



ACUTE EFFECT OF INSPIRATORY MUSCLE WARM-UP PROTOCOL ON KNEE FLEXION-EXTENSION ISOKINETIC STRENGTH

Cahit Kayar,

Mustafa Özdalⁱ,

Mehmet Vural

Gaziantep University,

Sport Science Faculty,

Gaziantep, Turkey

Abstract:

In this study, it was planned to investigate the acute effect of inspiratory muscle warm-up protocol on knee flexion-extension isokinetic strength. In our study, control and two different experimental applications were performed on sedentary individuals (n: 15, age: 22.25 ± 1.49 years). In the control application, the subjects participated in the 60os-1 180os-1 and 240os-1 knee flexion-extension isokinetic test, respectively, without general warm-up. Isokinetic tests performed after general warm-up in one of the experimental trials. In the other experimental trial, in addition to general warming, the same isokinetic tests were performed after performing 30 breaths of respiratory muscle twice at 40% maximal inspiratory pressure intensity. One-way analysis of variance and LSD tests were performed for repeated measurements to determine the difference between trials. There were significant differences in 60o PT H / Q Ratio, 60o WH / Q Ratio, 60o PTE_x, 60o WEx, 60o PTFl_x, 60o FIFl_x and 60o WFl_x 180o PT H / Q Ratio (%), 180o PTE_x (nm), 180o APE_x (watts), 180o PTFl_x (nm), 180o FIFl_x (nm), 180o WFl_x (nm / repeat) 240o PTE_x (nm), 240o WEx (nm / repeat), 240o PTFl_x (nm), 240o FIFl_x (nm) and 240o WFl_x (nm / repeat) parameters in favor of experimental applications ($p < 0.05$). There was no significant difference between applications in other parameters measured ($p > 0.05$). As a result, it can be said that inspiratory muscle warm-up exercise lowers the fatigue index and therefore increases the peak torque, average power and total workforce, and it affects the knee flexion-extension isokinetic strength acutely.

Keywords: warm-up, inspiratory, isokinetic strength respiratory muscle

ⁱ Correspondence: email ozdalm@hotmail.com

1. Introduction

Warm-up is an important work done before training and competition. It tries to adapt to the training to be done by stimulating the warming muscles that vary according to the training to be applied (1). As long as the dosage and intensity of the warming are well adjusted, success affects success and injuries have a preventive effect (2). It is observed that warming, which is defined as maximizing the performance of the athlete, preventing possible injuries and preparing the athlete for training physically and mentally, increases the sports efficiency, and has an effect on minimizing the damage to the muscle as a result of the effects on movement angles, mental and nervous systems (3). As the studies on warming deepen, research involving inspiratory muscle warm-up, respiratory warm-up or respiratory muscle warm-up exercises has been revealed, and the positive effects on the efficiency of the athlete have been mentioned in these studies (4).

The respiratory system is very important for training. Due to this importance of the respiratory system, it has been stated that adequate heating of the inspiratory muscles before exercise is also extremely important to increase efficiency (5). Because these warm-up studies increase the duration of enduring the difficulty of the activity to be performed, and this leads to an increase in the efficiency to be obtained from the muscles of the left during this period (6). Doing so much work on this event reveals how directly the respiratory muscles are proportional to the efficiency in exercise. Although there are many studies on the respiratory muscles, there are very few studies on the warm-up exercises of the muscles of the left.

In the light of this information, the aim of this study is to determine the effects of respiratory muscle warm-up exercise on the flexion-extension isokinetic strength of the knee joint, which is one of the important joints of our movement system.

2. Method

Our study was designed according to the application-controlled cross-test design in repeated measurements. 15 sedentary male subjects in the 20-25 age group participated in the study (Table 1). No nutrition program was also applied to the subjects. GPower 3.1 for determining the number of subjects. program, power analysis was done. No nutrition program was also applied to the subjects. Necessary permissions were obtained from the Gaziantep University Clinical Research Ethics Committee for this study. Subjects visited the lab four times. Each visit was made at the same time of the day (13.00 - 18.00).

- Tests and warm-up types were introduced at the first visit and voluntary consent forms were taken.
- In the second visit, knee flexion and extension isokinetic force measurements were performed without warming up first.
- In the third and fourth visits, general warming (10 minutes general warming and 5 minutes stretching for the trunk) and inspiratory muscle warming will be

applied randomized, followed by knee flexion and extension isokinetic force measurement.

