1	Implications for the future of Obstetrics and Gynaecology following the COVID-19 pandemic:
2	A commentary
3	
4	Lorraine S Kasaven ^{1,2} , Srdjan Saso ^{1,2} , Jen Barcroft ^{1,2} , Joseph Yazbek ^{1,2} , Karen Joash ¹ , Catriona
5	Stalder ¹ , Jara Ben Nagi, ² J Richard Smith, ^{1,2} Christoph Lees ^{1,2} , Tom Bourne ^{1,2} , Benjamin P Jones ^{1,2}
6	
7	¹ Queen Charlotte's and Chelsea Hospital, Department of Cancer and Surgery, Imperial College NHS
8	Trust, W12 0HS London, UK.
9	² Imperial College London, Department of Cancer and Surgery, London W12 0NN, UK.
10	
11	Running Title: COVID 19: Implications for the future
12	
13	
14	Correspondence to:
15	Lorraine Kasaven BSc (Hons) MRCOG
16	Department of Surgery and Cancer, Imperial College London,
17	Du Cane Road, London W12 0NN, United Kingdom.
18	Telephone: 07740358900
19	E-mail: Lk226@doctors.org.uk
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

29	Implications for the future of Obstetrics and Gynaecology following the COVID-19 pandemic:
30	A Commentary
31	Introduction
32	
33	In March 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) declared COVID-19 a global pandemic. At
34	the time of writing, more than 261,184 cases of COVID-19 have been confirmed in the UK resulting
35	in over 36,914 directly attributable deaths. ¹ The National Health Service (NHS) has been confronted
36	with the unprecedented task of dealing with the enormity of the resultant morbidity and mortality. In
37	addition, the workforce has been depleted as a direct consequence of the disease, in most cases
38	temporarily, but in some tragic cases permanently.
39	
40	A lack of appreciation of the range of symptoms associated with COVID-19, as well as the prevalence
41	of asymptomatic carriers of the virus, contributed to hospitals becoming 'hotspots' for infection. In
42	order to reduce potential exposure and infection amongst healthcare professionals and patients, a
43	widespread restructuring of services and clinical practice was rapidly undertaken. In the field of
44	obstetrics and gynaecology (O&G), professional bodies implemented a multitude of reactive
45	strategies as emergency measures in response to COVID-19, as summarised in Table S1.
46	
47	Despite being prompted by unprecedented adversity, many of the changes in process, clinical
48	management, and innovations introduced in response to COVID-19 may have a long-lasting impact,
49	which could result in the adoption of a more streamlined approach to healthcare. It is essential that we
50	examine whether reverting back to the way healthcare was delivered prior to the pandemic is
51	desirable. From an academic perspective, clinical researchers have seen an accelerated institutional
52	and ethics approval process for COVID-19 related research; with approvals being granted within two
53	weeks. Clinicians have been empowered to make rapid changes in how they deliver care, for example,
54	the swift adoption of novel technology, with telephone triage, virtual consultations, online meetings,
55	and home monitoring being implemented widely. Moreover, at a time when the NHS is underfunded
56	and waiting times continue to rise, many of these changes may offer cost effective benefits and reduce

waiting times.² Additionally, it is inevitable that public perception and societal values will have
changed as a consequence of the pandemic. The aim of this manuscript is to discuss the impact of
COVID-19 on practice within the field of O&G, and how it may subsequently help shape the future of
the speciality.

61

62 Telemedicine in Obstetrics and Gynaecology

The primary objective of restructuring healthcare services was to reduce the risk of viral transmission without jeopardising standards of healthcare. The Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RCOG) recommended that women should be managed by remote communication for outpatient antenatal and gynaecology clinics where possible.³ Telemedicine encompasses methods such as webbased programmes, video teleconferences and telephone consultations. In such cases, it is essential to determine which cases are suitable, with consideration of the limitations of not being able to examine or undertake investigations immediately.

70

71 Whilst it may be appropriate for routine gynaecology outpatient clinics, there may be apprehension 72 regarding implementation for rapid access clinic (RAC) appointments, due to potential delay in 73 diagnosis or management of cancer. However, we have observed the efficient implementation of 74 virtual consultations within our own department during the pandemic, particularly when informing 75 patients of their investigation results and discussing further management following initial RAC 76 attendance. In particular, the communication skills required to break bad news do not appear to be 77 jeopardised, with previous data suggesting video consultations offer a greater sense of privacy, in the 78 comfort of their own home environment.⁴ Positive patient experiences have also been demonstrated in 79 other emotive areas of gynaecology, such as termination of pregnancy, where telemedicine has 80 provided quicker assessments and offered a more patient centred approach with greater accessibility 81 to treatment options and avoidance of travel time.⁵ In the context of post-operative follow up, informal 82 feedback from patients in our unit is consistent with findings from a study following the 83 implementation of peri-operative internet-based patient care pathways, which suggested that in

addition to being cost-effective, patients report an improvement in quality of life, less pain and
 reduced recovery times.⁶

