

This is a provisional PDF only. Copyedited and fully formatted version will be made available soon.



ISSN: 0015-5659

e-ISSN: 1644-3284

Protrusion of the carotid canal into the sphenoid sinuses: evaluation before endonasal endoscopic sinus surgery

Authors: J. Jaworek-Troć, J. A. Walocha, R. Chrzan, P. Żmuda, J. J. Zarzecki, A. Pękała, P. Depukat, E. Kucharska, M. Lipski, A. Curlej-Wądrzyk, M. P. Zarzecki

DOI: 10.5603/FM.a2020.0086

Article type: ORIGINAL ARTICLES

Submitted: 2020-05-31

Accepted: 2020-07-20

Published online: 2020-08-07

This article has been peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance. It is an open access article, which means that it can be downloaded, printed, and distributed freely, provided the work is properly cited.

Articles in "Folia Morphologica" are listed in PubMed.

Protrusion of the carotid canal into the sphenoid sinuses: evaluation before endonasal endoscopic sinus surgery

Sphenoid sinus carotid canal protrusion

J. Jaworek-Troć^{1,2}, J.A. Walocha¹, R. Chrzan², P. Żmuda³, J.J. Zarzecki⁴, A. Pękala¹, P. Depukat¹, E. Kucharska⁵, M. Lipski¹, A. Curlej-Wądrzyk⁶, M.P. Zarzecki¹

¹Department of Anatomy, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland

²Department of Radiology, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland

³University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy

⁴Medical University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland

⁵Department of Gerontology, Geriatrics and Social Work, Jesuit University Ignatianum, Krakow, Poland

⁶Department of Integrated Dentistry, Dental Institute, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland

Address for correspondence: Michał P. Zarzecki, Department of Anatomy, Jagiellonian University Medical College, ul. Kopernika 12, 31–034 Kraków, Poland, tel/fax: +48 12 422 95 11, e-mail: michal.zarzecki96@gmail.com

Abstract

Background: Many reports have previously indicated the vast number of anatomical variations of the sphenoid sinuses, e.g. presence of the recesses. Notwithstanding, there are a few crucial neurovascular structures directly neighbouring with the sinuses. The following research aimed to evaluate frequency prevalence of the carotid canal's protrusion into the sphenoid sinuses in adult population.

Materials and methods: Computed tomography (CT) scans of the paranasal sinuses of 296 patients (147 females, 149 males) were analysed in this retrospective study. The patients did not present any pathologies in the sinuses. Spiral CT scanner Siemens

Somatom Sensation 16 was used in the standard procedure in the option Siemens CARE Dose 4D.

Results: Protrusion of the carotid canal was found in the majority of the patients – 55.74%, more frequently in males (65.1% of the patients) than in females (46.26% of the patients). The said variant – regardless of gender – was noted more often bilaterally (41.55% of the cases: 29.93% females, 53.02% males) than unilaterally (14.19% of the cases: 16.33% females, 12.08% males). In the unilateral type (regardless of gender), the protrusion was more common for the left sphenoid sinus – 10.81% of the patients (12.24% females, 9.4% males) than for the right – 3.38% of the patients (4.08% females, 2.68% males).

Conclusions: Complicated structure of the paranasal sinuses, derived from the high prevalence of their anatomical variations, may perplex routine surgical interventions. Henceforth, referral for a CT scan is imperative in order to abate the risks associated with an invasive procedure in the said region.

Key words: sphenoid sinus, carotid canal, anatomy, radiology, laryngology

INTRODUCTION

Sphenoid sinuses may be found within the diaphysis of the sphenoid bone. Their antrum is lined with the mucous membrane. There is a high prevalence of anatomical variations surrounding them. Size, shape, number of septa present and degree to which they fill in with air are just a few examples of the diversity encountered in the sinuses [15-17].

