracetamol + dihydrocodeine)	Fentanyl
Co-Proxamol (paracetamol + dextropropoxyphene)*	Diamorphine Hydrochloride
Co-Codaprin (aspirin + codeine)*	Methadone Hydrochloride
Co-Codamol with Buclizine Hydrochloride*	Buprenorphine
Aspirin with Paracetamol and Codeine*	Morphine with Cocaine
Ibuprofen with Codeine Phosphate*	Morphine with Cocaine with Chlorpromazine
Paracetamol Codeine & Caffeine *	Pentazocine
Opium*	Paracetamol with Tramadol Hydrochloride
	Dipipanone with Cyclizine
	Pethidine Hydrochloride
	Dextromoramide
	Phenazocine Hudrobromide
	Morphine with Cyclizine
	Oxycodone and Naloxone
	Morphine with Atropine
	Pethidine with Promethazine
	Papaverine Hydrochloride
	Meptazinol
	Nalbuphine Hydrochloride
	Papaveretum
	Tapentadol

\* Technically prescribable but rarely prescribed, particularly in this population

Table S2. Medicines and their associated score using the Modified Anticholinergic Risk Scale (mARS).

Score 3	Score 2	Score 1
Amitriptyline	Amantadine Hydrochloride	Co-Careldopa
Atropine Sulfate	Baclofen	Entacapone
Morphine with Atropine	Cetirizine	Haloperidol
Benztropine	Cimetidine	Haloperidol Decanoate
Chlorpromazine Hydrochloride	Cimetidine with alginate	Methocarbamol
Morphine and Cocaine with Chlorpromazine	Clozapine	Metoclopramide Hydrochloride
Cyproheptadine Hydrochloride	Desipramine Hydrochloride	Paracetamol with Metoclopramide
Dicycloverine Hydrochloride	Loperamide Hydrochloride	Lysine Acetylsalicylate & Metoclopramide
Dicycloverine Hydrochloride compound preparations	Loperamide with activated dimeticone	Aspirin with Metoclopramide
Dicycloverine with Codeine	Loratadine	Mirtazapine
Fluphenazine Decanoate	Nortriptyline	Paroxetine
Fluphenazine Hydrochloride	Olanzapine	Pramipexole
Fluphenazine Enanthate	Prochlorperazine	Quetiapine
	Pseudoephedrine Sulfate	
Hydroxyzine Hydrochloride	Pseudoephedrine Hydrochloride	Ranitidine
Hyoscyamine	Acrivastine and Pseudoephedrine Hydrochloride	Ranitidine Bismuth Citrate
Imipramine Hydrochloride	Brompheniramine and Pseudoephedrine	Risperidone
Oxybutinin Hydrochloride	Guaifenesin with Pseudoephedrine	Selegiline
Perphenazine	Ibuprofen with Pseudoephedrine Hydrochloride	Trazodone Hydrochloride
Promethazine Hydrochloride	Paracetamol Pseudoephedrine Hydrochloride and Pholcodine	Lofepramine
Promethazine Theoclate	Pseudoephedrine and Triprolidine Hydrochloride	Reboxetine
Pethidine with Promethazine	Clomipramine Hydrochloride	Tiotropium
Tizanidine Hydrochloride	Darifenacin	Tiotropium and Olodaterol
Trifluoperazine	Dosulepin Hydrochloride	Levodopa
Tranylcypromine with Trifluoperazine	Doxepin	•
·	Fesoterodine	
Clemastine	Levomepromazine	
Orphenadrine Hydrochloride	Pericyazine	
Orphenadrine Citrate	Propiverine Hydrochloride	
Procyclidine Hydrochloride	Solifenacin	
Thioridazine	Solifenacin and Tamsulosin Hydrochloride	
Chlorphenamine Maleate	Trospium Chloride	
•	Tolterodine	
	Flavoxate Hydrochloride	
	Trimipramine	
Combination Score 6	Combination Score 5	Combination Score 2
Amitriptyline Hydrochloride with Perphenazine	Nortriptyline with Fluphenazine	Co-Careldopa with Entacapone

