

Salinity drives meiofaunal community structure dynamics across the **Baltic** ecosystem

Broman, Elias; Raymond, Caroline; Sommer, Christian; Gunnarsson, Jonas S.; Creer, Simon; Nascimento, Francisco J. A.

Molecular Ecology

DOI: 10.1111/mec.15179

Published: 01/08/2019

Peer reviewed version

Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication

Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA): Broman, E., Raymond, C., Sommer, C., Gunnarsson, J. S., Creer, S., & Nascimento, F. J. A. (2019). Salinity drives meiofaunal community structure dynamics across the Baltic ecosystem. Molecular Ecology, 28(16), 3813-3829. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15179

Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Salinity drives meiofaunal community structure dynamics across the Baltic ecosystem
Elias Broman ^{1,2} , Caroline Raymond ¹ , Christian Sommer ³ , Jonas S. Gunnarsson ¹ , Simon Creer ⁴ ,
Francisco J.A. Nascimento ^{1,2}
¹ Department of Ecology, Environment and Plant Sciences, Stockholm University, Stockholm
106 91, Sweden
² Baltic Sea Centre, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden
³ School of Natural Sciences, Technology and Environmental Studies, Södertörn University,
Huddinge 141 89, Sweden
⁴ Molecular Ecology and Fisheries Genetics Laboratory, School of Natural Sciences, Bangor
University, Bangor, Gwynedd, LL57 2UW, United Kingdom
*Corresponding author: <u>elias.broman@su.se</u>
Running title: Benthic meiofauna in the Baltic Sea

18 Abstract

Coastal benthic biodiversity is under increased pressure from climate change, eutrophication, 19 hypoxia, and changes in salinity due to increase in river runoff. The Baltic Sea is a large 20 21 brackish system characterized by steep environmental gradients that experiences all of the mentioned stressors. As such it provides an ideal model system for studying the impact of on-22 going and future climate change on biodiversity and function of benthic ecosystems. Meiofauna 23 (animals < 1 mm) are abundant in sediment and are still largely unexplored even though they 24 are known to regulate organic matter degradation and nutrient cycling. In this study, benthic 25 26 meiofaunal community structure was analysed along a salinity gradient in the Baltic Sea proper using high-throughput sequencing. Our results demonstrate that areas with higher salinity have 27 a higher biodiversity, and salinity is likely the main driver influencing meiofauna diversity and 28 29 community composition. Furthermore, in the more diverse and saline environments a larger 30 amount of nematode genera classified as predators prevailed, and meiofauna-macrofauna associations were more prominent. These findings show that in the Baltic Sea, a decrease in 31 32 salinity resulting from accelerated climate change will likely lead to decreased benthic biodiversity, and cause profound changes in benthic communities, with potential consequences 33 for ecosystem stability, functions and services. 34

35 Introduction

Biodiversity underpins essential ecosystem services for human benefits such as food availability, provision of clean water, recreational areas and activities affiliated with human health, and play key roles in ecosystem processes such as nutrient cycling and secondary production (Pan, Marcoval, Bazzini, Vallina, & Marco, 2013). Climate change, eutrophication with associated algal blooms, hypoxic bottom zones, and changes in salinity are contemporary major threats for coastal biodiversity (Pan et al., 2013). Such impacts need to be understood in order to predict how marine ecosystems will respond to future changes.

43 The Baltic Sea is a brackish water system that contains strong abiotic environmental gradients in salinity, depth and temperature that structure its biodiversity and benthic 44 community structure (Ojaveer et al., 2010). The Baltic Sea is also affected by multiple 45 46 anthropogenic pressures like eutrophication (Conley, 2012) and climate change (Vuorinen et al., 2015). In its deeper basins, below the halocline, hypoxic and anoxic benthic zones are 47 widespread (Conley, 2012). Low-saline areas (< 6 ppt) have expanded in the Baltic Sea since 48 49 the 1970s and are predicted to further increase with climate change due to increased freshwater runoff and increased water column stratification (Vuorinen et al., 2015). The Baltic Sea 50 therefore presents an ideal ecosystem to study the impact of future climate change scenarios on 51 biodiversity (Ojaveer et al., 2010) and concomitant effects on benthic structure and consequent 52 benthic-pelagic coupling (Griffiths et al., 2017). Most knowledge on how benthic organisms in 53 54 the Baltic Sea react to these pressures are based on benthic macrofauna, while meiofauna (animals < 1 mm) have been studied much less. Meiofauna is a much more abundant and 55 diverse metazoan group in sediments than macrofauna and plays an important role in a number 56 57 of ecosystems process (Bonaglia, Nascimento, Bartoli, Klawonn, & Brüchert, 2014; Nascimento, Näslund, & Elmgren, 2012; Näslund, Nascimento, & Gunnarsson, 2010). 58 However, there are still large knowledge gaps regarding how meiofaunal diversity and structure 59

is affected by environmental changes (Bik et al., 2012). Recent DNA and RNA techniques now
offer new possibilities to better address such questions on larger geographical scales than
previously possible with traditional techniques.

Meiofauna have a short life span and are known to stimulate bacterial growth (reviewed 63 in B. C. Coull and Chandler (2001)). Meiofaunal diversity and community composition are 64 structured by several interacting factors; both abiotic and biotic (Giere, 2009). Oxygen is 65 66 important for meiofaunal survival and metabolism (Braeckman, Vanaverbeke, Vincx, van Oevelen, & Soetaert, 2013), with some exceptions for facultative anaerobes with anaerobic 67 68 mitochondria (Tielens, Rotte, van Hellemond, & Martin, 2002). Additionally, meiofaunal species richness and abundance have been found to increase with increasing salinity (Bruce C. 69 Coull, 1988). In benthic environments these organisms rework sediment particles through e.g. 70 71 bioturbation (Cullen, 1973), and have been found to affect porosity and increase the transport 72 of solutes in the sediment (R. C. Aller & Aller, 1992). Meiofauna utilize many sources of organic substrates in the lower trophic food web, e.g. bacteria, and detritus such as settling 73 74 algal matter from the pelagic water (reviewed in Schratzberger and Ingels (2018)). Furthermore, they have also been found to stimulate degradation of sediment organic matter 75 76 (OM) and bacterial denitrification (Bonaglia et al., 2014), and may therefore be key players in sediment habitats influencing carbon and nitrogen cycles. 77

One of the most diverse animal groups on Earth are the roundworms, i.e. nematodes (Zhang, 2013), and they are also one the most abundant meiofauna in sediments (Bruce C. Coull, 1999). Nematodes have been found to enhance the oxygen production in diatom biofilms (Mathieu, Leflaive, Ten-Hage, De Wit, & Buffan-Dubau, 2007), and to enhance the mineralization of OM (Nascimento et al., 2012). Because of their different feeding behaviours in sediments, nematodes have been widely used in functional analyses (e.g. Semprucci, Cesaroni, Guidi, & Balsamo, 2018; Vanaverbeke, Merckx, Degraer, & Vincx, 2011). An increased knowledge of nematode community composition in the Baltic Sea could therefore
further elucidate the role of trophic interactions in sediments under anthropogenic stress and
climate change scenarios.

88 Benthic macrofauna have been observed to control meiofauna populations (or limit in some cases) through e.g. predation (Olafsson, 2003) and competition of limited resources 89 (Ingels, Dashfield, Somerfield, Widdicombe, & Austen, 2014; Nascimento, Karlson, Näslund, 90 & Elmgren, 2011; Olafsson, 2003). There has been extensive work, mainly laboratory or in 91 situ experimental approaches, conducted on meiofauna-macrofauna interactions using 92 93 morphological approaches (Olafsson, 2003). Such studies have yielded a variety of mixed results, but also a general consensus that macrofauna bioturbation structures the meiofauna 94 community (Olafsson, 2003). These ecological interactions have been shown to have an 95 96 importance on biogeochemical cycles, however, studies that focus on meiofauna-macrofauna 97 interactions in situ and over regional and ecologically relevant scales are scarce. Macrofauna diversity is generally higher in more saline regions (Gogina et al., 2016), and meiofauna-98 macrofauna interactions might therefore be more prominent in saline regions with higher 99 diversity and species richness. Gaining such insights will help to elucidate potential trophic 100 101 interactions in the sediment and how these may be affected by contemporary ecological and environmental pressures. 102

103 Studies using metabarcoding, i.e. high-throughput sequencing of taxonomically-104 informative marker genes, to investigate meiofaunal biodiversity is a growing field (Bik et al., 105 2012; Carugati, Corinaldesi, Dell'Anno, & Danovaro, 2015; Fonseca et al., 2010; Lallias et al., 106 2014; Peham, Steiner, Schlick-Steiner, & Arthofer, 2017), and opportunities to facilitate such 107 insights and the investigation of 18S rRNA gene meiofauna community in the Baltic Sea are 108 now emerging (Nascimento, Lallias, Bik, & Creer, 2018). Compared to traditional 109 morphological taxonomic techniques, modern sequencing tools facilitate the study of regional patterns of meiofauna diversity in less time while requiring no specific expertise in morphological taxonomy (Carugati et al., 2015). However, caveats do exist, such as not being able to determine absolute abundance and limitations of reference databases to assign taxonomy (Carugati et al., 2015). The benthic meiofauna community of the Baltic Sea is still largely unexplored although many benthic habitats in the Baltic Sea are under stress from anthropogenic pressure.