86

87 The implementation of telemedicine within obstetrics may provoke apprehension regarding aspects of 88 care that traditionally require in person monitoring, such as during antenatal care, where regular blood 89 pressure monitoring and fetal assessment is required. However, the potential of telemedicine is 90 enhanced by the proven efficacy of home blood pressure monitors,⁷ and development of wearable 91 fetal electrocardiography sensors.⁸ This may make it realistic and achievable in well selected cases. 92 The development of pregnancy-related mobile applications or 'apps', subject to appropriate 93 regulation, also enable the opportunity for greater patient involvement. This has been identified to 94 provide a greater sense of autonomy, whilst enhancing patient care.⁷ Moreover, the replacement of 95 traditional antenatal, labour or breastfeeding classes with virtual multidisciplinary classes may 96 enhance accessibility, and allow greater consistency in teaching methods.⁹ However, despite the 97 undoubted potential of telemedicine in O&G, many healthcare professionals remain sceptical, due to 98 fear of late presentation of emergencies, lack of personalised care and concern regarding the 99 replacement of the human workforce by machines.¹⁰

100

101 Telemedicine can also facilitate training and education, and contribute towards continued professional 102 development. For example, remote feedback from experienced surgeons during real-time surgery, has 103 been shown to provide a cost effective method of learning with similar efficacy and safety profile as in person mentoring.¹¹ It also facilitates multi-site learning, which allows greater consistency in 104 105 teaching and training methods.⁹ Telemedicine can also facilitate multidisciplinary meetings between 106 health professionals. For example, 81% of 667 participants involved in an online gynaecology 107 oncology teleconference, felt that the experience enhanced educational training between colleagues, 108 and offered a time saving opportunity to access to a wide range of expert specialists.¹² 109

Despite evidence that restructuring services can have multiple advantages, it may adversely impact
 health outcomes amongst vulnerable groups such as low-income populations and ethnic minorities.¹³

In the context of telemedicine, communication inequality inhibits certain individuals, from seeking access to healthcare, such as immigrant or refugee populations, low literacy levels or without access to internet/technology. It is imperative therefore, when considering the transition from temporary implementation of service provision to long term application, that such limitations are addressed to ensure healthcare is not compromised amongst vulnerable groups.

117

118 **Referral pathways**

119 Owing to demographic changes, such as an increasingly aging population and evolution in societal 120 expectations, there has been a rise in number of new referrals to secondary care over the last two decades, at significant expense to NHS resources.¹⁴ In order to reduce demand and clinically 121 122 prioritise, extensive triaging strategies have been implemented to ensure the appropriateness of 123 referrals to secondary care. For example, the utilisation of telemedicine between O&G specialists and 124 general practitioners (GP) prior to the pandemic resulted in a third of referrals being de-escalated back for management in primary care.¹⁵ This suggests a significant proportion of referrals could be 125 126 managed by the GP providing they have access to specialist input. Moreover, in the context of RAC, 127 data from a single centre identified half of referrals were inappropriate when compared to protocol driven referral criteria.¹⁶ By continuing to implement measures to reduce the number of unnecessary 128 129 referrals, an anticipated reduction in demand should shorten waiting times and enable more timely 130 diagnosis and management.

131

132 Service Provision

133 In order to maintain the quality of care provided to women, restructuring of services was

implemented, primarily to increase utilisation of outpatient management. The introduction of 'one
stop' clinics in both obstetrics and gynaecology reduce the number of appointments required. This is
associated with a reduction in time from referral to investigation, improves service efficiency and is
highly acceptable to patients.^{17,18}

In gynaecology, updated recommendations further emphasised a preference for expectant or medical management of miscarriage and ectopic pregnancies over surgical management (**Table S1**). Not only is expectant management more cost-effective,¹⁹ but 84% of women would opt for it in the future after using it previously, suggesting it is highly acceptable to patients.²⁰

143

144 During the government imposed 'lockdown', risking exposure by leaving home to collect 145 contraception may impact compliance. Irrespective of the pandemic, 1 in 5 pregnancies are 146 unplanned,²¹ and postnatal women who are breastfeeding are thought to be at particularly high-risk for unintended pregnancy.²² Current guidance suggests all women should be informed during 147 148 pregnancy of the superior effectiveness of long-acting reversible contraception (LARC), particularly 149 as it is more efficacious, cost effective, and can be used immediately postnatally.²³ A number of units 150 have taken this opportunity to escalate postnatal contraceptive services, in order to help prevent 151 unintended pregnancy by offering it prior to discharge. The progesterone only pill or progesterone 152 only implant can be offered, and those who undergo elective Caesarean section have the additional 153 option of an intrauterine system (IUS) or intrauterine device (IUD). Given the ongoing trend of 154 unplanned pregnancies, proactive contraceptive counselling during pregnancy, and enhanced 155 accessibility should continue after the pandemic. This may facilitate the achievement of women's 156 reproductive aspirations, and concomitantly reduce NHS workload and the associated economic 157 burden.