Vascular and neural structures are located in the proximity of the sphenoid sinuses – they adjoin them through the sinuses' wall. The closeness of these structures, as well as the varied morphological features of the sinuses are both crucial factors that have an impact upon a surgery in this region. In order to curtail the surgical risks and potential complications associated with the invasive intervention (including endoscopy), it is advisable for medical professionals to analyse the anatomical parameters of the sinuses [1, 11, 13, 19, 21, 22, 27, 29, 32, 34, 41].

The carotid canal is an osseous structure within the petrous temporal bone that enables the internal carotid artery, the sympathetic nerve plexus and the internal carotid

venous plexus to enter the cranium [37]. The internal carotid artery has been divided by Bouthillier et al. [6] into 7 distinct parts, with the petrous segment (C2) and the lacerum segment (C3) related to the carotid canal.

A clear-cut representation of the osseous structures in the paranasal sinuses is the best obtained via a computed tomography (CT) scan, as this method allows to separate the diverse anatomical variants of the sinuses.

Functional endoscopic sinus surgery (FESS) is a method that develops quite dynamically these days [4, 5]. The number of the classical extensive surgical interventions carried out on the paranasal sinuses has diminished thanks to the use of the minimally invasive endoscopic procedures.

Since the 1990s, chronic sinusitis has been commonly treated by the FESS that became the method of choice for this type of surgery. Shorter recovery period, smaller number of iatrogenic injuries, as well as excellent insight into the hardly accessible areas, made the endoscopic procedures more preferable to the classical operations [11, 23].

Detailed knowledge of the variant anatomy of the sphenoid sinuses is warranted prior to conducting an invasive procedure e.g. the FESS, the transsphenoidal approach for a pituitary adenoma surgery or closure of the cerebrospinal fluid leakage. Henceforth, the following study aimed to present the prevalence of the protrusion of the carotid canal into the lumen of the sphenoid sinus by retrospectively analysing CT scans of Polish adult population. One of the possible iatrogenic injuries is damaging the carotid canal what might lead to a hard to control bleeding [14, 28]. We hope that by utilising the CT and providing a fresh view onto the carotid canal's protrusion, our study will contribute towards a higher efficacy and safety of the surgeries carried out within the sphenoid sinuses.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

There were 296 patients (147 females, 149 males) referred to the Department of Diagnostic Imaging of the University Hospital in Krakow, that were included in this retrospective analysis. The patients had to be over 18 years old and present no pathologies in the paranasal sinuses. They were excluded if they had a history of: a head

trauma or a record of nasal, orbital or cranial basis surgery, and this group comprised of 63 patients.

The medical images were obtained using a spiral CT scanner Siemens Somatom Sensation 16. Standard procedure applied in the option Siemens CARE Dose 4D. Furthermore, no contrast medium was administered to any of the patients. Thanks to the use of the multiplans reconstruction (MPR) tool, both frontal and sagittal planes were visualised via secondary reconstruction from the transverse planes. Siemens Volume Wizard diagnostic station applied during the data analysis.

The analysis of the medical images involved the presence of the protrusion of the carotid canal into the sphenoid sinuses, including its bilateral and unilateral arrangements. The authors decided that for the protrusion to be noted, it has to modify shape of the wall of the sinus near the carotid canal, so that a part of the carotid canal is convex towards the lumen of the sinus.

STATISTICA version 13.3 by TIBCO Software Inc® was used to perform the statistical analysis within this manuscript. Chi² test and Fisher's exact test were utilised whilst probing for differences between the various laterality of the protrusion present and gender. A statistically significant value of $p < 0.05$ was chosen for all the results.

RESULTS

The carotid canal's protrusion was prevalent in the majority of the patients – in total this variant was found in 165 patients, more frequently in males (97 patients) than in females (68 patients) (Table I).

The frequency prevalence of the carotid canal's protrusion differed significantly between females and males ($p=0.001$, Chi² test). The protrusion was noted more often in males (97/165 of all the cases with the protrusion present, 58.8%), but was absent in the majority of females (79/131 of all the cases with the protrusion absent, 60.3%).

The said variant – regardless of gender – was prevalent more often bilaterally (123 patients: 44 females, 79 males) than unilaterally (42 patients: 24 females, 18 males) (Table II).