In this study we aimed to assess Baltic Sea meiofaunal diversity and community structure 116 at the ecosystem level. An additional goal was to improve our understanding of possible future 117 118 trajectories of benthic coastal diversity by using the Baltic Sea as a model system. We specifically tested the following hypotheses: 1) salinity is an important driver of meiofauna 119 community structure in the Baltic Sea, and 2) biotic interactions with macrofauna play a more 120 121 important role in structuring meiofauna communities in more saline areas coincident with higher macrofaunal species richness. To test these hypotheses we sampled sediment along a 122 salinity gradient in the central Baltic Sea (Baltic Proper). In order to identify changes in 123 community composition and diversity of benthic taxa, a combination of traditional taxonomic 124 assessment for macrofauna and metabarcoding DNA analyses for meiofauna were used. 125 Meiofauna community composition was then analysed together with macrofauna community 126 composition and sediment abiotic parameters (sediment water and OM content, bottom water 127 temperature, salinity, and dissolved oxygen). Finally, because of the large relative abundance 128 129 and diversity of nematodes, data for the phylum Nematoda were analysed separately to investigate their functional ecology (maturity index and feeding type) along the salinity 130 gradient. 131

132

133 Materials and methods

134 *Field sampling, collection of macrofauna, and abiotic variables measurements*

135 Soft bottom sediment of similar clay-muddy habitats and water samples were collected in May-June 2015, at 44 stations in the Baltic Sea from the Stockholm region to the southern Arkona 136 basin proper, during the yearly Swedish national and regional benthic monitoring program (Fig. 137 1). Benthic macrofauna communities were sampled with a van Veen sediment grab (0.1 m^2) 138 from each station (typically one replicate per station, except for nine stations that had three 139 replicates due to a yearly monitoring programme: 4, 5, 8, 11, 13, 14, 33, 37, and 44). All 140 macrofauna abundance and biomass data were normalized for m² sediment. Benthic meiofauna 141 and sediment variables were measured by collecting sediment cores from the 44 stations using 142 a Kajak gravity corer (surface area: 50 cm², one core per station). To investigate large spatial 143 scale variation, we sampled more stations within each region, rather than performing repeat 144 sampling within stations. The latter strategy has been demonstrated to be effective at capturing 145 146 both small and large spatial scale diversity of European meiofaunal communities (Fonseca et al., 2014; Lallias et al., 2014). Consequently, sediment collected from stations within the same 147 region were treated as ecological replicates for further analyses. For the meiofauna and 148 149 sediment organic matter the top 0-2 cm layer of each sediment core was sliced and homogenized into a clean and rinsed 215 ml polypropylene container (207.0215PP, Noax Lab, 150 Sweden). Sampling and slicing equipment was rinsed with de-ionized water between each 151 sample. The sliced portion was then divided into: 1) 15 ml transferred to a 90 ml polypropylene 152 container (207.0090PP, Noax Lab, Sweden) for measurement of water and OM content, and 2) 153 154 the remaining portion kept for meiofauna extraction. Samples were frozen at -20 °C while on the boat, put on ice during transportation to the lab (~2 hours), and finally stored at -20 °C until 155 DNA extraction. Sediment collected for macrofauna was sieved through a 1 mm mesh and the 156 157 animals retained in the sieve were transferred to 100-1500 ml polypropylene containers (Noax lab, Sweden) and conserved in 4 % buffered formaldehyde for three months (EN 16665:2014, 158 1992). Macrofauna abundance and wet weight biomass were counted visually and weighed 159

160 according to the European standard (EN 16665:2014, 1992). Sediment water content (%) and OM content (%) were analysed according to Dybern, Ackefors, and Elmgren (1976). In more 161 detail, determination of water content was conducted by drying sediment at 80 °C to a constant 162 weight (at least for 12 hours, typically overnight). The OM content was measured by re-163 weighing the dry sediment after loss on ignition (500 °C for two hours). Bottom water was 164 sampled at each station, approximately 20 cm above the sediment surface, with a modified 165 Niskin bottle. On deck temperature and salinity were measured in the collected bottom water 166 using a digital multimeter (WTW Cond 340i), and dissolved oxygen (O₂) was measured in 167 168 duplicate samples using the Winkler titration method (EN 25813:1992).

169

170 Collection of meiofauna, DNA extraction, and sequencing

171 The sediment collected for meiofauna analysis was thawed at the laboratory and meiofauna were extracted from the sediment using the procedure described by Nascimento, Karlson, and 172 Elmgren (2008). Sediment samples were sieved through a sterilized 40 µm sieve (autoclaved, 173 rinsed with 90% ethanol and MilliQ water between samples). Meiofauna retained on the 40 µm 174 sieve were isolated by density extraction using a Levasil silica gel colloidal dispersion solution 175 (H.C. Starck) with a density of 1.3 kg m⁻³. The isolation was performed by shaking an 176 Erlenmeyer flask with sediment and Levasil and let it stand for 5 min, while the sediment 177 particles settle and the meiofauna floats up. The top part of the solution containing the 178 179 meiofauna was decanted and washed with seawater (of approximately equal salinity to the respective sampling site). This isolation procedure was repeated twice (a second isolation with 180 5 min of settling time, followed by a third and final isolation with 30 min of settling time). The 181 182 pooled content of these 3 isolations was then placed in the 40 µm sieve and washed thoroughly with seawater to remove any remaining Levasil. The 40 micron sieve content was transferred 183 into a 50 ml falcon tube with a maximum final volume of 10 ml meiofauna isolate (representing 184

the total meiofauna individuals from approximately 100 g of wet sediment). The meiofauna
isolate was then frozen at -20 OC until DNA extraction.

187 DNA from the meiofauna isolate was extracted with the PowerMax® Soil DNA Isolation Kit (MOBIO, Cat#12988). After DNA extraction, samples were frozen at -20°C in 3 mL of 188 elution buffer C6 solution (10mM Tris). Following this procedure, 100 µL of each DNA extract 189 was purified with PowerClean® Pro DNA Clean-Up Kit (MOBIO, Cat# 12997-50) and stored 190 in 100 µL of elution buffer C5 (10mM tris) solution at -20°C. All DNA extracts were 191 standardized to a concentration of 10 ng/µL before amplification. The conservative 192 193 metabarcoding primers TAReuk454FWD1 (5'-CCAGCA(G/C)C(C/T)GCGGTAATTCC-3') and TAReukREV3 (5'-ACTTTCGTTCTTGAT(C/T)(A/G)A-3') (Stoeck et al., 2010) were 194 used with Q5 HS High-Fidelity Master Mix (2X) (New England Biolabs, USA) to amplify by 195 196 PCR the 18S rRNA gene region, targeting fragments between 365 and 410 bp excluding adaptors and barcodes. Each sample was amplified in triplicates, which were then pooled, dual-197 barcoded with Nextera XT index primers following Bista et al. (2017) and visualized by gel 198 electrophoresis. The barcoded amplicons were then purified with the Agencourt AMPure XP 199 PCR Purification kit (Beckman Coulter), quantified with Qubit (Invitrogen, USA) and pooled 200 into a library with equimolar quantities. See full details of the PCR protocol and programs in 201 Text S1. The library was sequenced with a 2×300 bp paired-end setup on the Illumina MiSeq 202 platform at the National Genomics Institute (NGI -Stockholm, Sweden). 203

204

205 *Bioinformatics*

A total of 18.4 million sequences, averaging 419 238 paired-end reads per sample (44 samples), were processed following the DADA2 pipeline according to Callahan et al. (2016). DADA2 uses a parameterized model of substitution errors to differentiate between sequencing errors and biological variation. It avoids constructing operational taxonomic units (OTUs), inferring instead sequence variants. Following the DADA2 pipeline the raw sequences were trimmed to
remove low quality bases (the first 10 nucleotides and from position 190 and 240), filtered
(maximum of 2 expected errors per read), followed by merging the paired-ends. After this
procedure chimeras were then removed from the data set. Following quality filtering and
chimera removal a total of 3309 amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) and 9.2 million sequences
were retained, averaging 209 545 reads per sample (minimum=45 729 reads and
maximum=391 690 reads).

Because the taxonomic classification results from SILVA 132 could not satisfactory 217 218 annotate sequence variants to genus level (e.g. no Nematode sequence could be classified further than Order, as well as some sequences were incorrectly classified as Arthropoda as seen 219 previously (e.g. Holovachov, Haenel, Bourlat, and Jondelius (2017)), the DADA2 sequence 220 221 variants were additionally aligned and annotated against the NCBI NT database using BLAST 2.7.1+ (Altschul, Gish, Miller, Myers, & Lipman, 1990) with a 0.001 e-value threshold and -222 max_target_seqs 1 to only report the top hit. The NCBI NT accession numbers for each 223 sequence were imported into MEGAN 6 (with default LCA parameters (D. H. Huson & Mitra, 224 2012)) in conjunction with the "accession to taxonomy June 2018" MEGAN database 225 (nucl_acc2tax-Jun2018.abin). This made it possible to retrieve taxonomy names based on 226 NCBI accession numbers, and estimate more specific taxonomy with the use of the Lowest 227 Common Ancestor (LCA) algorithm (Daniel H. Huson, Auch, Qi, & Schuster, 2007). The 228 229 function "read names to taxonomy path" was used to extract all assigned DADA2 sequences with their affiliated taxonomy path. These results were then combined with the DADA2 230 sequence variants counts, and the results based on the NCBI NT database were used for 231 232 taxonomy analyses. Sequences affiliated with Metazoa in the taxonomic description were extracted from the dataset and analysed further as relative abundances (i.e. $(x/sum) \times 100$) in 233 the software Explicet 2.10.5 (Robertson et al., 2013). In addition, Nematoda sequences were 234

235 extracted into a sub-dataset (on average 27 385 sequence counts per sample) and phylogenetically placed on a reference tree as suggested by Holovachov et al. (2017). In more 236 detail, reference sequences from Holovachov et al. (2017) were downloaded from NCBI 237 238 GenBank, and aligned in MEGA 7 (Kumar, Stecher, & Tamura, 2016) using MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004) (with settings: gap open: -400, gap extend: 0, max iterations: 8, cluster method: UPGMA, 239 min diag length: 24). The alignment was used to construct a phylogenetic maximum likelihood 240 tree with 100 bootstraps (settings: Tamura-Nei model, nucleotide substitution type, rates 241 among sites: uniform rates, gaps/missing data: complete deletion, ML Heuristic model: 242 243 Nearest-Neighbor-Interchange). The Nematoda sequences were phylogenetically aligned using PaPaRa 2.5 (Berger & Stamatakis, 2011) with the constructed reference alignments and 244 maximum likelihood tree. The output alignments were used with RAxML 8.2.12 (Stamatakis, 245 246 2014) to predict the taxonomy of the aligned Nematoda sequences (with the following commands: -f v -m GTRCAT), that adds the input sequences on a reference tree using thorough 247 read insertion with a nucleotide General Time Reversible model. The final tree was visualized 248 249 in the software FigTree v1.4.3.