158

159 **Patient perceptions**

Following the first week of the government imposed 'lockdown', there was a 25% reduction in number of accident and emergency (A&E) attendances, despite the provision that leaving home for medical treatment was allowed.²⁴ It is therefore likely that the perception of what was previously deemed an emergency has changed, with the risk associated with attending hospital outweighing the necessity for attendance.²⁵ However, it is inevitable that patients with significant pathology, who are acutely unwell or have symptoms suggestive of cancer, who truly need A&E or urgent care, have

avoided such in a bid to avoid exposure to the virus, signifying the less publicised but significant
 collateral damage of COVID-19.²⁵

168

169 Becoming pregnant during the COVID-19 pandemic has been associated with greater uncertainty and 170 anxiety, as demonstrated by a study of almost two thousand participants whereby 68% of women reported elevated pregnancy related anxiety.²⁶ Within our own maternity triage unit, we observed a 171 172 30% reduction in attendance from an average number of 28 patients per day before the pandemic, to 173 20 thereafter, with a trough being evident in association with an escalation in the number of reported 174 COVID-19 daily deaths (Figure 1). While it is unknown which patients did not attend, if it were 175 women with presenting complaints such as abdominal pain, vaginal bleeding or reduced fetal 176 movements, this may subsequently have an adverse impact upon the stillbirth rate.²⁷ This highlights 177 the need for appropriate education, antenatal counselling and implementation of public health 178 strategies, to ensure women continue to seek appropriate care when necessary.

179

180 A further public health opportunity lies in the promotion of wellbeing and the empowerment of 181 women to take responsibility for their own health. It has been well publicised that outcomes following 182 COVID-19 are worse in individuals who are obese or live a sedentary lifestyle.^{28, 29} For most people, 183 lifestyle changes are rarely maintained from positive intention alone. However, decisive change is 184 often triggered by a specific event, experience, or consequence; referred to as the 'Sentinel Event 185 Effect'.³⁰ It is highly likely COVID-19 will promote positive lifestyle changes, particularly in light of 186 the vulnerability many may have felt by being at increased risk as a consequence to potentially 187 reversible lifestyle choices. By permanently adopting lifestyles including an increase in exercise and 188 an improved diet, there are likely to be significant long-term health benefits.³¹

189

190 Wellbeing amongst healthcare professionals

191 The attrition rates for trainees within O&G are among the highest of all specialities. Just over 30%

192 leave the speciality and at least 75% give it consideration.³² Commonly reported reasons for leaving

193 include a lack of morale, concerns relating to bullying and undermining, administrative issues related

to training, and poor work-life balance or support.³² Moreover, according to a recent study, 36% of all 194 195 doctors working within O&G in the UK met the criteria for burnout using a validated tool.³³ When focussing on trainees alone, the level of burnout rose to 43%.³³ The existential threat posed by the 196 197 global pandemic, coupled with the perils associated with treating COVID-19 patients and the need to 198 reduce personal risk of disease, seem likely to exacerbate psychological strain amongst doctors 199 worldwide, further impacting on wellbeing and retention in the specialty. In a survey amongst 200 Obstetric and Gynaecology junior doctors within the UK, 64.9% reported they had received adequate 201 training on two-person donning and doffing of PPE, but remained anxious regarding the safety of PPE provided.³⁴ Such anxieties can be reduced through increased use of practical and e-learning resources 202 for training and active involvement in the planning of service provision within departments.³⁴ 203

204

205 In a study of 500 healthcare professionals working during the COVID-19 pandemic in Singapore, 206 14.5% were identified to have anxiety, 8.9% had depression and 7.7% had levels of stress consistent 207 with post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD).³⁵ A perceived lack of control over decision making is 208 believed to promote stress,³⁵ which is unavoidable when treating a novel disease with no vaccine or 209 cure. In addition, a reduction in elective services and changes in rotas may inadvertently make it 210 impossible for individuals to meet training competencies, further increasing levels of anxiety amongst 211 trainees. Conversely, having pride and seeing value in ones work has been shown to help prevent 212 burnout.³³ As such, it is possible the appreciation and gratitude demonstrated by the public throughout 213 the crisis will enhance feelings of existence and self-worth amongst clinicians.

214

215 The future

COVID-19 has necessitated significant restructuring of our health care system and greatly impacted
service provision. Novel, albeit unfamiliar methods including the implementation of telemedicine has
evolved how we interact with patients and other healthcare professionals. Despite being hurriedly
implemented, a number of these strategies can be built upon that have the potential to enhance patient
care, cost effectiveness and quality of life amongst healthcare professionals, as summarised in Figure
However, it is essential that multifaceted auditing and evaluation of outcomes is undertaken

following the changes implemented during the pandemic, before consideration is given to permanentchanges in practice.