- All relevant measurements and tests were carried out at Gaziantep University Faculty of Physical Education and Sports Sciences Performance Laboratory.

Table 1: Descriptives

	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Dev.
Age (years)	21	25	22.25	1.49
Height (cm)	188	172	180.62	5.80
Weight (kg)	82	59	73.50	7.62

2.1 Isokinetic Strength Measurement

In the study, computer controlled isokinetic dynamometer (Humac Norm Testing and Rehabilitation System, CSMI, USA) was used to determine the isokinetic knee Ex and Flx forces of the subjects.

Immediately after the general warm-up protocol, subjects' dynamometer seat, dynamometer, adapter and other settings were adjusted according to the fixed protocol set for knee Ex and Flx forces (7).

2.2 Inspiratory Warm-up Protocol

Experiment and two different control applications were done. In the first application, general warming was performed in the second application and the inspiratory muscle warming was performed. Powerbreathe brand inspiratory muscle training device was used for inspiratory muscle warm-up exercise. The subjects were asked to perform normal inspiration and expiration with the device in the form of two sets of 30 breaths with the inspiratory muscle warming device set at 40% of the maximal inspiratory oral pressure (MIP). 1 minute rest will be given between 2 sets (8). A separate inspiratory muscle training device was used for each subject.

2.3 MIP measurement

For the calculation of MIP, M.E.C. Pocket Spiro MPM100 brand electronic respiratory pressure meter is used. Measurements were made using the nasal plug in a sitting position. For MIP; the person was given maximum expiration and was asked to perform maximum inspiration against the closed respiratory tract and maintain it for 1-3 seconds. The measurement was repeated until there was a difference of 5 cmH₂O between the two best measurements and the best result was recorded in cmH₂O (9).

2.4 Statistical method

SPSS 22.0 program was used for statistical operations. Following the normality and homogeneity test, one-way analysis of variance and LSD correction test were performed for repeated measurements. Values are presented in the form of minimum, maximum, average and standard deviation and examined at the level of 0.05 significance.

3. Results

Table 2: Analysis of 60os-1 isokinetic force values
between subjects' control and experiment applications