250

251 *Statistics*

To detect differences in community composition between sites Non-metric multidimensional 252 scaling (NMDS) ordination was performed by loading Metazoa sequence variants data into the 253 254 R package phyloseq 1.24.2 (McMurdie & Holmes, 2013) using R 3.5.1 (R Core Team, 2013). In more detail, NMDS plots of Bray-Curtis dissimilarity, based on the sequence variants 255 relative abundances and presence/absence (Sørensen), were constructed using the 'ordination' 256 257 and 'plot.ordination' functions in phyloseq. To test for statistical differences in community composition, this was followed by statistical testing with pairwise PERMANOVA tests (9999 258 permutations) using the adonis function in the vegan package (Oksanen et al., 2018). In 259

260 addition, the 'betadisper' function in the vegan package was used to find differences in multivariate homogeneity of beta diversity variance between regions (Anderson, Ellingsen, & 261 McArdle, 2006). This was followed by PERMANOVA tests of the homogeneity variance 262 between regions, and plotted using ggplot2 package as the average distance to the centroid. 263 Alpha diversity indexes (ACE, Chao1, and Shannon's H) were based on all Metazoa sequence 264 variations counts and were calculated in the software Explicet. Before alpha diversity analysis, 265 266 counts were sub-sampled to 2200 counts for each station (lowest sample size; Station 14), except for one station (Station 33 Gotland) that was excluded due to having fewer counts than 267 268 the amount of metazoan sequence variants in the dataset (station 33: 291 counts). Afterwards the dataset was bootstrapped 100 times, alpha diversity was calculated, and the mean of each 269 alpha diversity index reported. In addition, ACE alpha diversity was calculated by using non-270 271 subsampled counts using the fossil 0.3.7 package (Vavrek, 2011) in R.

Based on classified nematode genera that could be annotated according to functional traits, 272 1) the maturity index described by Bongers (1990) was calculated to identify habitat colonizers 273 or persisters (based on a 1-5 scale per genera; values closer to 1 indicate colonizers), and 2) 274 feeding type was determined according to Wieser (1953) for each genera based on available 275 literature outlining their buccal cavity morphology. Statistics on alpha diversity, taxonomic 276 groups, and nematode feeding types were conducted in the software IBM SPSS Statistics 25. 277 The normality distribution of the data was tested with Shapiro Wilk tests, and non-parametric 278 279 Kruskal-Wallis tests were used on data not following a normal distribution.

The function 'bioenv' in the R package vegan was used to test which, or combination of, abiotic variables (based on euclidean distances) had the highest rank correlation explaining the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity distribution of sequence variants among the sampling stations (with the following parameters: method="spearman", index="bray", partial=NULL, metric=c("euclidean")). This was followed by Mantel tests (Mantel, 1967) of Bray-Curtis dissimilarity distances and abiotic variables (salinity and spatial distance) in R using the ade4
package and 9999 permutations (Dray & Dufour, 2007).

To find potential biotic interactions between meiofauna and macrofauna, co-occurrences 287 among meiofauna, and possible community niches based on abiotic variables we conducted 288 correlation network analysis (Röttjers & Faust, 2018). Correlation network analysis was 289 conducted by importing Metazoa genera sequence counts as primary data, and the measured 290 values for abiotic variables and macrofauna abundances per sediment m⁻² as metadata using 291 CoNet 1.1.1 (Faust & Raes, 2016) and visualized in Cytoscape 3.6.1 (Shannon et al., 2003). 292 293 The setup in CoNet consisted of normalizing sequence counts as proportions per sample; setting spearman correlations with rho thresholds \leq -0.7 or \geq 0.7, and Fisher's z *P*-value 294 threshold < 0.05 with Bonferroni adjustment for multiple-test correction. We are aware that 295 296 our dataset included a complicated setup, less commonly used in network software (Röttjers & Faust, 2018), with 18S rRNA gene sequencing data combined with both abiotic and 297 macrofauna data. However, we applied a number of recommendations outlined in Röttjers & 298 299 Faust (2018) to minimize potential limitations of such an approach, namely: 1) data from meiofauna were physically isolated from sediments; 2; we employed the DADA2 methodology 300 that incorporates denoising algorithms; 3) we grouped metazoan sequence variants into 125 301 302 groups (120 genera and 5 unclassified groups); and 4) differences in meiofaunal community composition between north and south sample regions were based on the NMDS Bray-Curtis. 303 In combination with the bioenv analysis that identified salinity as a major factor of diversity 304 and community structure, we divided the data into two clusters (north and south Baltic proper) 305 remove influences of heterogeneous local environmental factors. Such precautions strengthen 306 307 the correlation network analysis, and emphasises ecological relevance (as reviewed in Röttjers & Faust, 2018). 308

310 **Results**

The DADA2 analysis of the raw sequence data resulted in 3309 18S rRNA gene sequence variants of which 770 belonged to the Metazoa kingdom distributed over 120 genera. On average 23 % of the sequences per sample were unassigned with BLAST, and could not be classified to a phyla in the SILVA database, and were therefore not included in further analyses. See Table S1 for a list of all DADA2 sequence variants, the taxonomic classifications and sequence counts, and Table S2 for a full list of metazoan genera.

317

318 Meiofauna beta and alpha diversity

The NMDS analysis of all meiofauna Metazoa sequence variants (based on relative 319 abundances) showed that the majority of the sampling sites formed two significantly different 320 321 clusters; one for sites located in the north Baltic Proper (from here on abbreviated as NBP, n =33) and a second cluster for the south Baltic Proper (abbreviated as SBP, n = 11; Fig. 2a; adonis, 322 PERMANOVA tested for the two clusters, $R^2 = 0.35197$, F = 22.812, P < 0.01). Data based on 323 presence/absence showed similar results with the two NBP and SBP clusters being significantly 324 different (Fig. S1). PERMANOVA tests also showed a difference between the sampling 325 regions when tested with relative abundance and presence/absence for the whole model ($R^2 =$ 326 0.54185, F = 6.0825 and R² = 0.46939, F = 4.5495, respectively; P < 0.01 for both). Looking 327 more closely at the homogeneity of beta diversity variance between the regions in the Baltic 328 Proper, Sörmland was significantly lower from all regions except Östergötland and Bornholm 329 (betadisper, PERMANOVA, P < 0.01; Fig. 2b, see Table S3 for a full list of *P*-values for the 330 geographic regions). In addition, the two regions in the SBP were significantly different from 331 each other (i.e. Bornholm being lower compared to Arkona; betadisper, PERMANOVA, P <332 0.01; Fig. 2b). There was a relatively large abundance of pelagic Arthropoda in the 18S rRNA 333 gene dataset, and therefore, NMDS analysis was also performed without these sequence 334

335 variants (mainly pelagic Copepod genera Eurytemora and Temora; see Table S3 for a full list of excluded genera). This analysis also showed two distinct clusters between the NBP and SBP 336 (Fig. S2a; station 33 Gotland excluded to keep statistical power, as it only contained pelagic 337 Arthropoda; adonis, PERMANOVA, $R^2 = 0.23126$, F = 11.732, P < 0.01). After removing the 338 pelagic Arthropoda there were more significant differences in homogeneity of beta diversity 339 variance between regions. For example Sörmland and Östergötland were significantly different 340 compared to all regions except Stockholm and Arkona, respectively. The deeper (64-124 m) 341 regions Sörmland offshore and Bornholm were lower compared to all other regions. 342 343 Furthermore, similar to the results from the whole dataset the southern region Bornholm was significantly lower compared to the other southern region Arkona (betadisper, PERMANOVA, 344 P < 0.05 for all tests; Fig. S2b and Table S3). As such, the differences in meiofaunal 345 346 homogeneity variance between regions were larger after the pelagic Arthropoda had been excluded from the dataset. 347

A higher alpha diversity, based on all Metazoa sequence variants, was observed in the SBP 348 stations compared to the NBP (P < 0.01 for all indexes (ACE, Chao1, and Shannon's H); One-349 way ANOVA; Fig. 3). When alpha-diversity was tested on the Nematoda sequence variants 350 alone, there was also a significant difference (P < 0.01 for ACE and Chao1, F = 4.1 for both; 351 Shannon's H not significant; Fig. 3). Similar results for the nematodes were also observed when 352 ACE was tested on non-subsampled data (P < 0.01), although not when all metazoa sequence 353 354 variants were tested (P = 0.08). These results show that a higher diversity of Metazoa sequence variants were obtained in SBP sediments. A full list of alpha-diversity indexes for each station 355 for all meiofauna and Nematoda sequence variants is available in Table S4. 356

357

358 Meiofauna community composition

Similar to the NMDS and alpha diversity analysis, there was a difference in relative abundance in phyla between the NBP and SBP, with Arthropoda having a higher relative abundance in the NBP compared to the SBP (P < 0.01, Mann-Whitney U test). In contrast, the phylum Nematoda had a lower relative abundance in the NBP (P < 0.01, Mann-Whitney U test; Fig. 4a). Looking closer at the genera belonging to Arthropoda, the genus *Eurytemora* was dominant in the NBP compared to the SBP where *Temora* had the highest relative abundance (P < 0.01 for both, Mann-Whitney U tests; Fig. 4b).