224

KEY MESSAGES

- The COVID-19 global pandemic has necessitated significant restructuring of our health care system and has greatly impacted the service offered.
- A number of strategies implemented in response to the pandemic can be built upon to facilitate the adoption of a more streamlined approach within O&G in the future.
- Embracing such changes may improve healthcare for women, optimise quality of life of healthcare professionals and reduce financial burden on the NHS.

225

226

227 Contributors and sources

228 The purpose of this manuscript is to understand how implementation of new strategies aiming to

- 229 prioritise and optimise clinical care within O&G in response to the COVID-19 pandemic may
- 230 improve service provision in the future. A comprehensive literature review was performed in order to
- 231 provide evidence for the pertinent clinical arguments discussed. LSK wrote the article. SS and TB
- helped write the article and reviewed the final draft. JB, JY, KJ, CS, JBN, RS and CL provided input
- and revised the final draft. BPJ conceived the manuscript, helped write the article and reviewed the
- final draft.
- 235

236 Conflicts of Interest

237 The authors have no conflicts of interests to declare.

238

239 Ethical Approval

240 No ethical approval was required for the purpose of this paper.

242	Funding
243	No funding was required for this paper.
244	
245	References
246	(1) Roser M, Ritchie H, Ortiz-Ospina E, Hasell J. Coronavirus Pandemic (COVID-19) [Internet]. Our
247	World in Data. 2020 [cited 26 May 2020]. Available from: https://ourworldindata.org/coronavirus
248	
249	(2) Mahase E. Covid-19: outbreak could last until spring 2021 and see 7.9 million hospitalised in the
250	UK. BMJ. 2020;:m1071.
251	
252	(3) Hofmann-Werther D, WooCommerce B. COVID-19 in Pregnancy - A summary for health
253	professionals and patients based on the RCOG Guidelines (Published Friday 3 April 2020) Middle
254	East Medical Portal [Internet]. Middle East Medical Portal. 2020 [cited 26 May 2020]. Available
255	from: https://www.middleeastmedicalportal.com/covid-19-in-pregnancy/
256	
257	(4) Powell RE, Henstenburg JM, Cooper G, Hollander JE, Rising KL. Patient Perceptions of
258	Telehealth Primary Care Video Visits. Ann Fam Med 2017 May;15(3):225-229.
259	
260	(5) Grindlay K, Grossman D. Telemedicine provision of medical abortion in Alaska: through the
261	provider's lens. J Telemed Telecare 2017;23(7):680-685.
262	
263	(6) Bouwsma EVA, Bosmans JE, van Dongen JM, Brolmann HAM, Anema JR, Huirne JAF. Cost-
264	effectiveness of an internet-based perioperative care programme to enhance postoperative recovery in
265	gynaecological patients: economic evaluation alongside a stepped-wedge cluster-randomised trial.
266	BMJ Open 2018 Jan 21;8(1):e017782-2017-017782.

268	(7) Tucker KL, Sheppard JP, Stevens R, Bosworth HB, Bove A, Bray EP, et al. Self-monitoring of
269	blood pressure in hypertension: A systematic review and individual patient data meta-analysis. PLoS
270	Med 2017 Sep 19;14(9):e1002389.
271	
272	(8) Graatsma E, Jacod B, Van Egmond L, Mulder E, Visser G. Fetal electrocardiography: feasibility
273	of long-term fetal heart rate recordings. BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology
274	2009;116(2):334-338.
275	
276	(9) Boatin A, Ngonzi J, Bradford L, Wylie B, Goodman A. Teaching by Teleconference: A Model for
277	Distance Medical Education across Two Continents. Open J Obstet Gynecol 2015 Nov;5(13):754-
278	761.
279	
280	(10) Grassl N, Nees J, Schramm K, Spratte J, Sohn C, Schott TC, et al. A Web-Based Survey
281	Assessing the Attitudes of Health Care Professionals in Germany Toward the Use of Telemedicine in
282	Pregnancy Monitoring: Cross-Sectional Study. JMIR mHealth and uHealth 2018;6(8):e10063.
283	
284	(11) Gambadauro P, Magos A. NEST (network enhanced surgical training): a PC-based system for
285	telementoring in gynaecological surgery. European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and
286	Reproductive Biology 2008;139(2):222-225.
287	
288	(12) Chekerov R, Denkert C, Boehmer D, Suesse A, Widing A, Ruhmland B, et al. Online tumor
289	conference in the clinical management of gynecological cancer: experience from a pilot study in
290	Germany. International Journal of Gynecologic Cancer 2008;18(1):1-7.
291	
292	(13) Lin L, Savoia E, Agboola F, Viswanath K. What have we learned about communication
293	inequalities during the H1N1 pandemic: a systematic review of the literature. BMC Public Health
294	2014;14(1):484.
295	

