Air Force Institute of Technology AFIT Scholar

Theses and Dissertations

Student Graduate Works

3-2020

The Connection between Indoor Air Quality and Mental Health Outcomes

William L. Taylor

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholar.afit.edu/etd

Part of the Environmental Health Commons

Recommended Citation

Taylor, William L., "The Connection between Indoor Air Quality and Mental Health Outcomes" (2020). *Theses and Dissertations*. 3259. https://scholar.afit.edu/etd/3259

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Student Graduate Works at AFIT Scholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of AFIT Scholar. For more information, please contact richard.mansfield@afit.edu.



THE CONNECTION BETWEEN INDOOR AIR QUALITY AND MENTAL HEALTH OUTCOMES

THESIS

William L. Taylor, Captain, USAF

AFIT-ENV-MS-20-M-246

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE AIR UNIVERSITY

AIR FORCE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A. APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED. The views expressed in this thesis are those of the author and do not reflect the official policy or position of the United States Air Force, Department of Defense, or the United States Government. This material is declared a work of the U.S. Government and is not subject to copyright protection in the United States.

AFIT-ENV-MS-20-M-246

THE CONNECTION BETWEEN INDOOR AIR QUALITY AND MENTAL HEALTH OUTCOMES

THESIS

Presented to the Faculty

Department of Systems Engineering and Management

Graduate School of Engineering and Management

Air Force Institute of Technology

Air University

Air Education and Training Command

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the

Degree of Master of Science in Engineering Management

William L. Taylor, BS

Captain, USAF

March 2020

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A. APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

AFIT-ENV-MS-20-M-246

THE CONNECTION BETWEEN INDOOR AIR QUALITY AND MENTAL HEALTH OUTCOMES

William L. Taylor, BS

Captain, USAF

Committee Membership:

Lt Col A. J. Hoisington, PhD Chair

Maj Steven J. Schuldt, PhD Member

> Dr. Lisa A. Brenner Member

Abstract

Mental health among United States citizens, military members, and veterans warrant research into factors not fully considered for their effects on mental health. The built environment is increasingly recognized as a potential influence on the mental health of occupants. Specifically, indoor air quality is theorized to contribute to mental illness. Through the development of a literature review, specific air pollutants common in the built environment were identified, and the mechanisms behind their affect on mental health were explored. A model framework is outlined, estimating the number of cases of major depressive disorder attributable to indoor exposure to particulate matter. The model also performs a benefit-cost analysis of different residential filters, outlining which filter is the most financially efficient for the purposes of reducing major depressive disorder outcomes. Finally, a discussion of particulate matter is elaborated, outlining ways in which engineers and architects, as well as homeowners, can decrease particulate matter concentrations indoors.

Acknowledgements

First, I would like to thank my Lord and Savior, Jesus Christ, for His grace in my life. All the glory and honor be to Him. To my wife, Jackie, thank you for bearing with me during these last few months. Your love and support during this process has been crucial to my sanity. Thank you to my advisor, Lt Col Hoisington, for allowing me to study under you. Your passion for this area of research is inspiring, and it made performing the work much more enjoyable. I learned many skills during my studies with you that I will gratefully apply to wherever my career takes me. Thank you to my committee members for your time and efforts in guiding me. Without your help, I would have been stuck on my literature review still. Lastly, a huge thank you to my friends in GEM 20M that made class and studying so enjoyable.

William L. Taylor

Table of Contents

	Page
Abstract	5
Acknowledgements	6
Table of Contents	7
I. Introduction	9
Background	9
Problem Statement	10
Research Objectives	10
The Way Ahead	11
Bibliography	13
II. Literature Review of Indoor Air Quality and Mental Health Outcomes	15
Chapter Overview	15
Publication Intention	15
III. A Framework for Estimating the US Mental Health Burden Attributable to I	ndoor
Fine Particulate Matter Exposure	47
Chapter Overview	47
Publication Intention	47
IV. The Triple Threat of Particulate Matter in the Built Environment	103
Chapter Overview	103
Publication Intention	103
V. Conclusions and Recommendations	110
Conclusions of Research	110

Significance of Research	
Recommendations for Future Research	113

THE CONNECTION BETWEEN INDOOR AIR QUALITY AND MENTAL HEALTH OUTCOMES

I. Introduction

Background

An alarming global trend is the growing problem of mental health, which impacted over 1 billion people in 2016, and led to 7% of all disability adjusted life years [1]. In the United States, mental health disorders cause the highest medical burden of disease, costing \$201 billion in 2013 [2]. United States military members and veterans are victims of mental illness at an even higher rate than their civilian counterparts, committing suicide at 2.1 times the national average, after age adjustments [3]. Amplifying trends in urbanization have resulted in United States citizens spending an average of 93% of their time indoors [4], leading researchers to study factors of the built environment that could be influencing mental health outcomes [5], including indoor air quality [6]. More traditionally thought of as a factor of low self-esteem, physical ailments, and socioeconomic status, among others [7], increasing literature suggests that depression and other mental illnesses could be influenced by environmental factors [8], [9]. Outdoor air quality is already established as a contributor to the physical burden of disease [10], and an expanding amount of research indicates that air pollution has an influence upon mental health outcomes as well [11], [12]. Outdoor air penetrates indoors [13], leading to the possibility that health effects from harmful outdoor air pollutants continue within the confines of the built environment. Evidence exists to suggest that the mental health status of built environment occupants may be influenced by indoor air quality.

Problem Statement

Awareness of mental health conditions and causes continue to rise within the United States population, warranting an exploration into indoor air quality as a potential contributor to mental illness. Currently, only a limited amount of research exists or is being conducted that includes indoor air quality as a contributor to mental illness. The extent to which indoor air quality affects the mental health outcomes of building occupants is unclear. Standards for physical health and safety exist for the built environment but fail to include the impacts that indoor air quality has upon the mental health of the occupants. This lack of knowledge justifies research into the role that indoor air quality may play in mental illness.

Research Objectives

With the understanding that the purpose of this thesis is to determine indoor air pollutants and their propensity to influence mental health, the research objectives for this paper are as follows:

1. Comprehend common indoor air pollutants and the mechanisms behind their effects on mental illness.

2. Synthesize a framework model that is used to estimate the prevalence to which a specific pollutant impacts mental health on a national scale, and perform a cost benefit analysis of filtration methods for preventing mental health outcomes from said pollutant.

10

3. Evaluate information found from the first two objectives for applicability to military specific populations.

The Way Ahead

This thesis will follow a scholarly format, in order to cover a wide range of research objectives. In Chapter 2, "Indoor Air Quality and Mental Health Outcomes," a thorough review of current academic research outlines three common pollutants present in indoor air quality. Sources and composition of each pollutant are outlined, and literature is discussed of each pollutant's influence on physical health, cognitive function, and mental health. Additionally, theorized biological mechanisms behind the pollutants relationship with mental health effects are explored. Chapter 2 concludes with recommendations for further research in this topic. The target journal for this article is Building and Environment.

In Chapter 3, "A Framework for Estimating the US Mental Health Burden Attributable to Indoor Fine Particulate Matter Exposure," a novel method is introduced that estimates cases of depression expected for specific built environment parameters. The model simulates indoor residential particulate matter concentrations through the utilization of Monte Carlo methods. Furthermore, the simulated concentrations are used as inputs in an epidemiological exposure-response function, estimating the number of cases of depression expected at the given concentration. Lastly, the model compares the cost of different residential filters with the avoidance of mental health effects due to indoor particulate matter exposure, generating benefit-to-cost ratio values for different scenarios. A discussion follows, outlining which scenarios show to be favorable to consider the purchase of a higher quality filter, or to utilize a different means of reducing particulate matter indoors. The target journal for this paper is Indoor Air.

Chapter 4, "The Triple Threat of Particulate Matter in the Built Environment," is written to an intended audience of military engineers and building professionals, with the goal of synthesizing the information contained within Chapters 2 and 3 into an abbreviated format. Providing the knowledge learned from this thesis is intended to highlight the impacts indoor air quality may have upon mental health. The target journal for this paper is The Military Engineer. Lastly, Chapter 5 presents conclusions and future work.

Bibliography

- J. Rehm and K. D. Shield, "Global Burden of Disease and the Impact of Mental and Addictive Disorders," Current Psychiatry Reports, vol. 21, no. 2. Current Medicine Group LLC 1, 01-Jan-2019.
- [2] C. Roehrig, "Mental Disorders Top The List Of The Most Costly Conditions In The United States: \$201 Billion," Health Aff., vol. 35, no. 6, pp. 1130–1135, Jun. 2016.
- J. Tsai, M. Snitkin, L. Trevisan, S. W. Kraus, and R. H. Pietrzak, "Awareness of Suicide Prevention Programs Among U.S. Military Veterans," Adm. Policy Ment. Heal. Ment. Heal. Serv. Res., vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 115–125, Jan. 2020.
- [4] J. A. Leech, W. C. Nelson, R. T. Burnett, S. Aaron, and M. E. Raizenne, "It's about time: A comparison of Canadian and American time–activity patterns," J. Expo. Sci. Environ. Epidemiol., vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 427–432, Nov. 2002.
- [5] A. J. Hoisington et al., "Ten questions concerning the built environment and mental health," Build. Environ., vol. 155, no. March, pp. 58–69, 2019.
- [6] C. J. Beemer et al., "A brief review on the mental health for select elements of the built environment," Indoor Built Environ., p. 1420326X1988965, Nov. 2019.
- P. M. Lewinsohn, P. Rohde, and J. R. Seeley, "Major depressive disorder in older adolescents: Prevalence, risk factors, and clinical implications," Clin. Psychol. Rev., vol. 18, no. 7, pp. 765–794, 1998.
- [8] K. S. Kendler, E. E. Walters, M. C. Neale, R. C. Kessler, A. C. Heath, and L. J. Eaves, "The Structure of the Genetic and Environmental Risk Factors for Six Major Psychiatric Disorders in Women: Phobia, Generalized Anxiety Disorder,"

Panic Disorder, Bulimia, Major Depression, and Alcoholism," Arch. Gen. Psychiatry, vol. 52, no. 5, pp. 374–383, 1995.

- [9] K. S. Kendler, C. A. Prescott, J. Myers, and M. C. Neale, "The structure of genetic and environmental risk factors for common psychiatric and substance use disorders in men and women," Arch. Gen. Psychiatry, vol. 60, no. 9, pp. 929–937, Sep. 2003.
- [10] A. J. Cohen et al., "Estimates and 25-year trends of the global burden of disease attributable to ambient air pollution: an analysis of data from the Global Burden of Diseases Study 2015," Lancet, vol. 389, no. 10082, pp. 1907–1918, May 2017.
- [11] X. Gu et al., "Association between particulate matter air pollution and risk of depression and suicide: Systematic review and meta-analysis," British Journal of Psychiatry, vol. 215, no. 2. Cambridge University Press, pp. 456–467, 01-Aug-2019.
- I. Braithwaite, S. Zhang, J. B. Kirkbride, D. P. J. Osborn, and J. F. Hayes, "Air Pollution (Particulate Matter) Exposure and Associations with Depression, Anxiety, Bipolar, Psychosis and Suicide Risk: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis," Environ. Health Perspect., vol. 127, no. 12, p. 126002, 2019.
- [13] M. MacNeill et al., "Quantifying the contribution of ambient and indoorgenerated fine particles to indoor air in residential environments," Indoor Air, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 362–375, Aug. 2014.

14

II. Literature Review of Indoor Air Quality and Mental Health Outcomes

Chapter Overview

The purpose of this chapter is to provide a thorough summary of academic literature pertaining to indoor air quality and mental health. The target audience is building scientists. The article discusses a brief history of indoor air quality, before leading into the main body discussing three common indoor air pollutants: particulate matter, volatile organic compounds, and mold. Each pollutant's impact on physical health, cognitive function, and mental health is summarized, with an exploration into theorized biological mechanisms behind their impact on mental health. The article concludes with recommendations for continuing research on this topic. This chapter provides the bedrock knowledge behind Chapters 3 and 4, establishing the health impacts that are discussed therein.

Publication Intention

Title: Indoor Air Quality and Mental Health Outcomes Publication: Building and Environment

Indoor Air Quality and Mental Health Outcomes

William L. Taylor¹, Andrew J. Hoisington^{1,2,3,4}

¹Department of Systems Engineering and Management, Air Force Institute of

Technology, Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433, USA

²Military and Veteran Microbiome: Consortium for Research and Education (MVM-

CoRE), Denver, CO 80220, USA

³Rocky Mountain Mental Illness Research Education and Clinical Center (MIRECC),

Denver Veterans Affairs Medical Center (VAMC), Denver, CO 80220, USA

⁴Departments of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Psychiatry, & Neurology,

University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO 80045, USA

Abstract

In the United States, nearly one in five adults live with a mental illness, and 44,000 individuals committed suicide in 2016. Neuropsychiatric disorders trail only cancer and cardiovascular diseases as the highest cause of disability adjusted life years in Europe. Such profound health outcomes drive a need for greater understanding of mechanisms that might influence mental health, including possibly the built environment. Particulate matter is generated by common household activities like smoking, cooking, and burning candles or incense. Studies of outdoor particulates have shown that individuals have an increased risk of suicide when exposed to increased levels of particulate matter. This opens the possibility that indoor-generated particulate matter could have an affect on mental health outcomes as well. Additionally, the location of a residence can strongly influence particulate matter concentrations within the home, with homes within 100 meters of a busy roadway having increased concentrations. Volatile organic compounds are emitted by many sources within the built environment, and can affect physical, cognitive, and mental health outcomes. Finally, biological contaminants resulting from water damage in a residence can also have harmful health repercussions. Oxidative stress is hypothesized as the mechanism behind exposure to these contaminants and their affect on mental health, and will be explored in this review. The literature surrounding the physical health effects of indoor air quality is thorough and well studied, yet the connection to mental health is lacking. Future studies of indoor air quality should seek to identify factors that have a direct impact on mental health.

17

Introduction

In the United States, nearly one in five adults live with a mental illness [1]. Individuals with a mental illness have higher odds of committing suicide [2], with 47,173 individuals committing suicide in 2017 [3]. The World Health Organization estimates that 13.6% of disability adjusted life years in the United States are caused by mental illness [4]. Commonly cited influences of mental illnesses include: genetics, brain injury, prenatal damage, substance abuse, trauma, and social problems [5]. One factor not normally considered is the built environment's potential influence. The built environment is the physical aspects of where human beings live, work, and perform activities on a daily basis [6]. North Americans spend approximately 93% of their time indoors [7]. Such a large quantity of time spent in the built environment may influence mental health outcomes as well as physical health and cognitive function. As outlined by Beemer et al. (2019), specific factors of the built environment theorized to impact occupant mental health include personal control, lighting, and indoor air quality [8]. However, due to more research on outdoor air quality than indoor air quality, a review on that literature is warranted.

Previous research concerning outdoor and indoor air quality focused more on physical health impacts rather than mental health impacts. Most air quality research prior to the 18th century was backed by incomplete scientific reasoning that did not understand at that time what different forms of pollutants were [9]. But in 1781, Antoine Lavoisier discovered the role of oxygen to the human body, helping researchers understand that air was composed of multiple substances, and not all of them were necessary for life [9]. A century later, Elias Heyman, a Swedish professor in hygiene, performed ventilation experiments, arriving at the opinion that ventilation was a necessary feature in buildings in order for occupants to remain healthy [9]. Concerns regarding outdoor air pollution began rising in the mid 1900s, prompting Congress to enact the Air Pollution Control Act in 1955, which provided money for research in air pollution [10]. The Clean Air Act in 1963 added more money for research, but more specifically into ways to monitor and control air pollution. The 1970 Clean Air Act allowed the enforcement of new air quality standards, through a new arm of the government, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) [10]. As knowledge about outdoor air increases, the EPA updates its standards [10]. However, the EPA has not set standards for indoor air quality.

The sources of indoor air pollutants [11]–[13] depend on the individual characteristics of the building as well as the occupants' habits. Preliminary results from Beemer et al (2019) highlighted potential pollutants that may affect mental health: particulate matter (PM), common volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and biological pollutants due to water damage (i.e. mold) [14]. Each of these pollutants may have an effect on mental health through a direct effect as result of exposure. Two theorized mechanisms behind the effect on mental health are oxidative stress and chronic inflammation [15]. Oxidative stress is an imbalance of free radicals within the human body, and air pollutants can contribute to that imbalance [16]. Oxidative stress has been linked to depression [17]. Chronic inflammation can be exacerbated by air pollution [18], [19], and inflammation is a known contributor to depression and other mental health outcomes [20]. Additionally, PM, VOCs, and mold may have an indirect effect on mental health through poor physical condition induced by the pollutants, and reduced cognitive state induced by the pollutants. Although the focus of this paper is mental health, poor

physical health is an established contributor to depression [21] and anxiety [22], and reduced cognitive state is a known contributor to depression and other mental health outcomes [23]. The purpose of this paper is to summarize the literature surrounding indoor air quality and how it relates to mental health.



Figure 1 – Indoor air quality pathways leading to mental health effects 1. Particulate Matter

Outdoor particulate matter (PM) is one of the EPA's six criteria pollutants, meaning it is regulated as a National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) from the Clean Air Act. The EPA distinguishes PM in two categories based upon nominal size, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}. PM₁₀ includes all particles under 10 micrometers in diameter, while PM_{2.5} contains particles under 2.5 micrometers in diameter [24]. A third size range not recognized by the EPA, but widely cited in scientific literature, is ultrafine particulate matter (PM_{0.1}) consisting of all particles with a diameter of 0.1 micrometers or smaller [25]. The physical constituents contained in particulate matter are a function of the particle sizes and source. For particle size, researchers have characterized based on the three particle groups listed above. For example, PM₁₀ contains smoke, soil, dust, sea spray, combustion generated, pollen, mold, and spores [26]; PM_{2.5} mostly contains particles generated by combustion [26]; and PM_{0.1} mainly consists of sulphates, nitrates, organic carbons, and elemental carbons [26]. PM composition by emission can vary over time [27]. Indeed, a research team identified soil, sea, traffic, heavy oil combustion, and secondary particles as sources of ambient PM generation in Genoa, Italy, with concentrations varying depending on sample location [27]. Both composition and concentration variances were similarly observed in Beijing and Granada, with results specific to location. These studies highlight how PM composition and concentration can differ, based on sources as well as the time of day that sampling occurred [28], [29].