Nematodes showed a much higher diversity compared to the other major phyla, with 60 366 367 Nematoda genera compared to 28 and 19 genera belonging to Arthropoda and Platyhelminthes, respectively (Fig. 4c, a full list of all genera is available in Table S2). The phylogenetic 368 placement of Nematoda sequences on a reference tree showed that the most dominant 369 370 Nematoda sequences (Table S1) aligned closely to NCBI reference sequences from Holovachov et al. (2017) (Fig. S3). The Nematoda results also indicates that NMDS ordination 371 of Bray-Curtis dissimilarities and homogeneity of variance between geographic regions show 372 near-identical results as the meiofauna dataset without pelagic Arthropoda (Fig. S2a and S2b) 373 (Nematoda results are available in Fig. S4 and Table S3), suggesting that Nematoda were key 374 organisms affecting meiofaunal community composition. Looking closer at the Nematoda 375 genera there was a significant higher relative abundance for Aphanolaimus, Cyatholaimus, and 376 Daptonema in the NBP compared to the SBP (all P < 0.01, Kruskal-Wallis test; Fig. 4c). In 377 378 contrast, the genera Axonolaimus and Enoplolaimus had a higher relative abundance in the SBP (P < 0.05 and P < 0.01, respectively; Kruskal-Wallis test; Fig. 4c). In addition, the relative 379 abundance of unclassified sequence variants belonging to the Nematoda phylum was higher in 380 381 the NBP (P < 0.01, Kruskal-Wallis test). The relative abundance of Nematoda unclassified sequence variants was especially high in the Sörmland regions (Fig. 4c). The phylogenetic 382

placement analysis indicated that the most relatively high abundant unclassified Nematoda
sequences belonged to the genus *Chromadorita* (Table S1 and Fig. S3).

Maturity index calculations, used to estimate nematode genera as habitat colonizers or 385 persisters, showed that all observed nematode genera in the current study are classified closer 386 to colonizers rather than persisters (maturity index < 2.7; Table S5). In more detail, values 387 closer to one indicate colonizers with high reproduction able to more easily colonize new 388 habitats, while values closer to five indicate persisters with slow reproduction (Bongers, 1990). 389 Nematode genera were also classified into feeding type (according to Wieser (1953)), and 390 391 showed that the most southern region Arkona had more predators/omnivores compared to all other regions (One-Way ANOVA Tukey HSD post hoc test, P < 0.01; Fig. 5d). Looking at the 392 feeding types of nematode genera with a high relative abundance in the NBP the *Cyatholaimus* 393 394 and unclassified sequence variants (potentially Chromadorita) were classified as epistrate 395 feeders (feeding type 2A) (Table S5; unclassified sequence variants not included). In the SBP the genera Enoplolaimus was classified as predatory possessing large teeth (2B), while 396 397 Microlaimus was classified as 2A (Table S5). Other genera with a high relative abundance in the Nematoda dataset such as Aphanolaimus, Daptonema, and Axonolaimus were classified as 398 type 1A or 1B, being either selective or non-selective deposit feeders, respectively. A full list 399 of maturity indexes and feeding type classifications is available in Table S5. 400

Looking at the Platyhelminthes the genus *Odontorhynchus* showed a significant difference with a higher relative abundance in the SBP, although with high variation, (P < 0.05, Mann-Whitney U test; Fig. 4d). In the two SBP regions the genus *Placorhynchus* was dominant in the Bornholm region while *Odontorhynchus* was more prevalent in the Arkona region (P < 0.05, Mann-Whitney U test).

406

407 *Macrofauna in the sediment*

408 The Macrofauna data showed a higher species richness in the SBP than in NBP (on average 8 species per station compared to 4 in the NBP; Fig. 6). There were also more species belonging 409 to the Annelida phylum in the SBP, e.g. Bylgides sarsi, Nepthys caeca, Pygospio elegans, and 410 Scoloplos armiger (Fig. 6). The Bornholm region had the lowest macrofauna richness, with an 411 average of three macrofauna species per station, including the Mollusca Arctica islandica, and 412 two Annelida species Bylgides sarsi and Capitella capitata (Fig. 6). In contrast, other species 413 were only present in the NBP e.g. the Amphipod Monoporeia affinis and Isopod Saduria 414 entomon (Fig. 6). Macrofauna were found at almost all stations, except in three regions 415 416 (Sörmland offshore, Västervik, and Gotland; Fig. 6). A full list of measured values, i.e. not relative proportions, of abundance m⁻² sediment and gram wet weight biomass m⁻² sediment 417 are presented in Table S6. 418

419

420 *Abiotic variables*

Bottom water salinity increased as expected in the Baltic Sea (Ojaveer et al., 2010), from the 421 422 NBP to SBP from 5.3 to 18.9 ppt salinity (Table 1). Bottom water temperature was generally low for most stations (average of ~6 °C) except a few stations in the Östergötland region that 423 had temperatures > 10 °C (average of ~11 °C, stations 16-20; Table 1). Dissolved oxygen was 424 lower in the stations located in the SBP (~6 mg/L; stations 34-44) compared to the NBP (~9 425 mg/L). However, only the deepest stations in the dataset had oxygen concentrations that could 426 427 be considered hypoxic/anoxic (stations 12, 14, 32, and 33 at 79, 124, 79, and 112 m water column depth; Table 1). Sediment OM was on average ~12.6 % for all stations, but especially 428 higher in the Östergötland regions that had ~16 % (stations 15-28; Table 1). 429

430

431 *Correlations of meiofauna with abiotic variables and macrofauna data*

432 Abiotic data from all stations were tested with Bray-Curtis dissimilarity of sequence variants, and the best explainable abiotic variables were longitude, latitude and salinity (rho = 0.73). 433 Mantel tests also confirmed that these abiotic variables were significantly correlated with the 434 beta diversity measures ($R^2 = 0.67$ and P < 0.01, for both salinity and spatial location tested). 435 The combination of abiotic variables latitude, sediment water content, and oxygen had the best 436 rank correlation explaining the beta diversity among the stations in the SBP (rho = 0.57; 437 'bioenv' test in R package vegan). This was in contrast to the NBP where longitude, water 438 depth, and oxygen were the best explainable variables (although with a low rank correlation, 439 440 rho = 0.32; in accordance to the lack of correlations with abiotic factors in the correlation network; Fig. 7a). 441

Correlation network analysis was conducted on the NBP and SBP separately because the 442 443 NDMS Bray-Curtis indicated differences in meiofaunal community structure between the two areas. In addition, the bioenv analysis showed salinity to be a strong driver influencing 444 meiofaunal community structure and diversity in the Baltic Proper. This precaution of 445 446 removing sample heterogeneity in a larger ecosystem-wide dataset is in accordance to Röttjers and Faust (2018) to lower the risk of unwanted effects on correlation network analysis. Because 447 the macrofauna abundance m^{-2} values correlated strongly with their biomass m^{-2} data (rho = 448 0.74, P < 0.01; all abundance and biomass values tested together, n = 220), for conciseness 449 only the abundance m^{-2} data were used in the correlation network analysis. 450

The NBP did not show any major significant correlations with the dominant Metazoa genera observed in Fig. 4, i.e. Arthropoda, Nematoda, and Platyhelminthes (Fig. 7a). The Nematoda phyla *Axonolaimus* were correlated with Nematoda *Odontophoroides*, and two Mollusca and the macrofauna species *Mya arenaria* formed a cluster of correlations with low abundant nematodes and arthropods (Fig. 7a), while a few other macrofauna species correlated negatively with water depth (e.g. Chironomidae, *Macoma balthica*, and *Hydrobia*; Fig. 7a; 457 correlation networks with all labels shown are available in Fig. S5 and S6 for NPB and SBP, respectively). In contrast, the SBP showed a complex web of significant correlations between 458 chemistry, macrofauna and especially Nematoda genera (Fig. 7b). This difference between the 459 460 NBP and SBP was also confirmed when all Metazoa sequence variants were tested for correlations (i.e. not tested on taxonomical genera level; Fig. S7 and Fig. S8, respectively). In 461 the SBP abundant Nematoda genera Microlaimus correlated positively with several other 462 463 nematode genera and the macrofauna crustacean species Diastylis rathkei (Fig. 7b). The predator *Enoplolaimus*, a nematode with one of the highest relative abundance in the SBP, 464 465 correlated positively with the low abundant Nematoda genera Pselionema (Fig. 7b). The predator *Bylgides sarsi* that was one of the few macrofauna species in the Bornholm region 466 correlated negatively with the Nematoda genus Campylaimus (Fig. S7). Other correlations 467 468 included e.g. Nematoda genera with other Nematoda, and the Arthropoda genus Temora with macrofauna and Nematoda (Fig. 7b). In addition, Crustacean genera were correlated with 469 Nematoda and oxygen (Fig. 7b), and the Platyhelminthes genera Odontorhynchus was 470 471 associated with several Nematoda genera and the macrofauna Nephtys caeca. Mollusca species such as Arctica islandica, Mya truncata, and Hydrobia were found in a few clusters involving 472 various meiofauna genera. Finally, a few Annelida macrofauna species such as Pygospio 473 elegans, Polydora quadrilobata, and Heteromastus filiformis formed the beginning or were 474 475 part of correlation clusters associated with low abundant meiofauna genera (Fig. 7b).