#### Table S3. Prescribing by Health Board – Modified Anticholinergic Risk Score

	All residents	NHS Tayside	NHS Fife
	No. (%) of all residents	No. (%) of all residents	No. (%) of all residents
	N=4478	N=2685	N=1793
Modified Anticholinergic Risk Score <sup>#</sup>			
Zero	2322 (51.9)	1444 (53.8)	878 (49.0)
One	1043 (23.3)	586 (21.8)	457 (25.5)
Тwo	573 (12.8)	317 (11.8)	256 (14.3)
Three	321 (7.2)	205 (7.6)	116 (6.5)
Four	122 (2.7)	72 (2.7)	50 (2.8)
Five	57 (1.3)	34 (1.3)	23 (1.3)
Six or more	40 (0.9)	27 (1.0)	13 (0.7)

# Medicines contributing to mARS scoring are listed in table S2.

**Table S4.** Adjusted and unadjusted associations of patient and care home characteristics for antipsychotic prescribing.

Variable	% (95% CI) of residents prescribed an antipsychotic	Unadjusted odds ratio (95% CI)	Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)
Care home level			
Fife (n=57)	13.8 (11.7-16.2)	1	1
Tayside (n=90)	18.5 (16.4-20.9)	1.42 (1.11-1.82)	1.52 (1.20-1.92)
	10.0 (10.1 20.0)	1.12 (1111 1.02)	1.52 (1.20 1.52)
Small (<25 beds) (n=41)	18.2 (14.3-22.9)	1	
Medium (25-49 beds) (n=68)	15.9 (13.6-18.6)	0.84 (0.60-1.16)	
Large (50 + beds) (n=37)	17.0 (14.5-19.8)	0.95 (0.67-1.35)	
Local authority or voluntary (n=30)	14.5 (11.6-17.9)	1	
Private (n=117)	17.1 (15.3-19.0)	1.19 (0.86-1.65)	
Nursing home (n=71)	17.8 (15.6–20.3)	1	
Residential home (n=76)	15.0 (12.9–17.4)	0.78 (0.61-1.00)	
Single GP practice (n=26)	15.0 (11.8-18.9)	1	
Multiple GP practices (n=121)	16.9 (15.2-18.9)	1.12 (0.80-1.58)	
0-2 complaints upheld in 3 years (n=115)	16.4 (14.6-18.4)	1	
3+ complaints upheld in 3 years (n=32)	17.4 (14.2-21.1)	1.06 (0.79-1.42)	
Quality of Care and Support	<i>(</i>		
Excellent (n=66)	14.8 (12.5-17.5)	1	
Good (n=55)	18.6 (15.8-21.8)	1.39 (1.06-1.83)	
Inadequate (n=26)	17.1 (14.3-20.4)	1.23 (0.88-1.72)	
Quality of Environment			
Excellent (n=70)	15.0 (12.8-17.6)	1	
Good (n=57)	18.3 (15.7-21.3)	1.29 (0.99-1.69)	
Inadequate (n=20)	17.8 (14.9-21.0)	1.24 (0.86-1.80)	
Quality of Staff			
Excellent (n=73)	15.1 (12.8-17.8)	1	
Good (n=49)	18.4 (15.6-21.6)	1.36 (1.03-1.79)	
Inadequate (n=25)	17.7 (15.0-20.9)	1.28 (0.91-1.80)	
Quality of Management & Leadership		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Excellent (n=70)	15.8 (13.3-18.6)	1	
Good (n=49)	17.0 (14.5-19.9)	1.14 (0.86-1.51)	
Inadequate (n=28)	18.4 (15.6-21.7)	1.29 (0.93-1.80)	
Patient level			
No dementia (n=2318)	14.6 (12.6-16.9)	1	1
Dementia (n=2160)	18.8 (17.0-20.9)	1.37 (1.16-1.61)	1.45 (1.23-1.71)
Aged 60-74 (n=492)	28.3 (23.9-33.1)	1	1
Aged 75-84(n=1309)	20.1 (18.3-23.3)	0.68 (0.53-0.87)	0.64 (0.50-0.82)
Aged 85+ (n=2677)	12.6 (10.9-14.4)	0.38 (0.30-0.48)	0.36 (0.28-0.45)
Female (n=3198)	15.9 (14.3-17.7)	1	
Male (n=1280)	18.5 (15.9-21.5)	1.13 (0.95-1.35)	
Random effects			
Intracluster correlation coefficient		8.2 (5.3-12.5)	5.8 (3.41-9.71)
Median Odds Ratio		1.68 (1.49 - 1.89)	1.54 (1.36-1.73)