Proximity to Roads & Greenspace

Urban and suburban residents may be exposed to higher concentrations of PM due to their residence location, primarily due to the increased amounts of vehicular traffic [30]. For example, wind speed and direction are highly influential on $PM_{0.1}$ concentration at specific sampling locations [31] and vehicular exhaust is the largest contributor to $PM_{0.1}$ concentration near major highways, decaying exponentially with distance away from the road [30]. This is consistent with a study comparing high schools in urban and suburban neighborhoods, in which outdoor $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations were found to be significantly higher in the urban schools due to nearby vehicular traffic levels. Additionally, the outdoor $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations were significantly correlated with the numbers of trucks and buses per hour that drove past the schools, showing again that vehicular exhaust is a major contributor to PM concentrations [32]. PM concentrations can be lowered through the implementation of green space within cities, as a result of PM depositing on trees and foliage. Amount of pollution removed is dependent on the vegetation structure and composition of the green space [33]. While green space is shown to be correlated with reduced mortality rates [34], that result is likely confounded by socioeconomic factors. Research has shown that green space removes approximately 7-10% of air pollution [35], [36], suggesting a positive impact on air quality and related health of nearby residents.

Relationship between Indoor/Outdoor (I/O) Particle Concentrations

Previous studies on mental health outcomes in relation to particulates measured outdoor pollutant concentrations. Outdoor particulate monitoring stations provide a regional input on concentrations. Although accurate for those areas measured, outdoor monitors neglects the possibility that the study population may be subject to different concentrations within the built environment. Factors affecting the I/O ratio include building height, floor height, building width and geometric configuration [37], as well as temperature and humidity [38]. A meta analysis of 38 indoor air studies observed the I/O ratio of PM_{2.5} was greater than 1.0. This suggests that airborne particles may be more concentrated within the built environment, which could be resulting in more adverse health effects than are currently estimated [39].

While more efficient filters can reduce the amount of PM entering the indoors via the HVAC system [40], PM concentrations are still increased through common household activities like cooking, smoking, cleaning, and general activity [41]. Smoking is

22

especially impactful, even for residents who are not the actual smoker. Smoking indoors can raise $PM_{2.5}$ levels to more than three times higher than the World Health Organization's recommended limit of 10 micrograms per cubic meter [42].

Health Effects of Particulate Matter

Different sizes of PM will accumulate in separate areas of the human body. $PM_{0.1}$ penetrates into the alveoli and terminal bronchioles and can cross the air-blood barrier. $PM_{2.5}$ also penetrates into the alveoli and terminal bronchioles, but does not cross the air-blood barrier. PM_{10} primarily deposits into the primary bronchi. Particles larger than PM_{10} will deposit into the nasopharynx [26]. PM can accumulate in the brain, but the research discussing the health effects it may have from as a result of that accumulation is limited [43]–[45].



Figure 2 – Particulate Matter deposition locations within human body

Credit: Leon Calvetti, Getty Images

PM exposure can lead to physical, cognitive, and mental health effects. While the relationship between indoor air quality and mental health is the focus of this paper, the physical and cognitive effects of poor indoor air quality can subsequently lead to mental health effects.

A growing body of research connects PM exposure to negative mental health outcomes. Kim et al. (2010) showed that increases in outdoor $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} concentrations increased risk of suicide completion by 9% and 10.1%, respectively [46]. Bakian et al. (2015) observed evidence of increased suicide risk due to short-term PM exposure, with interquartile range increases in PM_{2.5} increasing risk of suicide by 5% [47]. PM exposure was also correlated with depression, particularly in individuals already suffering from a chronic illness, such as cardiovascular disease or diabetes [48]-[52]. Increased PM concentrations are theorized to aggravate chronic diseases and inflammation, as well as increase oxidative stress, leading to heightened depression and suicide risk [46], [53]. As part of the Nurses Health Study, researchers studied the effect that PM levels have upon anxiety. Nurses reported increased levels of anxiety in accordance with increased levels of PM exposure [15]. Results from the Nurses Health Study was consistent with results from Pun et al. (2017), who found that anxiety symptoms were a significant outcome among individuals exposed to higher levels of PM, specifically those of low socioeconomic status or suffering from a chronic illness [53].

PM has been more linked in previous research to physical health effects, mainly respiratory issues. Although the focus of this paper is mental health, poor physical health is an established contributor to depression [21] and anxiety [22]. Comprehensive view of

physical illnesses due to PM exposure has been previously summarized [25], including specific impacts to asthma [54], [55].

PM exposure can also have negative cognitive health outcomes, and contribute to mental health outcomes [23]. By aiding in the development of cognitive health effects, PM exposure is indirectly affecting mental health. For information regarding PM exposure related to reduced cognitive functions has been reviewed by others [56]–[59]. Specifically, the relationship between PM exposure and Alzheimer's disease has been thoroughly reviewed [60].

2. Volatile Organic Compounds

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) are chemical substances, which can evaporate under normal indoor atmospheric pressure and temperature due to their low boiling points [61]. VOCs are prevalent throughout the indoor and outdoor environment, since they are components in products, and are produced by combustion and other manufacturing processes [62], [63]. Ambient outdoor levels of VOCs are higher than recommended EPA standards in certain cities, posing health threats to individuals [64], [65].

In 1990, the EPA made amendments to the Clean Air Act [66], which aided in decreasing specific VOC concentrations outdoors, and as such decreasing concentrations indoors [67]. The implementation of the 1990 amendment decreased concentrations of select VOCs to half of 1987 concentrations observed in the EPA TEAM study [68]. Although VOC concentrations can be as much as 10 times higher indoors than outdoors [68], the CDC reports smoking trends in the United States are down to 14.0% of adults in 2017, from 20.9% in 2005 [69]. This suggests a possible decline in indoor VOC

concentrations associated with smoking due to an overall reduction in the smoking population. However, E-cigarette use has sharply risen in the past decade [70], and studies show that they too can expose the user to hazardous levels of VOCs [71]–[73]. Cleaning products can also be a source of VOCs. While the total volume of contaminants given off may be low, cleaning products are harmful specifically due to the concentration in which users inhale them, since they are largely used indoors and in close proximity. Cleaning products ingredients may also react with ozone or other contaminants in the ambient air to create secondary pollutants [74].

Health Effects of Volatile Organic Compounds

VOCs do not accumulate within the human body due to their typically short half lives [75]. VOCs are instead theorized to cause negative health effects through oxidative stress, as reported in a South Korean study that confirmed higher levels of oxidative stress markers in individuals exposed to VOCs [76]. The following section of this paper will discuss the known mental, physical, and cognitive health effects that VOCs may cause in humans.

Only a few studies have connected VOC exposure directly to mental health outcomes. An animal study showed VOCs correlating with behavioral impairment [77], which is consistent with the limited human studies performed [78], [79]. These studies assessed the toxicity of common indoor VOCs, with behavioral impairment and anxiety being side effects, hypothesized to be caused by oxidative stress [78], [79]. Additionally, VOCs emissions from commercial swine operations have been shown to significantly correlate with increased tension, anger, and depression in individuals residing near the site of operations [80]. Increased VOC concentrations after an office renovation caused panic attacks in one office worker [81]. Workers exposed to VOCs in the form of solvents had a 71% chance of testing for a psychiatric disorder, compared to 10% of those not exposed [82].

VOCs have been more researched in relation to physical health effects, mainly respiratory issues. By causing negative physical health outcomes, respiratory and other physical illnesses from VOC may be indirectly affecting mental health [21], [22]. Comprehensive discussions have been conducted previously on the connection between physical health and VOCs, namely, respiratory illnesses [83]–[85] and fetal development [86].

Specific VOCs have been studied to assess their affect on cognitive performance. For example, long-term exposure to formaldehyde and benzene decreased cognitive test scores in elderly adults [87], as well as animals [88]–[90]. Additionally, a study involving histology technicians revealed formaldehyde exposure corresponded to reduced memory function and reaction times, with the effects persisting for days after exposure [91]. Chronic petrol sniffing has been shown to expose individuals to high levels of VOCs, including benzene. Individuals engaging in this activity showed worse visual attention, recognition, memory, and learning measures [92]. Mechanistically, animals exposed to benzene showed inhibition of a specific enzyme in the brain; interestingly, the same enzyme has been associated with Alzheimer's disease [93].

3. Mold

Mold is a type of fungus, of which there are 300 known pathogens to humans [94]. The most common varieties found indoors and that may result in health effects of building occupants include Alternaria, Aspergillus, Cladosporium, Penicillium, and Stachybotrys [95]–[98]. This section will refer to all molds collectively as a potential hazard to human health but recognizes that many varieties are harmless. Sources

Mold exists as spores, present in the ambient air, but only begins to grow when exposed to adequate amounts of water [99]. Increased water levels occur after natural disasters like hurricane or flooding events, giving the mold adequate moisture to grow in the built environment. Infections or other health effects due to mold also may increase after disasters like tornados or earthquakes, or even routine construction. These events may cause mold spores to be displaced from the location from which they had minimal effect on humans, becoming airborne, where they may be inhaled by those individuals in close proximity [100]. For example, mold sampling efforts two months after Hurricane Katrina in New Orleans revealed that mold concentrations in flooded areas were double those of non-flooded areas of the city. Furthermore, mold concentrations were highest indoors, up to 645,000 spores/m3 [101], and an estimated 46% of the homes in New Orleans had some mold growth after the hurricane [102].

Health Effects of Mold

Mold has been reported to affect human health in two ways: causing either an under reaction to exposure, or an over reaction to exposure. An under reaction to mold exposure will result in the immune system failing to recognize the harm mold can cause, allowing sufficient quantities of the mold to enter the body and cause an infection. Contrarily, an over reaction to exposure will result in an inflammatory response, leading to inflammatory diseases [103]. Mold is most dangerous to immunocompromised individuals, such as children, elderly, or those with pre-existing respiratory issues [104]. Mold exposure may also cause oxidative stress in exposed individuals [105]. The following section of this paper will discuss the known mental, physical, and cognitive health effects that mold may cause in humans.

Similar to VOCs, only a small amount of research has connected mold directly to mental health outcomes. There is some evidence that mold directly impacts mental health, independent of physical illness or loss of control [106]. Additional research found the effects of mold exposure similar to those of individuals with mild traumatic brain injuries, with most of the patients exhibiting acute or post traumatic stress symptoms, as well as moderate to severe depression [107], [108].

Mold has a well-established relationship with respiratory diseases, especially asthma. Although the focus of this paper is mental health, poor physical health is an established contributor to depression [21] and anxiety [22]. By causing negative physical health outcomes, respiratory and other physical illnesses from mold exposure are indirectly affecting mental health. Comprehensive views of physical illnesses due to mold exposure have been summarized by others in relation to asthma [109]–[112] and skin inflammation [113], [114].

While not as thoroughly established as the relationship between mold and physical health, a growing body of research is connecting mold to negative cognitive outcomes. By aiding in the development of cognitive health effects, mold exposure is indirectly affecting mental health. For information regarding mold exposure related to reduced cognitive functions, please reference the following studies [107], [115]–[118]. **Conclusion**

29

The literature references highlighted in this paper highlight the argument that indoor air quality has a significant impact upon mental health outcomes, through three possible channels: indoor air quality's direct effect on mental health, indoor air quality's indirect effect on mental health through poor physical condition, and indoor air quality's indirect effect on mental health through reduced cognitive state. Continued research should focus on which aspects of the built environment affect indoor air quality the most and how engineers and architects can change existing design guides to promote positive mental health outcomes. Future work needs to be done collaboratively across engineering, psychology, and medicine disciplines to bring awareness to the effects that indoor air quality has on mental health, and how certain lifestyle changes can improve those outcomes. Presenting a large enough amount of evidence to federal authorities may encourage the implementation of indoor air quality standards, improving mental health outcomes across all populations.

Bibliography

- K. R. Merikangas et al., "Lifetime prevalence of mental disorders in U.S. adolescents: results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication- Adolescent Supplement (NCS-A).," J. Am. Acad. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry, vol. 49, no. 10, pp. 980–9, Oct. 2010.
- K. Hawton, C. Casañas I Comabella, C. Haw, and K. Saunders, "Risk factors for suicide in individuals with depression: A systematic review," Journal of Affective Disorders, vol. 147, no. 1–3. pp. 17–28, May-2013.
- [3] "Suicide Statistics AFSP." [Online]. Available: https://afsp.org/aboutsuicide/suicide-statistics/. [Accessed: 15-Apr-2019].
- [4] "NIMH » U.S. DALYs Contributed by Mental and Behavioral Disorders."
 [Online]. Available: https://www.nimh.nih.gov/health/statistics/disability/usdalys-contributed-by-mental-and-behavioral-disorders.shtml. [Accessed: 15-Apr-2019].
- [5] "Causes of Mental Illness." [Online]. Available: https://www.webmd.com/mentalhealth/mental-health-causes-mental-illness#1. [Accessed: 15-Apr-2019].
- [6] "Healthy Community Design Fact Sheet Series: Impact of the Built Environment on Health."
- J. A. Leech, W. C. Nelson, R. T. Burnett, S. Aaron, and M. E. Raizenne, "It's about time: A comparison of Canadian and American time–activity patterns," J. Expo. Sci. Environ. Epidemiol., vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 427–432, Nov. 2002.
- [8] C. J. Beemer et al., "A brief review on the mental health for select elements of the built environment," Indoor Built Environ., p. 1420326X1988965, Nov. 2019.

- [9] J. Sundell, "On the history of indoor air quality and health," Indoor Air, vol. 14, no. s7, pp. 51–58, Aug. 2004.
- [10] O. US EPA, "Evolution of the Clean Air Act." [Online]. Available: https://www.epa.gov/clean-air-act-overview/evolution-clean-air-act. [Accessed: 17-Apr-2019].
- [11] I. US EPA, OAR, ORIA, "Introduction to Indoor Air Quality." [Online].
 Available: https://www.epa.gov/indoor-air-quality-iaq/introduction-indoor-air-quality. [Accessed: 20-Apr-2019].
- [12] A. Schilmann and H. Riojas-Rodriguez, "Respiratory health effects of indoor air pollution," Int. J. Tuberc. Lung Dis., vol. 14, no. 9, pp. 1079–1086, 2010.
- [13] J. (Jim) Zhang and K. R. Smith, "Indoor air pollution: a global health concern," Br. Med. Bull., vol. 68, no. 1, pp. 209–225, Dec. 2003.
- [14] C. J. Beemer, "AN ANALYSIS OF BUILT ENVIRONMENT FACTORS IN RESIDENCES AND THE ASSOCIATED EFFECTS ON MENTAL HEALTH SYMPTOMS OF UNITED STATES VETERANS."
- [15] M. C. Power, M.-A. Kioumourtzoglou, J. E. Hart, O. I. Okereke, F. Laden, and M. G. Weisskopf, "The relation between past exposure to fine particulate air pollution and prevalent anxiety: observational cohort study," BMJ, vol. 350, p. h1111, Mar. 2015.
- [16] L. A. Pham-Huy, H. He, and C. Pham-Huy, "Free radicals, antioxidants in disease and health.," Int. J. Biomed. Sci., vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 89–96, Jun. 2008.

- [17] C. N. Black, M. Bot, P. G. Scheffer, P. Cuijpers, and B. W. J. H. Penninx, "Is depression associated with increased oxidative stress? A systematic review and meta-analysis," Psychoneuroendocrinology, vol. 51, pp. 164–175, Jan. 2015.
- [18] L. Calderón-Garcidueñas et al., "Long-term Air Pollution Exposure Is Associated with Neuroinflammation, an Altered Innate Immune Response, Disruption of the Blood-Brain Barrier, Ultrafine Particulate Deposition, and Accumulation of Amyloid β-42 and α-Synuclein in Children and Young Adult," Toxicol. Pathol., vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 289–310, Feb. 2008.
- [19] L. Calderón-Garcidueñas et al., "Immunotoxicity and Environment: Immunodysregulation and Systemic Inflammation in Children," Toxicol. Pathol., vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 161–169, Feb. 2009.
- [20] H. Anisman and S. Hayley, "Inflammatory Factors Contribute to Depression and Its Comorbid Conditions," Sci. Signal., vol. 5, no. 244, pp. pe45–pe45, Oct. 2012.
- [21] S. W. Geerlings, " A T F Beekman, D. J. H. Deeg, and Mon W Van Tilburg,
 "Physical health and the onset and persistence of depression in older adults : an eight-wave prospective community-based study," Psychol. Med., vol. 30, pp. 369–380, 2000.
- [22] R. D. Goodwin and D. S. Pine, "Respiratory Disease and Panic Attacks Among Adults in the United States*," CHEST J., vol. 122, pp. 645–650, 2002.
- [23] L. M. McDermott and K. P. Ebmeier, "A meta-analysis of depression severity and cognitive function," J. Affect. Disord., vol. 119, no. 1–3, pp. 1–8, Dec. 2009.

- [24] O. US EPA, "Particulate Matter (PM) Basics." [Online]. Available: https://www.epa.gov/pm-pollution/particulate-matter-pm-basics#PM. [Accessed: 15-Apr-2019].
- [25] K. Donaldson, V. Stone, A. Clouter, L. Renwick, and W. MacNee, "Ultrafine particles," Occupational and Environmental Medicine, vol. 58, no. 3. pp. 211– 216, 2001.
- [26] F. J. Kelly and J. C. Fussell, "Size, source and chemical composition as determinants of toxicity attributable to ambient particulate matter," Atmos. Environ., vol. 60, pp. 504–526, Dec. 2012.
- [27] F. Mazzei et al., "Characterization of particulate matter sources in an urban environment," Sci. Total Environ., vol. 401, no. 1–3, pp. 81–89, 2008.
- [28] J. Duan, J. Tan, S. Wang, J. Hao, and F. Chai, "Size distributions and sources of elements in particulate matter at curbside, urban and rural sites in Beijing," J. Environ. Sci., vol. 2012, no. 1, pp. 87–94.
- [29] G. Titos, H. Lyamani, M. Pandolfi, A. Alastuey, and L. Alados-Arboledas,
 "Identification of fine (PM 1) and coarse (PM 10-1) sources of particulate matter in an urban environment," Atmos. Environ., vol. 89, no. July 2018, pp. 593–602, 2014.
- [30] Y. Zhu, W. C. Hinds, S. Kim, and C. Sioutas, "Concentration and Size Distribution of Ultrafine Particles Near a Major Highway," J. Air Waste Manage. Assoc., vol. 52, no. 9, pp. 1032–1042, Sep. 2002.
- [31] J. Pey, X. Querol, A. Alastuey, S. Rodríguez, J. Philippe Putaud, and R. Van Dingenen, "Source apportionment of urban fine and ultra-fine particle number

concentration in a Western Mediterranean city," Atmos. Environ., vol. 43, no. 29, pp. 4407–4415, 2009.

