EXPLANATION OF UNITS

INTRUSIVE ROCKS

Jurassic(?) [J]

Silicified zone. Region of nearly pure white quartz with occasional rusty-weathering areas and numerous white quartz veins cutting the quartz matrix that are sometimes vuggy with well formed small (centimeter-sized) quartz crystals **(Photos 1A, 1B)**. The zone dips steeply to the northwest, based on attitude of quartz veins, and varies from 1 meter (m) to 20 m in width. The outcrops of this unit weather positively as ridges and often form lineaments seen on the lidar hillshade image. Interpreted to be a late brittle fault (probable normal movement, north side down?).

Early Permian(?) [Pz]

Pz — **Pegmatite.** Very coarse to coarse-grained white pegmatite. Minerals include quartz, feldspar, muscovite, + biotite and ± tourmaline...

Permian-Devonian(?) [PD]

PDgm — **Muscovite-biotite granite and pegmatite, undifferentiated.**...

Carboniferous-Devonian [CD]

CDg — **Muscovite-biotite granite.**...

Devonian(?) [Dg]

Dg — **Quartz diorite, granodiorite, and rare pink granite, undifferentiated, of the Songo pluton.**...

STRATIFIED ROCKS

Note: References to named formations are those described by Moench and Boudette, 1987.

Devonian(?) [D]

DiDc? — **Littleton/Carrabassett Formation.**...

Silurian(?) [S]

Sgf — **Biotite granofels.**...

Ssqr — **Moderately rusty weathering schist and quartzite with rare sections of deeply rusty weathering schist and quartzite.**...

Sqs — **Quartzite with gray schist, undifferentiated.**...

Ssq — **Interbedded gray schist and quartzite, undifferentiated.**...

Ssqg — **Biotite granofels.**...

Src — **Gray schist.**...

Srcg — **Moderately rusty weathering to gray schist and quartzite with sections of deeply rusty weathering schist and quartzite.**...

Ssrcg — **Biotite granofels.**...

Ssqc — **Gray schist and quartzite with calc-silicate pods.**...

Ssqrg — **Moderately rusty weathering schist.**...

EXPLANATION OF LINES

Contact between rock units, of stratigraphic or intrusive origin (well located, approximately located, poorly located).

High-angle fault, interpreted from truncation of map units, disruption of stratigraphic sequence, or rock deformation (well located, approximately located, poorly located).

Boundary of migmatite area. May be a sharp or gradational boundary. Hachures point toward migmatitic rocks.

Schematic representation of foliation orientations within the Songo pluton (Dg). Hachures point down dip.

Trace of the axial surface of a regional anticline or syncline that formed early in the deformational sequence (F₁), or local antiforms and synforms that formed late in the deformational sequence (F₂). Locations interpreted from map pattern of curved unit contacts.

EXPLANATION OF SYMBOLS

TABLE 1: GEOCHRONOLOGY

Location	Unit	Mineral	Method	Age±2σ (Ma)	Reference
A	Ddg	Zircon	U-Pb	360.7 ± 2.2	Eusden, this study
W	Ssrcg	Detrital zircon	U-Pb	430.3 ± 1.6	Eusden, this study

Locations are shown on the map by a letter in a blue circle.
Detrital zircon ages are maximum depositional ages; zircon ages are crystallization ages.

PLOTS OF GEOCHRONOLOGICAL DATA

Figure 1: Probability density plot and histogram for all detrital zircons (n = 281) from unit Ssrcg

Figure 2: Probability density plot and kernel density estimate for the youngest detrital zircons (n = 214) from unit Ssrcg.

Figure 3: Concordia diagram for a zircon sample (n=49) from the Songo pluton (Ddg)

Figure 4: Weighted mean diagram for a zircon sample (n=49) from the Songo pluton (Ddg)

BEDROCK GEOLOGY OF THE BETHEL QUADRANGLE

INTRODUCTION TO THE MAP

GEOLOGIC OVERVIEW

STRATIFIED ROCKS

INTRUSIVE ROCKS

MIGMATITES

DEFORMATION

SUMMARY

SOURCES OF GEOLOGIC INFORMATION

Field work by J. Dykstra Eusden and Riley Eusden, 2012; J. Dykstra Eusden, Myles Felch, Kurt Niiler, and Thorn Merrill, 2019.
James J. Brady, 1991 MS Thesis, University of Maine at Orono.

SCALE 1:24,000

CONTOUR INTERVAL 20 FEET

INTERPRETIVE CROSS SECTIONS

Contacts are dashed where projected above ground, solid where projected below ground.
Dashed gray lines represent intraformational structure.
No vertical exaggeration.

REFERENCES

Allmendinger, R., Cardozo, N., and Fisher, D., 2011, Structural Geology Algorithms: Vectors and Tensors: Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, doi:10.1017/CBO9780511920202.

Bradly, D.C., and O'Sullivan, P., 2016, Detrital Zircon Geochronology of Pre- and Synorogenic Strata, Acadian Orogen, Maine Appalachians: Basin Research, v. 29, no. 5, p 571-590.

Bradly, D.C. and Tucker, R., 2002, Emsian Synorogenic Paleogeography of the Maine Appalachians: Journal of Geology, 110, doi10/2013-483-492.

Bradley, D.C., Shea, E., Buchwaldt. R., Bowring. S., Benowitz, J., O'Sullivan, P.B., and Mccauley, A, 2016, Geochronology and tectonic context of lithium-cesium-tantalum pegmatites in the Appalachians: The Canadian Mineralogist, v. 54, p. 945-969.

Brady, J. J., 1991, The bedrock geology of the Bethel Maine area, M.S. thesis, University of Maine, Orono, Maine, 150 p.