The frequency prevalence of the carotid canal's protrusion unilaterally, bilaterally or its absence differed significantly between females and males ($p<0.001$, Chi² test).

The unilateral protrusion was found more often in females (24/42 cases of the total unilateral protrusion, 57.1%), similarly to the protrusion's absence – also more common in females (79/131 cases with the protrusion absent, 60.3%), but the bilateral protrusion was prevalent more frequently in males (79/123 cases of the total bilateral protrusion, 64.2%).

In case of the unilateral arrangement (42 cases), regardless of gender, the protrusion was found more frequently in the left sphenoid sinus – 32 patients (18 females, 14 males) than in the right sphenoid sinus – 10 patients (6 females, 4 males) (Table III).

Notwithstanding, gender was not a significant factor determining the side of the presence of the unilateral protrusion ($p=0.999$, Fisher's exact test). In both female and male groups, the protrusion on the left side predominated in the majority of cases (approximately 75% of the patients of the respective gender with a unilateral protrusion) (Figure 1).

Protrusion of the carotid canal was found in total of almost half of the sinuses studied - in 288 sinuses out of the 592 sinuses researched (294 female sinuses, 298 males sinuses), slightly more common on the left side – 155 sinuses (62 in females, 93 in males), than on the right side – 133 sinuses (50 in females, 83 in males).

The proportion of the present and absent protrusions differed significantly between female and male groups ($p<0.001$, χ^2 test). In females, it was not noted in approximately 62%, whereas in males it was prevalent in approximately 60% (Tables IV and V).

The proportion of the presence of the unilateral protrusion of the carotid canal on the right and left sides differed significantly between females and males ($p=0.676$, χ^2 test). In both female and male groups, the unilateral protrusion of the carotid canal on the left side was slightly more common (it comprised approximately 55% of the sinuses of the respective gender with a unilateral protrusion) than on the right.

Figures 2-5 present examples of the carotid canal's protrusion into the sphenoid sinuses, evaluated during this research.

DISCUSSION

Protrusion of the carotid canal was prevalent in the majority of the patients – in total this variant was noted in 55.74% of the patients, more frequently in males (65.1%)

than in females (46.26%). The said variant – regardless of gender – was present bilaterally more often (41.55% of the patients: 29.93% females, 53.02% males) than unilaterally (14.19% of the patients: 16.33% females, 12.08% males). In case of the unilateral variant, regardless of gender, the protrusion was found more frequently in the left sphenoid sinus (10.81% of the cases: 12.24% females, 9.4% males) than in the right sphenoid sinus (3.38% of the cases: 4.08% females, 2.68% males). To the best knowledge of the authors, it is so far the first study that has comprehensively taken into the consideration the possible correlation between the carotid canal's protrusion and gender, probing for its statistical significance in all presented here variants amongst the Polish population. Most notably, we have found that the protrusion was absent in 62% of the females studied, whereas in males it was present in approximately 60% of the patients, a statistically significant result ($p < 0.001$, χ^2 test).

The results presented in this work are in accordance with the data provided by other scientists. Mamatha et al. stated the total frequency prevalence of the carotid canal's protrusion as 50% (their research material: 20 CT scans), where it was bilaterally present in 15% and unilaterally in 35% (on the right side in 5%, on the left side in 30%) [28].

On the other side, Lupascu et al. [26] provided the frequency prevalence of the protrusion of the carotid canal as 55% (in case of the C3 segment of the artery) and as 57% (in case of the C4 segment of the artery). Moreover, Ozturan et al. also noted this variant very often – in 64.5% [31], as well as Tan and Chong – 65-72% [40] and Tan and Ong – 67.7% [39].

Slightly lower prevalence was given by Hewaidi and Omami – 41% (11.3% on the right side, 7% on the left side, 22.6% bilaterally). They researched 300 CT scans of people of Lebanese origins [14].

Elwany et al., stated the frequency prevalence of the protrusion of the carotid canal basing on the division into three types relative to the segments of the carotid canal: the presellar segment (42.7%), the subsellar segment (29.5%) and the postsellar segment (17.7%), noting that the protrusion may involve the carotid canal as a whole (18.2%), but they did not provide the criteria of the evaluation of the protrusion [10].