- [32] M. M. Patel et al., "Spatial and Temporal Variations in Traffic-related Particulate Matter at New York City High Schools.," Atmos. Environ. (1994)., vol. 43, no. 32, pp. 4975–4981, Oct. 2009.
- [33] J. Vieira et al., "Green spaces are not all the same for the provision of air purification and climate regulation services: The case of urban parks," Environ. Res., vol. 160, pp. 306–313, Jan. 2018.
- [34] M. J. Nieuwenhuijsen et al., "Air pollution, noise, blue space, and green space and premature mortality in Barcelona: A mega cohort," Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health, vol. 15, no. 11, Nov. 2018.
- [35] S. Yin, Z. Shen, P. Zhou, X. Zou, S. Che, and W. Wang, "Quantifying air pollution attenuation within urban parks: An experimental approach in Shanghai, China," Environ. Pollut., vol. 159, no. 8–9, pp. 2155–2163, Aug. 2011.
- [36] W. Selmi, C. Weber, E. Rivière, N. Blond, L. Mehdi, and D. Nowak, "Air pollution removal by trees in public green spaces in Strasbourg city, France," Urban For. Urban Green., vol. 17, pp. 192–201, 2016.
- [37] M. K. K. Lai and A. T. Y. Chan, "Large-Eddy Simulations on Indoor/Outdoor Air Quality Relationship in an Isolated Urban Building," J. Eng. Mech., vol. 133, no. 8, pp. 887–898, Aug. 2007.
- [38] Y. Lv, H. Wang, S. Wei, L. Zhang, and Q. Zhao, "The Correlation between Indoor and Outdoor Particulate Matter of Different Building Types in Daqing, China," in Procedia Engineering, 2017, vol. 205, pp. 360–367.
- [39] C. Chen and B. Zhao, "Review of relationship between indoor and outdoor particles: I/O ratio, infiltration factor and penetration factor," Atmos. Environ., vol. 45, pp. 275–288, 2011.
- [40] P. Azimi, D. Zhao, and B. Stephens, "Estimates of HVAC filtration efficiency for fine and ultrafine particles of outdoor origin," Atmos. Environ., vol. 98, pp. 337– 346, 2014.
- [41] N. Jones, C. Thornton, D. Mark, and R. Harrison, "Indoor/outdoor relationships of particulate matter in domestic homes with roadside, urban and rural locations," Atmos. Environ., vol. 34, no. 16, pp. 2603–2612, Jan. 2000.
- [42] S. Semple, A. Apsley, T. Azmina Ibrahim, S. W. Turner, and J. W. Cherrie, "Fine particulate matter concentrations in smoking households: just how much secondhand smoke do you breathe in if you live with a smoker who smokes indoors?," Tob. Control, vol. 24, pp. 205–211, 2015.
- [43] R. G. Lucchini, D. C. Dorman, A. Elder, and B. Veronesi, "Neurological impacts from inhalation of pollutants and the nose-brain connection.," Neurotoxicology, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 838–41, Aug. 2012.
- [44] H. Tjälve and J. Henriksson, "Uptake of metals in the brain via olfactory pathways.," Neurotoxicology, vol. 20, no. 2–3, pp. 181–95, 1999.
- [45] H. Shiga, J. Taki, K. Washiyama, and O. Matsui, "Evaluation of the Olfactory Nerve Transport Function by SPECT-MRI Fusion Image with Nasal Thallium-201 Administration Olfacto-scintigraphy View project," Mol. Imaging Biol., vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 1262–1266, 2011.

- [46] C. Kim et al., "Ambient Particulate Matter as a Risk Factor for Suicide," Am. J.Psychiatry, vol. 167, no. 9, pp. 1100–1107, Sep. 2010.
- [47] A. V. Bakian et al., "Acute Air Pollution Exposure and Risk of Suicide Completion," Am. J. Epidemiol., vol. 181, no. 5, pp. 295–303, Mar. 2015.
- [48] M. Szyszkowicz, B. H. Rowe, and I. Colman, "Air Pollution and Daily Emergency Department Visits for Depression," Int. J. Occup. Med. Environ. Health, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 355–362, 2009.
- [49] K.-N. Kim, Y.-H. Lim, H. J. Bae, M. Kim, K. Jung, and Y.-C. Hong, "Long-Term Fine Particulate Matter Exposure and Major Depressive Disorder in a Community-Based Urban Cohort," Environ. Health Perspect., vol. 124, no. 10, pp. 1547–1553, Oct. 2016.
- [50] R. D. Brook et al., "Long-Term Fine Particulate Matter Exposure and Mortality From Diabetes in Canada," Diabetes Care, vol. 36, no. 10, pp. 3313–3320, Oct. 2013.
- [51] J. Cho et al., "Air pollution as a risk factor for depressive episode in patients with cardiovascular disease, diabetes mellitus, or asthma," J. Affect. Disord., vol. 157, pp. 45–51, Mar. 2014.
- [52] Y.-H. Lim, H. Kim, J. H. Kim, S. Bae, H. Y. Park, and Y.-C. Hong, "Air Pollution and Symptoms of Depression in Elderly Adults," Environ. Health Perspect., vol. 120, no. 7, pp. 1023–1028, Jul. 2012.
- [53] V. C. Pun, J. Manjourides, and H. Suh, "Association of ambient air pollution with depressive and anxiety symptoms in older adults: Results from the NSHAP study," Environ. Health Perspect., vol. 125, no. 3, pp. 342–348, 2017.

- [54] M. Lin, Y. Chen, R. T. Burnett, P. J. Villeneuve, and D. Krewski, "The Influence of Ambient Coarse Particulate Matter on Asthma Hospitalization in Children: Case-Crossover and Time-Series Analyses," Environ. Heal. Perspect. •, vol. 110, no. 6, 2002.
- [55] P. T. Nastos, A. G. Paliatsos, M. B. Anthracopoulos, E. S. Roma, and K. N.
 Priftis, "Outdoor particulate matter and childhood asthma admissions in Athens, Greece: a time-series study," Environ. Heal., vol. 9, no. 1, p. 45, Dec. 2010.
- [56] L. K. Fonken et al., "Air pollution impairs cognition, provokes depressive-like behaviors and alters hippocampal cytokine expression and morphology," Mol. Psychiatry, vol. 16, no. 10, pp. 987–995, Oct. 2011.
- [57] L. G. Costa, T. B. Cole, J. Coburn, Y.-C. Chang, K. Dao, and P. J. Roqué,
 "Neurotoxicity of traffic-related air pollution.," Neurotoxicology, vol. 59, pp. 133–139, 2017.
- [58] U. Ranft, T. Schikowski, D. Sugiri, J. Krutmann, U. Krämer, and K. Krämer,
 "Long-term exposure to traffic-related particulate matter impairs cognitive function in the elderly," Environ. Res., vol. 109, no. 8, pp. 1004–1011, 2009.
- [59] J. Weuve, R. C. Puett, J. Schwartz, J. D. Yanosky, F. Laden, and F. Grodstein,
 "Exposure to Particulate Air Pollution and Cognitive Decline in Older Women,"
 Arch. Intern. Med., vol. 172, no. 3, p. 219, Feb. 2012.
- [60] L. Calder'on et al., "Brain Inflammation and Alzheimer's-Like Pathology in Individuals Exposed to Severe Air Pollution," Toxicol. Pathol., vol. 32, pp. 650– 658, 2004.

- [61] O. US EPA, "Technical Overview of Volatile Organic Compounds." [Online]. Available: https://www.epa.gov/indoor-air-quality-iaq/technical-overviewvolatile-organic-compounds#5. [Accessed: 22-Apr-2019].
- [62] J. M. American Chemical Society., R. Delgado, and J. Calbó, Environmental science & amp; technology., vol. 32, no. 3. American Chemical Society, 1967.
- [63] A. Srivastava, B. Sengupta, and S. A. Dutta, "Source apportionment of ambient VOCs in Delhi City," Sci. Total Environ., vol. 343, no. 1–3, pp. 207–220, May 2005.
- [64] M. I. Khoder, "Ambient levels of volatile organic compounds in the atmosphere of Greater Cairo," Atmos. Environ., vol. 41, pp. 554–566, 2007.
- [65] I. L. Gee and C. J. Sollars, "Ambient air levels of volatile organic compounds in Latin American and Asian cities," Chemosphere, vol. 36, no. 11, pp. 2497–2506, May 1998.
- [66] "Clean Air Act Environmental Law." [Online]. Available: https://environmentallaw.uslegal.com/federal-laws/clean-air-act/. [Accessed: 03-Jun-2019].
- [67] A. T. Hodgson and H. Levin, "Volatile Organic Compounds in Indoor Air: A Review of Concentrations Measured in North America Since 1990," 2003.
- [68] L. A. Wallace et al., "The TEAM Study: Personal Exposures to Toxic Substances in Air, Drinking Water, and Breath of 400 Residents of New Jersey, North Carolina, and North Dakota," Environ. Res., vol. 43, pp. 290–307, 1987.
- [69] "Current Cigarette Smoking Among Adults in the United States | CDC." [Online].Available:

https://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data_statistics/fact_sheets/adult_data/cig_smoking/i ndex.htm. [Accessed: 03-Jun-2019].

- [70] A. S. Gentzke et al., "Vital Signs: Tobacco Product Use Among Middle and High School Students — United States, 2011–2018," MMWR. Morb. Mortal. Wkly.
 Rep., vol. 68, no. 6, pp. 157–164, Feb. 2019.
- [71] A. Khlystov and V. Samburova, "Flavoring Compounds Dominate Toxic
 Aldehyde Production during E-Cigarette Vaping," Environ. Sci. Technol., vol. 50, no. 23, pp. 13080–13085, 2016.
- [72] M.-S. Lee, R. F. LeBouf, Y.-S. Son, P. Koutrakis, and D. C. Christiani, "Nicotine, aerosol particles, carbonyls and volatile organic compounds in tobacco- and menthol-flavored e-cigarettes," Environ. Heal., vol. 16, no. 1, p. 42, Dec. 2017.
- [73] W. Schober et al., "Use of electronic cigarettes (e-cigarettes) impairs indoor air quality and increases FeNO levels of e-cigarette consumers," Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health, vol. 217, no. 6, pp. 628–637, Jul. 2014.
- [74] W. W. Nazaroff and C. J. Weschler, "Cleaning products and air fresheners: exposure to primary and secondary air pollutants ARTICLE IN PRESS," Atmos. Environ., vol. 38, pp. 2841–2865, 2004.
- [75] D. L. Ashley, M. A. Bonin, F. L. Cardinali, J. M. Mccraw, and J. V Wooten,
 "Blood Concentrations of Volatile Organic Compounds in a Nonoccupationally
 Exposed US Population and in Groups with Suspected Exposure," Clin. Chem.,
 vol. 40, no. 7, p. 1401, 1994.

- [76] J. H. Kim, J. Y. Moon, E.-Y. Park, K.-H. Lee, and Y.-C. Hong, "Changes in oxidative stress biomarker and gene expression levels in workers exposed to volatile organic compounds.," Ind. Health, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 8–14, 2011.
- [77] F. Wang, C. Li, W. Liu, and Y. Jin, "Potential mechanisms of neurobehavioral disturbances in mice caused by sub-chronic exposure to low-dose VOCs," Inhal. Toxicol., vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 250–258, Mar. 2014.
- [78] K. Fournier, E. Baumont, P. Glorennec, and N. Bonvallot, "Relative toxicity for indoor semi volatile organic compounds based on neuronal death," Toxicol. Lett., p. 279, 2017.
- [79] M. Pelletier et al., "Chemical-by-chemical and cumulative risk assessment of residential indoor exposure to semivolatile organic compounds in France," Environ. Int., vol. 117, pp. 22–32, 2018.
- [80] S. S. Schiffman, E. A. Saltely, M. S. Suggs, and B. G. Graham, "The Effect of Environmental Odors Emanating From Commercial Swine Operations on the Mood of Nearby Residents," Brain Res. Bull., vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 369–375, 1995.
- [81] A. Reinhartz, "Cognitive impairment and olfactory panic from occupational exposure to VOCs," Am. J. Ind. Med., vol. 49, no. 10, pp. 862–864, Oct. 2006.
- [82] L. A. Morrow, C. Gibson, G. R. Bagovich, L. Stein, R. Condray, and A. Scott, "Increased Incidence of Anxiety and Depressive Disorders in Persons With Organic Solvent Exposure," Psychosom. Med., vol. 62, pp. 746–750, 2000.
- [83] H. I. Yoon et al., "Exposure to volatile organic compounds and loss of pulmonary function in the elderly.," Eur. Respir. J., vol. 36, no. 6, pp. 1270–6, Dec. 2010.

- [84] P. Grešner, R. Świercz, W. Wąsowicz, and J. Gromadzińska, "Faster health deterioration among nail technicians occupationally exposed to low levels of volatile organic compounds," Int. J. Occup. Med. Environ. Health, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 469–483, 2016.
- [85] P. J. Villeneuve et al., "A cohort study of intra-urban variations in volatile organic compounds and mortality, Toronto, Canada," Environ. Pollut., vol. 183, pp. 30–39, Dec. 2013.
- [86] J. A. Halliday-Bell, M. Gissler, and J. J. K. Jaakkola, "Work as a hairdresser and cosmetologist and adverse pregnancy outcomes," Occup. Med. (Chic. Ill)., vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 180–184, 2009.
- [87] J. Yu et al., "Uric formaldehyde levels are negatively correlated with cognitive abilities in healthy older adults," Neurosci. Bull., vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 172–184, Apr. 2014.
- [88] Y. Mei et al., "Reduction of Endogenous Melatonin Accelerates Cognitive Decline in Mice in a Simulated Occupational Formaldehyde Exposure Environment," Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health, vol. 13, no. 3, p. 258, Feb. 2016.
- [89] M. Kanada, M. Miyagawa, M. Sato, H. Hasegawa, and T. Honma, "Neurochemical profile of effects of 28 neurotoxic chemicals on the central nervous system in rats (1). Effects of oral administration on brain contents of biogenic amines and metabolites.," Ind. Health, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 145–64, 1994.

- [90] R. Lo Pumo, M. Bellia, A. Nicosia, V. Micale, and F. Drago, "Long-lasting neurotoxicity of prenatal benzene acute exposure in rats.," Toxicology, vol. 223, no. 3, pp. 227–34, Jun. 2006.
- [91] K. H. Kilburn, R. Warshaw, and J. C. Thornton, "Formaldehyde Impairs Memory, Equilibrium, and Dexterity in Histology Technicians: Effects Which Persist for Days after Exposure," Arch. Environ. Heal. An Int. J., vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 117–120, Apr. 1987.
- [92] P. Maruff, C. B. Burns, P. Tyler, B. J. Currie, and J. Currie, "Neurological and cognitive abnormalities associated with chronic petrol sniffing," Brain, vol. 121, no. 10, pp. 1903–1917, Oct. 1998.
- [93] H. Bahadar, S. Mostafalou, and M. Abdollahi, "Current Understandings and Perspectives on Non-Cancer Health Effects of Benzene: A Global Concern," Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol., vol. 276, no. 2, pp. 83–94, 2014.
- [94] L. H. Taylor, S. M. Latham, and M. E. J. woolhouse, "Risk factors for human disease emergence," Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London. Ser. B Biol. Sci., vol. 356, no. 1411, pp. 983–989, Jul. 2001.
- [95] P. C. Stark, H. A. Burge, L. M. Ryan, D. K. Milton, and D. R. Gold, "Fungal Levels in the Home and Lower Respiratory Tract Illnesses in the First Year of Life," Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med., vol. 168, no. 2, pp. 232–237, Jul. 2003.
- [96] B. R. O'Driscoll, L. C. Hopkinson, and D. W. Denning, "Mold sensitization is common amongst patients with severe asthma requiring multiple hospital admissions," BMC Pulm. Med., vol. 5, no. 1, p. 4, Dec. 2005.

- [97] B. B. Jarvis and J. D. Miller, "Mycotoxins as harmful indoor air contaminants," Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol., vol. 66, no. 4, pp. 367–372, Jan. 2005.
- [98] M. A. Hossain, M. S. Ahmed, and M. A. Ghannoum, "Attributes of Stachybotrys chartarum and its association with human disease," J. Allergy Clin. Immunol., vol. 113, no. 2, pp. 200–208, Feb. 2004.
- [99] U. Epa, "A Brief Guide to Mold, Moisture, and Your Home EPA-402-K-02-003, September 2010," 2012.
- [100] K. Benedict and B. J. Park, "Invasive fungal infections after natural disasters.," Emerg. Infect. Dis., vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 349–55, Mar. 2014.
- [101] G. M. Solomon, M. Hjelmroos-Koski, M. Rotkin-Ellman, and S. K. Hammond,
 "Airborne Mold and Endotoxin Concentrations in New Orleans, Louisiana, after
 Flooding, October through November 2005," Environ. Health Perspect., vol. 114,
 no. 9, 2006.
- [102] C. Brown, M. Riggs, C. Rao, and K. Cummings, "Health Concerns Associated with Mold in Water-Damaged Homes After Hurricanes Katrina and Rita-New Orleans Area, Louisiana, October 2005," 2006.
- [103] L. Romani, "Immunity to fungal infections," Nat. Rev. Immunol., vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 275–288, Apr. 2011.
- [104] M. D. Richardson and D. W. Warnock, Fungal Infection Diagnosis and Management. John Wiley & Sons, 2012.
- [105] J. Hope, "A review of the mechanism of injury and treatment approaches for illness resulting from exposure to water-damaged buildings, mold, and mycotoxins.," Sci. World J., vol. 2013, Apr. 2013.