Cardozo, N., and Allmendinger, R.W., 2013, Spherical projections with OSXStereonet: Computers & Geosciences, v. 51, p. 193 – 205, doi 10.1016/j.cageo.2012.07.021.

Eusden, J.D., 2010, The Presidential Range: Its Geologic and Tectonic History: Durand Press, Lyme, New Hampshire, 62 p and 1:20,000 map.

Eusden, J.D., Choe, S., Dixon, E., Bartenfelder, A., and Wheatcroft, A., 2018, Bedrock geology of the Gilead quadrangle, Maine: Maine Geological Survey, Open-File Map 18-12, scale 1:24,000. https://digitalmaine.com/mgs_maps/2069

Gibson, D., and Lux, D.R., 1989, Petrographic and geochemical variations within the Songo pluton, western Maine: in Tucker, RD, and Marvinney, R.G. (editors), Studies in Maine geology: Volume 4 - Igneous and metamorphic geology: Maine Geological Survey, p. 87-100.

Gibson, D, Oothoff, D., and Rutrick, C., 2017, Field relations, petrography and provenance of mafic dikes, western Maine: in Johnson, B. and Eusden, J.D. (editors), Guidebook for field trips in western Maine and northern New Hampshire: New England Intercollegiate Geological Conference, p. 273-286.

Hodge, D.S., Abbey, D.A., Harbin, M.A., Patterson, J.L., Ring, M.J., and Sweeney, J.F., 1982, Gravity Studies of Subsurface Mass Distributions of Granitic Rocks in Maine and New Hampshire: American Journal of Science, v. 282, p. 1289-1324.

Moench, R.H., Boudette, E.L., and Bothner, W.A., 1999, Tectonic lithofacies, geophysical, and mineral-resource appraisal maps of the Sherbrooke-Lewiston area, Maine, New Hampshire, and Vermont: United States, and Quebec, Canada: U.S. Geological Survey, Miscellaneous Investigations Series I-1898-E, 114 p, 3 sheets.

Nielsen, D. L., Clark, R. G., Lyons, J. B., England, E. J., and Borns, D. H., 1976, Gravity Models and Mode of Emplacement of the New Hampshire Plutonic Series: in Lyons, P. C., and Brownlow, H. H. (editors), Studies in New England geology: Geological Society of America Memoir 146, p. 301-318.

Solar, G.S., and Tomascak, P.B. 2016, The Migmatite-Granite Complex of southern Maine: Its structure, geology, geochemistry, geochronology, and relation to the Sebago Pluton: in Berry, H.N., IV, and West, D.P., Jr. (editors), Guidebook for field trips along the Maine coast from Maquoit Bay to Penobscot Bay: New England Intercollegiate Geological Conference, p. 19-42.

Solar, G.S., Tomascak, P.B., and Brown, M., 2017, Relations between deformation, metamorphism, melting and pluton emplacement at the migmatite front: in Johnson, B., and Eusden, J.D. (editors), Guidebook for field trips in western Maine and northern New Hampshire: New England Intercollegiate Geological Conference, p. 217-246.

Thompson, W.B., 2008, Surficial geology of the Bethel quadrangle, Maine: Maine Geological Survey, Open-File Map 08-79, scale 1:24,000. https://digitalmaine.com/mgs_maps/2032

PLOTS OF STRUCTURAL DATA

Figure 5: Cylindrical best fit plot or a diagram of poles to foliation and bedding from metasedimentary rocks west of the Songo pluton.

Figure 6: Cylindrical best fit plot or a diagram of poles to foliation and bedding from metasedimentary rocks in the Farwell Mountain region.

Figure 7: Cylindrical best fit plot or a diagram of poles to foliation from the Songo pluton.

GEOLOGIC TIME SCALE

Geologic Age	Absolute Age*
Cenozoic Era (Cz)	0-66
Mesozoic Era (Mz)	
Cretaceous Period (K)	66-145
Jurassic Period (J)	145-201
Triassic Period (Tr)	201-252
Paleozoic Era (Pz)	
Permian Period (P)	252-299
Pennsylvanian Period	299-323
Mississippian Period (M)	323-359
Devonian Period (D)	359-419
Silurian Period (S)	419-444
Ordovician Period (O)	444-485
Cambrian Period (C)	485-541
Precambrian (pC)	Older than 541

Photos

Photo 1A: Five-meter-wide massive quartz outcrop in the silicified zone (Jn).

Photo 1B: Quartz veins cutting matrix quartz in the silicified zone (Jn).

Photo 2: Leucocratic band in typical salt-and-pepper texture Songo quartz diorite (Ddg).

Photo 3: Red-brown, deeply rusty weathering, sulfidic, thinly interbedded (1-2 cm) schist and quartzite. From near unit Ssrc.

Photo 4: Rusty-weathering schist and dark gray quartzite of unit Ssrc with late F2 folds.

Photo 5: Dark gray biotite granofels layers in unit Ssrcg.

Photo 6: Rare gray bedding, showing tops toward the bottom of the photo in an interbedded sequence of gray quartzite and darker gray biotite schist.

Photo 7: A thin 1- to 2-meter-thick unit of quartz-plagioclase-biotite granofels interlayered with gray biotite-quartz-plagioclase granofels.

Photo 8: Rectangular pod of well-bedded granofels to pinkish calc-silicate granofels with coarse grains of diopside and grossular within a rusty-weathering quartz-plagioclase-sulfide granofels.

Photo 9: Close up of the core of an oval-shaped calc-silicate pod.

Photo 10: Matrix of rusty-weathering schist with a folded pod of rusty-weathering calc-silicate granofels.