Kantarci et al. evaluated the presence of this variant as 16% (bilaterally) and 7% (unilaterally) [19]. The study was conducted on the Turkish population (512 CT scans),

but the age of the patients was not provided. Similar results were given by Kajoak et al. – 25.4% [18], Tomovic et al. – 28.2% [42], Chinese researchers – Li et al. – 29.25% (8.68% unilaterally, 20.57% bilaterally) [25], Turkish scientists – Bademci and Unal – 31.1% [3] and Thai researchers – Nitinavakarn et al. – 32.4% (17% unilaterally, 24% bilaterally) [30].

Definitely lower frequency prevalence of this variant was found by Anusha et al. – 10% (36.7% on the right side, 53.3% on the left side and 10% bilaterally) in their study of 300 CT scans of the adult Malaysians [2]. Even lower prevalence was provided by Kazkayasi et al. – 5.2% (2.6% unilaterally, 2.6% bilaterally) [21] – study of 267 CT scans of Turkish population.

Cope noted that the protrusion of the carotid canal may happen, but did not provide the frequency and location of the said variant [8].

Priyadarshini et al. stated completely different results in their research of 100 CT scans of the patients, as they did not find even one case of the protrusion of the carotid canal [33]. The research material studied (people of the Indian origins) and/or the evaluation criteria of the presence of the protrusion (not provided in the work) might have influenced the data (Table VI).

Precise and current anatomy of the sphenoid sinuses is of immense importance, especially whilst trying to gain access to the sella turcica using endoscopic or microscopic approaches [28]. One of the possible iatrogenic injuries caused during a transsphenoidal intervention is damaging the internal carotid artery or the optic nerve. Ciric et al. [7] have found that for the transsphenoidal approach to the pituitary surgery, the risk of injuring the internal carotid artery was 1.1%. Notwithstanding, the rate of iatrogenic vascular damage during expanded endoscopic endonasal resection of suprasellar craniopharyngomas was noted in 5% by Gardner et al. [12]. Interestingly, patients with acromegaly were found to have the protrusion of the carotid canal into the sphenoid sinuses present more often than the control group (33.5% vs 13.3%) [35], although the limited number of patients studied (45 with acromegaly and 45 controls) might diminish the significance of the research, as also noted by the authors.

Henceforth, it is crucial for surgeons to become acquainted with the various anatomy of the sphenoid sinuses, particularly with the protrusion of the carotid canal into the sphenoid sinuses. Its unawareness might lead to fatal complications due to the

arterial bleeding from the internal carotid artery that would not be easy to repair within the closed and narrow space of the sphenoid sinus [14, 28]. Lastly, it might also be a case that an infectious disease of the sphenoid sinuses may make the protruded internal carotid artery more prone injuries [36].

In furtherance of avoiding the injury to the internal carotid artery, it is possible to utilise three-dimensional CT angiography or micro-Doppler probe to help localise the vessel [9, 24]. Notwithstanding, even when applying the micro-Doppler probe there were mistakes with proper identification of the artery [9]. Stecco et al. [38] have found the use of virtual dissection tables (VDT) very helpful whilst diagnosing perplexing Le Fort fractures (all of them involve the pterygoid process of the sphenoid bone) that were noted as doubtful on the standard Picture Archiving and Communication System (PACS), and hence allowed for a more confident diagnosis. It might be possible that the VDT will also prove useful in preoperational planning involving the sphenoid sinus, which is well known to be the least accessible of the paranasal sinuses. Yet another novel technology is the use of virtual endoscopy, which in the study led by Kapakin [20] allowed to visualise presence of transmural lesions in addition to expected visualisation of the inner surface of the paranasal sinuses. It is undoubtedly prudent to know as much about the location of the internal carotid artery prior to surgery as possible. Furthermore, we would like to acknowledge Sasagawa et al. [35] and emphasise that there is still need to correlate and report the intraoperative findings with preoperative imaging investigations (i.e. the CT).

