

#### ONLINE FIRST

This is a provisional PDF only. Copyedited and fully formatted version will be made available soon.





ISSN: 1897-5593 e-ISSN: 1898-018X

# Feasibility of sacubitril/valsartan initiation early after acute decompensated heart failure

**Authors**: Agata Tymińska, Krzysztof Ozierański, Marcin Grabowski, Grzegorz Opolski, Paweł Balsam

**DOI:** 10.5603/CJ.a2020.0094

Article type: Review articles

Submitted: 2019-06-23

Accepted: 2019-11-04

Published online: 2020-07-10

This article has been peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance. It is an open access article, which means that it can be downloaded, printed, and distributed freely, provided the work is properly cited. Articles in "Cardiology Journal" are listed in PubMed.

# Feasibility of sacubitril/valsartan initiation early after acute decompensated heart failure Running title: Sacubitril/valsartan after acute decompensated heart failure

## Agata Tymińska, Krzysztof Ozierański, Marcin Grabowski, Grzegorz Opolski, Paweł Balsam

1st Department of Cardiology, Medical University of Warsaw, Poland

Address for correspondence: Krzysztof Ozierański, 1<sup>st</sup> Department of Cardiology, Medical University of Warsaw, ul. Banacha 1a, 02–097 Warszawa, Poland, tel: +48 22 599 29 58, e-mail: krzysztof.ozieranski@gmail.com

#### Abstract

Despite significant diagnostic and therapeutic advances, heart failure (HF) is linked with high mortality and morbidity. Hospitalization for decompensated HF is still the most common cause of hospitalization in adults. What is more, a particularly high risk of hospitalization (even up to 50% of patients) is observed within a few months after a previous HF hospitalization. Sacubitril/valsartan, a first-in-class drug, contains a neprilysin inhibitor (sacubitril) and an angiotensin II receptor blocker (valsartan). In PARADIGM-HF trial investigators showed, that sacubitril/valsartan significantly reduced primary endpoint combined with cardiovascular death or HF hospitalization in patients with chronic, symptomatic HF (New York Heart Association class II–IV) with reduced ejection fraction (left ventricular ejection fraction [LVEF]  $\leq$  35–40%). Recently, results of the PIONEER-HF trial, which included HF patients with LVEF  $\leq$  40% who were hospitalized for acute decompensated HF were also published. The study proved that early, in-hospital, implementation of sacubitril/valsartan in these patients resulted in a substantially greater reduction of N-terminal prohormone B-type natriuretic peptide concentration and a lower rate of HF rehospitalizations with similar safety profile for enalapril.

Key words: sacubitril/valsartan, acute decompensated heart failure, angiotensin receptor neprilysin inhibitor, angiotensin receptor neprilysin inhibitors (ARNI)

#### Introduction

Management of heart failure (HF) is one of the most important challenges of modern medicine in highly developed countries [1]. An aging population, effective invasive treatment of coronary artery disease and the advancement of new pharmacological molecules which improve the prognosis of patients with cardiovascular diseases could explain the increase in HF prevalence [2, 3]. This is linked to the high costs of healthcare, which are mainly resulting from multiple hospitalizations due to worsening HF, as well as high mortality and poor quality of life (Fig. 1) [1, 3, 4].

Heart failure is a complex and progressive clinical syndrome caused by abnormalities of cardiac structure or function leading to inadequate cardiac output to fulfill metabolic demands or adequate cardiac output with increased left ventricular filling pressure [2]. There are multiple etiologies of HF, but it has been established that finally the same pathophysiological mechanisms are involved in the clinical progression of HF. The pathophysiology is based on progressive neurohormonal activation, involving two key systems: the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system (RAAS) and the sympathetic nervous system (SNS). These mechanisms under physiological conditions are essential in the regulation of cardiovascular homeostasis in order to maintain proper cardiac function and perfusion of vital organs [5]. However, prolonged activation of these systems accelerates the progression of HF and promotes organ damage. Stimulation of the RAAS increases sodium and water retention, blood pressure, and also leads to fibrosis and remodeling of the myocardium and endothelial dysfunction with the formation and destabilization of atherosclerotic plaques. Activation of the SNS results in vasoconstriction, increased heart rate and myocardial contractility [5].