476

477 Discussion

478 Abiotic explanatory variables of meiofaunal diversity

479 Salinity was the major explanatory variable of benthic meiofauna community composition in
480 the Baltic Proper. In addition to our findings, salinity has been observed to influence
481 macrofauna in the Baltic Sea (Gogina et al., 2016) and meiofauna community structure studied

482 elsewhere (Bruce C. Coull, 1988; Lallias et al., 2014). Interestingly, similar findings were also recently discovered for sediment bacteria community composition along a salinity transect in 483 the Baltic Sea (Klier, Dellwig, Leipe, Jürgens, & Herlemann, 2018). Bottom water oxygen also 484 485 correlated with the difference in meiofaunal community composition, especially in the SBP. The role of oxygen is not surprising considering that oxygen is essential for the majority of 486 meiofaunal organisms (Braeckman et al., 2013), and oxygen availability is known to cause 487 488 shifts in the community composition of e.g. nematodes (Nguyen et al., 2018). The local regions as defined in this study (Fig. 1 and Table 1), also harboured significantly different communities 489 490 of meiofauna (Fig. 2 and 4). This difference could be attributable to specific salinity preferences, but also due to the sediment substrate and available food resources (Lee, Tietjen, 491 Mastropaolo, & Rubin, 1977), and adult dispersal through water currents (Hagerman & Rieger, 492 493 1981). Marine meiofaunal communities have previously been shown to be heterogeneous both 494 at large (Fonseca et al., 2014) and small spatial scales (Findlay, 1981). Our results indicate salinity to be a major barrier to dispersion of meiofauna species in the Baltic soft sediment, by 495 496 limiting the dispersion of marine species to the north and of freshwater species to the south. Limitation to dispersion is an important factor driving community assembly in ecological 497 systems (Vellend, 2010). Therefore the salinity gradient in the Baltic Sea influences sediment 498 habitats with different kinds of food and predators that will in turn influence the meiofauna 499 500 community composition and diversity.

501

502 Geographical differences in community composition

503 Meiofaunal diversity was dominated by a large variety of Nematoda genera. This was not 504 surprising considering that nematodes are highly diverse (Zhang, 2013), and typically the most 505 abundant meiofauna found in the sediment surface (Bruce C. Coull, 1999). The SBP had a 506 different Nematoda community composition, likely due to the higher salinity conditions that

507 have previously been found to influence diversity and community structure in the Baltic Sea (Ojaveer et al., 2010). In the NBP there was a large proportion of unclassified Nematoda 508 sequences (Fig. 4c) and could possibly be due to the lack of freshwater-brackish species being 509 510 classified in the reference databases (Holovachov et al., 2017). These unclassified sequences were indicated in the phylogenetic placement analysis to be affiliated with the genus 511 Chromadorita (Fig. S3). This genus has previously been found in the Baltic Sea (Preben 512 Jensen, 1979) and contains species living on macrophytes (Preben Jensen, 1979), free-living 513 and feeding on diatoms (P Jensen, 1984), and living inside cyanobacterial biofilms (Gaudes, 514 515 Sabater, Vilalta, & Muñoz, 2006). The most southern region Arkona had not only a higher diversity but also a higher proportion of nematode predators/omnivores (Fig. 5d), which could 516 explain why there were more ecological correlations in the SBP (Fig. 7b). Even though the 517 518 PCR primers used might have selected for certain eukaryotic species, and primer bias are likely to pervade all metabarcoding studies, we used the same biodiversity discovery method (i.e. 519 metabarcoding primers) throughout. Despite the imperfect nature of metabarcoding (and other 520 521 ecological sampling approaches), the difference among regions and areas in the Baltic Proper were statistically significant and showed stark dissimilarities in community composition. 522

In addition to nematodes, there was also a large relative abundance of Arthropods in the 523 18S rRNA gene dataset, especially in the NBP. The majority of the Arthropoda belonged to 524 the pelagic copepod genera Eurytemora and Temora in the NBP and SBP, respectively (Fig. 525 526 4a and 4b). The hatching rate and development time of e.g. Eurytemora affinis is negatively affected by low salinity (Karlsson, Puiac, & Winder, 2018) which can explain the difference 527 between the north and south regions. Possible additional explanations for copepods being in 528 529 the sediment could be due to sinking marine snow containing carcasses, resting stages such as buried eggs or dormancy (Dahms, 1995). The high relative abundance of Arthropoda could 530 therefore be derived from DNA being extracted from a large amounts of copepod eggs or 531

532 resting stages buried in the sediment surface. Considering that similar results have also been observed by Nascimento et al. (2018) from sediments collected in the Stockholm region, the 533 large proportion of copepods is likely a trait for low saline waters (< 10 ppt) in the Baltic Sea. 534 Compared to the SBP where salinity is higher, the availability of copepod eggs in the low-535 saline NBP can be a larger source of energy for benthic macrofauna populations (Karlson & 536 Viitasalo-Frösen, 2009). In addition, because the hatching rate is slower in low salinity 537 (Karlsson et al., 2018) the accumulation of a seed bank followed by subsequent hatching could 538 enhance the benthic-pelagic coupling. Our results highlight important geographic differences 539 540 in meiofaunal communities that are only possible to uncover with modern molecular tools (Fonseca et al., 2010). 541

542

543 *Biotic interactions*

Macrofauna species richness and meiofauna diversity were both higher in the SBP (Fig. 6 and 544 Fig. 3, respectively). Nascimento et al. (2011) found that a higher species richness of 545 macrofauna increased interference competition among meiofauna and/or limited food 546 availability in a laboratory study. Potentially, this could partly explain why there were more 547 ecological connections between macro- and meiofauna in the SBP as indicated by the 548 correlations network data (Fig. 7b). On the other hand, macrofaunal bioturbation can create 549 more habitable niches and higher variety of food types allowing for a higher meiofauna 550 551 diversity (Meysman, Middelburg, & Heip, 2006). The significant correlations included mainly Annelida as well as crustacean macrofauna, which are well-known bioturbators (Krantzberg, 552 1985). In addition, bottom water oxygen was one of the central nodes in the correlation network 553 554 with connections to meio- and macrofauna (Fig. 7b). It is therefore possible that oxygen rich burrows made by annelids (R. Aller, 1988) or other modes of bioturbation by macrofaunal 555 organisms (Krantzberg, 1985) stimulate bacterial growth and make specific niches and habitats 556

557 favourable for meiofauna (reviewed in Olafsson, 2003). However, negative macro-meiofauna interactions have also been previously reported (reviewed in Olafsson, 2003). High macrofauna 558 diversity can increase sediment oxygen consumption (Bolam, Fernandes, & Huxham, 2002), 559 and interference competition with meiofauna by limiting its access to freshly deposited detritus 560 (Nascimento et al., 2011). Such mechanism could explain some of the negative correlations 561 between macro- and meiofauna taxa found in our study. For example, we observed several 562 563 Mollusca macrofauna in correlation clusters with meiofauna genera in the SBP (Fig. 7b). However, this kind of interaction was not as prominent in the NBP. This is in accordance with 564 565 previous experimental studies with sediments from the Sörmland region amended with bivalve Macoma balthica that showed no significant difference on the majority of meiofauna, including 566 nematodes (Olafsson, Elmgren, & Papakosta, 1993). Considering that correlation network 567 568 analysis can be a major strength to visualize and detect specific habitat niches (Röttjers & Faust, 2018), the meiofauna-macrofauna associations observed here could be indirect effects 569 of shared niche preference. In addition, predation is an important mechanism structuring 570 diversity in more stable and tropically complex communities (Menge & Sutherland, 1976). The 571 NBP had lower diversity and has a history of being more affected by eutrophication compared 572 to the southern region Arkona (Andersen et al., 2015). The higher relative abundance of 573 nematode predators in the SBP (Fig. 5d) could indicate a relatively more stable environment 574 where predation can maintain a higher diversity helped by more macrofauna-mediated niches, 575 576 biodiversity and interactions. Although, network correlations based on metabarcoding data need to be treated with caution (see Röttjers & Faust, 2018), our results clearly indicate that 577 there are fewer, direct or indirect associations between meiofauna and macrofauna in low-578 579 saline areas in the Baltic Sea.

582 The area of low saline regions in the Baltic Sea (surface water salinity < 6 ppt) has increased since the 1970s and are predicted to further increase with climate change due to elevated levels 583 of runoff (Vuorinen et al., 2015). As indicated here a decrease in salinity might be accompanied 584 585 by a decrease in meiofaunal biodiversity and biotic interactions in the Baltic Sea. Salinity strongly influences the community composition and diversity in other coastal systems (Lallias 586 et al., 2014; Van Diggelen & Montagna, 2016) where similar effects can happen if salinity is 587 reduced as a consequence of climate change. Additionally, it is clear from our results that a 588 continued expansion of hypoxic bottom zones will significantly alter benthic community 589 590 structure. This may influence important ecosystem functions regulated by meiofauna, like OM degradation and nutrient cycling. Here, we show that multiple anthropogenic pressures like 591 eutrophication (Finni, Kononen, Olsonen, & Wallström, 2001), expansion of hypoxic bottom 592 593 zones (Meier et al., 2011), and of low-salinity areas (Vuorinen et al., 2015), will likely have 594 profound impacts on benthic communities of anthropogenically stressed coastal systems. Ongoing environmental change will lead to lower benthic biodiversity and fewer biotic 595 interactions. Such structural changes to benthic community composition will likely influence 596 ecosystem functions and services, and decrease ecosystem stability (McCann, 2000). 597

598

599 Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge support from the National Genomics Infrastructure in Stockholm funded by Science for Life Laboratory, the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation and the Swedish Research Council, and SNIC/Uppsala Multidisciplinary Center for Advanced Computational Science for assistance with massively parallel sequencing and access to the UPPMAX computational infrastructure. We thank Ola Svensson for assistance during sampling and the Molecular Ecology and Fisheries Genetics Laboratory (MEFGL) staff for help with the laboratory work. All sediment and water samples included in this study were