CONCLUSIONS

As shown in our study, the protrusion of the carotid canal was found in the majority of the patients and the said variant was bilateral more frequently than unilateral. In case of the unilateral arrangement, the protrusion into the left sphenoid sinus was noted more commonly. In order to conduct a safe procedure in the paranasal sinuses, it is advisable for medical professionals to refer their patients for a CT scan before the planned operation, so as to become acquainted with the anatomical variations that may be present in the sinuses.

Ethical Approval

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. For this type of study formal consent is not required.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to Mr Jacenty Urbaniak for the technical support.

REFERENCES

1. Abdullah BJ, Arasaratnam A, Kumar G, Gopala K. The sphenoid sinuses: computed tomographic assessment of septation, relationship to the internal carotid arteries and sidewall thickness in the Malaysian population. *J HK Coll Radiol.* 2001; 4:185-188
2. Anusha B, Baharudin A, Philip R, Harvinder S, Mohd Shaffie B, Ramiza RR. Anatomical variants of surgically important landmarks in the sphenoid sinus: a radiologic study in Southeast Asian patients. *Surg Radiol Anat.* 2015; 37:1182-1190, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00276-015-1494-8>
3. Bademci G, Unal B. Surgical importance of neurovascular relationships of paranasal sinus region. *Turkish Neurosurgery.* 2005; 15(2):93-96
4. Becker DG. The minimally invasive, endoscopic approach to sinus surgery. *Journal of Long-Term Effects of Medical Implants.* 2003; 13(3):207-221, doi: 10.1615/JLongTermEffMedImplants.v13.i3.70
5. Bogusławska R. Badanie zatok przynosowych metoda tomografii komputerowej dla celów chirurgii endoskopowej. Warszawa 1995
6. Bouthillier A, Van Loveren HR, Keller JT. Segments of the Internal Carotid Artery: A New Classification. *Neurosurgery.* 1996; 38(3):425-432, <https://doi.org/10.1097/00006123-199603000-00001>
7. Ciric I, Ragin A, Baumgartner C, Pierce D. Complications of Transsphenoidal Surgery: Results of a National Survey, Review of the Literature, and Personal Experience. *Neurosurgery.* 1997; 40(2): 225-237, doi: 10.1097/00006123-199702000-00001
8. Cope VZ. The internal structure of the sphenoidal sinus. *J Anat.* 1917; 51 (2):127-136.
9. Dusick JR, Esposito F, Malkasian D, Kelly DF. Avoidance of Carotid Artery Injuries in Transsphenoidal Surgery with the Doppler Probe and Micro-Hook Blades. *Neurosurgery.* 2007; 60:322-8, doi: 10.1227/01.NEU.0000255408.84269.A8
10. Elwany S, Elsaied I, Thabet H Endoscopic anatomy of the sphenoid sinus. *The Journal of Laryngology and Otology.* 1999; 113:122-126, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022215100143361>
11. Eryilmaz A, Ozeri C, Bayiz U, Samim E, Gocmen H, Akmansu H, Safak MA, Dursun E. Functional endoscopic sinus surgery (FESS). *Turk J Med Res.* 1993; 11(5):221-223
12. Gardner PA, Kassam AB, Snyderman CH, Carrau RL, Mintz AH, Grahovac S, Steffen S. Outcomes following endoscopic, expanded endonasal resection of suprasellar craniopharyngomas: a case series. *J Neurosurg.* 2008; 109:6-16, doi: 10.3171/JNS/2008/109/7/0006