- [106] E. D. Shenassa, C. Daskalakis, A. Liebhaber, M. Braubach, and M. Brown,
 "Dampness and Mold in the Home and Depression: An Examination of Mold-Related Illness and Perceived Control of One's Home as Possible Depression
 Pathways," Am. J. Public Health, vol. 97, no. 10, pp. 1893–1899, 2007.
- [107] B. R. Crago, M. R. Gray, L. A. Nelson, M. Davis, L. Arnold, and J. D. Thrasher,
 "Psychological, Neuropsychological, and Electrocortical Effects of Mixed Mold
 Exposure," Arch. Environ. Heal. An Int. J., vol. 58, no. 8, pp. 452–463, Aug.
 2003.
- [108] J. V. Baldo, L. Ahmad, and R. Ruff, "Neuropsychological Performance of Patients Following Mold Exposure," Appl. Neuropsychol., vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 193– 202, Dec. 2002.
- [109] W. J. Fisk, Q. Lei-Gomez, and M. J. Mendell, "Meta-analyses of the associations of respiratory health effects with dampness and mold in homes," Indoor Air, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 284–296, Aug. 2007.
- [110] J. F. Gent et al., "Levels of houshold mold associated with respiratory symptoms in the first year of life in a cohort at risk for asthma," Environ. Health Perspect., vol. 110, no. 12, pp. 781–786, 2002.
- [111] Y. Y. Iossifova et al., "Mold exposure during infancy as a predictor of potential asthma development," Ann. Allergy, Asthma Immunol., vol. 102, no. 2, pp. 131– 137, 2009.
- [112] P. V Targonski, V. W. Persky, and V. Ramekrishnan, "Effect of environmental molds on risk of death from asthma during the pollen season.," J. Allergy Clin. Immunol., vol. 95, no. 5 Pt 1, pp. 955–61, May 1995.

- [113] G. Thomas, N. Clark Burton, C. Mueller, and E. Page, "Comparison of mold exposures, work-related symptoms, and visual contrast sensitivity between employees at a severely water-damaged school and employees at a school without significant water damage, Alcee Fortier Senior High School, New Orleans," 2010.
- [114] E. Johanning, P. Auger, P. R. Morey, C. S. Yang, and E. Olmsted, "Review of health hazards and prevention measures for response and recovery workers and volunteers after natural disasters, flooding, and water damage: mold and dampness," Environ. Health Prev. Med., vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 93–99, Mar. 2014.
- [115] E. C. Anyanwu, A. W. Campbell, and A. Vojdani, "Neurophysiological Effects of Chronic Indoor Environmental Toxic Mold Exposure on Children," Sci. World J., vol. 3, pp. 281–290, 2005.
- [116] W. Jedrychowski et al., "Cognitive function of 6-year old children exposed to mold-contaminated homes in early postnatal period. Prospective birth cohort study in Poland.," Physiol. Behav., vol. 104, no. 5, pp. 989–95, Oct. 2011.
- [117] W. A. Gordon et al., "Cognitive Impairment Associated With Toxigenic Fungal Exposure: A Replication and Extension of Previous Findings," Appl. Neuropsychol., vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 65–74, Jun. 2004.
- [118] R. C. Kessler, D. M. Almeida, P. Berglund, and P. Stang, "Pollen and mold exposure impairs the work performance of employees with allergic rhinitis," Ann. Allergy, Asthma Immunol., vol. 87, no. 4, pp. 289–295, Oct. 2001.

III. A Framework for Estimating the US Mental Health Burden Attributable to Indoor Fine Particulate Matter Exposure

Chapter Overview

The purpose of this chapter is to detail a model that can be used to estimate the number of cases of major depressive disorder resulting from fine particulate matter exposure in the United States. The model combines indoor particulate matter concentration simulations with an epidemiological exposure-response function, approximating a number of depressive outcomes for the stated input parameters. The values approximated are used in a benefit-cost analysis to determine an optimal economic strategy for the reduction of particulate matter indoors. At the time of this writing, no other model estimates mental health disorders due to indoor particulate matter exposure.

Publication Intention

Title: A Framework for Estimating the US Mental Health Burden Attributable to Indoor Fine Particulate Matter Exposure Publication: Indoor Air

A framework for estimating the United States depression burden attributable to indoor fine particulate matter exposure

William L. Taylor¹, Steven J. Schuldt¹, Justin D. Delorit¹, Christopher M. Chini¹, Teodor T. Postolache^{2,3,4}, Christopher A. Lowry^{2,3,5,6}, Lisa A. Brenner^{2,3,6,7}, Andrew J. Hoisington^{1,2,3,7}

¹Department of Systems Engineering and Management, Air Force Institute of

Technology, Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433, USA

²Military and Veteran Microbiome: Consortium for Research and Education (MVM-CoRE), Aurora, CO 80045, USA

³Veterans Health Administration, Rocky Mountain Mental Illness Research Education and Clinical Center (MIRECC), Rocky Mountain Regional Veterans Affairs Medical Center (RMRVAMC), Aurora, CO 80045, USA

⁴Mood and Anxiety Program, Department of Psychiatry, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21201, USA

⁵Department of Integrative Physiology, Center for Neuroscience, and Center for Microbial Exploration, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO 80309, USA ⁶Departments of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Psychiatry, & Neurology, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO 80045, USA ⁷Department of Psychiatry and Neurology, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO 80045, USA

Abstract

Recently published exploratory studies based on outdoor PM_{2.5} indicate that the pollutant may play a role in mental health conditions, such as major depressive disorder. This paper details a model that estimates the United States (US) major depressive disorder burden attributable to indoor PM_{2.5} exposure, locally modifiable through input parameter calibrations. By utilizing concentration values in an exposure-response function, along with relative risk values derived from epidemiological studies, the model estimated the prevalence of expected cases of major depressive disorder in multiple scenarios. Model results show that exposure to indoor PM_{2.5} might contribute to 440,000 cases of major depressive disorder in the US, approximately 2.3% of the total number of cases reported annually. Increasing HVAC filter efficiency in a residential dwelling results in minor reductions in depressive disorders in rural or urban locations in the US. Nevertheless, a MERV 13 filter does have a benefit/cost ratio at or near one when indoor emissions are present, e.g., smoking; during wildfires; or in locations with elevated outdoor PM_{2.5} concentrations. The approach undertaken herein could provide a transparent strategy for investment into the built environment to improve the mental health of the occupants.

Introduction

The field of mental health research is expanding,¹ with good reason, as one in five Americans adults have a mental illness.² One mental illness, major depressive disorder, impacted 17.7 million Americans in 2018³ and globally was the third leading cause of disability in 2017.⁴ Previous research has focused on risk factors for mental health disorders to include genetics,⁵ environment factors,⁶ and social determinants.⁷ However, another potential contributor to negative mental health outcomes might be found in the built environment.⁸ Risk of a negative health outcome is often a function of exposure time, and in the case of the built environment, Americans spend 93% of their time indoors⁹ and 70% of the time in their residence.¹⁰

Major depressive disorder, also called clinical depression, is characterized by a wide variety of symptoms that cause significant distress or impairment that affects individuals for at least two weeks.¹¹ Symptoms may consist of a persistent sad mood, loss of pleasure derived from or interest in hobbies or routinely pleasurable activities, a poor evaluation of the past, present, future and of oneself, decreased energy, appetite or weight changes, and reduced functioning.¹¹ Though not as prevalent as major depressive disorder, other unique forms of depression exist, such as persistent depressive disorder, which refers to cases of depression lasting longer than two years, with depressed mood present on more days than not,¹¹ and seasonal affective disorder (SAD) , which occurs seasonally, with spontaneous remission at the end of the season of vulnerability. The most common one, SAD with a winter pattern, is precipitated by short days and decreased environmental light exposure.¹² Depression frequently co-occurs with other mental health conditions/symptoms, including anxiety and posttraumatic stress

disorder.^{22,23} Depressed individuals have higher odds of dying by suicide as compared to non-depressed individuals.²⁴ Risk factors that increase the chance of depression include, but are not limited to, substance abuse, physical ailments, history of depression, and low self-esteem.²⁵ There is also research showing environmental factors may moderate depressive outcomes, as evident from research in monozygotic twins with non-similar incidences of depression.^{26,27}

Exposure particulates that are under 2.5 microns nominal diameter (fine particulate matter or PM_{2,5}), are a general health concern, providing the largest contributions to global mortality and morbidity due to air pollution.^{28,29} PM_{2,5} is theorized to influence depression and other mental health outcomes through two biological mechanisms, chronic inflammation and oxidative stress.³⁰ Chronic inflammation is an established contributor to mental health disorders,^{31–35} and PM_{2,5} is associated with aggravation of chronic inflammation.^{36,37} Inflammatory cytokines and other biological indicators of depression are more prevalent in individuals living in proximity to higher outdoor PM_{2,5} concentrations.^{36,37} Oxidative stress is an imbalance of oxygen free radicals within the body. Air pollutants such as PM_{2,5} can increase oxidative stress.^{38,39} Specifically, the free radicals are highly reactive, producing harmful by-products and tissue damage.⁴⁰ Oxidative stress has previously been connected to mental health outcomes, including depression.⁴¹

Recent epidemiological studies have estimated the mortality and morbidity associated with outdoor $PM_{2.5}$ exposure.^{42–48} Illnesses that may contribute to the burden of disease from $PM_{2.5}$ exposure include respiratory infections, lung cancer, ischemic heart disease, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder.⁴⁸ Although less studied, exploratory correlations between outdoor $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations and mental health outcomes, including depression, have been noted.^{30,49–54} Specifically, long-term exposure to elevated $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations outdoors may increase the risk of depression by approximately 25%⁵³ and cause an acute depressive response in select individuals.⁵⁰

Outdoor particles enter into the built environment and become indoor $PM_{2.5}$, ^{55–58} a principle that has been applied in previous prospective studies that have correlated depression with outdoor concentrations of PM2.5 measured at central outdoor monitoring stations.⁵³ However, central monitoring stations in the United States (US) are not ideal for measuring exposure to indoor PM2.5 concentrations. To our knowledge, no previous study has modeled indoor concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$ to predict any mental health outcome. In this study, we propose use of an indoor concentration model to assess the impact of HVAC filtration on major depressive disorder, through a reduction in PM_{2.5} exposure. Central filtration systems can abate indoor PM_{2.5} concentrations,⁵⁹⁻⁶⁴ resulting in diseaserelated treatment cost avoidance.^{65–67} The purpose of this paper was to develop an epidemiological model, using a mass-balance approach for PM2.5 concentrations, that estimates the number of major depressive disorder cases attributable to indoor fine particulate matter exposure. This is the first known use of an exposure-response model to estimate cases of depression resulting from indoor PM_{2.5} exposure. Eight case studies were conducted, for varying ambient concentrations and indoor emissions, to explore the influence of PM_{2.5} on major depressive disorder outcomes. The locations used for outdoor particulate matter concentrations were selected to provide a range of potential possibilities and all factors were kept the same, based on US data.

Methodology

This paper combines an epidemiological exposure-response function and indoor mass balance models to estimate the potential major depressive disorder impacts of indoor PM_{2.5} exposure within a residential setting. To account for spatial variability of model input parameters in the US, Monte Carlo simulation was used to sample from known distributions of residential housing characteristics. Calculated indoor concentrations inform an exposure-response model to estimate the number of cases of major depressive disorder. Furthermore, an economic analysis is performed to identify the tradeoffs between the cost of various minimum efficiency reporting value (MERV) filter technologies, and major depressive disorder treatment cost avoidance. A summary schematic of the process used in the present paper is shown in Figure 1. The modeling process includes eight different scenarios, representative of a range of outdoor PM_{2.5} concentrations and indoor emissions: (1) US average; (2) New York City; (3) Cincinnati; (4) Sacramento; (5) homes with indoor smokers; (6) homes near wildfires; (7) Delhi; (8) Beijing. No parameters were changed between model runs, other than the outdoor PM_{2.5} concentrations and the indoor emissions in the smoking scenario. That is, all other model parameters are characteristic of nationally averaged US housing parameters. Therefore, these different scenarios represent the estimated depressive outcomes to occur if those conditions were present in the US.



Figure 1 – Description of modeling process with input and output parameters. Blue boxes are for each major calculation, gray arrows are primary outputs.

Indoor Air Modeling

A mass balance approach was utilized to calculate the concentration of $PM_{2.5}$ within a typical US residence,⁶⁵ as shown in Equations 1 and 2. Air within the homes was assumed to be well-mixed, and $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations were assumed to be steady state. All homes were assumed to utilize a forced-air HVAC system, as this is currently the most widely used system in the US.⁶⁸

$$C = C_o \frac{P \lambda_V}{\lambda_V + \lambda_D + \lambda_F} + \frac{E}{(\lambda_D + \lambda_F)V}$$
(1)

Where:

 $C = \text{resulting concentration of PM}_{2.5} (\mu g/m^3)$

 C_o = the ambient air concentration of PM_{2.5} ($\mu g/m^3$)

P = penetration factor (unitless)

 $\lambda_{\rm V}$ = infiltration ventilation rate (h^{-1})

 λ_D = rate of particle removal by deposition (h^{-1})

 $\lambda_{\rm F}$ = rate of particle removal by filtration (h^{-1})

 $E = \text{total emissions of PM}_{2.5}$ from indoor sources ($\mu g/h$)

V = building volume (m^3)

Discrete values for the factors in Equations 1 and 2 are summarized in Table 1. Ambient air concentrations were fit to lognormal distributions, calculated from mean and percentile values from 2018.⁶⁹ Cooking was the only source of indoor emissions considered in the analysis. Cooking emissions were averaged over the course of a day in a normal distribution.⁷⁰ Home volume was assumed to be normally distributed.⁶⁵ Infiltration ventilation rate was fit to a lognormal distribution.⁷¹ Penetration factor and rate of particle removal by deposition were determined from residential studies.^{70,72} Penetration factor was determined using a cropped normal distribution, with an upper bound of one. The rate of particle removal by deposition was assumed to be normally distributed.

The rate of particle removal by filtration (λ_F) in the HVAC system was calculated using Equation 2.⁶⁵ Duty cycle was a cropped normal distribution, with a minimum bound of zero.⁷³ The flow rate through the residential HVAC system was represented by a lognormal distribution, with distribution parameters obtained from two studies of residential housing characteristics.^{74,75} Filter particle removal efficiency was assumed constant for each MERV rating,⁵⁹ ignoring efficiency changes with increased dust buildup over time.⁷⁶

$$\lambda_F = DH\varepsilon_L \tag{2}$$

Where:

D = duty cycle (unitless)

H = airflow rate through HVAC system, divided by indoor volume (h^{-1})

 ε_L = particle removal efficiency of filter in use (unitless)

Monte Carlo simulation methods were utilized to calculate concentration values and account for variability in the parameters used in Equations 1 and 2. First, distributions were created from the mean and standard deviation values for each parameter. Next, 100,000 concentrations were calculated using Equations 1 and 2, with random values selected from the distributions of each variable. Finally, the calculated concentrations were used to estimate major depressive disorder outcomes in the exposure-response function, detailed below. This process was replicated for each filtration system and each scenario, to understand the influence that filtration has on the estimated prevalence of major depressive disorders.

Exposure-Response Model

To quantify the number of major depressive disorder cases that can be attributed to indoor $PM_{2.5}$ exposure, an exposure-response function was utilized, Equation 3.⁷⁷

$$\Delta y = F m_o \left(1 - e^{(-\beta * \Delta x)} \right) \tag{3}$$

Where:

 Δy = number of adults diagnosed with a major depressive disorder as a result of indoor PM_{2.5} exposure (incidences year⁻¹)

F = average percent of day that the population spends in a residence (unitless)

 m_0 = the number of adults diagnosed with major depressive disorder (incidences year⁻¹)

 β = coefficient of exposure-response function, selected from different epidemiology study results. $\beta = log(RR_{10})/10$, with RR₁₀ describing the relative risk for an increase of $10 \ \mu g/m^3$ in PM_{2.5} concentration (unitless) Δx = the median PM_{2.5} concentration of the residence, calculated using the results of Equations 1 and 2 ($\mu g/m^3$)

It was assumed that US adults spend 70% of their day within their residence.¹⁰ The number of episodes of depression in the US was based on reports for adults (over 18 years old) in 2018.⁷⁸ Beta values were converted from odds ratio values developed from epidemiological studies, summarized in a meta-analysis,⁵³ which included long-term odds ratio of 1.25 for depression cases associated with PM_{2.5}, with a 95% confidence interval of 1.07 – 1.45. Many applications of an exposure-response function utilize a relative risk instead of an odds ratio. Herein the relative risk and odds ratio can be considered equivalent here since the baseline prevalence for depression is low.^{53,79} The median PM_{2.5} concentrations were calculated based on Equations 1 and 2. Some exposure-response studies utilize a baseline exposure value in this term, assuming that no adverse health effects occur below a select concentration.^{48,77} However, the present analysis assumes a baseline exposure value of zero, practically meaning that any concentration could have an adverse health effect.⁴²⁻⁴⁴

Economic Analysis

To determine the value of indoor air filtration as a method to remove $PM_{2.5}$ for a health benefit, major depressive disorder treatment cost avoidance was estimated.

Downscaling the estimated cost of depressive disorders in the US to individual cases resulted in an average annual cost of \$14,926 (2017 dollars) per incidence of major depressive disorder.⁸⁰ This cost includes (1) direct costs, such as prescription drugs; (2) indirect costs, such as the value of reduced productivity while at work; (3) and suicide-related costs, including future earnings potential. Multiplying the per-case cost by the estimated number of cases for each scenario yielded a total scenario cost. To determine the value of filtration, particulate matter filtration costs for successively efficient filters were compared to the potential cost avoidance of the reduced numbers of major depressive disorders, using Equation 4. Hereafter, cost avoidance is referred to as benefits, to conform with benefit-to-cost ratio (BCR) methodology, and to avoid confusion between costs of filtration and cost avoidance.

$$FC = \frac{F_i}{s} \tag{4}$$

Where:

FC = annual cost of filter implementation (dollars/capita) F_i = annual operating cost of filter (dollars) S = average household occupancy (1.98 people over 18 years old/household)⁸¹

The total cost of filtration assumes every house in the US has the same filter. Filter operating costs are shown in Supplemental Table 3.⁵⁹ The treatment cost avoidance was calculated with Equation 5.

$$B = \frac{(\$MDD - \$MDD_i)}{N}$$
(5)

Where:

B = benefit of filtration implementation, per person MDD = baseline cost of major depressive disorder attributable to indoor PM_{2.5} exposure

 $MDD_i = \text{cost of major depressive disorder attributable to indoor PM_{2.5} exposure with different filtration implementations$

N = US population over 18 years old (255,190,602)

Calculating both costs and benefits of filtration allows a BCR to be calculated. The baseline cost of major depressive disorder attributable to indoor PM_{2.5} exposure (\$MDD) assumes that the home has no filter on the HVAC system, allowing any PM_{2.5} to recirculate within the home without reduction. The baseline cost value is calculated using Equations 1 and 2, with the particle removal efficiency (ε_t) is set to zero. The resulting concentration is entered into Equation 3 to estimate the number of major depressive disorder cases, and then multiplied by the cost of each case of depression (\$14,926), ultimately representing the baseline cost of major depressive disorder attributable to indoor PM_{2.5} exposure (\$MDD). Repeating this process for each individual MERV rating resulted in the cost of major depressive disorder attributable to indoor PM2.5 exposure with different filtration implementations ($\$MDD_i$). The difference between each value and the baseline value, divided by the adult US population (N), results in a benefit value per person. All analysis was conducted in R (version 3.6.0)⁸² and visualization was completed with the ggplot2 package.⁸³ R code used for the models and figures is provided in the supplemental information.