In recent guidelines HF was classified into three subtypes — HF with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF), HF with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF), and HF mid-range ejection fraction (HFmrEF), according to the ejection fraction, natriuretic peptide levels and the presence of structural heart disease and diastolic dysfunction [2]. Differentiation of the HF subtype has important clinical and prognostic implications, as is a commonly accepted management with proven beneficial effects on prognosis, quality of life and acceptable safety profiles concerning patients with HFrEF [2]. However, patients with HFpEF and HFrEF have similarly high mortality risk and rate of rehospitalization after discharge [6, 7]. There are currently two interesting on-going studies on patients with HFpEF — PARAGON-HF (Prospective comparison of Angiotensin Receptor-neprilysin inhibitor with ARB Global

Outcomes in HF with preserved ejection fraction) and PARALLAX (A Randomized, Doubleblind Controlled Study Comparing LCZ696 to Medical Therapy for Comorbidities in HFpEF Patients) will investigate the benefits of sacubtril/valsartan in patients with HFpEF [8, 9].

In this review, discussion focuses on the current role of sacubitril/valsartan in the management of patients with acute decompensated HFrEF, with particular regard to results from the PIONEER-HF and other recent studies.

#### **Current HFrEF treatment**

From almost two decades treatment of chronic HF have used angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEI), angiotensin receptor blockers (ARB) and beta-blockers, followed by the implementation of mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists and ivabradine into clinical practice [2]. While symptomatic management is covered mainly by diuretics [2]. An important headway in the treatment of HFrEF in recent years was the development of a new drug containing a combination of valsartan and sacubitril, belonging to the angiotensin receptor neprilysin inhibitors (ARNI) [10].

In patients with acute decompensated HF the main part of management consists of improving patient signs and symptoms, correction of volume overload, improvement of hemodynamic status and counteracting the neurohormonal hyperactivation [2, 5]. The key drugs in HF therapy in the acute setting are intravenous diuretics, vasodilators, and less commonly inotropic agents [2]. Nevertheless, despite rapid and aggressive initiation of therapy, long-term prognosis of patients with acute HF remain very poor. Therefore, there is a need for seeking for new and better therapeutic strategies to improve outcomes.

#### Sacubitril/valsartan

Sacubitril/valsartan is a first-in-class ARNI. This drug has a class I indication for treatment of symptomatic HFrEF in the current European and American guidelines [2, 11]. The mechanism of action of this novel therapy includes RAAS inhibition through AT1 receptor blockade (valsartan) and neprilysin inhibiton (sacubitril), which increases levels of endogenous vasoactive peptides [12].

Besides the harmful activity of RAAS and SNS systems, other counter-regulatory pathways are activated in HF, including the natriuretic peptide (NP) system [13]. Sacubitril by inhibition of neprilysin reduces degradation of NP, bradykinin and other peptides. As a consequence, increased concentrations of mainly type A circulation (ANP) and type B natriuretic peptides (BNP) increases diuresis, natriuresis, and improves vasodilatation and relaxation of the myocardium. ANP and BNP also inhibits the secretion of renin and aldosterone. The selective blocking of the AT1 receptor reduces vasoconstriction, sodium and water retention and cardiac hypertrophy [6, 12–14].

#### The PARADIGM-HF trial

The PARADIGM-HF (Prospective Comparison of Angiotensin Receptor-Neprilysin Inhibitor with an Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitor to Determine Impact on Global Mortality and Morbidity in Heart Failure) trial revealed that sacubitril/valsartan brings significant benefits among ambulatory patients with HFrEF compared with the use of RAAS inhibitor alone. Compared with enalapril, sacubitril/valsartan reduced by 20% the composite endpoint of cardiovascular death or HF hospitalization, giving a real chance for further improvement in HF therapy. Because of these results, the trial was stopped early after a median follow up of 27 months [10, 15]. In consequence, sacubitril/valsartan received a strong recommendation in the European and American guidelines as an alternative for ambulatory HFrEF patients who tolerate an ACEI or ARB and are still symptomatic [2, 11].

#### The PIONEER-HF trial — study design

There is limited data on sacubitril/valsartan in an acute setting, such as in patients hospitalized for acute decompensated HF and patients with severe symptomatic chronic HF. However, it seems reasonable to initiate and intensify lifesaving chronic therapy already in the hospital to decrease the risk of premature HF re-exacerbation. The goal of the PIONEER-HF (Comparison of Sacubitril/Valsartan versus Enalapril on Effect on NT-proBNP in Patients Stabilized from an Acute Heart Failure Episode) trial was to assess the safety and efficacy of sacubitril/valsartan use in hospitalized individuals with acute decompensated HFrEF [16]. Interestingly, the PIONEER-HF trial was construed early after the appearance of positive data from the PARADIGM-HF study. As a rationale for conducting this trial, the researchers highlighted that in the PARADIGM-HF approximately 40% of participants had no previous HF hospitalization, and at most 15% of patients were hospitalized for a primary diagnosis of HF during the entire study [15]. What is more, patients with acute decompensated HF were excluded from the PARADIGM-HF study and only less than 1% of patients had New York Heart Association (NYHA) class IV symptoms at baseline [15].