607	gathered and analysed within the Swedish National and Regional benthic Monitoring Program								
608	at Stockholm University, funded and administered by the Swedish Agency for Marine and								
609	Water Management (HAV). Research activities were funded by the Stockholm University's								
610	strategic funds for Baltic Sea research, Baltic and East European Graduate School, and the								
611	Swedish Research Council Formas (Grant to FN, number: 2016-00804).								
612									
613	Competing interests								
614	We have no competing interests								
615									
616 617	References								
618	Aller R (1988) Benthic fauna and biogeochemical processes in marine sediments: the role of								
619	hurrow structures. In T H Blackburn & I Sørensen (Eds.) Nitrogen cycling in coastal								
620	marine environments (np. 301-338) Chichester: John Wiley & Sons I td								
621	Aller R C & Aller I V (1992) Meiofauna and solute transport in marine muds $Limnology$								
622	and Oceanography 37(5) 1018-1033 doi:doi:10.4319/lo.1992.37.5.1018								
622	Altschul S E Gish W Miller W Myers E W & Linman D I (1990) Basic local								
624	alignment search tool <i>Lournal of Molecular Biology</i> 215(3) 403-410								
625	Andersen I. H. Carstensen I. Conley D. I. Dromph K. Eleming-Lehtinen V. Gustafsson								
626	B. G. Murray C. (2015) Long-term temporal and spatial trends in eutrophication								
627	status of the Baltic Sea <i>Biological Reviews</i> 92(1) 135-149 doi:10.1111/bry 12221								
628	Anderson M I Filingsen K F & McArdle B H (2006) Multivariate dispersion as a								
629	measure of beta diversity. Ecology Letters, 9(6), 683-693. doi:10.1111/i.1461-								
630	$0248\ 2006\ 00926\ x$								
631	Berger, S. A., & Stamatakis, A. (2011). Aligning short reads to reference alignments and trees.								
632	<i>Bioinformatics</i> . 27(15), 2068-2075. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr320								
633	Bik, H. M., Porazinska, D. L., Creer, S., Caporaso, J. G., Knight, R., & Thomas, W. K. (2012).								
634	Sequencing our way towards understanding global eukaryotic biodiversity. <i>Trends in</i>								
635	<i>Ecology & Evolution</i> , 27(4), 233-243. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2011.11.010								
636	Bista, I., Carvalho, G. R., Walsh, K., Seymour, M., Hajibabaei, M., Lallias, D., Creer, S.								
637	(2017). Annual time-series analysis of aqueous eDNA reveals ecologically relevant								
638	dynamics of lake ecosystem biodiversity. Nature communications, 8, 14087.								
639	doi:10.1038/ncomms14087								
640	Bolam, S., Fernandes, T., & Huxham, M. (2002). Diversity, biomass, and ecosystem processes								
641	in the marine benthos. <i>Ecological Monographs</i> , 72(4), 599-615.								
642	Bonaglia, S., Nascimento, F. J. A., Bartoli, M., Klawonn, I., & Brüchert, V. (2014). Meiofauna								
643	increases bacterial denitrification in marine sediments. Nature communications, 5, 5133.								
644	doi:10.1038/ncomms6133								
645	Bongers, T. (1990). The maturity index: an ecological measure of environmental disturbance								
646 647	based on nematode species composition. <i>Oecologia</i> , 83(1), 14-19. doi:10.1007/bf00324627								

- Braeckman, U., Vanaverbeke, J., Vincx, M., van Oevelen, D., & Soetaert, K. (2013).
 Meiofauna metabolism in suboxic sediments: currently overestimated. *PLoS ONE*, 8(3), e59289. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059289
- Callahan, B. J., McMurdie, P. J., Rosen, M. J., Han, A. W., Johnson, A. J. A., & Holmes, S. P.
 (2016). DADA2: high-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nature Methods*, 13(7), 581-583.
- Carugati, L., Corinaldesi, C., Dell'Anno, A., & Danovaro, R. (2015). Metagenetic tools for the
 census of marine meiofaunal biodiversity: An overview. *Marine Genomics*, 24, 11-20.
 doi:10.1016/j.margen.2015.04.010
- 657 Conley, D. J. (2012). Ecology: Save the Baltic Sea. *Nature*, 486(7404), 463-464.
- Coull, B. C. (1988). The ecology of the marine meiofauna. In R. P. Higgins & H. Thiel (Eds.),
 Introduction to the Study of Meiofauna (pp. 8–38). Washington, DC.: Smithsonian
 Institution Press.
- Coull, B. C. (1999). Role of meiofauna in estuarine soft-bottom habitats*. *Australian Journal of Ecology*, 24(4), 327-343. doi:doi:10.1046/j.1442-9993.1999.00979.x
- Coull, B. C., & Chandler, G. T. (2001). Meiobenthos*. In J. H. Steele (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Ocean Sciences (Second Edition)* (pp. 726-731). Oxford: Academic Press.
- Cullen, D. J. (1973). Bioturbation of superficial marine sediments by interstitial meiobenthos.
 Nature, 242(5396), 323.
- Dahms, H. U. (1995). Dormancy in the Copepoda an overview. *Hydrobiologia*, 306(3), 199 211. doi:10.1007/bf00017691
- Dray, S., & Dufour, A.-B. (2007). The ade4 package: implementing the duality diagram for
 ecologists. *Journal of statistical software*, 22(4), 1-20.
- Dybern, B. I., Ackefors, H., & Elmgren, R. (1976). *Recommendations on methods for marine biological studies in the Baltic Sea*: Asko Laboratory Library, Department of Zoology,
 University of Stockholm.
- Edgar, R. C. (2004). MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high
 throughput. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *32*(5), 1792-1797. doi:10.1093/nar/gkh340
- EN 16665:2014. (1992). Water quality-guidelines for quantitative sampling and sample
 processing of marine soft-bottom macrofauna. *European standard by CEN*.
- EN 25813:1992. Water quality determination of dissolved oxygen Iodometric method.
 European standard by CEN.
- Faust, K., & Raes, J. (2016). CoNet app: inference of biological association networks using
 Cytoscape. *F1000Research*, *5*, 1519-1519. doi:10.12688/f1000research.9050.2
- Findlay, S. E. (1981). Small-scale spatial distribution of meiofauna on a mud-and sandflat.
 Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 12(4), 471-484.
- Finni, T., Kononen, K., Olsonen, R., & Wallström, K. (2001). The History of cyanobacterial
 blooms in the Baltic Sea. *AMBIO: A Journal of the Human Environment*, 30(4), 172178. doi:10.1579/0044-7447-30.4.172
- Fonseca, V. G., Carvalho, G. R., Nichols, B., Quince, C., Johnson, H. F., Neill, S. P., . . . Creer,
 S. (2014). Metagenetic analysis of patterns of distribution and diversity of marine
 meiobenthic eukaryotes. *Global ecology and biogeography*, 23(11), 1293-1302.
 doi:doi:10.1111/geb.12223
- Fonseca, V. G., Carvalho, G. R., Sung, W., Johnson, H. F., Power, D. M., Neill, S. P., . . . Creer,
 S. (2010). Second-generation environmental sequencing unmasks marine metazoan
 biodiversity. *Nature communications*, *1*, 98. doi:10.1038/ncomms1095
- Gaudes, A., Sabater, S., Vilalta, E., & Muñoz, I. (2006). The nematode community in cyanobacterial biofilms in the river Llobregat, Spain. *Nematology*, 8(6), 909-919.
- Giere, O. (2009). *Meiobenthology: the microscopic motile fauna of aquatic sediments*: Springer
 Berlin Heidelberg.