59

Parameter (variable, units)	Values (mean, SD)	Source
Outdoor air (C_o , $\mu g/m^3$)	Varies by scenario	84–87
Emissions (E, $\mu g/m^3$)	2.62, 1.11	70
Building volume (V, m^3)	482, 28.68	65
Penetration Factor (P, unitless)	0.97, 0.06 ‡	70,72
Infiltration ventilation rate (λ_V , hr ⁻¹)	0.53, 2.3 †	71
Rate of particle removal by deposition (λ_{D} , hr ⁻¹)	0.39. 0.08	70,72
Rate of particle removal by filtration ($\lambda_{\rm F}$, hr ⁻¹)	Variable	65
Duty cycle (D. unitless)	0.153. 0.0518	73
Airflow through residential HVAC system ($\Omega m^3/s$)	4 36 1 44 †	74,75
Particle removal efficiency of filter in use (ε_i	Variable	59
unitless)		
Percent of day spent within residence (F unitless)	0.70	10
Annual number of major depressive disorder cases	17,700,000	3
(m_0)	, ,	
Coefficient of exposure-response function (β ,	0.009691	53
unitless)		
Median $PM_{2.5}$ concentration of residence (Δx , $\mu g/m^3$)	Varied by scenario	Equation 1
[†] - Geometric mean and standard deviation, [‡] - maximum of 1, § - minimum of 0		

Table 1 – Input parameter values and reference sources for Equations 1, 2, and 3

Results

The modeled residential $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations were calculated using Equations 1 and 2, in scenarios of different MERV filter use across the US and in specific US cities (Figure 2A). Resulting concentrations (see Supplemental Figures 1-8) and the median levels in the present model were lower than estimated values in other studies modeling indoor $PM_{2.5}$,^{65,88} and measured concentrations in US residential environments.^{56,89}

The modeled concentrations for alternate scenarios (indoor smoking, wildfires, and non-US cities) of different MERV filters are shown in Figure 2B. The scenarios of Bejing and Delhi use actual concentrations but are hypothetical since the building and major depressive disorder parameters are based on a US population to enable direct comparisons to US cities. The wildfire scenario shows the highest indoor concentrations of any scenario. Measurements of PM_{2.5} emissions from wildfires vary,^{85,90,91}, and,

notably, the selected concentrations are high. Wildfires aside from the incident modeled in this analysis may not have the same concentration levels and impact on indoor PM_{2.5}, and subsequently, health effects. The Delhi and Beijing scenarios, as expected, show modeled indoor concentrations substantially higher than any of the US scenarios. The results from this analysis were not expected to closely resemble indoor concentrations of PM_{2.5} in Delhi or Beijing, since the residential housing parameters used were representative of US homes. Indeed, the calculated median indoor concentration with no filter present (mean \pm SD, 41.3 \pm 176.5 μ g/m³) had a lower mean than one study's measurement of PM_{2.5} levels in Delhi homes of (mean \pm SD, 57.7 \pm 40.8 μ g/m³).⁹² Studies of residential housing in Beijing also showed higher PM_{2.5} concentrations than in the model.^{93,94}

The use of HVAC filters reduced the indoor concentrations of PM_{2.5} in the US city scenarios from a no-filter condition by an average of approximately 8%, 18%, 24%, 31%, and 34%, for MERV 7, MERV 8A, MERV 8B, MERV 12, and MERV 13, respectively (Figure 2A). Similar results were observed in the alternate scenarios; namely, filters reduced the modeled concentrations of PM_{2.5} in alternate scenarios by an average of approximately 9%, 18%, 22%, 26%, and 32%, for MERV 7, MERV 8A, MERV 8B, MERV 12, and MERV 13, respectively (Figure 2B). Other studies of filtration efficiency vary in estimates PM_{2.5} removed when passing through the filter of the HVAC system,^{64,95} but they are consistent in the determination that increasing MERV ratings lowers indoor concentrations of PM_{2.5}.



Figure 2 – Modeled indoor PM_{2.5} concentrations across filters for A) United States cities and B) indoor smoking, wildfires, and non US Cities.



Figure 3 – Incidences per million people of major depressive disorder attributable to indoor $PM_{2.5}$ exposure across filter systems for A) United States cities and B) alternate scenarios.

Figures 3A and 3B display the estimated incidences of major depressive disorder per million people, attributable to residential PM_{2.5} exposure. The estimated cases of major depressive disorder in the model of the US average scenario is approximately 2.3% of the total number of major depressive disorder cases in the US, annually. Figure 4 highlights the results of BCR calculations for implementation of different filtration systems in each scenario. BCR was generally higher in scenarios with higher indoor PM_{2.5} values. Moreover, the filter with MERV rating 8B had the highest BCR among all scenarios, due to the balance of low price and relatively high removal efficiency.



Figure 4 – Benefit/cost ratios (BCRs) for filter implementation in each scenario.

For a direct comparison of the use of filters to impact the incidence of major depressive disorder, the same model parameters were used for each scenario. A comparison was made on the percent reduction in estimated incidence of major depressive disorder between no filter to MERV 7 in US (Figure 5A), and alternate scenarios (Figure 5C), and then again from MERV 7 to MERV 13 in US (Figure 5B), and alternate scenarios (Figure 5D). The percent reduction in US cities scenario from zero filtration to a MERV 7 was independent on the outdoor concentration and the 90th quartile was at 25.5% reduction in cases of major depressive disorder. In contrast, reduction from MERV 7 to MERV 13 in US scenarios has more distribution in the percent reduction, with the 90th quartile at 67.8% reduction in cases of major depressive disorder. The alternate scenario with elevated outdoor PM_{2.3} concentrations did have some differences due to outdoor concentration levels.



Figure 5 – Percent reduction in estimated incidence of major depressive disorder due to $PM_{2.5}$ in A) US cities, based on concentration levels from no filter to MERV 7, B) US cities, based on concentration levels from MERV 7 to MERV 13, C) alternate scenarios based on concentrations levels from no filter to MERV 7, D) alternate scenarios based on concentrations levels from MERV 7 to MERV 13.

Discussion

Indoor PM_{2.5} concentrations were estimated using a mass balance approach, varying building parameters with Monte Carlo simulations. The resultant concentrations then provided an estimate of expected cases of major depressive disorder in an epidemiological exposure-response function. The BCR was based on a comparison of expected treatment costs avoided and the cost of residential filters, in order to determine which filter provided the best return on investment. Finally, the percent reduction in major depressive disorder was estimated based on increased filter efficiency. The analysis provides a framework for researchers to include major depressive disorder and other mental illnesses in burden of disease studies.

The model estimates for concentrations of indoor $PM_{2.5}$ might be lower then previously reported, providing a conservative estimate for major depressive disorders due to indoor pollutants in the present study. For example, the model estimates for the US average scenario with a MERV 7 filter calculated an indoor to outdoor (I/O) ratio of 0.51. This was lower than values reported in a meta-analysis of IO ratios⁹⁶ (mean 0.92). The smoking scenario with a MERV 7 filter installed resulted in a median $PM_{2.5}$ concentration of 21.1µg/m³. Two studies measuring indoor $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations in smoking households found median concentrations of 31 µg/m^{3 97} and 27.7 µg/m^{3.98} However, the outdoor concentration levels of $PM_{2.5}$ have been declining which might have some influence in the comparisons.⁸⁴ The calculated median indoor concentration with no filter present (mean \pm SD, 41.3 \pm 176.5 µg/m³) had a lower mean than one study's measurement of PM_{2.5} levels in Delhi homes of (mean \pm SD, 57.7 \pm 40.8 µg/m³).⁹² Studies of residential housing in Beijing also showed higher PM_{2.5} concentrations than in the model.^{93,94} Aside from the higher outdoor PM_{2.5} concentrations in both of these cities, another large contributing factor to the measured high indoor concentrations could be the emissions from the burning of biomass and solid fuel, which is a primary source of residential heating and cooking fuel in both cities.^{99,100}

A lowering of major depressive disorders and impacts on filter efficiency was correlated to indoor emissions. It was observed that increases in filter efficiency had a higher impact on PM_{2.5} concentrations in the smoking scenario compared to other scenarios, due to the emission of indoor PM_{2.5} as opposed to outside contamination that is reduced by the build envelope. The American Society of Heating, Refrigeration, and Air Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE) recommends at least a MERV 7 filter in residential buildings.¹⁰¹ However, in 2015 ASHRAE published new recommendations for residential units to install MERV 13 filters or higher in guideline 24-2015. The model estimates presented here reinforce that guidance, suggesting a change from a MERV 7 to a MERV 13 filter could create a meaningful difference in reducing major depressive disorder cases due to PM_{2.5} levels.

While it does not appear there are any other studies estimating the impact that indoor $PM_{2.5}$ has upon depressive outcomes, comparisons to morbidity and mortality studies show similar trends to what was observed in this study. Specifically, a positive relationship exists between $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations and health outcomes.⁴⁸ A recently published meta-analysis of $PM_{2.5}$ exposure and mental illness⁷⁹ included a population

attributable fraction (PAF) model. The model estimated that the United Kingdom's rate of depression could be reduced by 2.5%, if the ambient $PM_{2.5}$ concentration dropped from 12.8 µg/m³ to the World Health Organization's recommended limit of 10 µg/m³.¹⁰² However, the PAF model does not account for the lower levels of $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations experienced in indoor environments, as shown in this analysis, suggesting that the 2.5% reduction could be an overestimate. The caveat to that statement is when indoor emissions of $PM_{2.5}$ is present at meaningful levels (e.g. smoking).

Although the BCRs are below 1.0 for all of the US city scenarios, this analysis does not include the benefit of filtration for the purposes of avoiding any physical diseases associated with PM_{2.5}, such as asthma,⁵⁹ lung cancer,⁶⁶ or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease,¹⁰³ suggesting that these are again conservative estimates. Since these BCRs are calculated based on median concentration values, variation in actual scenarios exist, with higher BCRs in some homes, and lower BCRs in others. For sensitive individuals, alternative means of filtration, used in addition to HVAC filters, may be an effective solution. Alternative means of filtration include portable air cleaners,^{62,104,105} activated carbon filters,^{106,107} or even green walls.^{108,109} An economic analysis of filtration methods for reducing PM_{2.5} found that portable air filters had a mean BCR between 7.7 and 13, and provided more of a reduction in expected mortality rates than just HVAC filters alone.¹¹⁰

Chronic inflammation and oxidative stress are both thought to contribute to depression and other mental health outcomes, and air pollutants other than PM_{2.5}, such as volatile organic compounds and mold, are associated with both of these mechanisms.^{111–} ¹¹⁴ PM_{2.5} is also shown to influence gut microbiome profiles,¹¹⁵ which some literature

proposes are connected to mental health outcomes.^{116,117} This introduces the possibility that poor indoor air quality could be contributing to more cases of depression than were estimated with this model. Although $PM_{2.5}$ comprises the bulk of disease due to poor air quality,²⁹ it cannot be ruled out that other pollutants may increase the burden of disease. Future models estimating depressive risk due to indoor pollutants should seek to include other pollutants in addition to $PM_{2.5}$.

Sensitivity analysis was performed on the odds ratio, the variable parameter in the model that was not considered in the Monte Carlo simulations. Maintaining the other parameters in the exposure-response function constant, the odds ratio has a strong influence on the predicted incidence of major depressive disorder in the model. The confidence interval bounds from the odds ratio (1.07, 1.45) in the average US concentrations with a MERV 7 filter produced an estimated number of major depressive disorder cases of 158,439 and 845,484, respectively. A difference of that magnitude in the model estimates highlights the urgent need to refine the relationship between PM_{2.5} and depression in large population studies.

The model described in this paper was created to be adaptable to estimate major depressive disorder outcomes within other populations. To be as accurate as possible, mass balance input parameter distributions would need to be created for the population set to be analyzed. That is, estimates would need to be considered on parameters in Table 1 that are specific to the population of interest. Additionally, the total number of major depressive disorder cases within the region would replace the value used in this paper (17,700,000), and the population of the region would replace the value used in this paper (255,190,602). Finally, costs of residential air filters could be sourced for the area in

order to create accurate BCR results.

Limitations

As this is the first known epidemiological model connecting indoor PM_{2.5} concentrations and depression, we acknowledge there are several limitations in the present study. First, the relationship between PM_{2.5} exposure and depressive outcomes is not clearly defined yet. A limited number of meta analyses of the relationship between PM_{2.5} and depression are currently published, and they differ in their results of pooling odds ratios from the available epidemiological studies, with values of 1.10,⁷⁹ 1.12,¹¹⁸ and 1.25.⁵³ In comparison, statistics from the more well-researched relationship between PM_{2.5} and lung cancer mortality are more precise, with values of 1.11 (RR),¹¹⁹ 1.11 (RR),¹²⁰ 1.14 (OR),¹²¹ and 1.14 (RR).⁴⁶

While the relationship between $PM_{2.5}$ and major depressive disorder may not be as robust as that between $PM_{2.5}$ and physical health, it is becoming increasingly clear there exists a relationship between the two variables. Establishing a causal relationship between $PM_{2.5}$ exposure and depressive outcomes via an exposure-response function can be accomplished through additional epidemiological studies. All $PM_{2.5}$ exposure was assumed to result in the same magnitude of depressive outcomes, regardless of source specific $PM_{2.5}$ and evidence exists to suggest that this is a reasonable assumption.⁴⁸

 $PM_{2.5}$ levels were assumed as a snapshot in time, but it is acknowledged that while $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations have been decreasing across the US, they are increasing worldwide,¹²² and may remain elevated in the US due to scenarios such as proximity to wildfires or environmental regulation changes. In addition, other models of indoor air quality calculate duty cycle based on site location and typical heating and cooling loads. This analysis forgoes this method and represents the variability in duty cycle values with the Monte Carlo sampling. Due to the analysis being applied to an annual period, the duty cycle was more accurately represented as a distribution. Cooking and smoking were the only sources of indoor PM_{2.5} considered in this analysis, due to their well-documented emission values.^{70,97,98,123} Other activities may also contribute to indoor PM_{2.5} concentrations, such as cleaning or occupant movement.¹²⁴ However, these activities were considered too variable to include in this analysis. As a result, the calculated PM_{2.5} concentrations and major depressive disorder estimates may be a conservative estimate, and not representative of all PM_{2.5} sources potentially present in the indoor environment.

PM_{2.5} composition may vary based on source apportionment,^{125–127}, and estimates of morbidity and mortality assume that all PM_{2.5} provides the same level of toxicity.⁴⁸ Current literature suggests that there is no relationship between PM_{2.5} composition and toxicity,¹²⁸ and that magnitude of exposure is the sole predictor for health effects. However, it is possible that composition of PM_{2.5} may affect major depressive disorder outcomes in a manner different from physical mortality and morbidity, changing the estimation of major depressive disorder provided described within this analysis. Microbial components and endotoxins are present in some sources of PM_{2.5},¹²⁹ potentially another source of influence on major depressive disorder outcomes¹³⁰ through inflammatory mechanisms.^{131,132}

Finally, indoor $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations were assumed to occur in a well-mixed environment. In reality, residential homes can be poorly mixed, and some areas of the home will experience higher concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$, while others will have lower concentrations. This variability is accounted for in the Monte Carlo simulations, via random sampling of the distributed parameters. However, select indoor activities may cause a spike in $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations and exposure, such as cooking or resuspension of $PM_{2.5}$ due to cleaning. These spikes in $PM_{2.5}$ concentration may increase risk of acute depressive symptoms, but the epidemiological data does not exist to accurately model that impact. Specifically, the model described in this paper was not applied to analyze acute depressive symptoms due to indoor $PM_{2.5}$ exposure, but evidence exists to suggest that some effect occurs.^{53,79,118}

Conclusions

The results of this analysis highlight the role that PM_{2.5} has upon depressive outcomes. An estimated 2.3% of all depressive cases represents a small, yet important proportion, in that the outcome is controllable, lowered through the reduction of outdoor and indoor PM_{2.5} concentrations. The model described herein could be used to estimate the influence that PM_{2.5} exposure has upon other mental illnesses, provided those illnesses have established relationships (ORs or RRs) with PM_{2.5}. These results raise the question of how impactful other indoor air pollutants are on mental health outcomes. While PM_{2.5} has the highest contribution to mortality estimates of air quality,^{28,29} other pollutants may have a higher degree of impact on mental health outcomes. This model can be utilized to estimate mental health outcomes resulting from other indoor air pollutant exposure as well, furthering understanding of the effects of indoor air quality on mental illness.

Future research may want to include socioeconomic status, as it may represent a potential confounding factor in linking analysis of particulate matter with major depressive disorder. For example, people of lower socioeconomic status are more likely
to live in areas of higher air pollution,¹³³ have poor quality homes that potentially lead to higher rates of pollutant exposure,¹³⁴ and higher rates of depression.¹³⁵ Moreover, smoking is a more popular activity among less affluent groups,¹³⁶ and is associated with depression.^{137–139} Additionally, socioeconomic status has an established relationship with physical morbidity,^{140–142} itself a risk factor for mental illness.¹⁴³ Yet not all confounders are negative. For instance, household size is larger in areas of lower socioeconomic status,¹⁴⁴ which would result in shared benefit of a higher quality filter for additional people at reduced per-capita cost.

References

- 586,124 Search Results Keywords(mental health) ScienceDirect. https://www.sciencedirect.com/search/advanced?qs=mental health. Accessed February 2, 2020.
- Merikangas KR, He J-P, Burstein M, et al. Lifetime prevalence of mental disorders in U.S. adolescents: results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication--Adolescent Supplement (NCS-A). *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2010;49(10):980-989. doi:10.1016/j.jaac.2010.05.017
- 2018 NSDUH Annual National Report | CBHSQ Data. http://www.samhsa.gov/data/report/2018-nsduh-annual-national-report. Accessed December 21, 2019.
- Global Burden of Disease Study 2017 (GBD 2017) Burden by Risk 1990-2017 | GHDx. http://ghdx.healthdata.org/record/ihme-data/gbd-2017-burden-risk-1990-2017. Accessed December 31, 2019.