In the PIONEER-HF trial 881 patients were recruited with HFrEF ( $\leq 40\%$ ), currently hospitalized for acute decompensated HF with elevated NP levels (N-terminal pro–B-type natriuretic peptide [NT-proBNP]  $\geq 1600$  pg/mL or BNP  $\geq 400$  pg/mL). The randomization

was not earlier than 24 h and up to 10 days from hospital admission. The patients had to be clinically stable. Inclusion and exclusion criteria from the PIONEER study are presented in Table 1. After achieving hemodynamic stabilization, the patients were randomized 1:1 to sacubitril/valsartan (n = 440) or enalapril (n = 441), and were then followed for 8 weeks. Initial dose of sacubitril/valsartan was 24/26 or 49/51 mg, and for enalapril was 2.5 or 5 mg, both given twice daily. If the conversion was made from ACEI, there was a 36-h wash-out period. The investigators aimed to up-titrate the dose of sacubitril/valsartan to 97/103 mg and enalapril to 10 mg twice daily. Finally, they selected a surrogate biomarker (NT-proBNP) as the primary endpoint. The primary efficacy outcome was a change in NT-proBNP concentration from baseline to week 4 and week 8 [16]. Secondary efficacy and safety outcomes are listed in Table 2.

#### **Results of the PIONEER-HF trial**

In the PIONEER-HF trial mean age of patients was 61 years, 72% were male and 36% were black. At randomization, the median systolic blood pressure was 118 mm Hg, and NT-proBNP concentration at screening was 4812 pg/mL. The median left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) was 24%; two thirds of patients had NYHA class III, and approximately 10% had NYHA class IV. The median serum creatinine was 1.3 mg/dL; and serum potassium was 4.2 mmol/L. Further, approximately two thirds of patients had previously beem diagnosed with HF and 60% had at least one HF hospitalization within the previous year. At the time of randomization 61.7% of the patients had peripheral edema, 32.9% had rales on lungs auscultation and 93.0% received intravenous furosemide during the index hospitalization before randomization. Fifty-two percent of the patients were not receiving an ACEI or ARB at the time of hospital admission [16].

In the PIONEER-HF treatment with sacubitril/valsartan was associated with a greater time-averaged reduction in NT-proBNP concentration (primary efficacy outcome) compared to enalapril. The investigators also noted a 25.3% and 46.7% reduction in NT-proBNP concentration in enalapril and sacubitril/valsartan groups, respectively. This reduction was observed within the first week after drug initiation [16]. NT-proBNP is a biomarker of neurohormonal activation and hemodynamic stress, which plays an important role as a tool for HF diagnosis, monitoring of therapy and prognosis. It is worth noting, that HFrEF patients with concomitant atrial fibrillation (AF) have higher concentration of NT-proBNP. However, Kristensen et al. [17] showed that NT-proBNP > 400 pg/mL in those patients had a similar

value in the prediction of cardiovascular outcomes comparing to HF patients without AF [17, 18].

The PIONEER-HF study also observed a reduction in high-sensitive troponin T concentration in the sacubitril/valsartan group (p < 0.05). Elevation of troponin is a very frequent finding in patients hospitalized for acute decompensated HF and is associated with poor outcomes during hospitalization and increased risk of death or rehospitalizations after discharge [19]. Nakou et al. [20] already showed that troponin I concentrations may be an independent predictive marker of a sacubitril/valsartan positive response in HFrEF.

Importantly, the PIONEER-HF study also showed a 44% reduction in HF rehospitalizations and 46% reduction in a composite outcome of serious clinical events (death, HF rehospitalization, need for a left ventricular assist device, or heart transplant). What is more, previously, Desai et al. [21] showed that patients treated with sacubitril/valsartan comparing to enalapril in the PARADIGM-HF study had less frequent 30day readmissions for any cause after HF hospitalization. The results of these studies encourages early use of sacubitril/valsartan and gives an opportunity for additional improvement of outcomes of HF patients compared to enalapril. The results of the clinical and safety outcomes of the PIONEER-HF study are presented in Tables 3 and 4.