- Gogina, M., Nygård, H., Blomqvist, M., Daunys, D., Josefson, A. B., Kotta, J., ... Gräwe, U.
 (2016). The Baltic Sea scale inventory of benthic faunal communities. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, *73*(4), 1196-1213.
- Griffiths, J. R., Kadin, M., Nascimento, F. J. A., Tamelander, T., Törnroos, A., Bonaglia, S., ...
 Winder, M. (2017). The importance of benthic–pelagic coupling for marine ecosystem
 functioning in a changing world. *Global Change Biology*, 23(6), 2179-2196.
 doi:doi:10.1111/gcb.13642
- Hagerman, G. M., & Rieger, R. M. (1981). Dispersal of benthic meiofauna by wave and current
 action in bogue sound, North Carolina, USA. *Marine Ecology*, 2(3), 245-270.
 doi:doi:10.1111/j.1439-0485.1981.tb00099.x
- Holovachov, O., Haenel, Q., Bourlat, S. J., & Jondelius, U. (2017). Taxonomy assignment
 approach determines the efficiency of identification of OTUs in marine nematodes.
 Royal Society Open Science, 4(8). doi:10.1098/rsos.170315
- Huson, D. H., Auch, A. F., Qi, J., & Schuster, S. C. (2007). MEGAN analysis of metagenomic
 data. *Genome Research*, *17*(3), 377-386. doi:10.1101/gr.5969107
- Huson, D. H., & Mitra, S. (2012). Introduction to the analysis of environmental sequences:
 metagenomics with MEGAN. *Methods in Molecular Biology*, 856, 415-429.
 doi:10.1007/978-1-61779-585-5_17
- Ingels, J., Dashfield, S. L., Somerfield, P. J., Widdicombe, S., & Austen, M. C. (2014).
 Interactions between multiple large macrofauna species and nematode communities —
 Mechanisms for indirect impacts of trawling disturbance. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 456, 41-49. doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2014.03.009
- Jensen, P. (1979). Nematodes from the brackish waters of the southern archipelago of Finland.
 Phytal species. *Annales Zoologici Fennici*, *16*(4), 281-285.
- Jensen, P. (1984). Food ingestion and growth of the diatom-feeding nematode *Chromadorita tenuis. Marine Biology*, *81*(3), 307-310.
- Karlson, A. M. L., & Viitasalo-Frösen, S. (2009). Assimilation of ¹⁴C-labelled zooplankton
 benthic eggs by macrobenthos. *Journal of Plankton Research*, *31*(4), 459-463.
 doi:10.1093/plankt/fbn131
- Karlsson, K., Puiac, S., & Winder, M. (2018). Life-history responses to changing temperature
 and salinity of the Baltic Sea copepod *Eurytemora affinis*. *Marine Biology*, *165*(2), 30.
 doi:10.1007/s00227-017-3279-6
- Klier, J., Dellwig, O., Leipe, T., Jürgens, K., & Herlemann, D. P. R. (2018). Benthic bacterial
 community composition in the oligohaline-larine transition of surface sediments in the
 Baltic Sea based on rRNA analysis. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 9, 236.
 doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.00236
- Krantzberg, G. (1985). The influence of bioturbation on physical, chemical and biological
 parameters in aquatic environments: a review. *Environmental Pollution Series A*, *Ecological and Biological*, 39(2), 99-122.
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., & Tamura, K. (2016). MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics
 Analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Molecular biology and evolution*, *33*(7), 1870 1874. doi:10.1093/molbev/msw054
- Lallias, D., Hiddink, J. G., Fonseca, V. G., Gaspar, J. M., Sung, W., Neill, S. P., . . . Creer, S.
 (2014). Environmental metabarcoding reveals heterogeneous drivers of microbial
 eukaryote diversity in contrasting estuarine ecosystems. *The ISME journal*, *9*, 1208.
 doi:10.1038/ismej.2014.213
- Lee, J., Tietjen, J., Mastropaolo, C., & Rubin, H. (1977). Food quality and the heterogeneous
 spatial distribution of meiofauna. *Helgoländer Wissenschaftliche Meeresuntersuchungen*, 30(1), 272.

- Mantel, N. (1967). The detection of disease clustering and a generalized regression approach.
 Cancer research, 27(2 Part 1), 209-220.
- Mathieu, M., Leflaive, J., Ten-Hage, L., De Wit, R., & Buffan-Dubau, E. (2007). Free-living
 nematodes affect oxygen turnover of artificial diatom biofilms. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology*, 49(3), 281-291.
- 752 McCann, K. S. (2000). The diversity-stability debate. *Nature*, 405, 228. doi:10.1038/35012234
- McMurdie, P. J., & Holmes, S. (2013). phyloseq: An R Package for reproducible interactive
 analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. *PLoS ONE*, 8(4), e61217.
 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0061217
- Meier, H. E. M., Andersson, H. C., Eilola, K., Gustafsson, B. G., Kuznetsov, I., Müller-Karulis,
 B., . . . Savchuk, O. P. (2011). Hypoxia in future climates: A model ensemble study for
 the Baltic Sea. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *38*(24). doi:10.1029/2011GL049929
- Menge, B. A., & Sutherland, J. P. (1976). Species diversity gradients: Synthesis of the roles of
 predation, competition, and temporal heterogeneity. *The American Naturalist*, *110*(973),
 351-369. doi:10.1086/283073
- Meysman, F. J., Middelburg, J. J., & Heip, C. H. (2006). Bioturbation: a fresh look at Darwin's
 last idea. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 21(12), 688-695.
- Nascimento, F. J. A., Karlson, A. M., & Elmgren, R. (2008). Settling blooms of filamentous
 cyanobacteria as food for meiofauna assemblages. *Limnology and Oceanography*,
 53(6), 2636-2643.
- Nascimento, F. J. A., Karlson, A. M. L., Näslund, J., & Elmgren, R. (2011). Diversity of larger
 consumers enhances interference competition effects on smaller competitors.
 Oecologia, 166(2), 337-347. doi:10.1007/s00442-010-1865-0
- Nascimento, F. J. A., Lallias, D., Bik, H. M., & Creer, S. (2018). Sample size effects on the
 assessment of eukaryotic diversity and community structure in aquatic sediments using
 high-throughput sequencing. *Scientific Reports*, 8.
- Nascimento, F. J. A., Näslund, J., & Elmgren, R. (2012). Meiofauna enhances organic matter
 mineralization in soft sediment ecosystems. *Limnology and Oceanography*, *57*(1), 338 346. doi:doi:10.4319/lo.2012.57.1.0338
- Nguyen, Q. T., Ueda, R., Mori, F., Kang, T., Kim, D., Shimanaga, M., & Wada, M. (2018).
 Response of nematode community structure to hypoxia in an enclosed coastal sea,
 Omura Bay, for three consecutive years. *Plankton and Benthos Research*, *13*(2), 59-65.
- Näslund, J., Nascimento, F. J. A., & Gunnarsson, J. S. (2010). Meiofauna reduces bacterial
 mineralization of naphthalene in marine sediment. *The ISME journal*, *4*, 1421.
 doi:10.1038/ismej.2010.63
- Ojaveer, H., Jaanus, A., MacKenzie, B. R., Martin, G., Olenin, S., Radziejewska, T., ... Zaiko,
 A. (2010). Status of Biodiversity in the Baltic Sea. *PLoS ONE*, 5(9), e12467.
 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012467
- Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F. G., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., O'hara, R., Simpson, G. L., . . . Wagner,
 H. J. h. c. r.-p. o. A. e. (2018). vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version
 2.5-2. In.
- Olafsson, E. (2003). Do macrofauna structure meiofauna assemblages in marine soft-bottoms?
 A review of experimental studies. *Vie et Milieu*, *53*(4), 249-265.
- Olafsson, E., Elmgren, R., & Papakosta, O. (1993). Effects of the deposit-feeding benthic
 bivalve Macoma balthica on meiobenthos. *Oecologia*, 93(4), 457-462.
 doi:10.1007/BF00328952
- Pan, J., Marcoval, M. A., Bazzini, S. M., Vallina, M. V., & Marco, S. (2013). Coastal marine
 biodiversity: Challenges and threats. In A. H, M. Arias, & C. Menéndez (Eds.), *Marine Ecology in a Changing World* (pp. 43-67): Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.

- Peham, T., Steiner, F. M., Schlick-Steiner, B. C., & Arthofer, W. (2017). Are we ready to detect
 nematode diversity by next generation sequencing? *Ecology and Evolution*, 7(12),
 4147-4151. doi:doi:10.1002/ece3.2998
- R Core Team. (2013). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL <u>http://www.R-project.org/</u>. In.
- Robertson, C. E., Harris, J. K., Wagner, B. D., Granger, D., Browne, K., Tatem, B., . . . Frank,
 D. N. (2013). Explicet: graphical user interface software for metadata-driven
 management, analysis and visualization of microbiome data. *Bioinformatics*, 29(23),
 3100-3101. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btt526
- Röttjers, L., & Faust, K. (2018). From hairballs to hypotheses-biological insights from
 microbial networks. *FEMS microbiology reviews*, 42(6), 761-780.
 doi:10.1093/femsre/fuy030
- Schratzberger, M., & Ingels, J. (2018). Meiofauna matters: The roles of meiofauna in benthic
 ecosystems. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 502, 12-25.
 doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2017.01.007
- Semprucci, F., Cesaroni, L., Guidi, L., & Balsamo, M. (2018). Do the morphological and
 functional traits of free-living marine nematodes mirror taxonomical diversity? *Marine Environmental Research*, 135, 114-122. doi:10.1016/j.marenvres.2018.02.001
- Shannon, P., Markiel, A., Ozier, O., Baliga, N. S., Wang, J. T., Ramage, D., . . . Ideker, T.
 (2003). Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular
 interaction networks. *Genome Research*, *13*(11), 2498-2504. doi:10.1101/gr.1239303
- Stamatakis, A. (2014). RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of
 large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics*, 30(9), 1312-1313.
 doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033
- Stoeck, T., Bass, D., Nebel, M., Christen, R., Jones, M. D. M., Breiner, H.-W., & Richards, T.
 A. (2010). Multiple marker parallel tag environmental DNA sequencing reveals a
 highly complex eukaryotic community in marine anoxic water. *Molecular ecology*, *19*(s1), 21-31. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2009.04480.x
- Tielens, A. G. M., Rotte, C., van Hellemond, J. J., & Martin, W. (2002). Mitochondria as we
 don't know them. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences*, 27(11), 564-572.
 doi:10.1016/S0968-0004(02)02193-X
- Van Diggelen, A. D., & Montagna, P. A. (2016). Is salinity variability a benthic disturbance in estuaries? *Estuaries and Coasts*, *39*(4), 967-980. doi:10.1007/s12237-015-0058-9
- Vanaverbeke, J., Merckx, B., Degraer, S., & Vincx, M. (2011). Sediment-related distribution
 patterns of nematodes and macrofauna: Two sides of the benthic coin? *Marine Environmental Research*, 71(1), 31-40. doi:10.1016/j.marenvres.2010.09.006
- Vavrek, M. J. (2011). Fossil: palaeoecological and palaeogeographical analysis tools.
 Palaeontologia Electronica, 14(1), 16.
- Vellend, M. (2010). Conceptual Synthesis in Community Ecology. *The Quarterly Review of Biology*, 85(2), 183-206. doi:10.1086/652373
- Wieser, W. (1953). Die Beziehung zwischen Mundhohlengestalt, Ernahrungsweise und
 Vorkommen bei freilebenden marinen Nematoden. *Arkiv for zoologi, 4*, 439–484.
- Vuorinen, I., Hänninen, J., Rajasilta, M., Laine, P., Eklund, J., Montesino-Pouzols, F., . . .
 Dippner, J. W. (2015). Scenario simulations of future salinity and ecological
 consequences in the Baltic Sea and adjacent North Sea areas–implications for
 environmental monitoring. *Ecological Indicators*, 50, 196-205.
 doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2014.10.019
- Zhang, Z.-Q. (2013). Animal biodiversity: an update of classification and diversity in 2013.
 Zootaxa, *3703*(1), 5-11.

