Noorani *et al. Genome Biology* (2020) 21:206 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-020-02127-8

Genome Biology

AUTHOR CORRECTION

Open Access

Correction to: PiggyBac mutagenesis and exome sequencing identify genetic driver landscapes and potential therapeutic targets of EGFR-mutant gliomas



Imran Noorani^{1,2*}, Jorge de la Rosa^{1†}, Yoon Ha Choi^{1,3†}, Alexander Strong¹, Hannes Ponstingl¹, M. S. Vijayabaskar¹, Jusung Lee³, Eunmin Lee³, Angela Richard-Londt⁴, Mathias Friedrich^{1,5}, Federica Furlanetto⁵, Rocio Fuente¹, Ruby Banerjee¹, Fengtang Yang¹, Frances Law¹, Colin Watts^{2,6}, Roland Rad⁵, George Vassiliou¹, Jong Kyoung Kim³, Thomas Santarius², Sebastian Brandner⁴ and Allan Bradley^{1*}

The original article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-020-02092-2.

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Correction to: Genome Biol 21, 181 (2020) https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-020-02092-2

Following publication of the original paper [1], the authors identified errors the paper.

Under the heading *Whole-Exome Sequencing Reveals The Mutational Landscape* in the results section, the following text has been updated. The updated text is displayed in **bold typeface**.

In contrast to the relatively small number of recurrent mutations, *EGFR*-mutant tumors had complex genomes by DNA copy number analysis (Fig. 2b). Significant focal amplifications and deletions, identified by GISTIC2 35 , were evident in regions with known cancer genes, for example significant focal *Cdkn2a* deletions (GISTIC q-value = 1.39×10^{-5}) were evident and *EGFRvIII* (in *Col1a1* locus, GISTIC q-value = 0.017) was recurrently amplified. Significantly recurrent focal deletions were present in a novel putative glioma driver *Adgrl2* (GISTIC q-value = 2.19×10^{-6} , Additional File 4: Table S3). **Although focal deletions in** *Nlrp1b* were present, recent evidence suggests these represent a strain-specific germline variant rather than being oncogenic [2]. Several of the most significantly mutated genes were also in regions with frequent deletions, including *Trp53*, *Tead2* and *Uimc1*, supporting putative tumor suppressive roles (Fig. 3i).

The caption of Fig. 3 has also been updated. The correct caption is supplied below. The updated text is displayed in **bold typeface**.



© The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*} Correspondence: in1@sanger.ac.uk; abradley@sanger.ac.uk

[†]Jorge de la Rosa and Yoon Ha Choi contributed equally to this work.

¹The Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Wellcome Trust Genome Campus, Hinxton, Cambridgeshire CB10 1SA, UK

Noorani et al. Genome Biology (2020) 21:206 Page 2 of 2

Figure 3. Conditional PiggyBac transposon mutagenesis substitutes for genomic instability in EGFRvIII-mutant gliomas. A. Mouse constructs for PiggyBac transposition. The ATP1-S2 transposon line, with 20 copies per cell. Conditional PiggyBac transposase targeted to Rosa26 (tissue-specific PiggyBac transposase, TSPB), SA = splice acceptor; SD = splice donor; CAG = CAG promoter; SB = Sleeping Beauty; PB = PiggyBac inverted repeats; iPBase = insect version of the *PiggyBac* transposase. The transposon can activate gene transcription if it inserts in the same orientation as the gene, usually in a 5' position. Gene inactivation can occur if the transposon inserts in the body of the gene as a consequence of gene trapping which can occur in either orientation because of the presence of two splice acceptors and bidirectional poly(A) (pA) sites. B. Outline of the experimental design: quadruple transgenic mice conditionally activate EGFRvIII expression and Piggy-Bac transposition in the central nervous system. Resultant tumors are examined molecularly by whole-exome sequencing and mapping of transposon insertions. C. Histology of EGFRvIII-PB tumors; although not statistically significant, a higher proportion of grade IV brain tumors are observed compared with tumors lacking transposition. D. Immunostaining profile of a typical grade III brain glioma from an EGFRvIII-PB mouse, showing strong expression of neural stem and transit-amplifying cell markers. Scale bar corresponds to 2.8 mm for top panel, and 200 µm for all other panels. E. Representative karyotype of EGFRvIII-only and EGFRvIII-PB brain tumors, showing polyploidy in the non-PB tumor. F. Chromosomal aberrations in EGFRvIII-only and EGFRvIII-PB tumors (n = 3 and n = 5tumors respectively; mean chromosomal aberrations 19 vs 6.4, p = 0.013, unpaired twotailed t-test; plots show mean +/- standard deviation). G. Copy number profile of EGFRvIII-PB tumors (n = 20) with focal amplifications and deletions in key genes highlighted. H. Mutational profile of 20 EGFRvIII-PB brain and spinal tumors from whole-exome sequencing. I. Key genes identified in gliomas, either as significantly mutated from MuSiC or copy number altered from GISTIC2, across all mouse brain and spinal tumors in both cohorts (note Nlrp1b deletions are however a germline variant [2]); each column represent one tumor.

In addition, the authors identified an error in the author name of Yoon Ha Choi.

The incorrect author name is: Yoonha Choi

The correct author name is: Yoon Ha Choi

Author details

¹The Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Wellcome Trust Genome Campus, Hinxton, Cambridgeshire CB10 1SA, UK.
²Department of Neurosurgery, Addenbrookes Hospital, University of Cambridge, Hills Road, Cambridge CB2 0QQ, UK.
³Department of New Biology, DGIST, 333, Techno Jungang Daero, Hyeonpung-Myeon, Dalseong-Gun, Daegu 42988, South Korea.
⁴Division of Neuropathology and Department of Neurodegenerative Disease, UCL Institute of Neurology, Queen Square, Mailbox 126, London WC1N 3BG, UK.
⁵Department of Internal Medicine II, Klinikum rechts der Isar, Technische Universität München, Ismaninger Strasse 22, 81675 Munich, Germany.
⁶Birmingham Brain Cancer Program, Institute of Cancer and Genomic Sciences, College of Medical and Dental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK.

Published online: 17 August 2020

References

- Noorani I, de la Rosa J, Choi Y, et al. PiggyBac mutagenesis and exome sequencing identify genetic driver landscapes and potential therapeutic targets of EGFR-mutant gliomas. Genome Biol. 2020;21:181. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-020-02092-2
- Mueller S, et al. Linkage of genetic drivers and strain-specific germline variants confound mouse cancer genome analyses. Nat Commun. 2020; In press.