In the PIONEER-HF study, patients hospitalized for acute coronary syndrome with concomitant signs of HF were excluded from the study. However, an on-going PARADISE-MI (Prospective ARNI vs. ACE Inhibitor Trial to DetermIne Superiority in Reducing Heart Failure Events After MI) study enrolls patients with LVEF < 40%, and signs of HF in the post-acute myocardial infarction phase (without prior chronic HF). The PARADISE-MI study was designed to evaluate benefits of sacubitril/valsartan versus ramipril in reducing the occurrence of composite endpoint of cardiovascular death, HF hospitalization and outpatient HF occurrence in patients with new-onset HF after recent myocardial infarction [22].

There are also other studies evaluating the process of initiation and uptitration of sacubitril/valsartan following hospitalization for acute decompensated HF. The rationale of the TRANSITION (The Comparison of Pre- and Post-discharge Initiation of LCZ696 Therapy in HFrEF Patients After an Acute Decompensation Event) study was to evaluate efficacy and safety of in-hospital initiation of sacubitril/valsartan in HFrEF patients hospitalized for acute decompensated HF after clinical stabilization [23]. According to the protocol, patients were randomized within  $\geq 24$  h after hemodynamic stabilization (in a predischarge arm) or up to 14 days after discharge (a post-discharge arm). The study enrolled patients with deterioration of chronic HF or with de novo acute decompensated HF, as well as

patients with or without previous ACEI/ARB therapy [24]. The primary results of the TRANSITION study demonstrated that uptitration of sacubitril/valsartan to a target dose 200 mg (sacubitril 97 mg and valsartan 103 mg twice daily) was achieved in about 45% of patients who started taking the drug before discharge, compared with 50% of patients who started the drug after discharge. The difference was not statistically significant. Adverse events prompting discontinuations of sacubitril/valsartan therapy were rare, and occurred similarly in both arms of the trial [24].

The PARADIGM-HF study recruited patients who were pre-exposed to optimal doses of enalapril (10 mg twice daily) and were then transitioned to sacubitril/valsartan (first 100 mg (sacubitril 49 mg and valsartan 51 mg), twice daily, and then sacubitril/valsartan 200 mg (sacubitril 97 mg and valsartan 103 mg), twice daily, over a 6-8 week period before randomization. In comparison, the TITRATION (Safety and Tolerability of Initiating LCZ696 in Heart Failure Patients) study was addressed to evaluate the tolerability of initiation/faster uptitration (condensed shorter 3-week and conservative 6-week uptitration) of sacubitril/valsartan in HF patients with LVEF  $\leq 35\%$ . The study population was comprised of 498 in- and outpatients, both patients pre-exposed to varying doses of an ACEI/ARB and ACEI/ARB-naive. Initially, patients were taking 50 mg sacubitril/valsartan twice a day for 5 days. The authors showed that sacubitril/valsartan was characterized by a good safety profile and tolerance regardless of time to reach the target dose. There were no statistically significant differences in the occurrence of hypotension, renal dysfunction, hyperkalemia and angioedema between 'condensed' vs. 'conservative' regimens. The secondary tolerability outcome was related to the number of patients who managed to reach the target dose 97/103 mg twice daily and to maintain it for 12 weeks. Such therapeutic success was achieved in 75.9% of the study participants (in 78% of people in the 3-week group and 84% in the 6-week group, p = 0.07). It may be concluded, based on the results of the TITRATION study that initiation/uptitration of sacubitril/valsartan from 50 to 200 mg twice daily had a good tolerability over the 3- and 6-week process, but more gradual uptitration may have fewer side effects and may be better tolerated in patients previously treated with low doses of ACEI or ARB (or ACEI/ARB naive) [25].

What is highly important in terms of drug initiation in the acute setting, was that the PIONEER-HF trial sacubitril/valsartan was well tolerated and showed a good safety profile. Rates of the key safety outcomes including symptomatic hypotension, worsening renal function, hyperkalemia, or angioedema were comparable between the two study arms (for all p-value > 0.05). In addition, all 6 cases of angioedema in the enalapril group occurred in

black patients, while the only case in the sacubitril/valsartan group was in a white patient. Trial medication was discontinued in approximately 20% of patients in both groups [16]. In contrast, in the PARADIGM-HF study, hypotension was more frequent in the sacubitril/valsartan group, while hyperkalemia, higher serum creatinine level, need for discontinuation of the study drug because of renal impairment and cough were more common in the enalapril group (for all p-value > 0.05). Sacubitril/valsartan was discontinued in 17.8% and enalapril in 19.8% of patients (p = 0.02) [15].