846 Data Accessibility

847 The raw sequence data have been uploaded and are available on the NCBI database with the848 following BioProject number: PRJNA497177.

849

850 Author contributions

E.B. analysed data and drafted the manuscript, C.R. sampled in the field and together with C.S.

852 conducted laboratory work. J.G. helped with field sampling and gave feedback on the

853 manuscript, S.C. helped designed the study and gave feedback on the manuscript. F.N.

designed the study, conducted laboratory work, analysed data and contributed to the manuscript

855 writing. All authors gave final approval for publication.

856

Table 1. List of the station numbers, region, date of sampling during 2015, latitude, longitude, and water column depth. Abiotic parameters
 measured include bottom water salinity, temperature, dissolved oxygen (mean of two technical measurements), percentage of sediment water
 content (WC), and sediment organic matter (OM) content. Missing data is denoted by an empty cell.

Station	Region	Date	Lat. (dd)	Long. (dd)	Depth (m)	Salinity (ppt)	°C	O ₂ (mg/L)	WC (%)	OM (%)
1	Stockholm	May 27	59.5243	18.8533	23.5	5.3	9.0	10.7	86.1	14.0
2	Stockholm	May 27	59.5081	19.0044	58.5	6.6	5.0	8.8	62.7	4.6
3	Stockholm	May 27	59.4788	18.9215	40.3	5.8	6.0	10.9	68.1	6.0
4	Sörmland	May 17	58.8408	17.5518	22	6.3	7.7	11.1	77.0	11.7
5	Sörmland	May 19	58.8261	17.5761	39	6.6	5.0	10.7	81.5	12.2
6	Sörmland	May 17	58.8109	17.6069	37.5	6.7	4.7	10.9	82.2	12.5
7	Sörmland	May 16	58.7902	17.7284	38	6.7	4.4	10.5	80.3	9.9
8	Sörmland	May 17	58.7740	17.6914	44	6.7	4.5	11.0	68.1	6.2
9	Sörmland	May 16	58.7669	17.8313	53	6.9	4.1	11.0	73.8	7.3
10	Sörmland	May 16	58.7440	17.8140	47	6.9	4.2	10.4	79.7	9.9
11	Sörmland	May 16	58.7189	17.8423	59	7.0	4.3	10.4	68.8	6.2
12	Sörmland offshore	May 07	58.5674	17.9085	79	9.1	5.4	0.3	86.2	12.2
13	Sörmland offshore	May 07	58.5489	18.0253	78	6.5	4.9		79.8	7.7
14	Sörmland offshore	May 07	58.4941	18.1167	124	9.9	5.4	0.0	93.5	18.7
15	Östergötland	June 01	58.3961	16.8854	14	6.3	8.7	9.3	86.8	15.0
16	Östergötland	June 01	58.3791	16.9711	12.5	6.4	11.1	10.3	85.5	14.9
17	Östergötland	June 01	58.3763	16.9808	13.5	6.5	10.9	10.4	87.3	16.8
18	Östergötland	June 01	58.3739	16.9444	10	6.4	12.3	10.1	87.8	17.0
19	Östergötland	June 01	58.3697	16.9604	16	6.4	11.1	10.3	85.5	15.4
20	Östergötland	June 01	58.3621	16.9433	19.5	6.4	11.4	10.1	92.1	19.1
21	Östergötland	June 01	58.3234	16.9364	15.6	6.6	7.5	10.5	85.9	14.8
22	Östergötland	June 02	58.3220	16.9715	20.5	6.6	6.7	10.7	89.0	18.6
23	Östergötland	June 02	58.2543	16.7866	39	6.8	6.1	9.8	87.8	15.7
24	Östergötland	June 02	58.2249	16.8153	25	6.7	6.6	10.5	84.7	14.3

25	Östergötland	June 02	58.2169	16.8432	30	6.7	5.6	10.9	85.7	13.9
26	Östergötland	June 02	58.2095	16.9378	33	6.7	5.8	10.9	87.0	18.8
27	Östergötland	June 02	58.2027	16.9152	9.6	6.6	8.6	10.6	87.9	16.4
28	Östergötland	June 02	58.1980	16.8501	29.1	6.7	6.1	10.8	81.3	12.1
29	Västervik	May 08	57.7334	17.0916	72	8.5	4.8	1.5	90.0	16.9
30	Västervik	May 08	57.6019	17.0010	67	7.6	4.5	6.5	71.9	6.3
31	Västervik	May 08	57.5252	16.9691	66	7.7	4.5	6.9	90.1	18.4
32	Västervik	May 08	57.4763	17.0633	79	8.8	5.1	0.0	76.4	7.3
33	Gotland	May 14	57.4000	19.3498	112	11.0	6.2	0.1	94.3	24.7
34	Bornholm	May 09	55.7502	15.9332	64	16.0	7.6	4.7	84.6	12.7
35	Bornholm	May 12	55.6668	16.0658	71	17.2	7.5	2.7	82.0	10.8
36	Bornholm	May 09	55.6177	14.8630	80	18.3	7.2	3.7	85.4	12.7
37	Bornholm	May 12	55.2507	15.9888	91	18.9	7.1	2.8	86.2	13.6
38	Arkona	May 10	55.2334	13.3334	41	14.0	5.5	6.9	69.2	6.6
39	Arkona	May 10	55.2246	13.4182	42	13.9	5.5	7.2	69.5	10.0
40	Arkona	May 10	55.2250	13.6335	43	13.4	5.6	8.6	83.7	13.0
41	Arkona	May 10	55.2248	13.2667	40	14.0	5.6	6.2	76.3	10.1
42	Arkona	May 10	55.1333	13.6666	45	14.3	5.6	8.3	84.2	13.6
43	Arkona	May 10	55.1239	13.2615	40	12.4	5.9	8.8	56.0	4.5
44	Arkona	May 12	55.0090	14.0738	48	14.9	5.5	7.2	83.9	13.3

863 **Figure captions**

864

Figure 1. The figure shows a map of the Baltic Sea and each sampling station and geographical 865 regions (different coloured circles). Full names and details of the sampling stations are 866 presented in Table 1. The Baltic Proper was divided into two areas for this study: the north 867 Baltic Proper (NBP; stations 1-33) and the south Baltic Proper (SBP; stations 34-44). The 868 colours of the circles denote the different regions in the study, with: yellow as Stockholm; light 869 blue Sörmland; brown Sörmland offshore; purple Östergötland; green Västervik; red Gotland 870 (one station only); grey Bornholm; and orange as Arkona. The map layer is © OpenStreetMap 871 contributors. 872

873

Figure 2. Multivariate NMDS based on the relative abundance Bray-Curtis dissimilarities were constructed based on all sequence variants classified as meiofauna (i.e. metazoan 0.40-1000 μ m) in the 0-2 cm sediment surface layer (a), and boxplots showing the homogeneity of beta diversity variance for each region (b). The colours of the symbols in the NMDS plots denote the specific regions (as shown in Fig. 1), while the numbers denote each specific station. Stations belonging to the north Baltic Proper are presented as circles while stations in the south as triangles.

881

Figure 3. ACE, Chao1, and Shannon's H alpha diversity indexes of all meiofauna sequence
variants (black lines) and only the Nematoda data (orange lines). The x-axis shows the station
numbers (Fig. 1). The line type denotes: dashed lines, ACE; dotted lines, Chao1; and filled
lines, Shannon's H.

886

Figure 4. The figure shows stacked bars of the 18S rRNA gene meiofauna dataset in the north
and south Baltic Proper 0-2 cm sediment layer, as well as their specific geographic regions.
The y-axis shows the station number, and (a) shows relative abundance (%; x-axis) of Metazoa
phyla; (b) genera in the Arthropoda; (c) Nematoda; and (d) Platyhelminthes phyla. Bolded text
denotes major phyla or genera for each respective graph.

892

Figure 5. The figure shows the four Wieser (1953) nematode feeding types of the Nematoda genera for each region (classification ID in parentheses). Because unclassified data could not be included in the analysis the relative proportion were based on annotated genera. Each region consist of replicates (i.e. stations) according to the Nematoda data shown in Fig. 4. Note the different scale on the y-axes. The error bars shows the standard error.

Figure 6. The heatmap shows collected macrofauna from the sieved sediment. The stations are numbered and region coloured on the top x-axis. Species level are shown for most macrofauna, except for the class Oligochaeta and family Chrinonomidate. The grey-red gradient shows the relative proportion per species (%) of abundance m⁻² sediment, while the grey-green gradient shows relative proportion per species of g wet weight biomass m⁻² sediment. The species 904 richness are shown on the bottom x-axis.

905

Figure 7. Correlation networks of spearman correlations based on data from north (a) and south Baltic Proper (b). The correlations included meiofauna 18S rRNA gene data (each node represents one Metazoa genus), abiotic variables, and macrofauna abundance data. The mean was used for the two oxygen technical replicates. The colour of the lines denote rho ≥ 0.7 (red) or ≤ -0.7 (blue). All correlations are statically significant (P < 0.05). All abiotic nodes have been labelled as well as a few genera/macrofauna nodes according to the results presented in

- 912 the text. Nodes with black borders denote unclassified sequences belonging to a certain
- 913 phylum.



916 Figure 1



919 Figure 2



921

922 Figure 3



924 Figure 4



926 Figure 5







931 Figure 7