13. Haetinger RG, Navarro JAC, Liberti EA. Basilar expansion of the human sphenoidal sinus: an integrated anatomical and computerized tomography study. *Eur Radiol.* 2006; 16:2092-2099, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-006-0208-3>
14. Hewaidi GH, Omami GM. Anatomic variation of sphenoid sinus and related structures in Libyan population: CT scan study. *Libyan J Med.* 2008; 3(3):128-133, doi: 10.4176/080307
15. Jaworek-Troć J, Iwanaga J, Chrzan R, Zarzecki JJ, Żmuda P, Pękala A, Tomaszewska IM, Tubbs RS, Zawiliński J, Zarzecki MP. Anatomical variations of the main septum of the sphenoidal sinus and its importance during transsphenoidal approaches to the sella turcica. *Transl. Res. Anat.* 2020; 100079, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tria.2020.100079>
16. Jaworek-Troć J, Zarzecki M, Bonczar A, Kaythampillai LN, Rutowicz B, Mazur M, Urbaniak J, Przybycień W, Piątek-Koziej K, Kuniewicz M, Lipski M, Kowalski W, Skrzat J, Loukas M, Walocha J. Sphenoid bone and its sinus — anatomico-clinical review of the literature including application to FESS. *Folia Med Cracov.* 2019; 59(2): 45-59, doi: 10.24425/fmc.2019.128453
17. Jaworek-Troć J, Zarzecki M, Zamojska I, Iwanaga J, Przybycień W, Mazur M, Chrzan R, Walocha JA. “The dimensions of the sphenoid sinuses – evaluation before the functional endoscopic sinus surgery” *Folia Morphol.* 2020 doi: 10.5603/FM.a2020.0059
18. Kajoak SA, Ayad CE Najmeldeen M, Abdalla EA. Computerized tomography morphometric analysis of the sphenoid sinus and related structures in Sudanese population. *Glo/ Adv Res J Med Med Sci.* 2014; 3(7):160-167
19. Kantarci M, Karasen RM, Alper F, Onbas O, Okur A, Karaman A. Remarkable anatomic variations in paranasal sinus region and their clinical importance. *European Journal of Radiology.* 2004; 50:296-302, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrad.2003.08.012>
20. Kapakin S. The paranasal sinuses: three-dimensional reconstruction, photo-realistic imaging, and virtual endoscopy. *Folia Morphol.* 2016; 75(3):326-333, doi: 10.5603/FM.a2016.0006
21. Kazkayasi M, Karadeniz Y, Arikan OK. Anatomic variations of the sphenoid sinus on computed tomography. *Rhinology.* 2005; 43:109-114
22. Keast A, Yelavich S, Dawes P, Lyons B. Anatomical variations of the paranasal sinuses in Polynesian and New Zealand European computerized tomography scans. *Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery.* 2008; 139:216-221, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otohns.2008.05.014>
23. Krzeski A, Osuch-Wójcikiewicz E, Szwedowicz P, Tuszyńska A. Chirurgia endoskopowa w leczeniu guzów jam nosa i zatok przynosowych. *Mag. ORL.* 2004; 3(3):79-84
24. Leong JL, Batra PS, Citardi MJ. Three-Dimensional Computed Tomography Angiography of the Internal Carotid Artery for Preoperative Evaluation of Sinonasal Lesions and Intraoperative Surgical Navigation. *Laryngoscope.* 2005; 115:1618-1623, doi: 10.1097/01.mlg.0000173156.26930.15
25. Li Y, Sun J, Zhu X, Zhao C, Xu J, Jiang P, Tong X. Study of the relationship between sphenoid sinus volume and protrusions in the sphenoid sinus. *Forensic medicine and Anatomy research.* 2014; 2(1):2-7, doi: 10.4236/fmar.2014.21002
26. Lupascu M, Comsa Gh, Zainea V. Anatomical variations of the sphenoid sinus – a study of 200 cases. *ARS Medica Tomitana.* 2014; 2(77):57-62, doi: 10.2478/arsm-2014-0011
27. Mafee MF, Chow JM, Meyers R. Functional endoscopic sinus surgery: anatomy, CT screening, indications and complications. *AJR.* 1993; 160:735-744, doi: 10.2214/ajr.160.4.8456654
28. Mamatha H, Saraswathi G, Prasanna LC. Variations of sphenoid sinus and their impact on related neurovascular structures. *Current Neurobiology.* 2010; 1(2):121-124
29. Mutlu C, Unlu HH, Goktan C, tarhan S, Egrilmez M. Radiologic anatomy of the sphenoid sinus for intranasal surgery. *Rhinology.* 2001; 39:128-132