- Silventoinen K, Rokholm B, Kaprio J, Sørensen TIA. The genetic and environmental influences on childhood obesity: A systematic review of twin and adoption studies. *Int J Obes*. 2010;34(1):29-40. doi:10.1038/ijo.2009.177
- Kendler KS, Baker JH. Genetic influences on measures of the environment: A systematic review. *Psychol Med.* 2007;37(5):615-626. doi:10.1017/S0033291706009524
- Allen J, Balfour R, Bell R, Marmot M. Social determinants of mental health. *Int Rev Psychiatry*. 2014;26(4):392-407. doi:10.3109/09540261.2014.928270
- Hoisington AJ, Stearns-Yoder KA, Schuldt SJ, et al. Ten questions concerning the built environment and mental health. *Build Environ*. 2019;155(March):58-69. doi:10.1016/j.buildenv.2019.03.036
- Leech JA, Nelson WC, Burnett RT, Aaron S, Raizenne ME. It's about time: A comparison of Canadian and American time–activity patterns. *J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol.* 2002;12(6):427-432. doi:10.1038/sj.jea.7500244
- Klepeis NE, Nelson WC, Ott WR, et al. The National Human Activity Pattern Survey (NHAPS): A resource for assessing exposure to environmental pollutants. *J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol.* 2001;11(3):231-252. doi:10.1038/sj.jea.7500165
- American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*. 5th ed. Washington, DC; 2013.
- Rosenthal NE, Sack DA, Gillin JC, et al. Seasonal Affective Disorder: A Description of the Syndrome and Preliminary Findings With Light Therapy. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1984;41(1):72-80. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.1984.01790120076010
- 13. Rohan KJ, Meyerhoff J, Ho S-Y, Evans M, Postolache TT, Vacek PM. Outcomes

One and Two Winters Following Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy or Light Therapy for Seasonal Affective Disorder. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2016;173(3):244-251. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2015.15060773

- Rohan KJ, Mahon JN, Evans M, et al. Randomized Trial of Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy Versus Light Therapy for Seasonal Affective Disorder: Acute Outcomes. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2015;172(9):862-869. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2015.14101293
- Lewy AJ, Bauer VK, Cutler NL, et al. Morning vs evening light treatment of patients with winter depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1998;55(10):890-896. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.55.10.890
- Eastman CI, Young MA, Fogg LF, Liu L, Meaden PM. Bright light treatment of winter depression: A placebo-controlled trial. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1998;55(10):883-889. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.55.10.883
- Terman M, Terman JS, Ross DC. A controlled trial of timed bright light and negative air ionization for treatment of winter depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1998;55(10):875-882. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.55.10.875
- Wehr TA, Giesen HA, Schulz PM, et al. Contrasts between symptoms of summer depression and winter depression. *J Affect Disord*. 1991;23(4):173-183. doi:10.1016/0165-0327(91)90098-D
- Guzman A, Tonelli LH, Roberts D, et al. Mood-worsening with high-pollen-counts and seasonality: A preliminary report. *J Affect Disord*. 2007;101(1-3):269-274. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2006.11.026
- Akram F, Jennings TB, Stiller JW, Lowry christopher A, Postolache TT. Mood
 Worsening on Days with High Pollen counts is associated with a Summer Pattern

of Seasonality. Pteridines. 2019;30(1):133-141. doi:10.1515/pteridines-2019-0016

- Manalai P, Hamilton RG, Langenberg P, et al. Pollen-specific immunoglobulin E positivity is associated with worsening of depression scores in bipolar disorder patients during high pollen season. *Bipolar Disord*. 2012;14(1):90-98. doi:10.1111/j.1399-5618.2012.00983.x
- 22. Hirschfield RMA. The Comorbidity of Major Depression and Anxiety Disorders.
 Prim Care Companion J Clin Psychiatry. 2001;03(06):244-254.
 doi:10.4088/pcc.v03n0609
- 23. Spinhoven P, Penninx BW, Van Hemert AM, De Rooij M, Elzinga BM.
 Comorbidity of PTSD in anxiety and depressive disorders: Prevalence and shared risk factors. *Child Abuse Negl*. 2014;38:1320-1330.
 doi:10.1016/j.chiabu.2014.01.017
- Hawton K, Casañas I Comabella C, Haw C, Saunders K. Risk factors for suicide in individuals with depression: A systematic review. *J Affect Disord*. 2013;147(1-3):17-28. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2013.01.004
- Lewinsohn PM, Rohde P, Seeley JR. Major depressive disorder in older adolescents: Prevalence, risk factors, and clinical implications. *Clin Psychol Rev.* 1998;18(7):765-794. doi:10.1016/S0272-7358(98)00010-5
- Kendler KS, Walters EE, Neale MC, Kessler RC, Heath AC, Eaves LJ. The Structure of the Genetic and Environmental Risk Factors for Six Major Psychiatric Disorders in Women: Phobia, Generalized Anxiety Disorder, Panic Disorder, Bulimia, Major Depression, and Alcoholism. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1995;52(5):374-383. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.1995.03950170048007

- Kendler KS, Prescott CA, Myers J, Neale MC. The structure of genetic and environmental risk factors for common psychiatric and substance use disorders in men and women. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2003;60(9):929-937. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.60.9.929
- Hoek G, Krishnan RM, Beelen R, et al. Long-term air pollution exposure and cardio- respiratory mortality: a review. *Environ Heal*. 2013;12(1):43. doi:10.1186/1476-069X-12-43
- Chen H, Goldberg MS, Viileneuve PJ. A systematic review of the relation between long-term exposure to ambient air pollution and chronic diseases. *Rev Environ Health.* 2008;23(4):243-297. doi:10.1515/reveh.2008.23.4.243
- 30. Power MC, Kioumourtzoglou M-A, Hart JE, Okereke OI, Laden F, Weisskopf MG. The relation between past exposure to fine particulate air pollution and prevalent anxiety: observational cohort study. *BMJ*. 2015;350:h1111. doi:10.1136/BMJ.H1111
- Anisman H, Hayley S. Inflammatory Factors Contribute to Depression and Its Comorbid Conditions. *Sci Signal*. 2012;5(244):pe45-pe45. doi:10.1126/scisignal.2003579
- Raison CL, Capuron L, Miller AH. Cytokines sing the blues: Inflammation and the pathogenesis of depression. *Trends Immunol*. 2006;27(1):24-31.
 doi:10.1016/j.it.2005.11.006
- Bakunina N, Pariante CM, Zunszain PA. Immune mechanisms linked to depression via oxidative stress and neuroprogression. *Immunology*. 2015;144(3):365-373. doi:10.1111/imm.12443

- Rohleder N. Stimulation of Systemic Low-Grade Inflammation by Psychosocial Stress. *Psychosom Med.* 2014;76(3):181-189. doi:10.1097/PSY.000000000000049
- Miller AH, Raison CL. The role of inflammation in depression: from evolutionary imperative to modern treatment target. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2016;16(1):22-34. doi:10.1038/nri.2015.5
- Block ML, Calderón-Garcidueñas L. Air pollution: mechanisms of neuroinflammation and CNS disease. *Trends Neurosci*. 2009;32(9):506-516. doi:10.1016/j.tins.2009.05.009
- Calderón-Garcidueñas L, Macías-Parra M, Hoffmann HJ, et al. Immunotoxicity and Environment: Immunodysregulation and Systemic Inflammation in Children. *Toxicol Pathol.* 2009;37(2):161-169. doi:10.1177/0192623308329340
- Pham-Huy LA, He H, Pham-Huy C. Free radicals, antioxidants in disease and health. *Int J Biomed Sci.* 2008;4(2):89-96.

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23675073. Accessed March 31, 2019.

- Fournier K, Baumont E, Glorennec P, Bonvallot N. Relative toxicity for indoor semi volatile organic compounds based on neuronal death. *Toxicol Lett.* 2017:279. doi:10.1016/j.toxlet.2017.07.875ï
- 40. Conner EM, Grisham MB. Inflammation, free radicals and antioxidants. *Nutrition*.
 1996;12(4):274-277. doi:10.1016/S0899-9007(96)00000-8
- Black CN, Bot M, Scheffer PG, Cuijpers P, Penninx BWJH. Is depression associated with increased oxidative stress? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2015;51:164-175.

doi:10.1016/J.PSYNEUEN.2014.09.025

- 42. Crouse DL, Peters PA, van Donkelaar A, et al. Risk of nonaccidental and cardiovascular mortality in relation to long-term exposure to low concentrations of fine particulate matter: A canadian national-level cohort study. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2012;120(5):708-714. doi:10.1289/ehp.1104049
- Roman HA, Walker KD, Walsh TL, et al. Expert Judgment Assessment of the Mortality Impact of Changes in Ambient Fine Particulate Matter in the U.S. *Environ Sci Technol.* 2008;42(7):2268-2274. doi:10.1021/es0713882
- Pinault L, Tjepkema M, Crouse DL, et al. Risk estimates of mortality attributed to low concentrations of ambient fine particulate matter in the Canadian community health survey cohort. *Environ Heal A Glob Access Sci Source*. 2016;15(1). doi:10.1186/s12940-016-0111-6
- 45. Lelieveld J, Barlas C, Giannadaki D, Pozzer A. Sciences ess Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Climate of the Past Geoscientific Instrumentation Methods and Data Systems Model calculated global, regional and megacity premature mortality due to air pollution. *Atmos Chem Phys.* 2013;13:7023-7037. doi:10.5194/acp-13-7023-2013
- 46. Pope III CA. Lung Cancer, Cardiopulmonary Mortality, and Long-term Exposure to Fine Particulate Air Pollution. *JAMA*. 2002;287(9):1132.
 doi:10.1001/jama.287.9.1132
- 47. Fann N, Lamson AD, Anenberg SC, Wesson K, Risley D, Hubbell BJ. Estimating the National Public Health Burden Associated with Exposure to Ambient PM 2.5 and Ozone. *Risk Anal.* 2012;32(1). doi:10.1111/j.1539-6924.2011.01630.x

- 48. Cohen AJ, Brauer M, Burnett R, et al. Estimates and 25-year trends of the global burden of disease attributable to ambient air pollution: an analysis of data from the Global Burden of Diseases Study 2015. *Lancet*. 2017;389(10082):1907-1918. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30505-6
- 49. Pun VC, Manjourides J, Suh H. Association of ambient air pollution with depressive and anxiety symptoms in older adults: Results from the NSHAP study. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2017;125(3):342-348. doi:10.1289/EHP494
- Szyszkowicz M, Rowe BH, Colman I. Air Pollution and Daily Emergency Department Visits for Depression. *Int J Occup Med Environ Health*. 2009;22(4):355-362. doi:10.2478/v10001-009-0031-6
- 51. Kim C, Jung SH, Kang DR, et al. Ambient Particulate Matter as a Risk Factor for Suicide. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2010;167(9):1100-1107. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2010.09050706
- 52. Yue JL, Liu H, Li H, et al. Association between ambient particulate matter and hospitalization for anxiety in China: A multicity case-crossover study. *Int J Hyg Environ Health*. 2020;223(1):171-178. doi:10.1016/j.ijheh.2019.09.006
- 53. Gu X, Liu Q, Deng F, et al. Association between particulate matter air pollution and risk of depression and suicide: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2019;215(2):456-467. doi:10.1192/bjp.2018.295
- 54. Lee S, Lee W, Kim D, et al. Short-term PM2.5 exposure and emergency hospital admissions for mental disease. *Environ Res.* 2019;171:313-320. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2019.01.036
- 55. MacNeill M, Kearney J, Wallace L, et al. Quantifying the contribution of ambient

and indoor-generated fine particles to indoor air in residential environments. *Indoor Air*. 2014;24(4):362-375. doi:10.1111/ina.12084

- 56. Qing YM, Turpin BJ, Korn L, et al. Influence of ambient (outdoor) sources on residential indoor and personal PM2.5 concentrations: Analyses of RIOPA data. J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol. 2005;15(1):17-28. doi:10.1038/sj.jea.7500378
- 57. Weisel CP, Zhang J, Turpin BJ, et al. Relationship of Indoor, Outdoor and Personal Air (RIOPA) study: Study design, methods and quality assurance/control results. *J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol*. 2005;15(2):123-137. doi:10.1038/sj.jea.7500379
- Ji W, Zhao B. Estimating Mortality Derived from Indoor Exposure to Particles of Outdoor Origin. Sun Q, ed. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(4):e0124238. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0124238
- Brown KW, Minegishi T, Allen JG, McCarthy JF, Spengler JD, MacIntosh DL. Reducing patients' exposures to asthma and allergy triggers in their homes: an evaluation of effectiveness of grades of forced air ventilation filters. *J Asthma*. 2014;51(6):585-594. doi:10.3109/02770903.2014.895011
- Bräuner EV, Forchhammer L, Møller P, et al. Indoor Particles Affect Vascular Function in the Aged. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2008;177(4):419-425. doi:10.1164/rccm.200704-632OC
- Montgomery JF, Reynolds CCO, Rogak SN, Green SI. Financial implications of modifications to building filtration systems. *Build Environ*. 2015;85:17-28. doi:10.1016/j.buildenv.2014.11.005
- 62. Fisk WJ. Health benefits of particle filtration. *Indoor Air*. 2013;23(5):357-368.

doi:10.1111/ina.12036

- Stephens B, Siegel JA. Ultrafine particle removal by residential heating, ventilating, and air-conditioning filters. *Indoor Air*. 2013;23(6):488-497. doi:10.1111/ina.12045
- Azimi P, Zhao D, Stephens B. Estimates of HVAC filtration efficiency for fine and ultrafine particles of outdoor origin. *Atmos Environ*. 2014;98:337-346.
 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.09.007
- 65. Fisk WJ, Chan WR. Effectiveness and cost of reducing particle-related mortality with particle filtration. *Indoor Air*. 2017;27(5):909-920. doi:10.1111/ina.12371
- 66. Zhao D, Azimi P, Stephens B. Evaluating the Long-Term Health and Economic Impacts of Central Residential Air Filtration for Reducing Premature Mortality Associated with Indoor Fine Particulate Matter (PM2.5) of Outdoor Origin. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2015;12(7):8448-8479. doi:10.3390/ijerph120708448
- Azimi P, Stephens B. HVAC filtration for controlling infectious airborne disease transmission in indoor environments: Predicting risk reductions and operational costs. *Build Environ*. 2013;70(0):150-160.
 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2013.08.025
- 68. Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) Analysis & Projections U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA). https://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/reports/2009/air-conditioning.php. Accessed December 16, 2019.
- 69. US EPA O. Air Quality Cities and Counties.
- 70. Ozkaynak H, Xue ; J, Spengler ; J, Wallace ' L, Pellizzari E, Jenkins AP. Personal

Exposure to Airborne Particles and Metals: Results from the Particle TEAM Study in Riverside, California. Vol 6.; 1996.

http://legacy.library.ucsf.edu/tid/tco86a00/pdf. Accessed December 13, 2019.

- Murray DM, Burmaster DE. Residential Air Exchange Rates in the United States: Empirical and Estimated Parametric Distributions by Season and Climatic Region. *Risk Anal.* 1995;15(4):459-465. doi:10.1111/j.1539-6924.1995.tb00338.x
- Williams R, Suggs J, Rea A, Sheldon L, Rodes C, Thornburg J. The Research Triangle Park particulate matter panel study: Modeling ambient source contribution to personal and residential PM mass concentrations. *Atmos Environ*. 2003;37(38):5365-5378. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2003.09.010
- Fazli T, Stephens B. Development of a nationally representative set of combined building energy and indoor air quality models for U.S. residences. *Build Environ*. 2018;136:198-212. doi:10.1016/j.buildenv.2018.03.047
- Jump DA, Walker IS, Modera MP. *Title Field Measurements of Efficiency and Duct Effectiveness in Residential Forced Air Distributions Systems*.; 2011.
 https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6612z7st. Accessed December 17, 2019.
- 75. Stephens B, Siegel JA, Novoselac A. Operational characteristics of residential and light-commercial air-conditioning systems in a hot and humid climate zone. *Build Environ.* 2011;46(10):1972-1983. doi:10.1016/j.buildenv.2011.04.005
- 76. Stephens B, Siegel JA. Comparison of Test Methods for Determining the Particle Removal Efficiency of Filters in Residential and Light-Commercial Central HVAC Systems. *Aerosol Sci Technol.* 2011;46(5):504-513. doi:10.1080/02786826.2011.642825

- Boulanger G, Bayeux T, Mandin C, et al. Socio-economic costs of indoor air pollution: A tentative estimation for some pollutants of health interest in France. *Environ Int.* 2017;104:14-24. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2017.03.025
- 78. Results from the 2017 National Survey on Drug Use and Health: Detailed Tables, SAMHSA, CBHSQ. https://www.samhsa.gov/data/sites/default/files/cbhsqreports/NSDUHDetailedTabs2017/NSDUHDetailedTabs2017.htm#tab8-56A. Accessed December 17, 2019.
- 79. Braithwaite I, Zhang S, Kirkbride JB, Osborn DPJ, Hayes JF. Air Pollution (Particulate Matter) Exposure and Associations with Depression, Anxiety, Bipolar, Psychosis and Suicide Risk: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2019;127(12):126002. doi:10.1289/EHP4595
- Greenberg PE, Fournier A-A, Sisitsky T, Pike CT, Kessler RC. The Economic Burden of Adults With Major Depressive Disorder in the United States (2005 and 2010). *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2015;76(2):155-162. doi:10.4088/JCP.14m09298
- 81. Bureau UC. *Historical Households Tables*.
- R Development Core Team R. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.; 2011. doi:10.1007/978-3-540-74686-7
- Wickham H. ggplot2. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Comput Stat. 2011. doi:10.1002/wics.147
- 84. US EPA O. Particulate Matter (PM2.5) Trends.
- Henderson DE, Milford JB, Miller SL. Prescribed Burns and Wildfires in Colorado: Impacts of Mitigation Measures on Indoor Air Particulate Matter. *J Air Waste Manage Assoc.* 2012;55(10):1516-1526.

