

HOME > AJISS-Commentary > Japan-ROK Relations in the cul-de-sac: 30 Years of repeated "reconciliation" and "collisi

## AJISS-Commentary

# Japan-ROK Relations in the cul-de-sac: 30 Years of reperence of the second seco

03-30-2020 Masao Okonogi (Professor Emeritus, Keio University)



\*Series: Trajectory of Heisei, way forward to Reiwa (No.3)

Japan and South Korea are in the midst of a crisis over the 1965 Japan-South Korea Tre (1965 Treaty) system.

This situation began with the ruling by South Korea's Supreme Court over the issue of the laborers. An overview of the development is as follows.

(1) In October 2018, the Korean Supreme Court ordered Japanese companies to pay da protests from the Japanese government, the Moon Jae-in administration has ignored their changes in the interpretation of the 1965 Treaty and the Japan-ROK Agreement Concernir Problems in Regard to Property and Claims and Economic Cooperation (Claim Settlement

(2) To prevent changing basic interpretations of the 1965 Treaty system, the Japanese kind of "shock therapy" in July, using tighter export control towards South Korea.

(3) However, South Korea reacted excessively in response to the Japanese government' tighter export control on semiconductor-related materials and parts. That lead to the ROK General Security of Military Information Agreement with Japan (GSOMIA).

(4) In addition, Japan's unilateral measures led the Korean public to launch a boycott of the neutral intellectuals to support the Supreme Court ruling.

Thus, the "chain of bad moves" between Japan and South Korea expanded the historica security fields, driving the Japan-South Korea relationship into a "cul-de-sac". With the up general election, it seems inevitable that the dispute will be prolonged.

First of all, the genesis of historical friction between Japan and South Korea lies in a clas two nations. While Japan's national self-portrait is that they overcame the threat of invasion 1894-95 Sino-Japanese War, and achieved modernization on their own, South Korea's nar their brave resistance against Japanese colonialism, such as the March 1st Independence colonial rules, Japanese rule aimed at assimilation, reflected in the annexation of Korea in which later became independent from Japan, needed to restore its identity, namely the ex culture.

Secondly, traditional Korean political culture is based on Neo-Confucianism, which emph legitimacy. In the normalization talks, the biggest issue raised by South Korea was ideolog rule was legal or illegal. On the other hand, based on the tradition of samurai or feudal go Japanese political culture places more importance on realistic issues, namely the content a agreements. The Korean side criticized the illegality of the annexation of 1910, while the J the agreement of the annexation was legally concluded and executed.

Therefore, even after the end of World War II, Japan and South Korea could not settle t their abnormal relationship, absence of diplomatic relations, continued for about 20 years. resolved in 1965 under the strong leadership of Japanese Prime Minister Eisaku Sato and Park Chung-hee based on the 1965 Treaty, and the Claim Settlement Agreement. At a tim economic development were the top priorities, the Japanese and South Korean governme normalizing relations without "apology" or "reconciliation". Without the Cold War and Sout the two sides could not have reached the agreement with mutual compromises.

However, when the Showa era ended and the Heisei era began in January 1989, the wo end of the Cold War. In Europe, the main battlefield of the Cold War, the Berlin Wall fell in Germany was reunified in August of the following year. In addition, the Soviet Union was ( 1991, and the Treaty on European Union was concluded in February 1992. On the Korean hand, South Korea achieved industrialization focusing on heavy and chemical industries in 1987, democratization has progressed, and the Seoul Olympics were held in September 1 from 1989 to 92, South Korea established diplomatic relations with Eastern European cou and China, and joined the United Nations together with North Korea. It was as if the era c and postwar reconciliation had finally come after the end of the bipolar confrontation.

### <Review of Heisei Period>

Since then, the Heisei era of Japan-ROK relations has progressed in two stages. In the f

#### The Japan Institute of International Affairs

"postwar reconciliation" was actively explored, while historical issues reignited against the globalization of the Korean economy and China's growing economic power in the second 1 systemic crisis in Japan-ROK relations also accelerated during this period.

An overview of the first period is as follows.