Luo et al. [26] assumed that implementation of the novel therapy with ARNI into the clinical practice is slow and they were seeking characteristics of early adopters and factors associated with ARNI prescription among patients discharged after acute HF hospitalization. They analyzed 16674 HFrEF patients hospitalized in 210 hospitals from October 2015 to December 2016. ARNI was prescribed at discharge for 6.1% of them. They showed that for-profit hospitals located in the Northern United States had significantly higher odds of ARNI prescription compared with not-for-profit hospitals located in the Western United States (p = 0.04 and p = 0.02, respectively) [26]. Further studies assessing sacubitril/valsartan will perhaps translate into a better understanding of the new evidence-based therapy and minimize differences across hospitals.

New evidence regarding the use of sacubitril/valsartan in patients hospitalized for new-onset HF or decompensated chronic HF sacubitril/valsartan was included in the European Society of Cardiology 2019 experts' clinical practice update on HF [27]. According to this new document sacubitril/valsartan, rather than an ACEI or an ARB, may be considered in these patients to reduce short-term risk of adverse outcomes. The direct introduction of sacubitril/valsartan, without the need of overtaking ACEI titration, significantly facilitates management of HF patients.

Authors of the PIONEER-HF trail pointed out some limitations of the study. They concluded that there was a need to wait for hemodynamic stability and a 36-h wash-out period in the sacubitril/valsartan group, with 6 h of obligatory observation, may require prolonged hospital stays. However, the median duration of the index hospitalization (5.2 days) was shorter than was shown in a previous analysis from the registry of European Society of Cardiology (median hospital stay was 7 days) [28]. Additionally, there was high discontinuation rate of study drug in both arms and 15% had missing data for the primary endpoint [16].

#### Conclusions

The results of the presented studies encourage the early initiation of sacubitril/valsartan treatment immediately after achieving clinical stabilization to improve outcomes of HF patients after hospitalization for worsening HF. Treatment of clinically stabilized HFrEF patients hospitalized for acute decompensated HF with sacubitril/valsartan significantly reduces NT-proBNP concentrations and the risk of serious clinical events. An early start of treatment with sacubitril/valsartan has a good safety profile and is not associated with an increased risk of symptomatic hypotension, renal dysfunction, hyperkalemia, or episode of angioedema compared to enalapril.

**Conflict of interest:** Agata Tymińska, Krzysztof Ozierański, Marcin Grabowski, Grzegorz Opolski, Paweł Balsam: fees for lectures or participation in clinical trials: Novartis, Boehringer Ingelheim.

### References

- 1. Savarese G, Lund LH. Global public health burden of heart failure. Card Fail Rev. 2017; 3(1): 7–11, doi: <u>10.15420/cfr.2016:25:2</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>28785469</u>.
- 2. Ponikowski P, Voors A, Anker S, et al. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure. Eur Heart J. 2016; 37(27): 2129–2200, doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehw128.
- Ambrosy AP, Fonarow GC, Butler J, et al. The global health and economic burden of hospitalizations for heart failure: lessons learned from hospitalized heart failure registries. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014; 63(12): 1123–1133, doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2013.11.053, indexed in Pubmed: 24491689.
- 4. Balsam P, Ozierański K, Kapłon-Cieślicka A, et al. Differences in clinical characteristics and 1-year outcomes of hospitalized patients with heart failure in ESC-HF Pilot and ESC-HF-LT registries. Pol Arch Intern Med. 2019; 129(2): 106–116, doi: 10.20452/pamw.4418, indexed in Pubmed: 30648697.
- Goldsmith SR. Interactions between the sympathetic nervous system and the RAAS in heart failure. Curr Heart Fail Rep. 2004; 1(2): 45–50, doi: <u>10.1007/s11897-004-0024-5</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>16036024</u>.
- 6. Fonarow GC, Stough WG, Abraham WT, et al. Characteristics, treatments, and outcomes of patients with preserved systolic function hospitalized for heart failure: a report from the OPTIMIZE-HF Registry. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2007; 50(8): 768–777, doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2007.04.064, indexed in Pubmed: 17707182.
- Kapłon-Cieślicka A, Tymińska A, Peller M, et al. Diagnosis, Clinical Course, and 1-Year Outcome in Patients Hospitalized for Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction (from the Polish Cohort of the European Society of Cardiology Heart Failure Long-Term Registry). Am J Cardiol. 2016; 118(4): 535–542, doi: <u>10.1016/j.amjcard.2016.05.046</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>27374606</u>.
- 8. Solomon SD, Rizkala AR, Gong J, et al. Angiotensin receptor neprilysin inhibition in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: rationale and design of the PARAGON-

HF trial. JACC Heart Fail. 2017; 5(7): 471–482, doi: <u>10.1016/j.jchf.2017.04.013</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>28662936</u>.