296	(14) Stabile M, Thomson S, Allin S, Boyle S, Busse R, Chevreul K, et al. Health care cost
297	containment strategies used in four other high-income countries hold lessons for the United States.
298	Health Aff 2013;32(4):643-652.
299	
300	(15) Shehata F, Posner G, Afkham A, Liddy C, Keely E. Evaluation of an electronic consultation
301	service in obstetrics and gynecology in Ontario. Obstetrics & Gynecology 2016;127(6):1033-1038.
302	
303	(16) Bansal JK, Goldrick I, Manchanda R, Olaitan A. Rapid-access gynecological oncology clinic
304	outcomes in North London, UK. Clinical Audit 2017;9:19-23.
305	
306	(17) Friedemann Smith C, Tompson A, Holtman GA, Bankhead C, Gleeson F, Lasserson D, et al.
307	General practitioner referrals to one-stop clinics for symptoms that could be indicative of cancer: a
308	systematic review of use and clinical outcomes. Fam Pract 2019;36(3):255-261.
309	
310	(18) Ashmore A, Gnanachandran C, Luqman I, Horrocks K, Bhatt T. OP03. 09: Rapid access
311	suspected ovarian cancer clinic: an audit examining the GP referral pathway. Ultrasound in Obstetrics
312	& Gynecology 2019;54:94-94.
313	
314	(19) Nanda K, Lopez LM, Grimes DA, Peloggia A, Nanda G. Expectant care versus surgical
315	treatment for miscarriage. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012;2012(3):CD003518. Published 2012
316	Mar 14. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD003518.pub3
317	
318	(20) Wieringa-de Waard M, Bindels PJ, Vos J, Bonsel GJ, Stalmeier PF, Ankum WM. Patient
319	preferences for expectant management vs. surgical evacuation in first-trimester uncomplicated
320	miscarriage. J Clin Epidemiol 2004;57(2):167-173.

322	(21) Lakha F, Glasier A. Unintended pregnancy and use of emergency contraception among a large
323	cohort of women attending for antenatal care or abortion in Scotland. The Lancet
324	2006;368(9549):1782-1787.
325	
326	(22) Postpartum Family Planning (Best Practice Paper No. 1) [Internet]. Royal College of
327	Obstetricians & amp; Gynaecologists. 2020 [cited 26 May 2020]. Available from:
328	https://www.rcog.org.uk/en/guidelines-research-services/guidelines/bpp1/
329	
330	(23) Long-acting reversible contraception (update) (NICE clinical guideline 30) [Internet]. Royal
331	College of Obstetricians & amp; Gynaecologists. 2020 [cited 26 May 2020]. Available from:
332	https://www.rcog.org.uk/en/guidelines-research-services/guidelines/long-acting-reversible-
333	contraception-update-nice-clinical-guideline-30/
334	
335	(24) Thornton J. Covid-19: A&E visits in England fall by 25% in week after lockdown. BMJ.
336	2020;369:m1401. Published 2020 Apr 6. doi:10.1136/bmj.m1401
337	
338	(25) Thornton J. Stroke: "striking reductions" are seen in number of people with symptoms seeking
339	help. BMJ. 2020;369:m1406. Published 2020 Apr 6. doi:10.1136/bmj.m1406
340	
341	(26) Lebel C, MacKinnon A, Bagshawe M, Tomfohr-Madsen L, Giesbrecht G. Elevated depression
342	and anxiety among pregnant individuals during the COVID-19 pandemic. 2020. [cited 26 May 2020]
343	Available from:
344	https://scholar.google.ca/citations?user=_hbqoWMAAAAJ&view_op=list_works&sortby=pubdate
345	
346	(27) Grant A, Valentin L, Elbourne D, Alexander S. Routine formal fetal movement counting and risk
347	of antepartum late death in normally formed singletons. The Lancet 1989;334(8659):345-349.
348	

349	(28) Kass D, Duggal P,	Cingolani O.	Obesity could shift	severe COVID-19 d	isease to younger ages.

350 The Lancet. 2020;395(10236):1544-1545.

351

352 (29) Vardavas C, Nikitara K. COVID-19 and smoking: A systematic review of the evidence. Tobacco

353 Induced Diseases. 2020;18:20. Published 2020 Mar 20. doi:10.18332/tid/119324

354

(30) Boudreaux ED, Bock B, O'Hea E. When an event sparks behavior change: an introduction to the
sentinel event method of dynamic model building and its application to emergency medicine. Acad
Emerg Med 2012;19(3):329-335.

358

359 (31) Romeo J, Wärnberg J, Pozo T, Marcos A. Physical activity, immunity and infection. Proc Nutr
360 Soc 2010;69(3):390-399.

361

362 (32) O'Sullivan M, Newell S, Frost J, Mountfield J. The key features of highly effective training units
363 in obstetrics and gynaecology. The Obstetrician & Gynaecologist. 2020;22(2):147-154.

364

365 (33) Bourne T, Shah H, Falconieri N, Timmerman D, Lees C, Wright A, et al. Burnout, well-being
366 and defensive medical practice among obstetricians and gynaecologists in the UK: cross-sectional

367 survey study. BMJ Open 2019 Nov 25;9(11):e030968-2019-030968.