30. Nitinavakarn B, Thanaviratananich S, Sangsilp N. Anatomical variation of the lateral nasal wall and paranasal sinuses: a CT study for endoscopic sinus surgery (ESS) in Thai patients. *J Med Assoc Thai.* 2005; 88(6):763-768
31. Ozturan O, Yenigun A, Degirmenci N, Aksoy F, Veyseller B. Co-existence of the Onodi cell with the variation of perisphenoidal structures. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol.* 2013; 270:2057-2063, doi: 10.1007/s00405-012-2325-8
32. Perez-Pinas I, Sabate J, carmona A, Catalina-Herrera CJ, Jimenez-Castellanos J. Anatomical variations in the human paranasal sinus region studied by CT. *J Anat.* 2000; 197:221-227, <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-7580.2000.19720221.x>
33. Priyadarshini D, Latha VP, Ashvini K, Mangala MP, Dananjay KVN. The anatomical variations in the neurovascular relations of the sphenoid sinus: an evaluation by coronal computed tomography. *Turk Neurosurg.* 2015; 25(2):289-293, doi: 10.5137/1019-5149.JTN.10638-14.0
34. Sareen D, Agarwail AK, Kaul JM, Sethi A. Study of sphenoid sinus anatomy in relation to endoscopic surgery. *Int. J Morphol.* 2005; 23 (3):261-266
35. Sasagawa Y, Tachibana O, Doai M, Hayashi Y, Tonami H, Iizuka H, Nakada M. Carotid artery protrusion and dehiscence in patients with acromegaly. *Pituitary.* 2016; 19(5):482-487, doi: 10.1007/s11102-016-0728-z
36. Sirikci A, Bayazit YA, Bayram M, Mumbuc S, Gungor K, Kanhkama M. Variations of sphenoid sinus and related structures. *Eur Radiol.* 2000; 10:844-848, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s003300051016>
37. Standring S. *Gray's Anatomy: The Anatomical Basis of Clinical Practice.* Elsevier Ltd, Spain 2008: 830-845
38. Stecco A, Boccafoschi F, Falaschi Z, Mazzucca G, Carisio A, Bor S, Valente I, Cavalieri S, Carriero A. Virtual dissection table in diagnosis and classification of Le Fort fractures: A retrospective study of feasibility. *Transl Res Anat.* 2020; 18:100060, doi: 10.1016/j.tria.2019.100060
39. Tan HKK, Ong YK. Sphenoid sinus: an anatomic and endoscopic study in Asian cadavers. *Clinical Anatomy.* 2007; 20:745-750, <https://doi.org/10.1002/ca.20507>
40. Tan HM, Chong VFH. CT of the paranasal sinuses: normal anatomy, variations and pathology. *CME Radiology.* 2001; 2(3):120-125
41. Terra ER, Guedes FR, Manzi FR, Boscolo FN. Pneumatization of the sphenoid sinus. *Dentomaxillofacial Radiology.* 2006; 35:47-49, <https://doi.org/10.1259/dmfr/55048928>
42. Tomovic S, Esmaeili A, Chan NJ, Shukla PA, Choudhry OJ, Liu JK, Eloy JA. High-resolution computed tomography analysis of variations of the sphenoid sinus. *J Neurol Surg B.* 2013; 74:82-90, doi: 10.1055/s-0033-1333619.

Table I. The frequency prevalence of the protrusion of the carotid canal in the total research group.

PCC	F	F%	M	M%	F + M	F + M%
Present	68	46.26%	97	65.1%	165	55.74%
Absent	79	53.74%	52	34.9%	131	44.26%

PCC – the protrusion of the carotid canal, F – females, F% – the percentage derived from all the females studied, M – males, M% – the percentage derived from all the males studied

Table II. The frequency prevalence of the protrusion of the carotid canal unilaterally or bilaterally in the total research group.