- Novartis. A randomized, double-blind controlled study comparing LCZ696 to medical therapy for comorbidities in HFpEF Patients (PARALLAX). <u>http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03066804. NLM identifier: NCT03066804</u> (accessed 20 December 2017).
- Buggey J, Mentz RJ, DeVore AD, et al. Angiotensin receptor neprilysin inhibition in heart failure: mechanistic action and clinical impact. J Card Fail. 2015; 21(9): 741– 750, doi: 10.1016/j.cardfail.2015.07.008, indexed in Pubmed: <u>26209000</u>.
- 11. Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, et al. 2016 ACC/AHA/HFSA Focused Update on New Pharmacological Therapy for Heart Failure: An Update of the 2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Failure Society of America. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2016; 68: 1476–1488.
- Braunwald E. The path to an angiotensin receptor antagonist-neprilysin inhibitor in the treatment of heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2015; 65(10): 1029–1041, doi: <u>10.1016/j.jacc.2015.01.033</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>25766951</u>.
- 13. Kaplinsky E. Sacubitril/valsartan in heart failure: latest evidence and place in therapy. Ther Adv Chronic Dis. 2016; 7(6): 278–290, doi: <u>10.1177/2040622316665350</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>27803793</u>.
- 14. von Lueder TG, Sangaralingham SJ, Wang BH, et al. Renin-angiotensin blockade combined with natriuretic peptide system augmentation: novel therapeutic concepts to combat heart failure. Circ Heart Fail. 2013; 6(3): 594–605, doi: 10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.112.000289, indexed in Pubmed: 23694773.
- McMurray J, Packer M, Desai A, et al. Angiotensin–Neprilysin inhibition versus enalapril in heart failure. N Engl J Med. 2014; 371(11): 993–1004, doi: <u>10.1056/nejmoa1409077</u>.
- Velazquez EJ, Morrow DA, DeVore AD, et al. PIONEER-HF Investigators. Angiotensin-Neprilysin inhibition in acute decompensated heart failure. N Engl J Med. 2019; 380(6): 539–548, doi: <u>10.1056/NEJMoa1812851</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>30415601</u>.
- Kristensen SL, Jhund PS, Mogensen UM, et al. Prognostic value of N-terminal pro-Btype natriuretic peptide levels in heart failure patients with and without atrial fibrillation. Circ Heart Fail. 2017; 10(10), doi: 10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.117.004409, indexed in Pubmed: 29018174.
- Nessler J, Straburzyńska-Migaj E, Windak A, et al. [Expert consensus on the usefulness of natriuretic peptides in heart failure.]. Kardiol Pol. 2018; 76(1): 215–224, doi: <u>10.5603/KP.2017.0020</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>29399772</u>.
- Diez M, Talavera ML, Conde DG, et al. High-sensitivity troponin is associated with high risk clinical profile and outcome in acute heart failure. Cardiol J. 2016; 23(1): 78–83, doi: <u>10.5603/CJ.a2015.0058</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>26412605</u>.
- 20. Nakou ES, Marketou ME, Chlouverakis GI, et al. Troponin-I levels as a potential prognostic biomarker of sacubitril/valsartan treatment response in heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: Who will benefit most? Clin Cardiol. 2018; 41(12): 1548–1554, doi: <u>10.1002/clc.23099</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>30324615</u>.
- Desai AS, Claggett BL, Packer M, et al. PARADIGM-HF Investigators. Influence of Sacubitril/Valsartan (LCZ696) on 30-Day Readmission After Heart Failure Hospitalization. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2016; 68(3): 241–248, doi: <u>10.1016/j.jacc.2016.04.047</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>27417000</u>.