368

369 (34) Rimmer M, Al Wattar B, UKARCOG Members, Barlow C, Black N, Carpenter C, et al.

370 Provision of obstetrics and gynaecology services during the COVID 19 pandemic: a survey of junior

371 doctors in the UK National Health Service. BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics &

372 Gynaecology 2020. BJOG. 2020;10.1111/1471-0528.16313. doi:10.1111/1471-0528.16313

373

374 (35) Tan BY, Chew NW, Lee GK, Jing M, Goh Y, Yeo LL, et al. Psychological Impact of the

375 COVID-19 Pandemic on Health Care Workers in Singapore. [published online ahead of print, 2020

376 Apr 6]Ann Intern Med 2020;M20-1083. doi:10.7326/M20-1083







Figure 2: Impact of COVID-19 within Obstetrics and Gynaecology

Guidance (National Body)	Change to Service Provision	Change to Clinical Practice	COVID-19 Patients
Coronavirus (COVID-19) infection and pregnancy (RCOG)	 Continue to attend scan appointments if deemed high risk pregnancy Attend routine antenatal clinical appointments alone Reduce induction of labour for indications not medically indicated Improve outpatient provision of induction of labour Reduce routine growth scans, if not for a strict guidance based indication Avoid shared waiting areas Electronic record systems should be used Any women who has had a routine appointment delayed for more than 3 weeks should be contacted and rescheduled urgently Departments should consider organising dry-run simulation exercises to prepare staff and build confidence Clinicians should be aware of the increased risk to women from black and minority ethnic (BAME) backgrounds and therefore have a lower threshold to review, admit and consider MDT discussion on further management 	 Teleconferencing or videoconferencing appointments Cease monoxide monitoring If self -isolating consider venous thromboembolism (VTE) risk, if score is ≥ 3, send a prescription of low molecular weight heparin (LMWH) via post to the patient with a video link of how to self-administer All women with suspected or confirmed COVID-19 should be discharged with 10 days LMWH prophylaxis 	 Staff should wear full PPE Provide women with a mask Women should be escorted immediately to an isolation room or cohort bay/ward Delay routine appointments e.g. growth scans, oral glucose tolerance test Carry out a discussion with a multidisciplinary team (MDT) including infectious disease or general medical specialist and senior Obstetrician Women in early latent phase labour should be encouraged to stay at home Discourage home birth/midwifery led delivery Encourage epidural or spinal analgesia Elective/planned obstetric procedures should be scheduled at the end of an operating list Non- elective emergency procedures should be performed in a second theatre. Inform women that donning PPE may cause delay in delivery Aim to keep oxygen saturations > 94% in labour Avoid use of birthing pools Consider shortening the length of second stage in labour with elective instrumental birth in symptomatic women becoming hypoxic/exhausted Perform hourly observations of oxygen saturation and respiratory rate Complete an hourly fluid balance chart. Consider fluid boluses of 250-500mls then assess for fluid overload

Table S1: Summary of amendments to RCOG clinical guidance in response to the COVID-19 global pandemic.

			 Administer LMWH to all women unless birth expected within 12 hours. Continue for at least 10 days Do not delay CT chest or X-ray to make a diagnosis Pregnant women with moderate or severe COVID symptoms who do not present with obstetric concerns, should be cared for by the same MDT as a non- pregnant women with additional input from the maternity team.
Guidance for antenatal screening and ultrasound in pregnancy in the evolving coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic (RCOG)	 All women should be initially screened for COVID-19 before entering the department All women should attend appointments alone or with a maximum of one partner/visitor Continue with national screening programmes Local failsafe method should be established to ensure all women who are reoffered appointments attend Daily discussions regarding service provision with senior team members should take place If there is insufficient staff, prioritise scans in the following order: 1) Anomaly (18⁺⁰-23⁺⁰), 2) US +/- screening at (11⁺²-14⁺¹), 3) Growth scans 	 Women who wish to have screening for trisomy 21,18,13 but have missed combined screening (11⁺²-14⁺¹) and present at the following gestations should have the following: (14⁺²-17⁺⁶) A dating scan and offered quadruple screening for trisomy 21. Use head circumference for quadruple test (18⁺⁰-20⁺⁰) An anomaly scan and offered quadruple screening for trisomy 21. Use head circumference for quadruple test (20⁺¹-23⁺⁰) An anomaly scan only, which is the screening test for trisomy 18 and 13 in this instance (>23⁺¹) perform full clinical US examination of fetus If a service provider can only offer a single scan, perform between (18⁺⁰-20⁺⁰) with an option of the quadruple test to screen for trisomy 21 If US examination is not possible, offer quadruple test based on the last menstrual period between (14⁺²-20⁺⁰) 	 Symptomatic women: rebook appointment in 7 days from onset of symptoms If living with others with symptoms: rebook appointment 14 days from symptom onset
Rationalising early pregnancy services in the evolving Coronavirus (COVID- 19) pandemic (RCOG)	 Triage clinical importance of pre-existing appointments as per red, yellow, green triage action (Red: scans and visits should be undertaken without delay. Yellow: scans and visits can be delayed without affecting clinical care. Green: scans and visits can be avoided for the duration of the pandemic) Local failsafe should be established to ensure appointments are reviewed and re-offered Weekly MDT meeting within unit 	 Women should not attend early pregnancy units (EPU) without a telephone triage consultation first All women should attend appointments alone Omit anti D if risk of COVID-19 outweighs the benefits of administering 	 If urgent ultrasound (US) required, an allocated room and US machine should be designated for this