**Table S5.** Adjusted and unadjusted associations of patient and care home characteristics for antidepressant prescribing.

Variable	% (95% CI) of residents prescribed an antipsychotic	Unadjusted odds ratio (95% CI)	Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)
Care home level			
Fife (n=57)	47.7 (44.5-51.0)	1	1
Tayside (n=90)	37.6 (34.7-40.6)	0.66 (0.55-0.78)	0.66 (0.56-0.79)
Small (<25 beds) (n=41)	41.7 (37.7-45.8)	1	
Medium (25-49 beds) (n=68)	43.8 (40.5-47.1)	1.10 (0.87-1.41)	
Large (50 + beds) (n=37)	39.3 (35.2-43.6)	0.93 (0.72-1.21)	
Local authority or voluntary (n=30)	44.4 (38.4-50.5)	1	
Private (n=117)	41.1 (38.6-43.7)	0.89 (0.70-1.12)	
Nursing home (n=71)	41.0 (37.6–44.4)	1	
Residential home (n=76)	42.6 (39.4–45.8)	1.04 (0.86-1.25)	
Single GP practice (n=26)	46.5 (42.2-50.9)	1	
Multiple GP practices (n=121)	40.8 (38.2-43.5)	0.80 (0.63-1.02)	
0-2 complaints upheld in 3 years (n=115)	41.4 (38.4-44.4)	1	
3+ complaints upheld in 3 years (n=32)	42.5 (39.3-45.7)	1.05 (0.85-1.31)	
Quality of Care and Support	4.		
Excellent (n=66)	43.9 (40.4-47.6)	1	
Good (n=55)	41.1 (36.6-45.7)	0.91 (0.74-1.11)	
Inadequate (n=26)	37.7 (34.9-40.6)	0.78 (0.61-1.00)	
Quality of Environment			
Excellent (n=70)	41.3 (37.1-45.7)	1	
Good (n=57)	43.5 (40.8-46.3)	1.10 (0.90-1.34)	
Inadequate (n=20)	37.8 (34.1-41.7)	0.86 (0.65-1.13)	
Quality of Staff			
Excellent (n=73)	41.0 (37.0-45.2)	1	
Good (n=49)	43.6 (40.3-47.0)	1.09 (0.89-1.34)	
Inadequate (n=25)	39.7 (36.5-43.1)	0.94 (0.73-1.22)	
Quality of Management & Leadership		0.01 (0.70 1.11)	
Excellent (n=70)	41.3 (37.1-45.6)	1	
Good (n=49)	43.9 (41.2-46.7)	1.10 (0.90-1.35)	
Inadequate (n=28)	38.7 (35.2-42.3)	0.88 (0.69-1.13)	
Patient level			
No dementia (n=2318)	40.0 (37.2-42.9)	1	
Dementia (n=2160)	43.4 (40.4-46.4)	1.12 (0.99-1.27)	
Aged 60-74 (n=492)	50.6 (45.6-55.6)	1	1
Aged 75-84(n=1309)	47.0 (43.5-50.4)	0.84 (0.67-1.04)	0.83 (0.67-1.03)
Aged 85+ (n=2677)	37.4 (34.8-40.1)	0.55 (0.45-0.67)	0.55 (0.45-0.67)
Female (n=3198)	42.4 (39.7-45.1)	1	
Male (n=1280)	39.8 (36.7-43.0)	0.89 (0.78-1.02)	
Random effects			
Intracluster correlation coefficient		4.7 (3.1-7.1)	3.8 (2.3-6.0)
Median Odds Ratio		1.47 (1.35-1.60)	1.41 (1.29-1.53)