		Mean.	SD	Diff.	T	p																																																																																																
60° PT H/Q Ratio (%)	Control	73.13	6.69	8.37500	3.549	0.009																																																																																																
	Experimental	81.50	8.35				60° W H/Q Ratio (%)	Control	78.13	6.81	7.12500	4.341	0.003	Experimental	85.25	9.57	60° AP H/Q Ratio (%)	Control	78.38	6.48	2.00000	0.506	0.629	Experimental	80.38	9.98	60° PT _{Ex} (nm)	Control	144.75	34.48	25.25000	4.144	0.004	Experimental	170.00	22.70	60° FI _{Ex} (nm)	Control	42.38	9.21	-3.12500	1.015	0.344	Experimental	39.25	13.66	60° W _{Ex} (nm/rep.)	Control	1600.63	320.90	99.37500	2.535	0.043	Experimental	1700.00	293.71	60° AP _{Ex} (watt)	Control	113.63	13.96	7.87500	0.457	0.661	Experimental	121.50	49.18	60° PT _{Flx} (nm)	Control	117.00	25.47	7.37500	2.537	0.044	Experimental	124.38	19.94	60° FI _{Flx} (nm)	Control	33.50	27.36	-30.12500	2.523	0.045	Experimental	3.38	45.97	60° W _{Flx} (nm/rep.)	Control	1236.50	205.62	196.000	3.186	0.015	Experimental	1432.50	188.57	60° AP _{Flx} (watt)	Control	89.50	16.26	6.25000	0.478
60° W H/Q Ratio (%)	Control	78.13	6.81	7.12500	4.341	0.003																																																																																																
	Experimental	85.25	9.57				60° AP H/Q Ratio (%)	Control	78.38	6.48	2.00000	0.506	0.629	Experimental	80.38	9.98	60° PT _{Ex} (nm)	Control	144.75	34.48	25.25000	4.144	0.004	Experimental	170.00	22.70	60° FI _{Ex} (nm)	Control	42.38	9.21	-3.12500	1.015	0.344	Experimental	39.25	13.66	60° W _{Ex} (nm/rep.)	Control	1600.63	320.90	99.37500	2.535	0.043	Experimental	1700.00	293.71	60° AP _{Ex} (watt)	Control	113.63	13.96	7.87500	0.457	0.661	Experimental	121.50	49.18	60° PT _{Flx} (nm)	Control	117.00	25.47	7.37500	2.537	0.044	Experimental	124.38	19.94	60° FI _{Flx} (nm)	Control	33.50	27.36	-30.12500	2.523	0.045	Experimental	3.38	45.97	60° W _{Flx} (nm/rep.)	Control	1236.50	205.62	196.000	3.186	0.015	Experimental	1432.50	188.57	60° AP _{Flx} (watt)	Control	89.50	16.26	6.25000	0.478	0.647	Experimental	95.75	34.97						
60° AP H/Q Ratio (%)	Control	78.38	6.48	2.00000	0.506	0.629																																																																																																
	Experimental	80.38	9.98				60° PT _{Ex} (nm)	Control	144.75	34.48	25.25000	4.144	0.004	Experimental	170.00	22.70	60° FI _{Ex} (nm)	Control	42.38	9.21	-3.12500	1.015	0.344	Experimental	39.25	13.66	60° W _{Ex} (nm/rep.)	Control	1600.63	320.90	99.37500	2.535	0.043	Experimental	1700.00	293.71	60° AP _{Ex} (watt)	Control	113.63	13.96	7.87500	0.457	0.661	Experimental	121.50	49.18	60° PT _{Flx} (nm)	Control	117.00	25.47	7.37500	2.537	0.044	Experimental	124.38	19.94	60° FI _{Flx} (nm)	Control	33.50	27.36	-30.12500	2.523	0.045	Experimental	3.38	45.97	60° W _{Flx} (nm/rep.)	Control	1236.50	205.62	196.000	3.186	0.015	Experimental	1432.50	188.57	60° AP _{Flx} (watt)	Control	89.50	16.26	6.25000	0.478	0.647	Experimental	95.75	34.97																
60° PT _{Ex} (nm)	Control	144.75	34.48	25.25000	4.144	0.004																																																																																																
	Experimental	170.00	22.70				60° FI _{Ex} (nm)	Control	42.38	9.21	-3.12500	1.015	0.344	Experimental	39.25	13.66	60° W _{Ex} (nm/rep.)	Control	1600.63	320.90	99.37500	2.535	0.043	Experimental	1700.00	293.71	60° AP _{Ex} (watt)	Control	113.63	13.96	7.87500	0.457	0.661	Experimental	121.50	49.18	60° PT _{Flx} (nm)	Control	117.00	25.47	7.37500	2.537	0.044	Experimental	124.38	19.94	60° FI _{Flx} (nm)	Control	33.50	27.36	-30.12500	2.523	0.045	Experimental	3.38	45.97	60° W _{Flx} (nm/rep.)	Control	1236.50	205.62	196.000	3.186	0.015	Experimental	1432.50	188.57	60° AP _{Flx} (watt)	Control	89.50	16.26	6.25000	0.478	0.647	Experimental	95.75	34.97																										
60° FI _{Ex} (nm)	Control	42.38	9.21	-3.12500	1.015	0.344																																																																																																
	Experimental	39.25	13.66				60° W _{Ex} (nm/rep.)	Control	1600.63	320.90	99.37500	2.535	0.043	Experimental	1700.00	293.71	60° AP _{Ex} (watt)	Control	113.63	13.96	7.87500	0.457	0.661	Experimental	121.50	49.18	60° PT _{Flx} (nm)	Control	117.00	25.47	7.37500	2.537	0.044	Experimental	124.38	19.94	60° FI _{Flx} (nm)	Control	33.50	27.36	-30.12500	2.523	0.045	Experimental	3.38	45.97	60° W _{Flx} (nm/rep.)	Control	1236.50	205.62	196.000	3.186	0.015	Experimental	1432.50	188.57	60° AP _{Flx} (watt)	Control	89.50	16.26	6.25000	0.478	0.647	Experimental	95.75	34.97																																				
60° W _{Ex} (nm/rep.)	Control	1600.63	320.90	99.37500	2.535	0.043																																																																																																
	Experimental	1700.00	293.71				60° AP _{Ex} (watt)	Control	113.63	13.96	7.87500	0.457	0.661	Experimental	121.50	49.18	60° PT _{Flx} (nm)	Control	117.00	25.47	7.37500	2.537	0.044	Experimental	124.38	19.94	60° FI _{Flx} (nm)	Control	33.50	27.36	-30.12500	2.523	0.045	Experimental	3.38	45.97	60° W _{Flx} (nm/rep.)	Control	1236.50	205.62	196.000	3.186	0.015	Experimental	1432.50	188.57	60° AP _{Flx} (watt)	Control	89.50	16.26	6.25000	0.478	0.647	Experimental	95.75	34.97																																														
60° AP _{Ex} (watt)	Control	113.63	13.96	7.87500	0.457	0.661																																																																																																
	Experimental	121.50	49.18				60° PT _{Flx} (nm)	Control	117.00	25.47	7.37500	2.537	0.044	Experimental	124.38	19.94	60° FI _{Flx} (nm)	Control	33.50	27.36	-30.12500	2.523	0.045	Experimental	3.38	45.97	60° W _{Flx} (nm/rep.)	Control	1236.50	205.62	196.000	3.186	0.015	Experimental	1432.50	188.57	60° AP _{Flx} (watt)	Control	89.50	16.26	6.25000	0.478	0.647	Experimental	95.75	34.97																																																								
60° PT _{Flx} (nm)	Control	117.00	25.47	7.37500	2.537	0.044																																																																																																
	Experimental	124.38	19.94				60° FI _{Flx} (nm)	Control	33.50	27.36	-30.12500	2.523	0.045	Experimental	3.38	45.97	60° W _{Flx} (nm/rep.)	Control	1236.50	205.62	196.000	3.186	0.015	Experimental	1432.50	188.57	60° AP _{Flx} (watt)	Control	89.50	16.26	6.25000	0.478	0.647	Experimental	95.75	34.97																																																																		
60° FI _{Flx} (nm)	Control	33.50	27.36	-30.12500	2.523	0.045																																																																																																
	Experimental	3.38	45.97				60° W _{Flx} (nm/rep.)	Control	1236.50	205.62	196.000	3.186	0.015	Experimental	1432.50	188.57	60° AP _{Flx} (watt)	Control	89.50	16.26	6.25000	0.478	0.647	Experimental	95.75	34.97																																																																												
60° W _{Flx} (nm/rep.)	Control	1236.50	205.62	196.000	3.186	0.015																																																																																																
	Experimental	1432.50	188.57				60° AP _{Flx} (watt)	Control	89.50	16.26	6.25000	0.478	0.647	Experimental	95.75	34.97																																																																																						
60° AP _{Flx} (watt)	Control	89.50	16.26	6.25000	0.478	0.647																																																																																																
	Experimental	95.75	34.97																																																																																																			