doi:10.1080/10473289.2005.10464746

- Sharma SK, Mandal TK. Chemical composition of fine mode particulate matter (PM 2.5) in an urban area of Delhi, India and its source apportionment. *Urban Clim.* 2017;21:106-122. doi:10.1016/j.uclim.2017.05.009
- 87. Zíková N, Wang Y, Yang F, Li X, Tian M, Hopke PK. On the source contribution to Beijing PM2.5 concentrations. *Atmos Environ*. 2016;134:84-95. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.03.047
- Azimi P, Stephens B. A framework for estimating the US mortality burden of fine particulate matter exposure attributable to indoor and outdoor microenvironments. *J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol.* 2018. doi:10.1038/s41370-018-0103-4
- 89. Walker I, Singer B, Chan R. Ventilation and Measured Indoor Air Quality in New US Homes.; 2019.
- 90. Rittmaster R, Adamowicz WL, Amiro B, Pelletier RT. Economic analysis of health effects from forest fires. *Can J For Res.* 2006;36(4):4. doi:10.1139/X05-293
- 91. Van Donkelaar A, Martin R V, Levy RC, et al. Satellite-based estimates of ground-level fine particulate matter during extreme events: A case study of the Moscow fires in 2010. 2011. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.07.068
- 92. Pant P, Habib G, Marshall JD, Peltier RE. PM2.5 exposure in highly polluted cities: A case study from New Delhi, India. *Environ Res.* 2017;156:167-174. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2017.03.024
- 93. Yang Y, Liu L, Xu C, et al. Source Apportionment and Influencing Factor Analysis of Residential Indoor PM2.5 in Beijing. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2018;15(4):686. doi:10.3390/ijerph15040686

- 94. Xu C, Li N, Yang Y, et al. Investigation and modeling of the residential infiltration of fine particulate matter in Beijing, China. *J Air Waste Manage Assoc*.
 2017;67(6):694-701. doi:10.1080/10962247.2016.1272503
- 95. Azimi P, Zhao D, Stephens B. Modeling the impact of residential HVAC filtration on indoor particles of outdoor origin (RP-1691). *Sci Technol Built Environ*. 2016;00:1-32. doi:10.1080/23744731.2016.1163239
- 96. Chen C, Zhao B. Review of relationship between indoor and outdoor particles: I/O ratio, infiltration factor and penetration factor. *Atmos Environ*. 2011;45:275-288. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.09.048
- 97. Semple S, Apsley A, Azmina Ibrahim T, Turner SW, Cherrie JW. Fine particulate matter concentrations in smoking households: just how much secondhand smoke do you breathe in if you live with a smoker who smokes indoors? *Tob Control*. 2015;24:205-211. doi:10.1136/tobaccocontrol
- 98. Wallace LA, Mitchell H, O'Connor GT, et al. Particle concentrations in inner-city homes of children with asthma: The effect of smoking, cooking, and outdoor pollution. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2003;111(9):1265-1272. doi:10.1289/ehp.6135
- 99. Lei Y, Zhang Q, He KB, Streets DG. Primary anthropogenic aerosol emission trends for China, 1990–2005. *Atmos Chem Phys.* 2011;11(3):931-954. doi:10.5194/acp-11-931-2011
- Prasad R, Singh A, Garg R, Hosmane GB. Biomass fuel exposure and respiratory diseases in India. *Biosci Trends*. 2012;6(5):219-228.
 doi:10.5582/bst.2012.v6.5.219

- 101. American Society of Heating Refrigerating and Air Conditioning Engineers. ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 62.2-2019, Ventilation and Acceptable Indoor Air Quality in Residential Buildings. Atlanta, GA; 2019.
- 102. Krzyzanowski M, Cohen A. Update of WHO air quality guidelines. *Air Qual Atmos Heal*. 2008;1(1):7-13. doi:10.1007/s11869-008-0008-9
- MacIntosh DL, Minegishi T, Kaufman M, et al. The benefits of whole-house induct air cleaning in reducing exposures to fine particulate matter of outdoor origin: A modeling analysis. *J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol*. 2010;20(2):213-224. doi:10.1038/jes.2009.16
- Spilak MP, Karottki GD, Kolarik B, Frederiksen M, Loft S, Gunnarsen L.
 Evaluation of building characteristics in 27 dwellings in Denmark and the effect of using particle filtration units on PM2.5 concentrations. *Build Environ*. 2014;73:55-63. doi:10.1016/j.buildenv.2013.11.020
- 105. Cox J, Isiugo K, Ryan P, et al. Effectiveness of a portable air cleaner in removing aerosol particles in homes close to highways. *Indoor Air*. 2018;28(6):818-827. doi:10.1111/ina.12502
- 106. Kabrein H, Hariri A, Leman AM, Noraini NMR, Yusof MZM, Afandi A. Impact of the air filtration on indoor particle concentration by using combination filters in offices building. In: *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*. Vol 243. Institute of Physics Publishing; 2017. doi:10.1088/1757-899X/243/1/012051
- 107. Yang S, Zhu Z, Wei F, Yang X. Carbon nanotubes / activated carbon fiber based air filter media for simultaneous removal of particulate matter and ozone. *Build*

Environ. 2017;125:60-66. doi:10.1016/j.buildenv.2017.08.040

- Perini K, Ottelé M, Giulini S, Magliocco A, Roccotiello E. Quantification of fine dust deposition on different plant species in a vertical greening system. *Ecol Eng.* 2017;100:268-276. doi:10.1016/j.ecoleng.2016.12.032
- 109. Pettit T, Irga PJ, Abdo P, Torpy FR. Do the plants in functional green walls contribute to their ability to filter particulate matter? *Build Environ*. 2017;125:299-307. doi:10.1016/j.buildenv.2017.09.004
- 110. Fisk WJ, Chan WR. Health benefits and costs of filtration interventions that reduce indoor exposure to PM2.5 during wildfires. *Indoor Air*. 2017;27(1):191-204. doi:10.1111/ina.12285
- Hope J. A review of the mechanism of injury and treatment approaches for illness resulting from exposure to water-damaged buildings, mold, and mycotoxins. *Sci World J.* 2013;2013. doi:10.1155/2013/767482
- 112. Ratnaseelan AM, Tsilioni I, Theoharides TC. Effects of Mycotoxins on Neuropsychiatric Symptoms and Immune Processes. *Clin Ther*. 2018;40(6):903-917. doi:10.1016/j.clinthera.2018.05.004
- 113. Kim JH, Moon JY, Park E-Y, Lee K-H, Hong Y-C. Changes in oxidative stress biomarker and gene expression levels in workers exposed to volatile organic compounds. *Ind Health*. 2011;49(1):8-14. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20823639. Accessed June 3, 2019.
- 114. Grešner P, Świercz R, Wąsowicz W, Gromadzińska J. Faster health deterioration among nail technicians occupationally exposed to low levels of volatile organic compounds. *Int J Occup Med Environ Health*. 2016;30(3):469-483.

doi:10.13075/ijomeh.1896.00854

- 115. Fitch MN, Phillippi D, Zhang Y, et al. Effects of inhaled air pollution on markers of integrity, inflammation, and microbiota profiles of the intestines in Apolipoprotein E knockout mice. *Environ Res.* 2020;181:108913. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2019.108913
- 116. Lowry CA, Smith DG, Siebler PH, et al. The Microbiota, Immunoregulation, and Mental Health: Implications for Public Health. *Curr Environ Heal reports*. 2016;3(3):270-286. doi:10.1007/s40572-016-0100-5
- 117. Hoisington AJ, Brenner LA, Kinney KA, Postolache TT, Lowry CA. The microbiome of the built environment and mental health. *Microbiome*. 2015;3(1):1-12. doi:10.1186/s40168-015-0127-0
- 118. Fan SJ, Heinrich J, Bloom MS, et al. Ambient air pollution and depression: A systematic review with meta-analysis up to 2019. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020;701. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134721
- 119. Cui P, Huang Y, Han J, Song F, Chen K. Ambient particulate matter and lung cancer incidence and mortality: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Eur J Public Health*. 2015;25(2):324-329. doi:10.1093/eurpub/cku145
- Huang F, Pan B, Wu J, Chen E, Chen L. Relationship between exposure to PM2.5 and lung cancer incidence and mortality: A meta-analysis. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8(26):43322-43331. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.17313
- 121. Chen G, Wan X, Yang G, Zou X. Traffic-related air pollution and lung cancer: A meta-analysis. *Thorac Cancer*. 2015;6(3):307-318. doi:10.1111/1759-7714.12185
- 122. Butt EW, Turnock ST, Rigby R, et al. Global and regional trends in particulate air

pollution and attributable health burden over the past 50 years. *Environ Res Lett*. 2017;12(10). doi:10.1088/1748-9326/aa87be

- Hu T, Singer BC, Logue JM. Compilation of Published PM2.5 Emission Rates for Cooking, Candles and Incense for Use in Modeling of Exposures in Residences.
 Berkeley, CA (United States); 2012. doi:10.2172/1172959
- 124. Ferro AR, Kopperud RJ, Hildemann LM. Source Strengths for Indoor Human Activities that Resuspend Particulate Matter. *Environ Sci Technol*. 2004;38(6):1759-1764. doi:10.1021/es0263893
- 125. Titos G, Lyamani H, Pandolfi M, Alastuey A, Alados-Arboledas L. Identification of fine (PM 1) and coarse (PM 10-1) sources of particulate matter in an urban environment. *Atmos Environ*. 2014;89(July 2018):593-602. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.03.001
- Mazzei F, D'alessandro A, Lucarelli F, et al. Characterization of particulate matter sources in an urban environment. *Sci Total Environ*. 2008;401(1-3):81-89. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.03.008
- 127. Pey J, Querol X, Alastuey A, Rodríguez S, Philippe Putaud J, Van Dingenen R.
 Source apportionment of urban fine and ultra-fine particle number concentration in a Western Mediterranean city. *Atmos Environ*. 2009;43(29):4407-4415. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.05.024
- 128. Stanek LW, Sacks JD, Dutton SJ, Dubois JJB. Attributing health effects to apportioned components and sources of particulate matter: An evaluation of collective results. *Atmos Environ*. 2011;45(32):5655-5663. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.07.023

- 129. Jalava PI, Happo MS, Huttunen K, et al. Chemical and microbial components of urban air PM cause seasonal variation of toxicological activity. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol.* 2016;40(2):375-387. doi:10.1016/j.etap.2015.06.023
- De La Garza R. Endotoxin- or pro-inflammatory cytokine-induced sickness behavior as an animal model of depression: Focus on anhedonia. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2005;29(4-5):761-770. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2005.03.016
- 131. Tonelli LH, Holmes A, Postolache TT. Intranasal immune challenge induces sexdependent depressive-like behavior and cytokine expression in the brain. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2008;33(5):1038-1048. doi:10.1038/sj.npp.1301488
- Tonelli LH, Postolache T. Airborne inflammatory factors: "from the nose to the brain." *Front Biosci.* 2010;2:135-152. doi:10.2741/s52
- 133. Evans GW, Kantrowitz E. Socioeconomic Status and Health: The Potential Role of Environmental Risk Exposure. *Annu Rev Public Health*. 2002;23(1):303-331. doi:10.1146/annurev.publhealth.23.112001.112349
- 134. Adamkiewicz G, Zota AR, Fabian MP, et al. Moving environmental justice indoors: understanding structural influences on residential exposure patterns in low-income communities. *Am J Public Health*. 2011;101 Suppl 1:S238-45. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2011.300119
- 135. Everson SA, Maty SC, Lynch JW, Kaplan GA. Epidemiologic evidence for the relation between socioeconomic status and depression, obesity, and diabetes. In: *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*. Vol 53. Elsevier Inc.; 2002:891-895. doi:10.1016/S0022-3999(02)00303-3
- 136. Hiscock R, Bauld L, Amos A, Fidler JA, Munafò M, Munafò M. Socioeconomic

status and smoking: a review. *Ann NY Acad Sci*. 2012;1248:107-123. doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.2011.06202.x

- 137. Glassman AH, Helzer JE, Covey LS, et al. Smoking, Smoking Cessation, and Major Depression. *JAMA J Am Med Assoc*. 1990;264(12):1546-1549. doi:10.1001/jama.1990.03450120058029
- 138. Brown RA, Lewinsohn PM, Seeley JR, Wagner EF. Cigarette smoking, major depression, and other psychiatric disorders among adolescents. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 1996;35(12):1602-1610. doi:10.1097/00004583-199612000-00011
- Fergusson DM, Goodwin RD, Horwood LJ. Major depression and cigarette smoking: Results of a 21-year longitudinal study. *Psychol Med.* 2003;33(8):1357-1367. doi:10.1017/S0033291703008596
- 140. Luepker R V., Rosamond WD, Murphy R, et al. Socioeconomic status and coronary heart disease risk factor trends: The Minnesota heart survey. *Circulation*. 1993;88(5):2172-2179. doi:10.1161/01.CIR.88.5.2172
- 141. Connolly V, Unwin N, Sherriff P, Bilous R, Kelly W. Diabetes prevalence and socioeconomic status: A population based study showing increased prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus in deprived areas. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2000;54(3):173-177. doi:10.1136/jech.54.3.173
- 142. Ward E, Jemal A, Cokkinides V, et al. Cancer Disparities by Race/Ethnicity and Socioeconomic Status. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2004;54(2):78-93. doi:10.3322/canjclin.54.2.78
- 143. Geerlings SW, Beekman ATF, Deeg DJH, Van Tilburg W. Physical health and the

onset and persistence of depression in older adults : an eight-wave prospective community-based study. *Psychol Med.* 2000;30:369-380. http://dare.ubvu.vu.nl/bitstream/handle/1871/23180/155557.pdf?sequence=1. Accessed May 26, 2019.

- 144. Espenshade TJ, Kamenske G, Turchi BA. Family size and economic welfare. *Fam Plann Perspect.* 1983;15(6):289-294. doi:10.2307/2135299
- 145. Smoking is down, but almost 38 million American adults still smoke | CDC Online Newsroom | CDC. https://www.cdc.gov/media/releases/2018/p0118-smokingrates-declining.html. Accessed December 18, 2019.
- 146. Hoffmann D, Hoffmann I. The changing cigarette, 1950-1995. *J Toxicol Environ Health*. 1997;50(4):307-364. doi:10.1080/009841097160393

Supplemental Information

Scenario Differences

The methods described are used to generate estimates of the number of cases of major depressive disorder in the US that would occur if the listed ambient air and indoor emission values were present across the entire country. The different scenarios and their respective ambient air and indoor emission values are listed in Supplemental Table 1.

Supplemental Table 1 – Scenario specific values			
Scenario	Outdoor Ambient Air $(\mu g * m^{-3})$ (mean, 98 th percentile)	Indoor Emissions $(\mu g * h^{-1})$ (mean, SD)	
United States Average	8.159, 10.47†	2.62, 1.11	
New York City	7.4, 19	2.62, 1.11	
Cincinnati	9.3, 20	2.62, 1.11	
Sacramento	10.8, 53	2.62, 1.11	
Smoking Indoors	8.159, 10.47†	3784.38, 539.58‡	
Homes Near Wildfires	37.5, 2.1	2.62, 1.11	
Delhi, India	125.5, 77.2 ⁸⁶	2.62, 1.11	
Beijing, China	107.4, 84.4 ⁸⁷	2.62, 1.11	

† - 90th percentile

 \ddagger - Assumes one individual smokes 14 cigarettes per day ¹⁴⁵, for an average of 5 minutes per cigarette ¹⁴⁶

Supplemental Table 2 – Individual Filter Information

Filter	Annual Operating Costs (\$)	Particle Removal Efficiency (unitless)
No filter	0	0.00
MERV 7	20	0.07
MERV 8 (A)	40	0.20
MERV 8 (B)	45	0.35
MERV 12	70	0.50
MERV 13	80	0.65

Concentration Distributions



Supplemental Figure 1 – Density plot of calculated $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations for US Average Scenario



Supplemental Figure 2 – Density plot of calculated $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations for NYC Scenario



Supplemental Figure 3 – Density plot of calculated $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations for Cincinnati Scenario



Supplemental Figure 4 – Density plot of calculated $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations for Sacramento Scenario



Supplemental Figure 5 – Density plot of calculated $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations for Smoking Indoors Scenario



Supplemental Figure 6 – Density plot of calculated $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations for Wildfires Scenario



Supplemental Figure 7 – Density plot of calculated $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations for Delhi Scenario



Supplemental Figure 8 – Density plot of calculated $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations for Beijing Scenario

R code used for model and figures

library(lognorm)

N=100

MDDdata <- NULL

#-----

#Ambient Air information for each scenario

A_name <- c("(1, US Avg)","(2, NYC)","(3, Cincinnati)","(4, Sacramento)","(5,

Smoking Indoors)","(6, Wildfires)","(7, Delhi)","(8, Beijing)")

A_median_log <- c(8.159, 7.4, 9.3, 10.8, 8.159, 273.6, 125.5, 107.4) #mean from data

A_value_at_percentile <- c(10.47, 19, 20, 53, 10.47, 440, 202.7, 191.8) #Upper bound

A_percentile <- c(0.90, 0.98, 0.98, 0.98, 0.90, 0.68, 0.68, 0.68) #note fraction

Ambient_Air <- data.frame(A_name, A_median_log, A_value_at_percentile,

A_percentile) #place all the values into a dataframe

S <- getParmsLognormForMedianAndUpper(A_median_log, A_value_at_percentile, sigmaFac = qnorm(A_percentile)) #converts to a lognormal dist from info given Log_df<- data.frame(S) #placed into dataframe

log_dist <- rlnorm(100000,Log_df[6,1],Log_df[6,2]) #test
qplot(log_dist, bins = 100) #visual check</pre>

#-----

#Emissions information for each scenario

```
E_name <- c("US_Avg","NYC","Cincinnati","Sacramento","Smoking
```

Indoors", "Wildfires", "Delhi", "Beijing")

E_mean <- c(2.62, 2.62, 2.62, 2.62, 3784.38, 2.62, 2.62, 2.62)

 $E_SD \le c(1.11, 1.11, 1.11, 1.11, 539.38, 1.11, 1.11, 1.11)$

Emissions_df <- data.frame(E_name, E_mean, E_SD)

#-----

#MERV Ratings information

filter <- c("None", "7", "8A", "8B", "12", "13")

eff <- c(0,0.07,0.20,0.35,0.50,0.65)

MERVRatings df <- data.frame(filter, eff)

#-----

#Exposure Response Information

 $F \le 0.70$ #fraction of day spent in residence

mo <- 17700000 #annual number of MDD cases in US (2018)