**Table S6.** Adjusted and unadjusted associations of patient and care home characteristics for Hypnotic/Anxiolytic prescribing.

Variable	% (95% CI) of residents prescribed an antipsychotic	Unadjusted odds ratio (95% CI)	Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)
Care home level			
Fife (n=57)	15.8 (13.7-18.3)	1	
Tayside (n=90)	17.7 (15.4-20.2)	1.12 (0.88-1.43)	
Small (<25 beds) (n=41)	15.5 (12.6-19.0)	1	
Medium (25-49 beds) (n=68)	16.5 (14.5-18.7)	1.05 (0.76-1.45)	
Large (50 + beds) (n=37)	18.0 (14.9-21.6)	1.20 (0.85-1.70)	
Local authority or voluntary (n=30)	14.5 (11.9-17.5)	1	
Private (n=117)	17.4 (15.5-19.5)	1.23 (0.90-1.68)	
Nursing home (n=71)	18.3 (16.0-20.9)	1	1
Residential home (n=76)	15.0 (12.9-17.5)	0.75 (0.59-0.95)	0.80 (0.63-1.01)
Single GP practice (n=26)	14.9 (12.0-18.3)	1	
Multiple GP practices (n=121)	17.3 (15.5-19.3)	1.19 (0.86-1.65)	
0-2 complaints upheld in 3 years (n=115)	16.8 (15.0-18.7)	1	
3+ complaints upheld in 3 years (n=32)	17.6 (13.8-22.1)	1.02 (0.76-1.35)	
Quality of Care and Support	4.		
Excellent (n=66)	16.1 (13.9-18.4)	1	
Good (n=55)	16.9 (14.0-20.2)	1.11 (0.85-1.45)	
Inadequate (n=26)	19.0 (15.2-23.5)	1.25 (0.90-1.72)	
Quality of Environment			
Excellent (n=70)	15.2 (13.0-17.7)	1	
Good (n=57)	18.6 (16.1-21.4)	1.29 (1.00-1.67)	
Inadequate (n=20)	18.5 (13.8-24.5)	1.21 (0.85-1.73)	
Quality of Staff			
Excellent (n=73)	15.2 (13.0-17.6)	1	
Good (n=49)	19.6 (16.7-22.8)	1.42 (1.09-1.85)	
Inadequate (n=25)	17.1 (13.3-21.7)	1.16 (0.83-1.61)	
Quality of Management & Leadership			
Excellent (n=70)	16.2 (13.9-18.7)	1	
Good (n=49)	17.3 (14.4-20.6)	1.08 (0.82-1.41)	
Inadequate (n=28)	18.4 (14.8-22.7)	1.19 (0.86-1.63)	
Patient level			
No dementia (n=2318)	16.3 (14.4-18.4)	1	
Dementia (n=2160)	17.6 (15.7-19.8)	1.12 (0.96-1.32)	
Aged 60-74 (n=492)	24.4 (20.4-28.9)	1	1
Aged 75-84(n=1309)	18.0 (15.6-20.6)	0.72 (0.56-0.93)	0.73 (0.57-0.95)
Aged 85+ (n=2677)	15.1 (13.3-17.0)	0.60 (0.47-0.76)	0.62 (0.48-0.79)
Female (n=3198)	17.0 (15.3-19.0)	1	
Male (n=1280)	16.7 (14.2-19.6)	0.90 (0.75-1.08)	
Random effects			
Intracluster correlation coefficient		7.3 (4.7-11.3)	6.5 (4.1-10.3)
Median Odds Ratio		1.63 (1.45-1.83)	1.58 (1.41-1.78)

Table S7. Adjusted and unadjusted associations of patient and care home characteristics for high	
anticholinergic burden (mARS ≥3).	