	 Miscarriage Do not offer further routine US for expectant or medical management. Repeat a human chorionic gonadotrophin (hCG) test at home in 3 weeks Encourage outpatient treatment 	 Miscarriage Provide counselling over the phone Consider use of manual vacuum aspiration for missed miscarriage 	 Miscarriage Consider regional anaesthesia
	 Intrauterine pregnancy (IUP) of unknown viability No further US recommended If findings consistent with menstrual date, no follow up required 	 Intrauterine pregnancy (IUP) of unknown viability If findings not consistent with menstrual date, explain the risk of miscarriage and consider telephone follow up in 2 weeks 	 NS (not specified)
	 Pregnancy of unknown location (PUL) Use the serial hCG and progesterone at presentation to triage women 	 Pregnancy of unknown location (PUL) Low risk/failing PUL: repeat test at home in 2 weeks Low risk of IUP: Advise scan in 1 week High risk ectopic: Repeat hCG and or scan in 48 hours 	• NS
	Ectopic Emphasis on conservative management Expectant: Do not offer repeat US routinely	Ectopic Expectant:	Ectopic Medical and surgical cases to be discussed at EPU MDT
	 Do not one repeat of routinely Surgical: Only consider if no other management option feasible 	 Surgical: Laparoscopic surgery should only be undertaken with strict precaution to filter CO₂ escaping into operating theatre Consider mini laparotomy if above criteria cannot be met Only evacuate the pneumoperitoneum via direct suction using vacuum suction unit Swabs, suction and retrieval devices should be used to minimise droplet transmission 	Surgical: • All theatre staff should use PPE If there is risk of bowel involvement, perform laparotomy
Management of abnormal uterine bleeding in the evolving Coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RCOG) British Society for Gynaecological Endoscopy (BSGE) British Gynaecological Cancer Society (BGCS)	 For post -menopausal bleeding, offer transvaginal scan, outpatient hysteroscopy and or blind endometrial biopsy (EB) at the same visit Defer endometrial surveillance for non-atypical endometrial hyperplasia in women without abnormal uterine bleeding In cases of post coital bleeding, if no in date negative cervical screening test is present; patients should present for speculum examination in the primary or secondary care 	 Women should be managed by remote communication (e.g. video or telephone) In cases of treatment for heavy menstrual bleeding (HMB), consider moving to a 3 month duration injection once patient tolerance of gonadotrophic releasing hormone (GnRH) analogues has been established, or delivery via the nasal route Addback hormone replacement therapy (HRT) should be considered once HMB is controlled, if GnRH analogue treatment is continued beyond 3-6 months 	 Delay secondary care until no longer infectious Consider conscious sedation and regional anaesthesia in cases of inpatient hysteroscopy