In May 1990, Prime Minister Toshiki Kaifu of the Liberal Democratic Party (LDP) at a bar Tae-woo expressed "humble remorse and frank feelings of apology" regarding the past co time. With this expression, the position of the Japanese government at the time of the no relations, that the Japanese rule had been legal and justifiable, was changed to the "legal the usage of the wording of " humble remorse and frank feelings of apology " was inherite Ministers Kiichi Miyazawa and Morihiro Hosokawa of the Japan New Party. In August 1995 Murayama of the Social Democratic Party of Japan issued a statement on the occation of 5 the second world war admitting that Japan had given "tremendous damage and suffering" countries by "its colonial rule and aggression" and expressed "deep remorse and heartfelt the statement was succeeded by Prime Minister Ryutaro Hashimoto of the LDP.

However, it was during the time of Prime Minister Keizo Obuchi and South Korean Presic Japan and South Korea came closest to a "postwar reconciliation". "A New Japan-ROK Par Century" signed by the two leaders in October 1998 was a joint declaration agreed upon a top leaders of Japan and South Korea, unlike the one-sided statements made by previous Furthermore, when Prime Minister Obuchi expressed his "deep remorse and heartfelt apol past colonial rule of the Korean Peninsula, President Kim accepted and appreciated it as a history recognition by the Japanese side, and added that it was the time for the both cour develop a future-oriented relationship based on reconciliation and good-neighborly and fri

In fact, immediately after the summit, President Kim Dae Jung began gradual opening u the Japanese pop culture, including movies and music. In 2002, Japan and South Korea co Cup. From the following year, Korean TV dramas became hits in Japan, and their popularit field. The so-called "Korean boom" became a social phenomenon in Japan. Since then, the Japan and South Korea had been at its best until the time of Prime Minister Junichiro Koizo Moo-hyun, when Shimane Prefecture adopted the "Takeshima Day" ordinance in March 2C strongly opposed it.

On the other hand, the second phase of the Japan-ROK relations began in the latter half administration. It was when the South Korean judiciary started making objections to the 1 Settlement Agreement.

For example, in August 2011, the Korean Constitutional Court issued a ruling criticizing Affairs and Trade's "omission" over the comfort women issue. For this reason, President L heated debate with Prime Minister Yoshihiko Noda at the Japan-ROK Summit Meeting helc 2011. Furthermore, in May 2012, the Supreme Court recognized the "personal claim" of t concluded that the diplomatic protection right had not been waived by the Claims Settlem

It may be called as "transgression by judiciary" as the South Korean judiciary suddenly compromise reached by the Japanese and South Korean governments after difficult negot

#### The Japan Institute of International Affairs

may also be said that the checks and balances among the judiciary, the legislature, and the It is not clear why the South Korean judiciary has begun the moves, but I suspect that it is "democratization of the judiciary" that has come late. If that assumption is correct, these "declarations of independence" by the judiciary, which had been ridiculed as "a servant of the servant o

Strangely, however, the proceedings of the court over the comfort women and the form progress there. President Park Geun-hye, who took over power, put a political brake on it. President Park pursued an overly critical stance against Japan on the history issue immedi inauguration. In March 2012, she said, "the positions of the perpetrator and the victim wil passage of 1000 years". and in May, she delivered a speech to the U.S. Congress, saying " cannot see the future". In her visit to Beijing in June, she continued to criticize Japan.

To sum up, it will not be easy to find an exit from the dead-end relationship between Ja South Korea finds a way to deal with the issue of the former laborers on its own, it may b preferential treatment of export control to South Korea and stabilize its relations with Japa the Korean side able to make such a political concession before the general elections in Ap the political foundation of the Moon Jae-in administration. Moreover, any measures that m Korean side on the former laborer issue should be legally consistent with its Supreme Cou

Having considered all these factors, the following old saying might apply to the situation poured into a new wineskin.



## About JIIA Membership

#### The Japan Institute of International Affairs

JIIA has established a membership system for corporate and individual members to garner support for its activities and funding therefore from the broader public. Contacts Us / Access

© The Japan Institute of International Affairs