PCC	F	F%	M	M%	F + M	F + M%
Unilaterally	24	16.33%	18	12.08%	42	14.19%
Bilaterally	44	29.93%	79	53.02%	123	41.55%
Absent	79	53.74%	52	34.9%	131	44.26%

PCC – the protrusion of the carotid canal, F – females, F% – the percentage derived from all the females studied, M – males, M% – the percentage derived from all the males studied

Table III. The frequency prevalence of the unilateral protrusion of the carotid canal in the patients with the unilateral protrusion present.

PCC	F	F%	M	M%	F + M	F + M%
RSS	6	4.08%	4	2.68%	10	3.38%
LSS	18	12.24%	14	9.4%	32	10.81%

PCC – the protrusion of the carotid canal, RSS – right sphenoid sinus, LSS – left sphenoid sinus, F – females, F% – the percentage derived from all the females studied, M – males, M% – the percentage derived from all the males studied

Table IV. The frequency prevalence of the protrusion of the carotid canal in the total number of the sphenoid sinuses studied.

PCC altogether	F	F%	M	M%	F + M	F + M%
Present	112	38.1%	176	59.06%	288	48.65%
Absent	182	61.9%	122	40.94%	304	51.35%

PCC – the protrusion of the carotid canal, F – female sinuses, F% – the percentage derived from all the female sinuses studied, M – male sinuses M% – the percentage derived from all the male sinuses studied

Table V. The frequency prevalence of the unilateral protrusion of the carotid canal in the total number of the sphenoid sinuses studied.

PCC altogether	F	F%	M	M%	F + M	F + M%
RSS	50	17.01%	83	27.85%	133	22.47%
LSS	62	21.09%	93	31.21%	155	26.18%

PCC – the protrusion of the carotid canal, RSS – right sphenoid sinus, LSS – left sphenoid sinus, F – female sinuses, F% – the percentage derived from all the female sinuses studied, M – male sinuses, M% – the percentage derived from all the male sinuses studied

Table VI. The frequency prevalence of the protrusion of the carotid canal.

Author (material and methods)	Present	Unilateral	On the right side	On the left side	Bilateral
Mamatha et al. (20 CT scans)	50%	35%	5%	30%	15%
Lupascu et al. (200 CT scans)	55%/57%				
Ozturan et al. (999 CT scans)	64.5%				
Tan and Chong (-)	65-72%				
Tan and Ong (48 skulls, dissection and endoscopic study)	67.7%				
Hewaidi and Omami (300 CT scans)	41%	18.3%	11.3%	7%	22.6%
Elwany et al. 1999 (93 skulls, dissection and endoscopic study)	89.9%				
Kantarci et al. (512 CT scans)	23%	7%	-	-	16%
Kajoak et al. (201 CT scans)	25.4%				
Tomovic et al. (170 HRCT)	28.2%				
Li et al. (350 CT scans)	29.25%	8.68%	-	-	20.57%
Bademci and Unal et al. (45 CT scans)	31.1%				
Nitinavakarn et al. (88 CT scans)	32.4%	17%	-	-	24%

Anusha et al. (300 CT scans)	10%	90%	36.7%	53.3%	10%
Kazkayasi et al. (267 CT scans)	5.2%	2.6%	-	-	2.6%
Cope (-)	?				
Priyadarshini et al. (100 CT scans)	0%				
Jaworek-Troć et al. (296 CT scans)	55.74%	14.19%	3.38%	10.81%	41.55%

Figure 1. The frequency prevalence of the protrusion of the carotid canal in the total research group (including its location) – cumulative data; 1 – absent, 2 – bilaterally present, 3 – present on the right side, 4 – present on the left side.

Figure 2. Axial CT scan of the paranasal sinuses showing absence of the protrusions of the carotid canals.

Figure 3. Axial CT scan of the paranasal sinuses showing unilateral protrusion of the carotid canal into the right sphenoid sinus.

Figure 4. Axial CT scan of the paranasal sinuses showing unilateral protrusion of the carotid canal into the left sphenoid sinus.

Figure 5. Axial CT scan of the paranasal sinuses showing bilateral protrusions of the carotid canals into the sphenoid sinuses.









