

Henry Ford Health System

Henry Ford Health System Scholarly Commons

Dermatology Articles

Dermatology

5-21-2020

Notes and Comments on "Proposed Approach for Re-using Surgical Masks in COVID-19 Pandemic"

Angeli E. Torres

Henry Ford Health System, atorres5@hfhs.org

David M. Ozog

Henry Ford Health System, dozog1@hfhs.org

Iltefat H. Hamzavi

Henry Ford Health System, ihamzav1@hfhs.org

Henry W. Lim

Henry Ford Health System, hlim1@hfhs.org

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlycommons.henryford.com/dermatology_articles

Recommended Citation

Torres AE, Ozog DM, Hamzavi IH, and Lim HW. Notes and Comments on "Proposed Approach for Re-using Surgical Masks in COVID-19 Pandemic". *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2020.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Dermatology at Henry Ford Health System Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Dermatology Articles by an authorized administrator of Henry Ford Health System Scholarly Commons.

Comment on: “Proposed approach for reusing surgical masks in COVID-19 pandemic”

To the Editor: The article by Liu et al¹ provided valuable recommendations regarding the use of dry heat to facilitate surgical mask reuse. Prior studies exploring this method have largely focused on its effect on N95 respirator integrity rather than a quantification of its virucidal activity.² This makes it difficult to compare its virucidal advantage over ultraviolet C (UVC) and other methods.²

Dry heating requires direct supervision during its delivery, because too high a temperature (>100°C) is known to decrease the filtering capacity of respirators and masks.² In addition, the effect of dry heating on respirator—and possibly mask—integrity varies significantly depending on the approach used (laboratory oven, dry microwaving, or rice cooker without water)² and the specific respirator or mask model. For N95 respirators, failure of fit-testing after decontamination would have potential catastrophic effects on health care providers.³

The authors’ statement pertaining to UVC having “less penetration” and being “less virucidal” contradicts previous reports.^{2,4} Although UVC is known foremost as a surface decontaminant, our observations using a desktop UVC unit (Daavlin Desktop UVC Germicidal Lamp, Daavlin, Bryan, OH) indicated that when the outside-facing surface of N95 respirators is irradiated at virucidal dose (1 J/cm²),⁴ 10% of the dose can penetrate to the wearer-facing surface (Kohli et al, unpublished data, 2020). Hence, it is very likely that surgical masks may yield even greater UVC penetration given their larger particle penetration compared with respirators.¹ Furthermore, the addition of a reflective base, flipping of the masks, or the availability of units that allow irradiation of both surfaces of the mask at the same time (Orbitform Mask Sanitizer, Orbitform Medical, Jackson, MI)² can facilitate adequate irradiation of all surfaces.

Although testing against severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has not been done, UVC has documented activity (≥3-log reduction) against SARS-CoV, Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV),⁵ and H1N1 influenza A virus.⁶ This was achieved after irradiation of N95 respirators at a dose of 1 J/cm² per side delivered in under 3 minutes.⁴ For surgical masks, being of a thinner material, it is likely that

the required dose and irradiation time is even lower.

Angeli Eloise Torres, MD, David M. Ozog, MD, Iltefat H. Hamzavi, MD, and Henry W. Lim, MD

From the Photomedicine and Photobiology Unit, Department of Dermatology, Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, Michigan.

Funding sources: None.

Conflicts of interest: Dr Ozog is an investigator for Biofrontera. Dr Hamzavi is an investigator for the LITE study, which is funded by PCORI with phototherapy units provided by Daavlin. Dr Lim is an investigator for LITE study, which is funded by PCORI with phototherapy units provided by Daavlin, and has participated as a speaker in general educational session for Ra Medical Systems. Dr Torres has no conflicts of interest to declare.

IRB approval status: Not applicable.

Reprints not available from the authors.

Correspondence to: Henry W. Lim, MD, Department of Dermatology, Henry Ford Medical Center, 3031 W Grand Blvd, Ste 800, Detroit, MI 48202

E-mail: hlim1@hfhs.org

REFERENCES

1. Liu Y, Leachman S, Bar A. Proposed approach for reusing surgical masks in COVID-19 pandemic. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2020;83(1):e53-e54.
2. Torres AE, Lyons AB, Narla S, et al. Ultraviolet-C and other methods of decontamination of filtering facepiece N-95 respirators during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Photochem Photobiol Sci.* 2020;19(6):746-751.
3. Ozog D, Parks-Miller A, Kohli I, et al. The importance of form fit testing in decontamination of N95 respirators: a cautionary note. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2020;83(2):672-674.
4. Hamzavi IH, Lyons AB, Kohli I, et al. Ultraviolet germicidal irradiation: possible method for respirator disinfection to facilitate reuse during COVID-19 pandemic. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2020;82(6):1511-1512.
5. Bedell K, Buchaklian AH, Perlman S. Efficacy of an automated multiple emitter whole-room ultraviolet-C disinfection system against coronaviruses MHV and MERS-CoV. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2016;37:598-599.
6. Mills D, Harnish DA, Lawrence C, Sandoval-Powers M, Heimbuch BK. Ultraviolet germicidal irradiation of influenza-contaminated N95 filtering facepiece respirators. *Am J Infect Control.* 2018;46:e49-e55.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2020.05.083>