SD: standard dev.. Ex: Extention. Flx: Flexion. PT: Peak Torque. FI: Fatigue index. W: Work. AP: Average power. H/Q: hamstring/quadriceps Ratio.

Table 2 shows the analysis of 60os-1 isokinetic force values between subjects' control and experimental applications. There was a significant difference in favor of experiment application between 60o PT H / Q Ratio, 60o W H / Q Ratio, 60o PTE_x, 60o WEx, 60o PTFl_x, 60o FIFl_x and 60o WFl_x in favor of experimental application (p <0.05). There was no significant difference between applications in other measured values (p > 0.05).

Table 3: Analysis of 180os-1 isokinetic force values
between subjects' control and experiment applications

		Mean.	SD	Diff.	T	p																																				
180° PT H/Q Ratio (%)	Control	68.13	16.32	14.62500	2.452	0.044																																				
	Experimental	82.75	7.05				180° W H/Q Ratio (%)	Control	75.63	24.99	11.75000	1.272	0.244	Experimental	87.37	6.76	180° AP H/Q Ratio (%)	Control	70.00	18.39	113.62500	1.099	0.308	Experimental	183.63	286.70	180° PT _{Ex} (nm)	Control	119.38	15.32	9.62500	2.715	0.030	Experimental	129.00	15.62	180° FI _{Ex} (nm)	Control	32.88	8.17	-3.00000	0.843
180° W H/Q Ratio (%)	Control	75.63	24.99	11.75000	1.272	0.244																																				
	Experimental	87.37	6.76				180° AP H/Q Ratio (%)	Control	70.00	18.39	113.62500	1.099	0.308	Experimental	183.63	286.70	180° PT _{Ex} (nm)	Control	119.38	15.32	9.62500	2.715	0.030	Experimental	129.00	15.62	180° FI _{Ex} (nm)	Control	32.88	8.17	-3.00000	0.843	0.427	Experimental	29.88	11.85						
180° AP H/Q Ratio (%)	Control	70.00	18.39	113.62500	1.099	0.308																																				
	Experimental	183.63	286.70				180° PT _{Ex} (nm)	Control	119.38	15.32	9.62500	2.715	0.030	Experimental	129.00	15.62	180° FI _{Ex} (nm)	Control	32.88	8.17	-3.00000	0.843	0.427	Experimental	29.88	11.85																
180° PT _{Ex} (nm)	Control	119.38	15.32	9.62500	2.715	0.030																																				
	Experimental	129.00	15.62				180° FI _{Ex} (nm)	Control	32.88	8.17	-3.00000	0.843	0.427	Experimental	29.88	11.85																										
180° FI _{Ex} (nm)	Control	32.88	8.17	-3.00000	0.843	0.427																																				
	Experimental	29.88	11.85																																							