- 22. Novartis. Prospective ARNI vs. ACE inhibitor trial to determine superiority in reducing heart failure events after MI (PARADISE-MI). http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02924727. NLM identifier: NCT02924727 (accessed 29 December 2017).
- 23. Pascual-Figal D, Wachter R, Senni M, et al. Rationale and design of TRANSITION: a randomized trial of pre-discharge vs. post-discharge initiation of sacubitril/valsartan. ESC Heart Fail. 2018; 5(2): 327–336, doi: <u>10.1002/ehf2.12246</u>, indexed in Pubmed: 29239515.
- 24. Wachter R, Senni M, Belohlavek J, et al. Sacubitril/Valsartan initiated in hospitalized patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction after hemodynamic stabilization: primary results of the TRANSITION study. J Cardiac Failure. 2018; 24(8): S15, doi: 10.1016/j.cardfail.2018.07.045.
- 25. Senni M, McMurray JJV, Wachter R, et al. Initiating sacubitril/valsartan (LCZ696) in heart failure: results of TITRATION, a double-blind, randomized comparison of two uptitration regimens. Eur J Heart Fail. 2016; 18(9): 1193-1202, doi: 10.1002/ejhf.548, indexed in Pubmed: 27170530.
- 26. Luo N, Lippmann SJ, Mentz RJ, et al. Relationship between hospital characteristics and early adoption of angiotensin-receptor/neprilysin inhibitor among eligible patients hospitalized for heart failure. J Am Heart Assoc. 2019; 8(3): e010484, doi: 10.1161/JAHA.118.010484, indexed in Pubmed: 30712431.
- 27. Seferovic PM, Ponikowski P, Anker SD, et al. Clinical practice update on heart failure 2019: pharmacotherapy, procedures, devices and patient management. An expert consensus meeting report of the Heart Failure Association of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur J Heart Fail. 2019; 21(10): 1169–1186, doi: 10.1002/ejhf.1531, indexed in Pubmed: 31129923.
- 28. Balsam P, Peller M, Borodzicz S, et al. In-hospital heart rate reduction and its relation to outcomes of heart failure patients with sinus rhythm: Results from the Polish part of the European Society of Cardiology Heart Failure Pilot and Long-Term Registries. Cardiol J. 2020; 27(1): 25–37, doi: 10.5603/CJ.a2018.0094, indexed in Pubmed: 30155862.
- 29. Cowie MR, Anker SD, Cleland JGF, et al. Improving care for patients with acute heart failure: before, during and after hospitalization. ESC Heart Fail. 2014; 1(2): 110-145, doi: 10.1002/ehf2.12021, indexed in Pubmed: 28834628.

Figure 1. Clinical course of heart failure: progressive, chronic disease punctuated by acute episodes of exacerbation (based on and modified [29]).



Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
Adults > 18 years of age with the capacity to	Currently taking sacubitril/valsartan or any use
provide written informed consent	within the past 30 days
Currently hospitalized for acute decompensated	History of hypersensitivity, known or suspected
HF with symptoms and signs of fluid overload	contraindications, or intolerance to any of the
	study drugs, including ACEI, ARB, or
	sacubitril
LVEF $\leq 40\%$ within the past 6 months	Patients with a known history of angioedema
	related to previous ACEI or ARB therapy
Elevated NT-proBNP $\geq$ 1600 pg/mL or BNP $\geq$	Requirement of treatment with both ACEI and
400 pg/mL during current hospitalization	ARB
Randomization not earlier than 24 h and up to	Estimated glomerular filtration rate < 30
10 days from hospital admission	mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup>
Systolic blood pressure $\geq 100 \text{ mmHg}$ for the	Serum potassium > 5.2 mEq/L
preceding 6 h before randomization and	
absence of symptomatic hypotension	
No increase (intensification) in intravenous	Known hepatic impairment or history of
diuretic dose within the last 6 h prior to	cirrhosis with evidence of portal hypertension
randomization	
No use of intravenous vasodilators within 6 h	Acute coronary syndrome, stroke, TIA; cardiac,
prior to randomization	carotid, or other major cardiovascular surgery;
	percutaneous coronary intervention or carotid
	angioplasty, within the prior month
No intravenous inotropic drugs 24 h prior to	Implantation of cardiac resynchronization
randomization	therapy within the past 3 months or intent to
	place
	Isolated right HF due to severe pulmonary
	disease
	Documented untreated ventricular arrhythmia
	with syncopal episodes within the past 3
	months

**Table 1.** Inclusion and exclusion criteria for the PIONEER-HF trial (based on [16]).

Presence of hemodynamically significant
mitral, aortic, or hypertrophic obstructive
cardiomyopathy
History of malignancy of any organ system
(other than localized and resectable skin
cancers) within the past year with a life
expectancy of less than 1 year
Pregnant or nursing (lactating) women

ACEI — angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors; ARB — angiotensin receptor blockers; BNP — type B natriuretic peptides; HF — heart failure; LVEF — left ventricular ejection fraction; NT-proBNP — N-terminal pro–B-type natriuretic peptide; TIA — transient ischemic attack