Variable	% (95% CI) of	Unadjusted odds	Adjusted odds ratio
	residents prescribed	ratio (95% CI)	(95% CI)
	an antipsychotic		
Care home level			
Fife (n=57)	11.3 (9.68-13.1)	1	
Tayside (n=90)	12.6 (11.1-14.2)	1.13 (0.91-1.41)	
Small (<25 beds) (n=41)	13.6 (11.0-16.8)	1	
Medium (25-49 beds) (n=68)	11.9 (10.4-13.5)	0.86 (0.64-1.15)	
Large (50 + beds) (n=37)	11.7 (10.0-13.8)	0.85 (0.63-1.16)	
Local authority or voluntary (n=30)	14.0 (11.5-17.1)	1	
Private (n=117)	11.7 (10.5-13.0)	0.80 (0.61-1.05)	
	11.7 (10.5 15.0)	0.00 (0.01 1.03)	
Nursing home (n=71)	12.5 (11.0-14.1)	1	
Residential home (n=76)	11.5 (9.9-13.2)	0.90 (0.73-1.11)	
Single GP practice (n=26)	14.1 (11.8-16.8)	1	
Multiple GP practices (n=121)	11.7 (10.5-13.0)	0.79 (0.60-1.04)	
0-2 complaints upheld in 3 years (n=115)	12.1 (10.8-13.5)	1	
3+ complaints upheld in 3 years (n=32)	11.9 (9.9-14.3)	0.98 (0.76-1.26)	
Quality of Care and Support			
Excellent (n=66)	12.8 (11.2-14.6)	1	
Good (n=55)	11.9 (10.0-14.1)	0.94 (0.74-1.18)	
Inadequate (n=26)	10.6 (8.6-13.0)	0.82 (0.61-1.09)	
Quality of Environment			
Excellent (n=70)	12.1 (10.5-14.0)	1	
Good (n=57)	11.9 (10.3-13.7)	0.99 (0.79-1.25)	
Inadequate (n=20)	12.3 (9.6-15.5)	1.01 (0.74-1.39)	
Quality of Staff			
Excellent (n=73)	12.8 (11.2-14.6)	1	
Good (n=49)	11.2 (9.6-13.1)	0.87 (0.69-1.10)	
Inadequate (n=25)	11.6 (9.1-14.7)	0.90 (0.67-1.20)	
Quality of Management & Leadership			
Excellent (n=70)	12.3 (10.7-14.2)	1	
Good (n=49)	11.9 (10.3-13.8)	0.97 (0.76-1.23)	
Inadequate (n=28)	11.6 (9.2-14.5)	0.95 (0.71-1.26)	
Patient level			
No dementia (n=2318)	14.6 (13.1-16.3)	1	1
Dementia (n=2160)	9.3 (8.0-10.9)	0.60 (0.50-0.73)	0.61 (0.51-0.74)
Aged 60-74 (n=492)	22.2 (18.7-26.1)	1	1
Aged 75-84(n=1309)	14.1 (12.3-16.1)	0.57 (0.44-0.75)	0.62 (0.47-0.81)
Aged 85+ (n=2677)	9.2 (8.0-10.5)	0.35 (0.27-0.45)	0.37 (0.28-0.47)
Female (n=3198)	12.0 (10.9-13.3)	1	
Male (n=1280)	12.1 (10.2-14.3)	1.00 (0.82-1.22)	
Random effects			
Intracluster correlation coefficient		2.8 (1.1-7.1)	2.3 (0.7-6.9)
Median Odds Ratio		1.34 (1.16-1.55)	1.30 (1.11-1.52)