Cahit Kayar, Mustafa Özdal, Mehmet Vural
ACUTE EFFECT OF INSPIRATORY MUSCLE WARM-UP PROTOCOL
ON KNEE FLEXION-EXTENSION ISOKINETIC STRENGTH

180° W _{Ex} (nm/rep.)	Control	1436.75	201.45	7.00000	0.115	0.912
	Experimental	1443.75	230.67			
180° AP _{Ex} (watt)	Control	207.88	27.25	14.75000	2.591	0.046
	Experimental	222.63	29.99			
180° PT _{Flx} (nm)	Control	87.25	23.14	11.75000	2.432	0.048
	Experimental	99.00	17.74			
180° FI _{Flx} (nm)	Control	16.38	18.12	-15.37500	2.509	0.042
	Experimental	1.00	35.07			
180° W _{Flx} (nm/rep.)	Control	1067.63	358.14	191.00000	2.482	0.046
	Experimental	1258.63	205.58			
180° AP _{Flx} (watt)	Control	156.00	50.61	15.87500	0.838	0.430
	Experimental	171.88	29.28			

SD: standard dev.. Ex: Extention. Flx: Flexion. PT: Peak Torque. FI: Fatigue index. W: Work. AP: Average power. H/Q: hamstring/quadriceps Ratio.

Table 3 shows the analysis of 180os-1 isokinetic force values between subjects' control and experimental applications. In favor of experiment between control and experiment applications at 180o PT H / Q Ratio (%), 180o PTE_x (nm), 180o APE_x (watt), 180o PTFl_x (nm), 180o FIFl_x (nm), 180o WFl_x (nm / repeat) there was a significant difference (p <0.05). There was no significant difference between applications in other measured values (p > 0.05).

Table 4: Analysis of 240os-1 isokinetic force values
between subjects' control and experiment applications

		Mean.	SD	Diff.	T	p
240° PT H/Q Ratio (%)	Control	68.63	14.84	8.37500	1.357	0.217
	Experimental	77.00	10.38			
240° W H/Q Ratio (%)	Control	64.25	21.36	14.37500	2.042	0.080
	Experimental	78.63	9.74			
240° AP H/Q Ratio (%)	Control	67.50	17.93	9.25000	1.367	0.214
	Experimental	76.75	8.53			
240° PT _{Ex} (nm)	Control	111.88	13.36	4.75000	2.813	0.038
	Experimental	117.63	15.70			
240° FI _{Ex} (nm)	Control	33.25	80.17	-13.00000	1.932	0.095
	Experimental	20.25	6.30			
240° W _{Ex} (nm/rep.)	Control	1308.50	197.11	102.50000	2.466	0.047
	Experimental	1411.00	218.76			
240° AP _{Ex} (watt)	Control	227.00	41.38	2.75000	0.316	0.761
	Experimental	229.75	41.16			
240° PT _{Flx} (nm)	Control	76.50	16.76	10.87500	2.465	0.047
	Experimental	87.38	17.14			
240° FI _{Flx} (nm)	Control	65.50	125.33	-58.62500	2.500	0.045
	Experimental	6.88	27.57			
240° W _{Flx} (nm/terep.krar)	Control	852.75	327.68	254.00000	2.467	0.048
	Experimental	1106.75	204.88			
240° AP _{Flx} (watt)	Control	154.25	47.31	21.62500	1.202	0.268
	Experimental	175.88	36.14			

SD: standard dev.. Ex: Extention. Flx: Flexion. PT: Peak Torque. FI: Fatigue index. W: Work. AP: Average power. H/Q: hamstring/quadriceps Ratio.