Primary outcome	Secondary efficacy and safety outcomes
Time-averaged	Key safety outcomes
proportional change in	Number of patients with incidences of:
NT-proBNP	<ul> <li>symptomatic hypotension</li> </ul>
concentration [time	<ul> <li>worsening renal function</li> </ul>
frame: baseline, week	• hyperkalemia
4 and week 8]	• angioedema
	Secondary biomarkers outcomes
	Change from baseline in:
	<ul> <li>high sensitivity troponin T concentration</li> </ul>
	BNP concentration
	<ul> <li>ratio of BNP to NT-proBNP</li> </ul>
	Clinical outcomes:
	Time to first occurrence of composite of
	I. Death
	II. Hospitalization for worsening HF

**Table 2.** PIONEER-HF study end-points (based on [16]).

III.	Left ventricular assist device implantation
IV.	Listed for cardiac transplantation
V.	Unplanned visit for acute HF requiring intravenous diuretics
VI.	Increase in diuretic dose >50%
VII.	Use of an additional drug for HF

BNP — B-type natriuretic peptide; HF — heart failure; NT-proBNP — N-terminal pro–B-type natriuretic peptide

Clinical outcomes	Sacubitril/valsartan	Enalapril (n =	Hazard ratio
Chinical outcomes	( <b>n</b> = <b>440</b> )	441)	(95% CI)
Composite of clinical events	249 (56.6%)	264 (59.9%)	0.93 (0.78–1.10)
Death	10 (2.3%)	15 (3.4%)	0.66 (0.30–1.48)
Rehospitalization for HF	35 (8.0%)	61 (13.8%)	0.56 (0.37–0.84)
Implantation of left	1 (0.2%)	1 (0.2%)	0.99 (0.06-15.97)
ventricular assist device	1 (0.270)	1 (0.270)	0.77 (0.00–13.77)
Inclusion on the list for heart	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	NA
transplantation	0(0/0)		
Unplanned outpatient visit			
leading to use of intravenous	2 (0.5%)	2 (0.5%)	1.00 (0.14–7.07)
diuretics			
Use of additional drug for HF	78 (17.7%)	84 (19.0%)	0.92 (0.67–1.25)
Increase in dose of diuretics	218 (49 5%)	222 (50.3%)	0.98 (0.81–1.18)
of > 50%	210 (49.570)	222 (30.370)	0.90 (0.01 1.10)
Composite of serious clinical			
events:			
• death	<i>A</i> 1 ( <b>Q</b> 3%)	74 (16.8%)	0 54 (0 37_0 79)
<ul> <li>rehospitalization for HF</li> </ul>	+1 ().370)	/+(10.070)	0.3+(0.37-0.73)
<ul> <li>implantation of a left</li> </ul>			
ventricular device			

Table 3. Clinical and biomarker outcomes in the PIONEER-HF trial (based on [16]).

• inclusion on the list of			
patients eligible for heart			
transplantation			
Secondary biomarker			Ratio of change
outcomes			(95% CI)
Change in high-sensitivity	-36.6 (-40.8 to	-25.2 (-30.2	0.85 (0.77, 0.94)
troponin T concentration	-32.0)	to -19.9)	0.85 (0.77–0.94)
Change in BNP concentration	-28.7 (-35.5 to	-33.1 (-39.5	1 07 (0 02 1 23)
	-21.3)	to -25.9)	1.07 (0.92–1.23)
Change in ratio of BNP to	35.2(28.8  to  42.0)	-8.3 (-3.6 to	1 / 8 (1 38 1 58)
NT-proBNP	55.2 (28.8 to 42.0)	-12.7)	1.46 (1.56–1.56)

BNP — B-type natriuretic peptide; CI — confidence interval; HF — heart failure; NA — not available, NTproBNP — N-terminal pro–B-type natriuretic peptide

<b>1 able 4.</b> Safety outcomes in the PIONEER-HF trial (based on [16]
---

Safety outcome	Sacubitril/valsartan	Enalapril (n =	Relative risk
	( <b>n</b> = 440)	441)	(95% CI)
Worsening renal function*	60 (13.6%)	65 (14.7%)	0.93 (0.67–1.28)
Hyperkalemia	51 (11.6%)	41 (9.3%)	1.25 (0.84–1.84)
Symptomatic hypotension	66 (15.0%)	56 (12.7%)	1.18 (0.85–1.64)
Angioedema	1 (0.2%)	6 (1.4%)	0.17 (0.02–1.38)

\*Worsening renal function was defined by an increase in the serum creatinine concentration of 0.5 mg per deciliter or more ( $\geq$  44 µmol/L) and a decrease in the estimated glomerular filtration rate of 25% or more; CI — confidence interval