		 A blind EB that produces an 'insufficient sample" should be considered normal Consider insertion of a LNG-IUS at the time of EB or hysteroscopy in cases highly suspicious of Endometrial hyperplasia or cancer 	
Coronavirus infection and abortion care (RCOG) The Faculty of sexual and Reproductive Healthcare (FSRH) British Society of Abortion Care Providers (BSACP)	 Medical professionals can conduct consultation and prescribe medication from their home Women can undertake medical abortion at home Medical treatment can be posted to the patients home address Abortion care can be provided without pre-procedure blood test or US Offer web based sexually transmitted infection (STI) screening if required 	 Remote consultation Provide written information prior to consultation (e.g. via email or link) Remote or self- assessment of outcome using low sensitivity pregnancy test sent to the patient Provide a further dose of misoprostol 400 micrograms where gestation is over 8 weeks and abortion not occurred 3-4 hours following treatment 	 Defer abortion if possible If face to face contact required, see at the end of a clinic or transfer to a unit equipped to treat COVID-19 patients
Framework for care of patients with gynaecological cancer during the (COVID-19) pandemic (BGCS)	 Decisions regarding prioritisation of treatment should be made at MDT Cancer units and centres will need to make joint decisions on location of cancer surgery Triage two week wait referrals Patients with pelvic masses identified by careful triage as likely benign, after MDT discussion for difficult cases, can have surgery deferred by 3-6 months Surgical priority categorisation of patients amended As general principles, patients receiving curative radiotherapy for locally advanced disease should be prioritised over patients receiving adjuvant therapy. Where adjuvant therapy is likely to reduce local recurrence, but not likely to prolong survival, patients should be carefully counselled and treatment withheld Given the anticipated resource constraints on imaging guided biopsies, a pragmatic decision to rely on a cell block to confirm malignancy may be necessary. If possible and relevant, the cell block may be used for additional testing such as breast cancer gene (BRCA) status 	 Greater utilisation of non-surgical options including radical radiotherapy or neo-adjuvant chemotherapy to delay major resection surgery Utilisation of procedures such as sentinel lymph node assessment Virtual clinics for routine follow ups Patients scheduled for interval debulking surgery (IDS) can be assessed after 3 cycles with CT scan (+/- diffusion weighted MRI) or consideration of laparoscopy and proceed to IDS, if there is a potential for macroscopic cytoreduction. Patients may also be counselled to continue with chemotherapy and the decision for surgery reviewed after 6 cycles of chemotherapy depending on resource availability In the absence of evidence overall survival benefit from secondary debulking in recurrent ovarian cancer, these patients should be managed with chemotherapy unless surgery would relieve symptoms. These patients should be classed as priority level 3 Patients should be tested for possible BRCA mutations (germline and somatic) so that they may access poly ADP ribose polymerase (PARP) inhibitors if they have a BRCA mutation. PARP inhibitors could be started at the end of 	• NS

Colposcopy guidance during (COVID- 19) pandemic The British Society for Colposcopy and Cervical Pathology (BSCCP) (RCOG)	 Only women who have had recent cervical smears suggesting: high grade moderate or worse, borderline nuclear changes (BNC) in endocervical cells, possible glandular neoplasia, suspicion of invasive disease should be seen for colposcopy Cease primary screening Triage two week wait referrals Allow provision for a weekly rapid access clinic for 	 chemotherapy and the number of cycles of chemotherapy should be determined on the basis of CA125 and CT response. In the current situation, some patients may access PARP inhibitors before the opportunity for surgery arises Virtual (telephone/video) consultation with dedicated help-line Laser ablation and excision should not be used due to vaporisation Minimise cold (thermal) coagulation with diathermy under 'see and treat' therapeutic options A serviced smoke extractor must be used for any large loop excision of transformation zone (LLETZ) 	 Defer colposcopy assessment until symptoms resolve or woman tested negative Staff should wear appropriate personal protective equipment (PPE)
Guidance for the care of fertility patients during the Coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic British Fertility Society (BFS)	 suspected cervical cancers Cease initiation of new fertility treatments e.g. in vitro fertilisation (IVF), frozen embryo transfer, surgical sperm retrieval, insemination and ovulation induction Complete treatment already commenced in women who are well Suspend diagnostic tests such as semen analysis or post vasectomy testing Continue non elective fertility preservation e.g. sperm and oocyte or embryo storage for cancer patients 	 procedure Consider GnRH agonist trigger and freeze all to reduce ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (OHSS) Telephone or video consultations 	 Avoid pregnancy If in stimulation phase of treatment but not yet received trigger, advise treatment cancellation If received hCG or GnRH agonist trigger, proceed to egg collection and freeze all Avoid embryo transfer if develops symptoms following egg collection Avoid intrauterine insemination (IUI)
Essential services in sexual and reproductive healthcare (FSRH)	 Extend the use of online contraception services across the UK Provide free condoms via pharmacies to vulnerable groups The Medicines Act should be updated to enable the supply of desogestrel progesterone only pill (POP) as a pharmacy drug POP can be safely supplied without a prescription and could be used as a bridging method for those who do not have access to their regular form of contraception The General Pharmaceutical Council should agree to allow 3-month emergency supply of oral contraceptives (rather than just one month) 	 Routine long-acting reversible contraceptives (LARC) removals/exchanges can be deferred Extended use of Nexplanon for 4 years, copper IUD for 12 years, LNG-IUS for 6 years Advise use of condoms or POP desogestrel for women approaching end of use with Jaydess or Kyleena Telephone/video consultations If commencing combined hormonal contraceptive (CHC)/POP, arrange community pharmacy or click and collect delivery If BMI and BP has been checked within last 12 months, can offer repeat prescription of CHC without repeating these assessments 	• NS
Guidance on management of Urogynaecological conditions and	• Use telephone consultation to triage delays e.g. delay review for 3 months, within 30 days or within 7 days	Telephone consultation	Defer assessment until asymptomatic

vaginal pessary use during the (COVID-19) pandemic

British Society of Urogynaecology (BSUG)

- Delay procedures including percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation (PTNS), bladder instillations, botox injections, urethra bulking agents, diagnostic cystoscopy
- In trial without a catheter (TWOC) follow up patients, teach self- catheterisation or consider delay of TWOC
- Delay change of suprapubic catheter for 3 months or arrange district nurse to change