Table 4 shows the analysis of 240os-1 isokinetic force values between subjects' control and experimental applications. There was a significant difference between 240o PTE_x (nm), 240o WE_x (nm / repeat), 240o PTFl_x (nm), 240o FIFl_x (nm), 240o WFl_x (nm / repeat) in favor of experiment application ($p < 0.05$). There was no significant difference between applications in other measured values ($p > 0.05$).

4. Discussion

As a result of the literature study we have conducted, many studies have not been found on the effects of respiratory warming on knee isokinetic strength. However, as a result of my literature study, many domestic and foreign studies have been found on the effects of respiratory warming on leg strength, general strength or respiratory muscle strength.

In a study conducted by Özdal for the respiratory muscles in 2016, he examined the effect of warming the respiratory muscles on muscle strength and aerobic anaerobic power. According to the results obtained from the study, it has been revealed that respiratory muscle warm-up exercises have a positive effect on respiratory muscle strength and aerobic anaerobic performance, but have not much effect on anaerobic performance (10).

Romer et al. (2002), in his study on respiratory muscles, revealed that there was no noticeable difference in the VO₂max values of the participants. Based on this study, it can be said that respiratory muscle training is not very important in increasing the VO₂max value (11).

Lomax et al. (2011) In another study, twelve men were subjected to four experimental experiments. Two studies (trials 1 and 2) came before the 4-week 1x30 breath training period at 50% (experimental group) or 15% (control group) maximum inspiratory mouth pressure (p_{imax}). Two more attempts (trials 3 and 4) were carried out after 4 weeks. A warm-up was performed before Trials 2 and 4. As a result of studies that performed 2x30 breaths in 40% p_{IMAX}, it was found that when inspiratory muscle training and inspiratory muscle warming were applied separately, it was found to delay fatigue and it was found to be more beneficial when combined and applied as a combination (12).

In 2018, Vural's effect on respiratory functions and respiratory muscle strength in individuals with down syndrome inspiratory muscle training was investigated. In the study, 9 experiments were performed with 7 control groups for 5 days a month for 1 month, and as a result, inspiratory muscle training helped to eliminate the respiratory propagation because it strengthens the respiratory muscles and it has a positive effect on respiratory muscle strength (13).

Volianitis et al. (2001) examined the effect of respiratory muscle warm-up exercise on rowing performance on female rowing athletes with a 6-minute rowing test. They reported that the VO₂max value was higher in the experimental application where the respiratory muscle warm-up exercise performed with the branch-specific general warming was compared to the control application (14).

Arend et al. (2015) did not achieve an increase in the VO₂max parameter during the submaximal rowing performance of the respiratory muscle warm-up exercise in their study on 10 male rowers with an MIP average of 140.7 ± 46.6 cmH₂O (15).

In another study conducted on young footballers, it was reported that there was no significant change in VO₂max values after a four-week respiratory muscle training program (16).

In Volianitis et al (2011), 14 women were divided into two groups as subjects and placebo to examine their performance before and after 11 weeks of inspiratory muscle training in rowers. The placebo group consisted of 50 breaths with 50% of the MRP reference value twice a day and 60 breaths with a 15% equivalent inspiration resistance of the MRP once a day. While the inspiratory muscle strength of the exercise group increased by 45.3%, only 5.3% of the placebo group increased (17).

As a result of our work; as a result of this decrease, the duration of reaching peak torque decreased and the average power increased. As a result of the positive results in peak torque and average power values, the total work value per increase also increases. As a result, it can be said that the respiration warming has a positive effect on knee isokinetic strength.