B <- 0.009691 #converted OR

#-----

#Monte Carlo

for (X in 1:8) { #cycling through the different scenario information, ambient air and

emissions

for (n in 1:6) { #cycling through the different MERV ratings

for (i in 1:N){ #monte carlo sampling

Co <- rlnorm(N,Log_df[X,1],Log_df[X,2]) #lognormal dist ambient air

E <- rnorm(N,Emissions_df[X,2],Emissions_df[X,3]) #Emissions

E <- ifelse(E<0,0,E) #crop to minimum of 0

V <- rnorm(N,482,28.68) #building volume

P <- rnorm(N, 0.97,0.06) #penetration

 $P \le ifelse(P \ge 1, 1, P)$ #crop to maximum of 1

I <- rlnorm(N, meanlog = log(0.53), sdlog = (2.3)) #uses geometric mean and geoSD

to create lnorm dist

 $Q \le rlnorm(N, meanlog = log(4.36), sdlog = (1.44)) #HVAC flow rate, also$

lognormal

Dep <- rnorm(N,0.39,0.08) #deposition

Efficiency <- MERVRatings_df[n,2]

D <- rnorm(N,0.153,0.05) #duty cycle

D <- ifelse(D<0,0,D) #crop to minimum of 0

Concentration <-

```
Co*((P*I)/(I+Dep+D*Q*Efficiency))+E/((Dep+D*Q*Efficiency)*V)
```

```
MDD_cases <- F*mo*(1-exp(-B*Concentration))
```

```
MERV <- (MERVRatings_df[n,1])
```

```
Scenario <- (Ambient_Air[X,1])
```

```
MDDdata <- rbind(MDDdata, data.frame(MDD_cases, MERV, Scenario))
```

```
}
```

```
print(Efficiency)
```

```
}
```

}

US_adults <- 255190602 #number of people 18 and older

#figure displaying incidences per million people, in US cities

US_cities_MDD_df <- subset(MDDdata, Scenario =="(1, US Avg)" | Scenario =="(2,

NYC)" | Scenario == "(3, Cincinnati)" | Scenario == "(4, Sacramento)")

US_cities_MDD_df\$MDD_cases <--

US_cities_MDD_df\$MDD_cases/US_adults*1000000

colors <- c("(1, US Avg)" = "#B3E2CD", "(2, NYC)" = "#FDCDAC", "(3, Cincinnati)" =

"#CBD5E8", "(4, Sacramento)" = "#F4CAE4")

Fig2a <- ggplot(US_cities_MDD_df, aes(x=MERV, y=MDD_cases, fill=Scenario))+

 $geom_boxplot(outlier.size = -1) +$

ggtitle("MDD Estimates, US Cities") +

coord cartesian(ylim=c(0,10000)) +

scale_fill_manual(values =colors) +

scale_x_discrete(limits=c("None","7","8A","8B","12","13")) +

facet_wrap(~ Scenario, ncol = 2) +

theme(plot.title = element_text(hjust = 0.5), panel.grid.major = element_blank(),

panel.grid.minor = element_blank(), panel.background = element_blank(), axis.line =

```
element line(colour = "black"))+
```

```
labs(x = "MERV", y = "MDD Incidences per Million")
```

Fig2a

#figure displaying incidences per million people, in non US cities

colors1 <- c("(5, Smoking Indoors)" = "#E6F5C9", "(6, Wildfires)" = "#FFF2AE", "(7, Delhi)" = "#F1E2CC", "(8, Beijing)" = "#CCCCCC")

- non US cities MDD df <- subset(MDDdata, Scenario == "(5, Smoking Indoors)" |
- Scenario =="(6, Wildfires)" | Scenario =="(7, Delhi)" | Scenario =="(8, Beijing)")
- non_US_cities_MDD_df\$MDD_cases <--
- non_US_cities_MDD_df\$MDD_cases/US_adults*1000000
- Fig2b <-ggplot(non US cities MDD df, aes(x=MERV, y=MDD cases, fill=Scenario))+
- $geom_boxplot(outlier.size = -1) +$
- ggtitle("MDD Estimates, Alternate Scenarios") +
- $coord_cartesian(ylim=c(0,60000)) +$
- scale fill manual(values = colors1) +
- scale x discrete(limits=c("None","7","8A","8B","12","13")) +
- facet wrap(~ Scenario, ncol = 2) +
- theme(plot.title = element_text(hjust = 0.5), panel.grid.major = element_blank(),
- panel.grid.minor = element_blank(), panel.background = element_blank(), axis.line =
- element line(colour = "black"))+
- labs(x = "MERV", y = "MDD Incidences per Million")
- Fig2b

plot_grid(Fig2a, Fig2b, labels = "AUTO")

IV. The Triple Threat of Particulate Matter in the Built Environment

Chapter Overview

The purpose of this chapter is to promote awareness of the mental health effects that indoor air quality, specifically particulate matter, may have upon building occupants. Traditionally, building standards have only considered occupant physical health in design and construction. Discussing the factors that influence particulate matter concentrations within the built environment is key to informing engineers and architects of their role in addressing the mental health crisis within the military. This chapter is written with a target audience of civilian and military engineering personnel.

Publication Intention

Title: The Triple Threat of Particulate Matter in the Built Environment Publication: The Military Engineer

The triple threat of particulate matter in the built environment

William Taylor, Capt, USAF; Lisa A Brenner, Department of Veteran Affairs; Andrew Hoisington, Lt Col, USAF

Captain William Taylor is an Air Force Civil Engineering Officer currently pursuing his master's degree in engineering management at the Air Force Institute of Technology (AFIT). Capt Taylor is researching mental health and air quality under the tutelage of AFIT assistant professor Lt Col Andrew Hoisington. Dr. Lisa Brenner and her team at the Department of Veteran Affairs Rocky Mountain Mental Illness Research Education Clinical Center (MIRECC) provided crucial guidance and assistance for this research.

Air quality is a broad term that is often referenced as having health implications, yet many people may not understand the mechanisms behind those health effects. Atmospheric air is naturally composed of a handful of elements, including nitrogen, oxygen, argon, carbon dioxide, and trace amounts of other gases. Over time, anthropogenic activities have increased a few of these other gases to potentially harmful levels. In the mid 20th century, scientists and healthcare researchers began to recognize the effects that some of these gases were having on humans. As a result, the Clean Air Act of 1963 was passed, eventually to fall under the authority of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) established seven years later. The EPA identified six criteria pollutants to regulate, including sulfur dioxide, carbon monoxide, ozone, nitrogen dioxide, lead, and particulate matter. Of these, particulate matter current shows the most consistent relationship with health effects. Particulate matter (PM) is small particles of solid or liquid matter prevalent in the air. PM consists of a wide variety of types of material, such as dust, bacteria, smoke, ash, smog, and heavy metals, and can originate naturally or be produced from anthropogenic sources. Common sources of PM include wildfires, dust storms, industrial activities, construction or demolition, and the burning of fossil fuels. PM is categorized into three groups: PM₁₀ consists of particles smaller than 10 micrometers, PM_{2.5} consists of particles smaller than 2.5 micrometers, and PM_{0.1} consists of particles smaller than 0.1 micrometers. PM may contribute to impairments to physical health, cognitive function, or mental health, as outlined in proceeding paragraphs.



Figure 1 – The triple threat of air pollution: physical, cognitive, and mental health

Researchers estimate that $PM_{2.5}$ caused 4.2 million deaths worldwide in 2015, making it the fifth highest mortality risk factor. The resultant loss of life is primarily through damages to physical health. In particular, there is a connection between $PM_{2.5}$ exposure and cardiovascular or respiratory diseases, such as lower respiratory infection, lung cancer, ischemic heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder. Additionally, PM_{2.5} can exacerbate asthma conditions and contribute to the onset of bronchitis. Chronic PM_{2.5} accumulation can cause result in the aforementioned health effects through a variety of biological mechanisms, by penetrating into the lungs and depositing into the alveoli and bronchioles, or entering into the bloodstream.

Academic research has also shown that PM_{2.5} is associated with reduction in cognitive function. When PM deposits within the body, it can lead to chronic inflammation, which is associated with cognitive decline. A study of elderly adults showed that general cognition, attention, and memory scores declined at faster rates where PM concentrations were higher. Some preliminary studies have even linked PM_{2.5} exposure as a risk factor for Alzheimer's disease. Autopsies of deceased individuals living in cities with high PM_{2.5} concentrations showed biologically relevant inflammatory markers regularly associated with Alzheimer's disease. The mechanism behind this relationship is unclear, but one theory suggests that chronic inflammation of the respiratory tract alters the levels of certain proteins within the bloodstream. These proteins cause the brain to develop chronic inflammation, leading to Alzheimer's.

A growing body of research is also working to connect $PM_{2.5}$ exposure to mental illnesses. Anxiety, depression, and suicide all have population level studies that show their association with $PM_{2.5}$ exposure, with both impacts being acute and chronic exposure. Researchers can utilize Geographical Information Systems to measure and model concentrations in specific areas, and compare the PM levels found with the mental health records of patients residing in area. This helps them to identify trends and explore the potential effects that PM may influence. For example, a study of over 70,000 women found that symptoms of anxiety were higher in women who lived in areas of higher PM_{2.5} concentration. Research in South Korea identified long-term PM_{2.5} exposure as a risk factor for depression, as well as suicide. Large spikes in the study's measured PM_{2.5} concentrations increased the risk of suicide by 9% within the next two days, especially among individuals already suffering from a cardiovascular disease. Commonly cited theories for the biological connection between mental health and PM_{2.5} include chronic inflammation and oxidative stress, which is an imbalance of certain chemicals within the body. Oxidative stress and chronic inflammation have been shown to correlate with depression and other mental health outcomes.

Even though most of the PM_{2.5} that is harmful to humans is generated by outdoor sources and processes, the majority of our exposure comes through our time within buildings. PM_{2.5} penetrates through leaks in the building envelope, and is recirculated indoors by the HVAC system. There are some common indoor activities that can generate PM_{2.5} as well, such as smoking, cooking, and cleaning. Although engineers and architects have little to no control over the outdoor concentrations of PM_{2.5}, design and construction decisions can be made that promote the reduction of this harmful pollutant within the confines of the built environment.

While PM_{2.5} enters buildings through leaks in the building envelope, higher Minimum Efficiency Reporting Value (MERV) filters can reduce the amount that circulates through the HVAC system. Higher MERV filters are more expensive than the minimum MERV 6 filter that ASHRAE recommends, but benefit/cost analysis studies

107
show that the increase in price is warranted by the reduction in costs due to health effects. Installing these filters is an important first step in eliminating a large portion of indoor $PM_{2.5}$. We modeled indoor $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations for a research project and found that upgrading from a MERV 6 to a MERV 13 filter reduced indoor $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations by approximately 20%. Architects and engineers can take further steps in eliminating PM exposure indoors through design and construction techniques that eliminate infiltration, which happens when PM passes through small openings in the building envelope.

Individuals can take measures at home to reduce indoor PM concentrations as well. A portable air cleaner is a small device that can filter the air in a small portion of a building or home. In some scenarios, it may be beneficial to purchase and operate a portable air cleaner, mainly when occupants are sensitive to poor air quality or are in poor health. In this scenario, a portable air cleaner may provide a positive return on investment, lowering their expected health costs through the reduction of some of the PM_{2.5} they may be inhaling. Additionally, homeowners should ensure that emissions from indoor cooking are properly removed through fume hoods vented outdoors. Some literature exists to suggest that gas stoves contribute to indoor PM emissions as well; installation of an electric stove instead of a gas range would mitigate these emissions. Lastly, omitting obvious sources of PM indoors such as smoking or burning incense will reduce indoor PM concentrations, if those activities were previously present.

Veterans experience PTSD and other mental health disorders at a higher rate than civilians. Aside from the traumas and stressors of military life, the different environmental factors that military members are exposed to could be influencing this higher rate of mental illness. For example, deployments to the Middle East expose military personnel to higher concentrations of ambient PM in the form of dust. The Air Force Institute of Technology and the Department of Veteran Affairs Rocky Mountain



Mental Illness Research Education Clinical Center (MIRECC) have partnered to study the impact that the built environment has upon military members. Veterans and active duty members have been

surveyed to compare aspects of their residences to their mental health state. Identifying trends in the responses may reveal aspects of the built environment that are having effects on mental health, and future design and construction standards can utilize this information to positively impact the mental health of building occupants.

Engineers can have a measurable impact on indoor air quality, through implementation of tactics in our own facilities, as well as through supporting policy that reduces PM_{2.5} and other airborne pollutants worldwide. Improved standards for industrial and automobile emissions could reduce PM_{2.5} concentrations. In developing countries, finding ways to supply citizens with electricity would eliminate the emissions created from burning biomass for heating and cooking. Lastly, developing effective strategies for preventing and containing wildfires would reduce ambient PM_{2.5} in certain environments. These choices can have a positive impact on the physical, cognitive, and mental health of building occupants. Providing awareness of the impact that air quality has upon health is the first step, and promoting healthy buildings through design choices is the next.

V. Conclusions and Recommendations

Conclusions of Research

Identifying common indoor air pollutants and analyzing their propensity to influence mental health led to following research objectives:

1. Comprehend common indoor air pollutants and the mechanisms behind their effects on mental illness.

2. Synthesize a framework model that is used to estimate the prevalence to which a specific pollutant impacts mental health on a national scale, and perform a cost benefit analysis of filtration methods for preventing mental health outcomes from said pollutant.

3. Evaluate information found from the first two objectives for applicability to military specific populations.

Answering the first question required a thorough review of academic literature pertaining to the topic of indoor air quality and mental health. "Indoor Air Quality and Mental Health Outcomes" highlighted three separate common indoor air pollutants, particulate matter, volatile organic compounds, and mold. Coming to the conclusion that these pollutants all may influence physical health, cognitive function, and mental health, and that physical health and cognitive function have an impact on mental health, leads to the recognition that mental health is influenced through three separate channels due to indoor air quality. Some confounding variables exist in this research, however. Most studies of air quality and health rely on outdoor sampled concentrations, while the majority of exposure happens within the built environment, the same concentrations of

110

exposure may not exist indoors. These outdoor sampled concentrations are also assumed to apply to all individuals near the sample point, when in reality, personal exposures may be much different. Additionally, most studies of air quality and health do not account for the composition of particulate matter, which may influence physical, cognitive, and mental outcomes. Refining epidemiological studies of air quality and health may lead to more conclusive results in literature and applications.

The second research objective is addressed in Chapter 3 "A Framework for Estimating the US Mental Health Burden Attributable to Indoor Fine Particulate Matter Exposure." Based on knowledge gained from Chapter 2, an indoor mass balance model was combined with an exposure-response function, generating an estimated number of major depressive disorders for selected building parameters. The model found that indoor fine particulate matter exposure may account for 2.3% of major depressive disorders in the United States. Furthermore, increased ambient concentrations and indoor emissions of fine particulate matter were found to influence the number of estimated cases of major depressive disorder. These results suggest that built environments with higher indoor concentrations of fine particulate matter may be a higher risk for occupant development of major depressive disorder. A further economic analysis portion of the model estimated that in the average United States home, it is not beneficial to buy to a filter rated higher than the ASHRAE recommended MERV6 solely for the purposes of avoiding major depressive disorder. However, the calculated benefit-to-cost ratios did not include the economic worth of avoidance of physical disease, or loss of cognitive function, due to fine particulate matter exposure. In some situations, the value of avoiding mental health outcomes does justify the purchase of a more efficient filter, such as in localities where

outdoor concentrations of fine particulate matter are relatively high, or where consistent wildfires occur, or in homes with smokers. Individuals who are especially sensitive to air quality changes may also benefit from purchasing a more efficient filter, especially when the physical and cognitive effects of air quality are taken into account. These results stress the potential that air quality, specifically fine particulate matter, may influence mental health outcomes. The outcomes of this study may give legitimize additional research of epidemiological studies such as those used in the model.

Chapter 4, "The triple threat of indoor air quality" addresses the third and final research objective. The chapter gives background knowledge on sources of fine particulate matter, biological mechanisms behind the effects of exposure on health, and discusses how military members may be at more risk for exposure. Furthermore, a discussion is had regarding the effects of filtration on indoor fine particulate matter concentrations, followed by recommendations of methods to reduce indoor concentrations. Acknowledgment of the relationship between indoor air quality and mental health may be critical for addressing a portion of the veteran mental health abundance.

Significance of Research

Although a large amount of work has been done to understand the factors associated with mental health, the influence of environmental factors is not well validated. The research performed in this thesis adds to the literature regarding air quality and mental health, particularly in providing the first known estimation of the prevalence of major depressive disorder due to indoor fine particulate matter exposure. Providing a reference point for future studies of a similar topic can create a robust understanding of

112

the relationship described herein. With a relationship between mental health and indoor air quality established, strategies can be implemented within the engineering community that continue to improve indoor air quality, and lessen impacts upon mental health. Strategies may include more effective whole building filtration, as extensively described in Chapter 3, or the use of portable air cleaners, green walls, or activated carbon filters. These strategies can positively impact both military and civilians, but may be more influential upon military in certain environments of higher exposure. While indoor air quality represents only a small amount of the factors affecting mental health, it is a controllable variable. For military members, especially in deployed environments, reducing risk factors for mental illness is of the utmost importance, and any potential reduction in risk is worth pursuing.

Recommendations for Future Research

Future research should seek to refine the relationship between fine particulate matter exposure and mental health outcomes, to include exploring the effects that different compositions of particulate matter may contribute. Odds ratios or relative risks for the relationship between fine particulate matter and other mental illnesses, such as anxiety or insomnia, can be developed through population studies, allowing the model described in Chapter 3 to estimate the affect PM_{2.5} has upon their prevalence as well. More accurate pollutant exposure estimates can help to refine these associations. A model that incorporates relationships between all traditional pollutants, and their relationships with physical, cognitive, and mental health, would give the most comprehensive understanding of the influence that air quality has upon human health. More thoroughly investigating the biological mechanisms behind these health impacts may also provide

113

new avenues of prevention and treatment. The findings presented in this paper could be implemented in healthcare risk assessment, flagging individuals living in areas with poor air quality, or as already suffering from a comorbid respiratory disease, as increased risk patients to develop mental illnesses.

For the Department of Defense, studies could be done to analyze if there is a significant relationship between mental health outcomes of military members exposed to poor air quality environments versus members not exposed. Finding significance may provide justification for implementation of methods to reduce poor air quality exposure, whether through whole building filtration, devices that reduce personal exposure, or other design and construction strategies.