References

1. Alkaş E. (2006). Quantification of The Effect of Warm Up and Stretching on the Oxygen Metabolism Using an Improved Version of a Fnrıs Device; Boğaziçi Üniversitesi, Biyomedikal Mühendislik Enstitüsü, Yüksek Lisans Tezi, 11. İstanbul.
2. Karakurt A. (2000). Sporda Isınmanın, Isınma Öncesi Ve Isınma Sonrası Sıçrama Hareketine Etkisinin Araştırılması; Dicle Üniversitesi, Sağlık Bilimleri Enstitüsü, Yüksek Lisans Tezi, 1. Diyarbakır.
3. Weerapong P. (2005). Preexercise Strategies: The Effect of Warm Up, Stretching and Massage on Symptoms of Eccentric Exercise Induced Muscle Damage and Performance; Auckland University of Technology, New Zeland, Doctoral Thesis, 24.
4. Volianitis S., McConnell A. K., Koutedakis Y., Jones D. A. (2001a). Specific respiratory warm-up improves rowing performance and exertional dyspnea. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.*;33(7):1189–1193.
5. McConnell A. K., Caine M. P., Sharpe G. R. (1997). Inspiratory muscle fatigue following running to volitional fatigue: The influence of baseline strength. *Int J Sports Med*;18(3):169-173.
6. Volianitis S., McConnell A. K., Jones D. A. (2001b). Assessment of maximum inspiratory pressure. Prior submaximal respiratory muscle activity ('warm-up') enhances maximum inspiratory activity and attenuates the learning effect of repeated measurement. *Respiration*; 68:22–27.
7. Brown, L. E. (2000). Isokinetics in human performance. *Human Kinetics, USA*, s.3-4-7-8-9-10.

8. Özdal M. (2016). Acute effects of inspiratory muscle warm-up on pulmonary function in healthy subjects. *Respiratory Physiology & Neurobiology*; 227:23-6.
9. Barğı G., Güçlü M. B., Arıbaş Z., Akı Ş. Z., Sucak G. T. (2016). Inspiratory muscle training in allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation recipients: a randomized controlled trial. *Supportive Care in Cancer*; 24(2):647-59.
10. Özdal M. (2015). Solunum Kaslarına Yönelik Isınma Egzersizlerinin Aerobik ve Ananerobik Güce Etkisi, Ondokuz Mayıs Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilimleri Enstitüsü Beden Eğitimi ve Spor Anabilimdalı, Doktora Tezi Samsun.
11. Romer L. M., McConnell A. K., Jones D. A. (2002). Effects of inspiratory muscle training on timetrial performance in trained cyclists. *Journal of Sports Sciences*; 20(7):547-562.
12. Lomax M., Grant I., Corbett J. (2011). Inspiratory muscle warm-up and inspiratory muscle training: seperate and combined effects on intermittent running to exhaustion; *J Sports Sci.* 29(6):563-569.
13. M. Vural (2018). İspiratuar Kas Antrenmanının Down Sendromlu Bireylerde Solunum Fonksiyonları Ve Solunum Kas Kuvvetine Etkisi 2018. Gaziantep Üniversitesi.Gaziantep Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilimleri Enstitüsü Yüksek Lisans Tezi.
14. Volianitis S., McConnell A. K., Koutedakis Y., Jones D. A. (2001). Specific respiratory warm-up improves rowing performance and exertional dyspnea. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 33(7):1189–1193).
15. Arend M., Maestu J., Kivastık J., Ramson R., Jürimae J. (2015). Effect of inspiratory muscle warm-up on submaximal rowing performance; *J Strength Cond Res.* 29(1):213-218).
16. Özgider C. Genç (2009). Futbolcularda Dört Haftalık Solunum Kası Antrenmanı Toparlanma Performansını Geliştirir Fakat Solunum Fonksiyonlarını Ve Maksimum Oksijen Kullanım Kapasitesini (VO2max) Geliştirmez, Orta Doğu Teknik Üniversitesi, Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü, Yüksek Lisans tezi, 56 sayfa, Ankara, (Prof.Dr. Feza Korkusuz).
17. Voliantis S., McConnell A. K., Koutedakis Y., McNaughton L., Backx K., Jones D. A. (2001). Inspiratory muscle training improves rowing performance; *Med Sci Sports Exercise* 33, 803-809.

Creative Commons licensing terms

Authors will retain the copyright of their published articles agreeing that a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0) terms will be applied to their work. Under the terms of this license, no permission is required from the author(s) or publisher for members of the community to copy, distribute, transmit or adapt the article content, providing a proper, prominent and unambiguous attribution to the authors in a manner that makes clear that the materials are being reused under permission of a Creative Commons License. Views, opinions and conclusions expressed in this research article are views, opinions and conclusions of the author(s). Open Access Publishing Group and European Journal of Physical Education and Sport Science shall not be responsible or answerable for any loss, damage or liability caused in relation to/arising out of conflict of interests, copyright violations and inappropriate or inaccurate use of any kind content related or integrated on the research work. All the published works are meeting the Open Access Publishing requirements and can be freely accessed, shared, modified, distributed and used in educational, commercial and non-commercial purposes under a [Creative Commons attribution 4.0 International License \(CC BY 4.0\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).